

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

NOT use for expedited rule making

			Do NOT use for expedited full making		
<b>Agency:</b> Washington Utilities and Transportation O	Commission				
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as	WSR <u>16-06-119</u>	<u>)</u> ; or	☑ Original Notice		
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was	filed as WSR	; or	Supplemental Notice to WSR		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or	<sup>1</sup> 34.05.330(1).		Continuance of WSR		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (D	escribe Subject)				
The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 120, Telephone Companies.	ı (Commission) pro	oposes this rulemak	cing to reinstate, revise, and repeal rules in WAC 480-		
The proposed rules would repeal rules related to the Wasl Exchange Carries Association (WECA), which has been a standards for service interruptions and impairments, exclu-	discontinued. The p	proposed rules inclu			
Hearing location(s):		Submit written	comments to:		
		Name: Washingt	ton Utilities and Transportation Commission		
Commission Hearing Room 206		Address: 1300 S	. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.		
Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building		P.O. Box 47250			
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.		Olympia, WA 98504-7250			
Olympia, WA 98504-7250		e-mail records@utc.wa.gov Please include "Docket UT-			
		160196" in your comments.			
		fax (360) 586			
Date: July 12, 2016 Time: 9:30 a.m.		\ /-	<u> </u>		
			persons with disabilities: Contact		
Data of intended adoutions - I I - 10 - 2016		Debbie Aguilar	by <u>June 28, 2016</u>		
Date of intended adoption: July 12, 2016		TTY (360) <u>586-8203</u> or (360) <u>664-1132</u>			
(Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date) <b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effective</b>	ete including an		• •		
ruipose of the proposal and its anticipated effec	,to, including an	iy changes in exi	isting rules.		
See Attachment A					
ooo Attaorimont A					
Reasons supporting proposal: See above.					
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.04	0	Statute being in	mplemented:		
and RCW 80.04.160					
	-		CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Is rule necessary because of a:			CODE REVISER USE UNLT		
Federal Law?	es 🛛 No	Γ	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER		
Federal Court Decision?	es 🗏 No		STATE OF WASHINGTON		
State Court Decision?	es 🕅 No		FILED		
If yes, CITATION:	63 🔼 110		DATE: May 18, 2016		
			TIME: 9:13 AM		
DATE		-	TIME. 9.10 AW		
<b>DATE</b> 5/18/2016			WSR 16-11-096		
NAME (type or print)		<b>∤</b> ∟			
Steven V. King					
SIGNATURE		1			
CIGITATUIL					
1-1-11-					
1-1-1		Í			
TITLE Executive Director and Secretary					
EACCULIVE DIFFCIOL AND SECIETALY					

Agency coi matters:	nments or recommendations, if ar	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcer	nent, and fiscal		
None.					
Name of pr	oponent: (person or organization) W	ashington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Governmental		
Name of ag	ency personnel responsible for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting	John Cupp	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1113		
Implementati	onSteven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115		
Enforcement	Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115		
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?					
Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.					
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:  Name: Address:					
	phone ( ) fax ( )				
	e-mail				
⊠ No. E	Explain why no statement was prepar	red.			
	ave been required to adhere to the same analy with this rule if it is reinstated.	requirements contained in former WAC 480-120-440. There sho	ould be no economic		
le a coet-he	enefit analysis required under RCV	V 24 05 3282			
∐ Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis Name: Address:	may be obtained by contacting:			
	phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail				
⊠ No:	Please explain:				
	sion is not an agency to which RCW 34.0 RCW 34.05.328(5).	05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significant legislative	e rules of the sort		