Docket No. TR-220540

City of Wenatchee v. Burlington Northern Sante Fe Railway

October 25, 2022



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 1	Page
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 25, 2022
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2 11:08 A.M.
CITY OF WENATCHEE,) DOCKET TR-220540	3000
) Petitioner,)	4 PROCEEDINGS
	5
vs.)	6 JUDGE PEARSON: Let's go ahead and be on the
BURLINGTON NORTHERN SANTE)	7 record. This is Docket TR-220540, which is a petition
FE RAILWAY,)	8 filed by the City of Wenatchee to modify warning devices
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9 at a highway railroad grade crossing at 9th Street.
Respondent.)	10 Today is Tuesday, October 25th, 2022, at
VIRTUAL PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I	11 11:00 a.m. It's actually 11:08 a.m. We're here for a
Pages 1-14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON	12 prehearing conference to discuss scheduling and other
	13 procedural issues.
October 25, 2022	14 My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
11:08 a.m.	 administrative law judge presiding over this case. So let's begin by taking short appearances.
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	Just please state your name and who you represent, and
621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast Lacey, Washington 98503	we'll start with the City of Wenatchee.
Lacey, washington 90003	19 MR. SMITH: Steve Smith. I'm the City
REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358	20 attorney for Wenatchee.
REPORTED BT. TATLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3330	21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840	22 And for BNSF?
Seattle, Washington 98101	MR. EMCH: Your Honor, Chris Emch, from
(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia	24 Foster Garvey, on behalf of Respondent BNSF Railway
(800) 846-6989 National www.buellrealtime.com	25 Company.
Page 2	Page
APPEARANCES	
	1 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON	2 And for Staff?
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON 4	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON 4 5 FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson 4 My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON 4 5	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson 4 My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent 5 Commission Staff.
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON 4 5 5 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: 5 5 JEFF ROBERSON 7 Office of the Attorney General	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson 4 My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 RAYNE PEARSON 4 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: 5 JEFF ROBERSON	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson 4 My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. 7 Is there any other party present who's
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128	2 And for Staff? 3 MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson 4 My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent 5 Commission Staff. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. 7 Is there any other party present who's 8 seeking to intervene?
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE:	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case?
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case?
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 4617 Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful?
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington 98801	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com FOR BNSF: CHRISTOPHER G. EMCH	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as we understand it, is the application of Washington
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com FOR BNSF: CHRISTOPHER G. EMCH Foster Garvey PC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as we understand it, is the application of Washington statute RCW 81.53.295 regarding costs and particularly
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com FOR BNSF: CHRISTOPHER G. EMCH Foster Garvey PC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 447-4400 chris.emch@foster.com	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as we understand it, is the application of Washington statute RCW 81.53.295 regarding costs and particularly the statutory allocation of a hundred percent of the maintenance cost to the Railroad. And, Your Honor, we also understand from a
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 41 617 Washington Street Wenatchee, Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com FOR BNSF: CHRISTOPHER G. EMCH Foster Garvey PC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 447-4400	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as we understand it, is the application of Washington statute RCW 81.53.295 regarding costs and particularly the statutory allocation of a hundred percent of the maintenance cost to the Railroad. And, Your Honor, we also understand from a recent decision by the UTC in the City of Spokane Valley
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: RAYNE PEARSON FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JEFF ROBERSON Office of the Attorney General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1188 jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov FOR THE CITY OF WENATCHEE: STEVE SMITH Davis, Arneil Law Firm, LLP 617 Washington 98801 (509) 662-3551 steve@dadkp.com FOR BNSF: CHRISTOPHER G. EMCH Foster Garvey PC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 447-4400 chris.emch@foster.com	And for Staff? MR. ROBERSON: Good morning, Judge Pearson My name is Jeff Roberson, and I'm an AAG. I represent Commission Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Is there any other party present who's seeking to intervene? Okay. Hearing nothing, let's move on to procedural matters. Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case? MR. EMCH: Yes, Your Honor. Perhaps I could address a preliminary issue if that might be helpful? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MR. EMCH: So, Your Honor, we filed a notice of appearance yesterday and we're relatively new to the matter, Your Honor. But a core issue in this case, as we understand it, is the application of Washington statute RCW 81.53.295 regarding costs and particularly the statutory allocation of a hundred percent of the maintenance cost to the Railroad. And, Your Honor, we also understand from a

Page 5

declare or rule in the constitutionality of that statute and that only a court has the power to determine the constitutionality of the law administered by the administrative body.

2.5

And so in this case, Your Honor, BNSF believes and strongly maintains that that RCW is unconstitutional. And so we're -- we're in the process and will be filing a federal court complaint in the Eastern District of Washington to address that legal issue and the constitutionality of that statute.

And so, Your Honor, what I would propose is as a preliminary matter is we continue the prehearing conference for 30 days. BNSF would file that complaint. We will work cooperatively with counsel to set an expeditious schedule to get a ruling from the federal court on that legal issue first and then -- and then reconvene the status conference depending on the outcome of that proceeding, which could provide a little clarity for how this matter may or may not proceed, go forward.

And so I apologize for introducing this now, but like I said, we've just -- just appeared yesterday. We're relatively new to the matter. We're working as fast as we can to get that complaint on file, and I just wanted to put that on the table at the outset of the conference for -- for consideration.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And I agree. We're going to go ahead and proceed with this case on a parallel track.

Page 7

Page 8

So with that in mind, I guess maybe we should take a break so the parties can discuss the schedule because, of course, you can design the schedule in any way that you want to allow additional time or a little additional time or reach some sort of compromise around time.

So would that work for the parties? I assume you haven't had an opportunity to discuss the schedule based on what's being presented right now.

MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Roberson had actually sent out kind of a proposed schedule which the City was agreeable with. I don't think we heard from Burlington Northern, however, so I'm not sure what their position is.

MR. EMCH: Your Honor, yes, thank you. So yeah, Mr. Roberson did -- did forward the proposed schedule yesterday afternoon after we filed the notice of appearance. And I think ballpark if the -- if the matter is going to go forward, we're, you know, happy to work with counsel on -- on a schedule similar to what was proposed. I would probably build in an extra 30 days just before the submission of the initial direct

Page 6

JUDGE PEARSON: All right. I will give the City and Staff a chance to respond.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Steve Smith on behalf of the City of Wenatchee. The City's concern I think would be the delay to this proceeding and to its project. I understand Burlington Northern's concern and desire to have this resolved in the federal court, which makes sense to us. But the concern I think the City would have is that could take quite a while because there would be an initial trial court ruling and then potential appeals after that, which would put our project out for quite some time. It could potentially be a year or two depending on the result of appeals.

And so I think our interest would be to continue this proceeding at the same time so that we could progress towards getting our project started while the federal court action was pending.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Roberson?

MR. ROBERSON: I think Staff is interested in seeing this crossing made safer, and so Staff is also of the opinion that it's probably best to work and try both proceedings absent some kind of order arresting these proceedings from the federal court.

testimony.

So I -- I -- we're in the process of sort of evaluating how that schedule would work on our end. But I think it -- it is probably generally okay as long as we can kick out things an additional month than what was proposed by Mr. Roberson.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So it sounds like we should take a break so the parties can discuss.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, this is Steve Smith again. I think that would be fine. Sounds to me like we could work out an agreed schedule. So yeah, I think we'd probably be better spending our time talking between ourselves and working that out.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds --

MR. EMCH: Your Honor, I might make one suggestion. I know as part of that schedule, I know one of the topics for potential discussion today is the -- regarding the pleadings. We would be happy to file -- if it would be helpful to the parties and clarify any issues, happy to file a more formal response or answer to the City of Wenatchee's petition. I know that BNSF did an initial response coming -- internally for the business folks. But if that would be helpful to the parties and -- to just clarify the issues, if this hearing's going to go forward, we're happy to file,

Page 9

prepare and file a more formal response or answer to the City's petition on the front end.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Well, I'll allow the parties to discuss that too while we're on break.

MR. EMCH: Thank you.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So let's go ahead, and for the court reporter's purposes, let's be in recess

(A break was taken from 11:16 a.m. to 11:35 a.m.)

JUDGE PEARSON: Let's be back on the record following a brief recess. And I understand that the parties have had some time to discuss a procedural schedule.

And, Mr. Roberson, did you want to read that into the record?

MR. ROBERSON: Certainly, I can.

cross-estimates, and witness lists would be due

BNSF will file its answer to the City's petition on November 15th, 2022; the City will provide its direct testimony January 20th, 2023; BNSF and Staff would submit response testimony on March 3rd, 2023; the parties would submit rebuttal and cross-answering testimony on March 31st, 2023; the discovery cutoff would be April 21st, 2023; cross-exhibits,

Page 11

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. We can set it for 9:00 a.m. That's fine.

And then 6:00 p.m. for the public comment hearing?

MR. ROBERSON: It is traditional.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. All right. Is there anything else that we need to address today?

MR. ROBERSON: Not from Staff.

MR. SMITH: Not from the City of Wenatchee.

MR. EMCH: Your Honor, just one clarifying question. And thank you. I understand that this case schedule -- with this case schedule that the UTC proceeding would be moving forward. There's also an issue of potentially saying -- just the issue regarding the constitutionality of that statute. Is that something that you would be willing to contemplate depending on the outcome of the federal proceedings? In other words, moving forward on some of the factual issues regarding the physical structure, but say the constitutionality question regarding the application of that statute and the maintenance costs?

JUDGE PEARSON: I don't think I understand the question. Are you asking me if we would set that question aside while we were waiting for an answer on it?

Page 10

May 15th, 2023; the hearing and the public comment hearings would be on May 22nd, 2023; simultaneous post hearing briefs would be due June 23rd, 2023.

And then the parties ask that the Commission just set a placeholder date for the settlement conference and allow the parties to select a date and notify the Commission by email.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So just to confirm, you said cross-examination, exhibits, and time estimates and presentation of witnesses would be May 15th?

MR. ROBERSON: Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I think I got all of those other dates. Okay. So both of the evidentiary and public comment hearings will be conducted virtually via Zoom, and we can use the same link for the evidentiary hearing and the public comment hearing. Were the parties wanting to set the public comment hearing for the evening of May 22nd, then?

MR. ROBERSON: Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And what about the start time for the evidentiary hearing?

MR. ROBERSON: It does sound as if the parties anticipate something of a longer hearing, so it

may be better to set it for 9:00 or 9:30.

Page 12

MR. EMCH: Yes. The legal issue regarding the constitutionality of that statute, if we could set that issue aside or say any ruling determination on that legal issue. I'm just throwing that out there as a possibility. And my clarifying question is whether you anticipate going forward on all issues in this matter regardless of the existence of the federal proceedings?

JUDGE PEARSON: That is usually how we operate, yes. We operate as though the statutes they give us our authority are, in fact, constitutional barring some other decision from another body. So yes, we would proceed as if as though we always have.

MR. EMCH: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate that clarification.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything else?
Okay. Hearing nothing, I will issue a
prehearing conference order reflecting the schedule. I
guess -- oh, I do need to check back with the parties.
I assume that you all do want the discovery rules
available? We didn't quite reach that question
initially.

MR. EMCH: Yes from BNSF, Your Honor.
JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I assume that I'm
not hearing anyone else, that no party has an objection
to that?

	Docket No. 11(-22	
	Page 13	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. SMITH: Yeah, we don't object to it, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. ROBERSON: Nor does Staff. JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. All right. Well, if there's nothing further, then we are adjourned. Thank you all. (Adjourned at 11:41 a.m.)	
	Dago 14	
	Page 14	
1 2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON	
6 7 8 9	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
11 12 13 14 15	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
16 17 18 19		
20 21 22 23		
24 25		

	1	•	i	1 ago 10
A	available 4:11	9:19 11:9	10:9	existence 12:7
a.m 1:16 3:2,11,11	12:20	City's 6:5 9:2,18	cross-exhibits 9:24	expeditious 5:15
9:10,10 11:2 13:8	Avenue 1:22 2:19	clarification 12:14	crossing 3:9 6:22	extra 7:24
AAG 4:4		clarify 8:19,24	cutoff 9:23	
ability 14:9	B	clarifying 11:10		F
absent 6:24	back 9:11 12:18	12:5	D	F 14:1
accurate 14:9	ballpark 7:21	clarity 5:18	D 3:4	fact 12:10
action 6:18	barring 12:11	coming 8:22	date 10:5,6	factual 11:18
additional 7:7,8 8:5	based 7:12	comment 10:1,15	dates 10:14	fast 5:23
address 4:13 5:9	behalf 3:24 6:4	10:17,18 11:3	Davis 2:13	FE 1:7
11:7	believes 5:6	Commission 1:2,17	days 5:13 7:25	federal 5:8,15 6:8
adjourned 13:7,8	best 6:23 14:9	2:5 4:5,24 10:4,7	decision 4:23 12:11	6:18,25 11:17
administered 5:3	better 8:12 10:25	Company 3:25	declare 5:1	12:7
	BNSF 2:17 3:22,24	complaint 5:8,13	delay 6:5	file 5:13,23 8:18,20
administrative	5:5,13 8:21 9:18	5:23	depending 5:17	8:25 9:1,18
1:13 2:2 3:15 5:4	9:20 12:22	compromise 7:8	6:13 11:17	filed 3:8 4:15 7:20
afternoon 7:20	body 5:4 12:11	concern 6:5,7,9	design 7:6	filing 5:8
agree 7:2	Box 2:8	concluded 4:24	desire 6:7	fine 8:10 11:2
agreeable 7:15	break 7:5 8:8 9:4,9	conducted 10:15	determination 12:3	Firm 2:13
agreed 8:11	brief 9:12	conference 1:11	determine 5:2	first 5:16
ahead 3:6 7:2 9:6	briefs 10:3	3:12 5:13,17,25	devices 3:8	folks 8:23
allocation 4:20	Buell 1:21	10:6 12:17	direct 7:25 9:20	following 9:12
allow 7:7 9:3 10:6	build 7:24	confirm 10:9	discovery 4:10 9:23	foregoing 14:8
answer 8:20 9:1,18 11:24	Burlington 1:7 6:6	consideration 5:25	12:19	formal 8:20 9:1
	7:16	constitutional	discuss 3:12 7:5,11	forward 5:19 7:19
anticipate 10:24 12:6	business 8:23	12:10	8:8 9:4,13	7:22 8:25 11:13
		constitutionality	discussion 8:17	11:18 12:6
apologize 5:20	C	5:1,3,10 11:15,20	District 5:9	Foster 2:19 3:24
appeals 6:11,14	C 2:1 3:4 14:1,1	12:2	Division 2:8	Fourth 1:22
appearance 4:16	case 3:15 4:11,17	contemplate 11:16	Docket 1:4 3:7	front 9:2
7:21	4:24 5:5 7:3	continue 5:12 6:16	due 9:25 10:3	further 13:7
appearances 3:16	11:11,12	cooperatively 5:14		
appeared 5:21	CCR 1:20 14:15	core 4:17	E	G
application 4:18	Certainly 9:17	cost 4:21	E 2:1,1 3:4,4 14:1,1	G 2:18 3:4
11:20	Certified 14:6	costs 4:19 11:21	Eastern 5:9	Garlinghouse 1:20
appreciate 12:14	certify 14:8	counsel 5:14 7:23	email 10:7	14:6,15
April 9:24	chance 6:2	COUNTY 14:4	Emch 2:18 3:23,23	Garvey 2:19 3:24
Arneil 2:13	check 12:18	course 7:6	4:12,15 7:18 8:15	General 2:7
arresting 6:24	Chris 3:23	court 4:25 5:2,8,16	9:5 11:10 12:1,13	generally 8:4
aside 11:24 12:3	chris.emch@fost	6:8,11,18,25 9:7	12:22	getting 6:17
asking 11:23	2:21	cross-answering	estimates 10:10	give 6:1 12:10
assume 7:11 12:19	CHRISTOPHER	9:22	evaluating 8:3	go 3:6 5:19 7:2,22
12:23	2:18	cross-estimates	evening 10:19	8:25 9:6
attorney 2:7 3:20	City 1:4 2:12 3:8	9:25	evidentiary 10:14	going 7:2,22 8:25
authority 4:25	3:18,19 4:23 6:2,4	cross-examination	10:17,22	12:6
12:10	6:9 7:15 8:21	CLODD CAMIIIIMUUII	exhibits 10:9	Good 4:3
			<u> </u>	

				1 age 10
grade 3:9	10:21 11:1,6,22	National 1:24	3:6,14,21 4:1,3,6	proposed 7:14,19
guess 7:4 12:18	12:8,15,23 13:3,5	need 11:7 12:18	4:14 6:1,19 7:1	7:24 8:6
Sucss 7.1 12.10	June 10:3	new 4:16 5:22	8:7,14 9:3,6,11	provide 5:18 9:19
H		Northern 1:7 7:16	10:8,13,21 11:1,6	public 10:1,15,17
happy 7:22 8:18,20	K	Northern's 6:7	11:22 12:8,15,23	10:18 11:3
8:25	kick 8:5	notice 4:15 7:20	13:3,5	purposes 9:7
heard 7:15	kind 6:24 7:14	notify 10:7	pending 6:18	put 5:24 6:12
hearing 4:9 10:1,3	know 7:22 8:16,16	November 9:19	percent 4:20	Put 3:2 1 0:12
10:17,17,19,22,24	8:21		petition 3:7 8:21	Q
11:4 12:16,24	knowledge 14:9	0	9:2,19	question 11:11,20
hearing's 8:25		O 3:4	Petitioner 1:5	11:23,24 12:5,20
hearings 10:2,15	L	o0o 3:3	physical 11:19	quite 6:10,12 12:20
held 4:25	Lacey 1:18 3:1	object 13:1	placeholder 10:5	
helpful 4:13 8:19	lacks 4:25	objection 12:24	pleadings 8:18	R
8:23	law 1:13 2:2,13	October 1:15 3:1	please 3:17	R 2:1 3:4 14:1
highway 3:9	3:15 5:3	3:10	position 7:17	railroad 3:9 4:21
Honor 3:23 4:12,15	legal 5:9,16 12:1,4	Office 2:7	possibility 12:5	Railway 1:7 3:24
4:17,22 5:5,11 6:3	let's 3:6,16 4:9 9:6	oh 12:18	post 10:2	Rayne 1:13 2:3
7:13,18 8:9,15	9:7,11	okay 3:21 4:9 6:19	potential 6:11 8:17	3:14
11:10 12:22 13:2	link 10:16	7:1 8:4,7,14 9:3,6	potentially 6:13	RCW 4:19 5:6
hundred 4:20	lists 9:25	10:8,13,14,21	11:14	reach 7:8 12:20
	little 5:18 7:8	11:1,6 12:13,15	power 5:2	read 9:15
<u>I</u>	LLC 1:21	12:16,23 13:3	prehearing 1:11	Realtime 1:21
initial 6:10 7:25	LLP 2:13	Olympia 1:23 2:9	3:12 5:12 12:17	rebuttal 9:22
8:22	long 8:4	operate 12:9,9	preliminary 4:13	recess 9:8,12
initially 12:21	longer 10:24	opinion 6:23	5:12	reconvene 5:17
interest 6:15	Loop 1:18	opportunity 7:11	prepare 9:1	record 3:7 9:11,16
interested 6:21	M	order 6:24 12:17	present 4:7	reflecting 12:17
internally 8:22	maintains 5:6	outcome 5:17 11:17	presentation 10:10	regarding 4:19
intervene 4:8	maintenance 4:21	outset 5:24	presented 7:12	8:18 11:14,19,20
introducing 5:20 issue 4:13,17 5:10	11:21	P	presiding 3:15	12:1
,	March 9:21,23		probably 6:23 7:24	regardless 12:7 relatively 4:16 5:22
5:16 11:14,14	matter 4:17 5:12,19	P 2:1,1 3:4	8:4,12	REPORTED 1:20
12:1,3,4,16 issues 3:13 8:20,24	5:22 7:22 12:6	p.m 11:3 P.O 2:8	procedural 3:13	
11:19 12:6	matters 4:10	Pages 1:12	4:10 9:13	Reporter 14:7 reporter's 9:7
11.19 12.0	mind 7:4	parallel 7:3	proceed 5:19 7:2	Reporting 1:21
J	modify 3:8	parallel 7:3 part 8:16	12:12	represent 3:17 4:4
January 9:20	month 8:5	part 6.10 particularly 4:19	proceeding 5:18	resolved 6:7
Jeff 2:6 4:4	morning 4:3	parties 4:10 7:5,10	6:6,16 11:13	respond 6:2
jeff.roberson@ut	move 4:9	8:8,19,24 9:4,13	proceedings 6:24	Respondent 1:9
2:10	moving 11:13,18	9:22 10:4,6,18,24	6:25 11:17 12:7	3:24
judge 1:13 2:2 3:6		12:18	process 5:7 8:2	response 8:20,22
3:15,21 4:1,3,6,14	N	party 4:7 12:24	progress 6:17	9:1,21
6:1,19 7:1 8:7,14	N 2:1 3:4	PC 2:19	project 6:6,12,17	result 6:14
9:3,6,11 10:8,13	name 3:14,17 4:4	Pearson 1:13 2:3	propose 5:11	right 6:1 7:12 11:6

				1 age 17
13:6	Staff 2:5 4:2,5 6:2	7:9 8:12 9:13	we'll 3:18	9:19
Roberson 2:6 4:3,4	6:21,22 9:20 11:8	10:10,22	we're 3:11 4:16 5:7	2023 9:20,21,23,24
6:20,21 7:13,19	13:4	today 3:10 8:17	5:7,22,22 7:2,22	10:1,2,3
8:6 9:15,17 10:12	start 3:18 10:22	11:7	8:2,25 9:4	206 1:23 2:20
10:20,23 11:5,8	started 6:17	topics 8:17	we've 5:21	20th 9:20
13:4	state 3:17 14:3,7	TR-220540 1:4 3:7	Wenatchee 1:4	21st 9:24
rule 5:1	stated 4:24	track 7:3	2:12,14 3:8,18,20	22nd 10:2,19
rules 4:11 12:19	status 5:17	traditional 11:5	6:4 11:9	23rd 10:3
ruling 5:15 6:11	statute 4:19 5:1,10	transcript 14:8	Wenatchee's 8:21	25 1:15 3:1
12:3	11:15,21 12:2	Transportation 1:2	willing 11:16	25th 3:10
	statutes 12:9	1:17 2:7	witness 9:25	287-9066 1:23
S	statutory 4:20	trial 6:10	witnesses 10:10	
S 2:1 3:4	Steve 2:13 3:19 6:4	true 14:8	Woodland 1:18	3
safer 6:22	8:9	try 6:23	words 11:18	30 5:13 7:24
SANTE 1:7	steve@dadkp.com	Tuesday 3:10	work 5:14 6:23	3000 2:19
saying 11:14	2:15	two 6:13	7:10,23 8:3,11	31st 9:23
schedule 5:15 7:6,6	Street 2:14 3:9		working 5:22 8:13	3358 1:20 14:15
7:12,14,20,23 8:3	strongly 5:6	U	www.buellrealti	360 1:23 2:9
8:11,16 9:14	structure 11:19	unconstitutional	1:25	3rd 9:21
11:12,12 12:17	submission 7:25	5:7		
scheduling 3:12	submit 9:21,22	understand 4:18,22	X	4
Seattle 1:22,23	suggestion 8:16	6:6 9:12 11:11,22		40128 2:8
2:20	Suite 1:22 2:19	use 10:16	<u>Y</u>	447-4400 2:20
seeing 6:22	sure 4:14 7:16	usually 12:8	yeah 7:19 8:11 13:1	5
seeking 4:8		UTC 4:23 11:12	year 6:13	
select 10:6	T	Utilities 1:2,17 2:7	yesterday 4:16 5:21	509 2:15
sense 6:8	T 14:1,1	T 7	7:20	534-9066 1:23
sent 7:14	table 5:24	V	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	6
set 5:14 10:5,18,25	take 6:9 7:5 8:8	Valley 4:23	Zoom 10:16	6:00 11:3
11:1,23 12:2	taken 9:9	VIRTUAL 1:11	Z00III 10.10	617 2:14
settlement 10:5	talking 8:12	virtually 10:15	0	621 1:18
short 3:16	Tayler 1:20 14:6,15	VOLUME 1:11		662-3551 2:15
Shorthand 14:6	testimony 8:1 9:20	vs 1:6	1	664-1188 2:9
similar 7:23	9:21,23	\mathbf{W}	1-14 1:12	
simultaneous 10:2	thank 3:21 4:1,6	waiting 11:24	11:00 3:11	7
skill 14:9	6:3,19 7:1,18 9:5	want 4:10 7:7 9:15	11:08 1:16 3:2,11	
Smith 2:13 3:19,19	10:8 11:11 12:13	12:19	11:16 9:10	8
6:3,4 7:13 8:9,9	13:5,7	wanted 5:24	11:35 9:10	800 1:24
11:9 13:1	things 8:5	wanting 10:18	11:41 13:8	81.53.295 4:19
sort 7:8 8:2	think 6:5,9,15,21	warning 3:8	1111 2:19	846-6989 1:24
sound 10:23	7:15,21 8:4,10,11	Washington 1:1,17	1325 1:22	9
sounds 8:7,10,14	10:13 11:22	1:18,22 2:9,14,14	15th 9:19 10:1,11	
Southeast 1:18	Third 2:19	2:20 3:1 4:18 5:9	1840 1:22	9:00 10:25 11:2
spending 8:12	throwing 12:4	14:3,7		9:30 10:25
Spokane 4:23	THURSTON 14:4	way 7:7	2	98101 1:22 2:20
Square 1:18	time 6:12,16 7:7,8	, , a j , , ,	2022 1:15 3:1,10	98503 1:18
L	•	•	1	•

98504 2:9 98801 2:14 9th 3:9			rage 10
	98801 2:14		