

REDACTED

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**Docket No. UE-070725****Amended Petition of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. For an Order Authorizing
the Use of the Proceeds from the Sale of RECs and CFIs****PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 071****PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 071:**

Reference page 19 of Mr. De Boer's rebuttal testimony. Is Mr. De Boer suggesting that the CPUC considered the value of the California Receivable settlement in reaching its findings that the prices paid by SCE and PG&E to PSE for bundled RECs were reasonable and competitive with offers made by other suppliers in response to competitive solicitations by these utilities? If so, please identify the specific passages of the CPUC orders or any other evidence that supports this opinion.

Response:

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") objects to Public Counsel Data Request No. 070 to the extent that it misstates the referenced testimony. Without waiving such objection, and subject thereto, PSE responds as follows:

[REDACTED]

PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 071 is CONFIDENTIAL per Protective Order in WUTC Docket No. UE-070725.

PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 071

Date of Response: March 1, 2010

Person who Prepared the Response: Tom DeBoer

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Tom DeBoer

Page 1

[REDACTED]

PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 071 is CONFIDENTIAL per
Protective Order in WUTC Docket No. UE-070725.

PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 071
Date of Response: March 1, 2010
Person who Prepared the Response: Tom DeBoer
Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Tom DeBoer

Page 2