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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lacey, Washington; Thursday, January 18, 2024 | 1 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. |
| 2 | 9:00 a.m. | 2 | Do we have a representative for Walmart with |
| 3 | -000- | 3 | us today? |
| 4 |  | 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be on the record. | 5 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 6 | Good morning. We are here today for an | 6 | Hearing none, could we have an appearance for |
| 7 | evidentiary hearing in Dockets UE-220066 and UG-220067 | 7 | the Joint Environmental Advocates, Sierra Club, NWEC |
| 8 | and UG-210918. | 8 | and Front and Centered. |
| 9 | These consolidated cases are captioned WUTC | 9 | MR. HASSELMAN: Good morning. |
| 10 | versus Puget Sound Energy and In the Matter of the | 10 | Jan Hasselman with Earthjustice for Joint |
| 11 | Petition of Puget Sound Energy for an Order Authorizing | 11 | Environmental Advocates. |
| 12 | Deferred Accounting Treatment. | 12 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. |
| 13 | In these documents, the Commission ruled on | 13 | Do we have an appearance for Coalition of East |
| 14 | PSE's most recent general rate case filing. We are | 14 | Side Neighborhoods for Sensible Energy? |
| 15 | here today following PSE's petition to amend the final | 15 | (No response.) |
| 16 | order requesting to expand the company's credit and | 16 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 17 | collections practices. | 17 | Hearing no appearance, I will note that Nuccor |
| 18 | My name is Michael Howard. I'm an | 18 | Steel, federal executive agencies, and the Puyallup |
| 19 | administrative law judge with the Commission, and l'm | 19 | Tribe asked to be excused from attending today, and I |
| 20 | co-presiding in this matter along with Administrative | 20 | granted those requests. |
| 21 | Law Judge Bijan Hughes and the commissioners, who are | 21 | Have I overlooked any party that is appearing |
| 22 | joining us presently. | 22 | today? |
| 23 | Let's start by taking appearances beginning | 23 | (No response.) |
| 24 | with the Company. | 24 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 25 | MS. BARNETT: Good morning. | 25 | Hearing none, let's talk about our plans for |
|  | Page 279 |  | Page 281 |
| 1 | JUDGE HOWARD: Could we have some | 1 | the hearing today. |
| 2 | assistance for the bench microphone. | 2 | First, we will turn to the admission of |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: The microphones may | 3 | pre-filed exhibits and testimony. We'll then turn to |
| 4 | not be working right now. | 4 | the cross-examination of witnesses following the |
| 5 | MS. BARNETT: Can you hear me? | 5 | parties' agreed order of presentation, and we'll be |
| 6 | Good morning. Donna Barnett for -- with | 6 | taking all the witnesses individually. |
| 7 | Perkins Coie representing Puget Sound Energy. | 7 | We will then end with closing arguments |
| 8 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. | 8 | limited to 20 minutes each. |
| 9 | Can we have an appearance for staff? | 9 | We will likely take a midmorning break and a |
| 10 | MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. | 10 | lunch break if needed. I just want to remind the |
| 11 | Jeff Roberson at AAG, representing staff. | 11 | parties again to keep their microphones muted unless |
| 12 | JUDGE HOWARD: And public counsel? | 12 | they are speaking, and also, to only use video for |
| 13 | MS. GAFKEN: Good morning. Lisa Gafken, | 13 | those portions of the hearing when they have a speaking |
| 14 | Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf of | 14 | role. |
| 15 | public counsel. | 15 | If you are having any technical issues or you |
| 16 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. | 16 | observe that a party representative who is joining us |
| 17 | And Alliance of Western Energy Consumers or | 17 | online today has dropped off the online meeting, please |
| 18 | AWEC? | 18 | mention that in the chat, which should be reserved for |
| 19 | MS. MOSER: Good morning. Sommer Moser on | 19 | technical issues and requests for breaks only. |
| 20 | behalf of AWEC. | 20 | Are there any questions about logistics or any |
| 21 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. | 21 | other aspects before we turn to the admission of |
| 22 | The Energy Project? | 22 | exhibits? |
| 23 | MR. ZAKAI: Good morning. Yochanan Zakai | 23 | (No response.) |
| 24 | with Shute, Mihaly \& Weinberger on behalf of The Energy | 24 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 25 | Project. | 25 | Hearing no questions, let's address the |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | admission of exhibits. Of course, we already have the | 1 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 2 | record in the general -- in the underlying general rate | 2 | Any time, let's say, in the next four business |
| 3 | case in the same consolidated dockets, and the rulings | 3 | days -- |
| 4 | on that are made on the record, and those -- that | 4 | MS. BARNETT: Okay. |
| 5 | evidence is already admitted. | 5 | JUDGE HOWARD: -- is suitable. |
| 6 | Before our hearing today, I circulated an | 6 | MS. BARNETT: Thanks. |
| 7 | exhibit list to the parties that included PSE's | 7 | JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah. |
| 8 | petition to amend the final order filed on August 10th | 8 | MS. BARNETT: And we also have a -- I do |
| 9 | and testimony -- pre-filed testimony and cross-exhibits | 9 | recognize, yes, there was a lot of last- -- late |
| 10 | filed since then regarding the petition. And l've | 10 | discovery going on in the last week. So several of |
| 11 | since added the responses to the petition. | 11 | those echo staff's comments about they have not been |
| 12 | Are there -- and I will turn to each of the | 12 | vetted. |
| 13 | parties and hear a -- will stipulate to the admission | 13 | So -- but one of them -- let's see -- oh, and |
| 14 | of the pre-filed exhibits or whether they have any | 14 | we do have an objection to The Energy Project's |
| 15 | objections. | 15 | cross-exam exhibit JHJ-6X, and this is a staff response |
| 16 | I turn first to the Company. | 16 | to The Energy Project's data request number 1. And |
| 17 | MS. BARNETT: We have no objection with | 17 | it's unclear, so l'm not quite sure if we need to |
| 18 | the exception of -- we're doing cross-exam exhibits | 18 | object at this point. I think it's going to be -- |
| 19 | too; right? I take it this is all exhibits? | 19 | maybe we can reserve it on how it's going to be used, |
| 20 | JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. | 20 | but it definitely appears to be friendly cross, which |
| 21 | MS. BARNETT: Okay. | 21 | is inappropriate. There is no -- it doesn't seem |
| 22 | The cross-exam exhibit, I just would just like | 22 | adverse to TEP, and the response does not seem adverse |
| 23 | to make sure it's supplemented. It's CLW-39X presented | 23 | to TEP, so that would be the objection as to friendly |
| 24 | by staff. | 24 | cross. |
| 25 | This is also a -- l'll note, I believe, an | 25 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. |
|  | Page 283 |  | Page 285 |
| 1 | exhibit -- a pre-filed response exhibit from public | 1 | I have noted the Company's objection. I have |
| 2 | counsel also, but it was a response to Staff Data | 2 | also noted staff's objection to the weight of the |
| 3 | Request Number 3013. And after the cross-exam exhibit | 3 | evidence for its own exhibit. |
| 4 | was submitted, PSE supplemented that, so for | 4 | And also, going back to the exhibit we were |
| 5 | completeness, we just ask that it be -- a supplement be | 5 | discussing, CLW-39X, I would like to walk back my plan |
| 6 | included as well with the cross-exam exhibit. | 6 | for the Company filing within four business days. Do |
| 7 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 7 | you have a hard copy today? |
| 8 | Turning to staff? | 8 | MS. BARNETT: We can have a hard copy |
| 9 | MR. ROBERSON: Staff has no objection to | 9 | today. I think we just need to take a break to make |
| 10 | allowing PSE to supplement that exhibit. | 10 | copies. |
| 11 | I do ask the Commission to note that the | 11 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. |
| 12 | supplement occurred after the close of discovery and | 12 | A hard copy or a courtesy service email |
| 13 | after cross-exhibits had been submitted, which means no | 13 | directly to me this morning. |
| 14 | party has vetted a cross exhibit, and I ask you to | 14 | MS. BARNETT: Got it. |
| 15 | accord it the weight it deserves. | 15 | Sorry. The paralegal is on top of it. |
| 16 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. | 16 | JUDGE HOWARD: Would you like to hand it |
| 17 | And our bench microphones do have a very short | 17 | up? |
| 18 | range, so I encourage you to lean forward slightly. | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | Would the Company then be submitting the | 19 | And has Mr. Roberson been provided with this? |
| 20 | supplemental filing to the Commission? | 20 | MR. ROBERSON: Staff has a copy, yes. |
| 21 | MS. BARNETT: Yes. We can do that. We | 21 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Is it possible for |
| 22 | have them electronically. We can either do that today | 22 | the commissioners to get copies in case there are |
| 23 | or we can make a hard copy too, however you prefer. | 23 | questions on cross? |
| 24 | But, yeah, we can file it and provide it however you | 24 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I have reviewed |
| 25 | like. | 25 | the PSE's supplemental update to the staff cross |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | exhibit, and I will deem this admitted into the record | 1 | slipped my mind. We can actually withdraw the cross |
| 2 | unless I hear a compelling objection from another | 2 | exhibits that we identified. They were late discovery |
| 3 | party. | 3 | in the process. On time, but late; right, as the |
| 4 | MR. ZAKAI: Your Honor? | 4 | process goes. |
| 5 | JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. | 5 | We did have responses come in, but frankly, |
| 6 | MR. ZAKAI: This is Yochanan Zakai with | 6 | they're just -- we got too crunched for time, so we |
| 7 | The Energy Project. I would just ask to ensure that | 7 | have not moved to supplement those placeholder exhibits |
| 8 | the original response is still included in that exhibit | 8 | with the full responses. |
| 9 | so that both the original response and the supplement | 9 | And I guess this might also be a good time to |
| 10 | are available. I'm not able to see exactly the format, | 10 | note that I'm planning on waiving the cross that I had |
| 11 | but as long as the original response is there in | 11 | identified for Witness Wallace. So we can withdraw the |
| 12 | addition to the supplement, no objection. | 12 | cross exhibit. |
| 13 | JUDGE HOWARD: That is noted, and the -- | 13 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you for |
| 14 | we will update the exhibit list to reflect that unless | 14 | clarifying. |
| 15 | there's objection from either the Company or staff. | 15 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, |
| 16 | MS. BARNETT: No, that's fine. | 16 | public counsel. |
| 17 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 17 | Then I'm noting that public counsel is not |
| 18 | Let's turn to public counsel. | 18 | moving CLW-42X into evidence. |
| 19 | MS. GAFKEN: Public counsel has no | 19 | So with that, I am admitting all of the |
| 20 | objections to materials being entered in the record. | 20 | pre-filed exhibits and testimony from the petition |
| 21 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. | 21 | filed on August 10th forward to the cross exhibits with |
| 22 | AWEC? | 22 | the exceptions of CLW-41X and CLW-42X, and we are |
| 23 | MS. MOSER: Thank you. | 23 | admitting both the original version of CLW-39X and the |
| 24 | AWEC has no objection. | 24 | supplement provided today. |
| 25 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 25 | MS. BARNETT: I'm sorry, Your Honor, did |
|  | Page 287 |  | Page 289 |
| 1 | The Energy Project? | 1 | that include JHJ-6X? Did you rule on that objection? |
| 2 | MR. ZAKAI: The Energy Project has no | 2 | Again, we can -- we can -- |
| 3 | objections, although I would note that we do not at | 3 | JUDGE HOWARD: No. Thank you for bringing |
| 4 | this time plan to offer the exhibit identified as | 4 | that up again. |
| 5 | CLW-41X. So that can be removed. | 5 | What was The Energy Project's response on |
| 6 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. | 6 | JHJ-6X? |
| 7 | That is noted. | 7 | MR. ZAKAI: Would you like to handle |
| 8 | All right. Could I turn to the Joint | 8 | that -- I guess I would request that we handle that as |
| 9 | Environmental Advocates. | 9 | the witness is being examined. And I can move after -- |
| 10 | MR. HASSELMAN: No objections. | 10 | after we lay the foundation and hear -- and hear some |
| 11 | Thank you. | 11 | of the witness's testimony on that. |
| 12 | JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. | 12 | JUDGE HOWARD: That sounds appropriate. |
| 13 | All right. So that concludes our survey of | 13 | So we're going to reserve a ruling on $\mathrm{JHJ}-6 \mathrm{X}$. |
| 14 | the parties appearing today. | 14 | And TEP or The Energy Project can move that |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Your Honor? Sorry | 15 | into evidence when it comes up today. |
| 16 | to interrupt. In my version of the cross exhibits, | 16 | With that, let's turn -- we are foregoing |
| 17 | what is marked, I believe, as CLW-42X from public | 17 | opening statements today, and we are going to be doing |
| 18 | counsel, this may be one of those late discovery. | 18 | closing arguments. So that would mean that we're |
| 19 | There is no PSE response. There's just the | 19 | turning to our examination of witnesses. |
| 20 | Company's -- the questions to the Company in the | 20 | And our first witness today is Jackie |
| 21 | exhibit. | 21 | Hawkins-Jones for staff, and she is with us in the |
| 22 | Is that something public counsel can | 22 | hearing room. |
| 23 | distribute? We can make sure copies are made. | 23 | And we -- I think we need some assistance with |
| 24 | MS. GAFKEN: Commissioner Rendahl, thank | 24 | the bench mic. |
| 25 | you for bringing those up. I -- They had actually | 25 | Oh, it looks like it is working. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | And is the witness logged into the Zoom | 1 | A. Could you provide the number again. |
| 2 | meeting? | 2 | Q. JHJ-6X. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: No. | 3 | A. Okay. |
| 4 | JUDGE HOWARD: Would it be possible for | 4 | Q. Do you recognize this exhibit? |
| 5 | you to join the Zoom meeting? We'll give you a moment. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | And you'll want to mute yourself in the Zoom | 6 | Q. Did TEP ask you this data request? |
| 7 | meeting and turn your volume down. | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm in. | 8 | Q. Is this the response that you provided to TEP? |
| 9 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Will you please raise your right hand and I'll | 10 | Q. Is any of this information in your pre-filed |
| 11 | swear you in. | 11 | testimony? |
| 12 |  | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | JACKIE HAWKINS-JONES, | 13 | Q. Which part of this information is in your |
| 14 | having been first duly sworn, | 14 | pre-filed testimony? |
| 15 | testified as follows: | 15 | A. Oh, sorry. |
| 16 |  | 16 | Are you talking about the -- my answer in |
| 17 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. | 17 | general? |
| 18 | Could staff please introduce the witness and | 18 | Q. Yes. |
| 19 | ask if there's any updates to the testimony. | 19 | A. No, it was not in my pre-filed. |
| 20 |  | 20 | Q. Why did you not include this information in |
| 21 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 21 | your pre-filed testimony? |
| 22 | BY MR. ROBERSON: | 22 | A. We did not include that in our pre-filed |
| 23 | Q. Good morning. | 23 | testimony because that was not staff's position. I |
| 24 | Will you state your name and spell your last | 24 | don't know how -- |
| 25 | name for the record, please. | 25 | Can you re--- ask it another way, I guess? |
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| 1 | A. Yes. My name is Jackie Hawkins-Jones. Last | 1 | Q. The information found in this cross exhibit |
| 2 | name, H-A-W-K-I-N-S hyphen J-O-N-E-S. | 2 | was not included in staff's testimony. Did it |
| 3 | Q. Did you sponsor exhibits JHJ-1T through JHJ-5 | 3 | represent your thinking about the case at the time that |
| 4 | in this proceeding? | 4 | you pre-filed your testimony? |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. And do you have any corrections to your | 6 | Q. If this cross exhibit represented your |
| 7 | testimony? | 7 | thinking about the case at the time that you pre-filed |
| 8 | A. I do not. | 8 | your testimony, then why was it not included? |
| 9 | MR. ROBERSON: Ms. Hawkins-Jones is | 9 | A. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm misunderstanding your |
| 10 | available for cross. | 10 | question. No, that was not our position at the time. |
| 11 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 11 | After receiving your data request, we did take it into |
| 12 | And The Energy Project can do cross. | 12 | consideration. |
| 13 | And you may proceed. | 13 | Q. Okay. Thank you. |
| 14 |  | 14 | MR. ZAKAI: Your Honor, I move to admit |
| 15 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 15 | this exhibit into evidence. |
| 16 | BY MR. ZAKAI: | 16 | JUDGE HOWARD: Any objection? |
| 17 | Q. Thank you, Your Honor. | 17 | MS. BARNETT: Yes. I renew my objection |
| 18 | Good morning, Jackie Hawkins-Jones. | 18 | to friendly cross. |
| 19 | A. Good morning. | 19 | This is a -- the position stated in the data |
| 20 | Q. Please turn to TEP cross exhibit that is | 20 | request and the response is not adverse to TEP's |
| 21 | labeled JHJ-6X. | 21 | position. In fact, it's the exact opposite. And |
| 22 | Do you recognize this exhibit? | 22 | according to the policy statement, Commission's policy |
| 23 | A. You'll have to give me one minute to get | 23 | statement, friendly cross is not appropriate if it does |
| 24 | there. | 24 | not contradict the position of the questioner, and |
| 25 | Q. Oh, yes. I'm sorry. | 25 | therefore, friendly cross is often attempted and |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | consistently rejected by the Commission. And I would | 1 | takes a while. |
| 2 | request that the Commission continue that standard. | 2 | THE WITNESS: And you said it's at |
| 3 | JUDGE HOWARD: Do you have a citation for | 3 | page...? |
| 4 | that policy statement? | 4 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Page 34, lines 1 |
| 5 | MS. BARNETT: Yes. The policy statement | 5 | through 4 in reference to TEP's proposed term 5. |
| 6 | is -- it's called the Commission's policy statement on | 6 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm there. |
| 7 | alternative dispute regulatory process and case | 7 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Great. |
| 8 | management, and it is in Docket 9A-940351. | 8 | So what would this -- does staff also support |
| 9 | And, again, I would also add that it is just | 9 | this proposed term 5? |
| 10 | Commission practice to exclude friendly cross. Number | 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, staff does. |
| 11 | 7 of that policy statement says "Friendly | 11 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So what would this |
| 12 | cross-examination should be limited to matters that | 12 | process look like from staff's perspective? |
| 13 | could adversely affect the questioner's interest to | 13 | THE WITNESS: In -- it would look as |
| 14 | avoid repetition of direct evidence." | 14 | though PSE would present evidence to the Commission for |
| 15 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 15 | review, either at that time or at -- within a -- maybe |
| 16 | I've considered the objection, but I'm going | 16 | a period of time to determine that PSE had presented |
| 17 | to deny the objection and admit JHJ-6X. | 17 | sufficient evidence to allow the customer to go into |
| 18 | I'm persuaded that TEP is asking -- is asking | 18 | disconnection process. |
| 19 | cross questions about why staff did not reflect its | 19 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So is this similar |
| 20 | position in a certain manner at the time. | 20 | to the process we had at the end of the Covid |
| 21 | All right. You may proceed, Mr. Zakai. | 21 | disconnection process where the Commission would |
| 22 | MR. ZAKAI: Thank you. | 22 | essentially have to approve before a disconnection took |
| 23 | With the admission of the exhibit, I have no | 23 | place? |
| 24 | further questions, Your Honor. | 24 | THE WITNESS: Essentially, but with this, |
| 25 | Thank you. | 25 | it would allow a period of time and not have it be as |
|  | Page 295 |  | Page 297 |
| 1 | JUDGE HOWARD: Any redirect? | 1 | soon as in that previous process that we had in place |
| 2 | MR. ROBERSON: None from staff. | 2 | to allow staff resources, time to go and review the |
| 3 | JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any questions | 3 | data that PSE provides. |
| 4 | from the bench for Witness Hawkins-Jones? | 4 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And so what sort |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good morning, | 5 | of -- what period of time are you envisioning? If the |
| 6 | Jackie Hawkins-Jones. How are you? | 6 | Commission were to adopt this, what would -- to ensure |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Good morning. | 7 | we have staff resources and ensure PSE could go through |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So following up on | 8 | with this process. |
| 9 | that exhibit in which staff indicates its support for | 9 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would say within the |
| 10 | the term 4 of TEP's alterative proposal, which provides | 10 | next billing cycle. |
| 11 | for a process of self-declaration for certain | 11 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And given |
| 12 | customers, I don't know if you know that TEP's proposed | 12 | the self-declaration process and what is currently |
| 13 | term 5 states that if PSE presents evidence to the | 13 | proposed in the -- currently in place for the bill |
| 14 | Commission that a household does not fall within a | 14 | discount tariff process, would it -- would the local |
| 15 | protected group, the Commission may allow the customer | 15 | community action agencies be a more appropriate party |
| 16 | to enter the disconnection process. | 16 | to verify this information instead of the Commission? |
| 17 | Is that familiar to you or do you want me to | 17 | THE WITNESS: I do think that they should |
| 18 | give you a reference to testimony? | 18 | be a party to it. And if -- I think it would be |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: If you could give me a | 19 | staff's preference if another party is that but want to |
| 20 | reference, that would be great. | 20 | make ourselves available as well. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: It's in Exhibit | 21 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. I appreciate |
| 22 | SNS-1T at page 34. | 22 | that clarification. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. Just give me one | 23 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. |
| 24 | moment. | 24 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That's all I have, |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: That's okay. It | 25 | Judge Howard. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE HOWARD: Any further questions from | 1 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 2 | the bench? | 2 | BY MR. ZAKAI: |
| 3 | (No response.) | 3 | Q. Thank you. |
| 4 | JUDGE HOWARD: All right. | 4 | Good morning, Professor Konisky. |
| 5 | Thank you for your testimony today. | 5 | A. Good morning. |
| 6 | You are excused for the remainder of the | 6 | Q. Does your research discuss the demographic |
| 7 | hearing, and l'll turn it over to my colleague now. | 7 | patterns associated with utility disconnections? |
| 8 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. | 8 | A. It does, yes. |
| 9 | Our next witness is Professor David Konisky | 9 | Q. Would you please turn to your testimony, |
| 10 | for public counsel. | 10 | Exhibit DK-1T on page 12. |
| 11 | Please turn on your camera and raise your | 11 | A. Yes. I'm there. |
| 12 | right hand. | 12 | Q. On lines 6 to 13, do you discuss disparities |
| 13 |  | 13 | in the incidence of disconnections among different |
| 14 | DAVID KONISKY, | 14 | demographic groups? |
| 15 | having been first duly sworn, | 15 | A. Yes, Ido. |
| 16 | testified as follows: | 16 | Q. Now, I would like to ask you some questions |
| 17 |  | 17 | about your opinion on how to define disparate impact. |
| 18 | JUDGE HUGHES: Very good. | 18 | In examining if a disparate impact on a |
| 19 | Please introduce the witness and tender them | 19 | particular demographic group exists, is it appropriate |
| 20 | for cross. | 20 | to compare the percent of people in the demographic |
| 21 |  | 21 | group at a risk of a particular harm to the percent of |
| 22 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 22 | people in the demographic group for the entire |
| 23 | BY MS. GAFKEN: | 23 | population? |
| 24 | Q. Good morning, David Konisky. | 24 | A. In general, yes. That's a very standard |
| 25 | Could you please state your name for the | 25 | approach within the social sciences as a way to measure |
|  | Page 299 |  | Page 301 |
| 1 | record and spell your last name. | 1 | disparate impact would be to assess the prevalence of |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | an outcome across different population groups. |
| 3 | Good morning. David Konisky. Last name is | 3 | I would note two additional -- two additional |
| 4 | spelled K-O-N-I-S-K-Y. | 4 | things to note about that. One is that you have to |
| 5 | Q. How are you employed and what is your | 5 | consider the differences in light of how large the |
| 6 | occupation? | 6 | populations are. That's what we refer to as looking |
| 7 | A. I'm a professor of public and environmental | 7 | for statistical significance; right? Not all |
| 8 | affairs at Indiana University in Bloomington. So I do | 8 | differences are meaningful. But when you're studying |
| 9 | research and teaching in the area of energy and | 9 | larger populations, you can get some sense of real |
| 10 | environmental policy. | 10 | differences by taking into account the magnitude of the |
| 11 | I also own a small consulting firm called DMK | 11 | effects given the population size. |
| 12 | Consulting. | 12 | The second thing I would say is you want to be |
| 13 | Q. On whose behalf are you testifying today? | 13 | careful about what those comparisons look like to make |
| 14 | A. On behalf of public counsel. | 14 | sure that you are meaningful in a substantive way. |
| 15 | Q. Do you have any changes to your testimony or | 15 | Q. So in your opinion, is it reasonable to find |
| 16 | exhibits that were filed -- or pre-filed in this | 16 | that a disproportionate impact exists in situations |
| 17 | docket? | 17 | where the percent of customers in a demographic group |
| 18 | A. I do not. | 18 | at risk of harm is 1.5 times more than the percent of |
| 19 | Q. Thank you. | 19 | customers in a demographic group for the entire |
| 20 | MS. GAFKEN: David Konisky is now | 20 | population, and that difference is statistically |
| 21 | available for cross-examination. | 21 | significant? |
| 22 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. | 22 | MS. BARNETT: Objection. Friendly cross. |
| 23 | TEP, you indicated cross. You may proceed. | 23 | MR. ZAKAI: I would like the opportunity |
| 24 |  | 24 | to respond. |
| 25 | /// | 25 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Please respond. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ZAKAI: First, this is not friendly | 1 | impact. |
| 2 | cross. TEP is not sure if the witness is going to | 2 | I would argue that in this particular context |
| 3 | directly support our position. I'm asking clarifying | 3 | of utility disconnections, 1.5 would certainly be a |
| 4 | questions of the witness concerning their testimony and | 4 | disparate impact, but also, smaller differences would |
| 5 | research, and the answers are not found within the four | 5 | be important; right? I think what's really important |
| 6 | corners of the witness's testimony or exhibits. | 6 | here is not focusing solely on statistical significance |
| 7 | However, if you decide this is friendly | 7 | or sort of the absolute magnitude of an impact, but |
| 8 | cross-examination, Rule of Evidence 611 allows the | 8 | what that actually means on the ground; right? And, |
| 9 | Court to exercise its discretion to permit inquiry into | 9 | you know, additional disconnections, if they're just |
| 10 | additional matters as if on direct examination. It's | 10 | even, you know, 1.1 or 1.2 , whatever the case might be, |
| 11 | appropriate to exercise such discretion here because | 11 | are still significant; right? We're talking about |
| 12 | there's a dispute about the definition of disparate | 12 | hundreds if not thousands of people who might be |
| 13 | impacts, and the record should include the professor's | 13 | disproportionately affected. |
| 14 | definition of disparate impacts. | 14 | So I think it's very context-specific. 1.5 |
| 15 | MS. BARNETT: May I respond? | 15 | would certainly represent a disparate impact, but I |
| 16 | JUDGE HUGHES: Certainly. | 16 | think there's nothing magical about that number. It |
| 17 | MS. BARNETT: Thanks. Just quickly, I | 17 | can be a smaller impact that's also important from a |
| 18 | would point -- I would ask where that conflict is that | 18 | substantive standpoint. |
| 19 | it -- that -- the disagreement between TEP and public | 19 | MR. ZAKAI: Thank you for your time today, |
| 20 | counsel regarding disparate impacts. | 20 | Professor Konisky. |
| 21 | JUDGE HUGHES: Any response on the specific | 21 | No further questions, Your Honor. |
| 22 | difference you're trying to get at? | 22 | JUDGE HUGHES: Redirect? |
| 23 | MR. ZAKAI: Well, the specific problem is | 23 | MS. GAFKEN: No redirect. Thank you. |
| 24 | that the testimony does not specifically say what the | 24 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. |
| 25 | definition is, and so clearly, it's needed to | 25 | Any questions from the bench? |
|  | Page 303 |  | Page 305 |
| 1 | understand if there's even adversity or not. | 1 | (No response.) |
| 2 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I'll overrule the | 2 | JUDGE HUGHES: No? |
| 3 | objection, having read the material, and I agree that | 3 | Okay. Well, thank you -- |
| 4 | there's something useful here. | 4 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Actually, I do. |
| 5 | So please proceed. | 5 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Yes. Sorry. |
| 6 | MR. ZAKAI: Would you like me to ask the | 6 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Professor Konisky, |
| 7 | question again? | 7 | good morning. |
| 8 | JUDGE HUGHES: Yes. I've overruled the | 8 | THE WITNESS: Good morning. |
| 9 | objection, so please ask again. | 9 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: In your testimony, |
| 10 | BY MR. ZAKAI: | 10 | DK-1T at page 4, on lines 4 through 7, you reference a |
| 11 | Q. In your opinion, is it reasonable to find that | 11 | number of studies that show that high financial energy |
| 12 | a disproportionate impact exists in situations where | 12 | burdens increase the risk of poverty and that energy |
| 13 | the percent of customers in a demographic group at risk | 13 | insecurity is associated with adverse physical and |
| 14 | of a particular harm is 1.5 times more than the percent | 14 | mental health and can force households into difficult |
| 15 | of customers in a demographic group for the entire | 15 | situations such as whether to heat or eat. |
| 16 | population, and that difference is statistically | 16 | Do you see that? |
| 17 | significant? | 17 | THE WITNESS: I do, yes. |
| 18 | A. Right. | 18 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 19 | So the first thing I would say is there's no | 19 | Well, where PSE in this case is demonstrating |
| 20 | single or accepted definition of what a disparate | 20 | a significant number of customers with arrearages |
| 21 | impact is; right? There's not some particular | 21 | greater than $\$ 1,000$, if that amount were to grow |
| 22 | numerical threshold of whether it's displacement here | 22 | without being addressed, doesn't that also create risks |
| 23 | or not that would say this is a disparate impact; | 23 | for customers that are already at risk of poverty and |
| 24 | right? It's very context-specific, and there are | 24 | energy insecurity? |
| 25 | multiple ways that one could demonstrate a disparate | 25 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think in part, yes; |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | right? I mean, obviously, the way I try to think about | 1 | I have no further questions. |
| 2 | material hardship associated with, you know, affording | 2 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 3 | energy bills, paying for energy bills or any other | 3 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. |
| 4 | essential services; right, they are interactive | 4 | Well, thank you for your time. |
| 5 | challenges; right? They're hard to isolate one from | 5 | You are excused for the remainder of the |
| 6 | the other. | 6 | hearing. |
| 7 | Anytime people are challenged to afford | 7 | Our next witness is Carol Wallace for PSE. |
| 8 | energy, they're likely also to be finding challenges, | 8 | Can you please turn your camera on. |
| 9 | you know, paying for other basic household needs, | 9 | Oh, she's physical here. |
| 10 | whether it be food or medicine. | 10 | THE WITNESS: I just have a lot of stuff |
| 11 | Again, I'm not sure there's a particular | 11 | to carry. |
| 12 | number that represents that. It's very | 12 | I'm having trouble with the mic. |
| 13 | context-specific, but I think it's most important to | 13 | Oh, there we go. Can you hear me? |
| 14 | note about this is that there's a lot that we don't | 14 | MS. BARNETT: Before we start, I just want |
| 15 | observe about how people are behaving and how they are | 15 | to correct for the record because I was fumbling with |
| 16 | managing their bills; right? So in some circumstances, | 16 | the microphone when I did my appearance, but my |
| 17 | you know, that's -- owing \$25 may represent a | 17 | pronouns are she, her and hers, and I have that written |
| 18 | significant financial burden. In other cases, it may | 18 | down. I think that's important to put in the record. |
| 19 | be, you know, ten times that or \$1,000, something to | 19 | So I'll also ask that of Ms. Wallace, please. |
| 20 | that effect. | 20 | So, Carol Wallace, please state your name for |
| 21 | So there's -- I think the broader point here | 21 | the record, the pronouns you prefer, your title, and |
| 22 | is that when people are facing the risk of | 22 | spell your name for the court reporter. |
| 23 | disconnections; right, because they can't afford to pay | 23 | JUDGE HUGHES: Can I swear you in first? |
| 24 | their energy bills, they have reached a pretty critical | 24 | MS. BARNETT: Oh, sorry. |
| 25 | stage; right, where they probably have already tried to | 25 | JUDGE HUGHES: Raise your right hand. |
|  | Page 307 |  | Page 309 |
| 1 | cope with that situation in many different ways that | 1 |  |
| 2 | are not observed. And we can't simply look at their | 2 | CAROL WALLACE, |
| 3 | arrearages to get a sense of exactly what might be | 3 | having been first duly sworn, |
| 4 | going on because the circumstances could be very | 4 | testified as follows: |
| 5 | different across households. | 5 |  |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I understand that. | 6 | JUDGE HUGHES: Very good. |
| 7 | But as the arrearage grows, it becomes even harder and | 7 | Please proceed. |
| 8 | harder for those customers. Wouldn't you agree with | 8 | MS. BARNETT: Thank you. Sorry about |
| 9 | that? | 9 | that. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Certainly; right? I mean, | 10 |  |
| 11 | the more you owe, the more stress and anxiety and all | 11 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 12 | of the outcomes that the research shows to be true. | 12 | BY MS. BARNETT: |
| 13 | I guess what I want to highlight is that, you | 13 | Q. Ms. Wallace, please state your name, your |
| 14 | know, there are cases of much lower arrearage amounts | 14 | pronouns you prefer, title, and spell your name for the |
| 15 | in which those same stresses may exist, and maybe the | 15 | court reporter. |
| 16 | people have chosen to pay down their energy bill, but | 16 | A. I'm Carol Wallace, director of customer |
| 17 | they are sacrificing other kinds of needed, you know -- | 17 | solutions at Puget Sound Energy. My pronouns are she, |
| 18 | needed essentials; right? | 18 | her, hers, and my name is spelled C-A-R-O-L, |
| 19 | So I'm not disputing what you're saying at | 19 | W-A-L-L-A-C-E. |
| 20 | all. I just think it's hard to put a particular | 20 | Q. Do you have before you what has been marked |
| 21 | numerical threshold on how people are -- what they're | 21 | for identification as Exhibit Number CLW-13T through |
| 22 | experiencing because those experiences really differ | 22 | CLW-38 in this proceeding? |
| 23 | across different populations and different households. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Understood. Thank | 24 | Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pre-filed |
| 25 | you very much. | 25 | testimony and related exhibits in support of PSE's |
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petition to amend the final order in this proceeding?
A. Yes.
Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any corrections to your testimony on exhibits at this time?
A. No.

MS. BARNETT: With that, PSE offers Carol Wallace for cross-examination.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay.
Staff indicated cross.
You may proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERSON:
Q. Good morning.

Do you have a copy of your rebuttal testimony with you?
A. I do.
Q. Can you turn to that? And I'm thinking specifically of page 14.
A. Okay.
Q. And on that page, you describe PSE's
collaboration with its Low Income Advisory Group;
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correct?
A. Yes.
Q. PSE first brought up the proposal at issue here at the January 11, 2022, LIAC meeting; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were not in attendance at that meeting.

Am I correct on that?
A. Can you repeat the date, please.
Q. January 11th, 2022.
A. I was in attendance at that meeting.
Q. You were?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

And you also submitted the meeting notes for
this and all the other relevant LIAC meetings; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's Exhibit CLW-33.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

And so at that original LIAC meeting in
January, did PSE present the parties with a detailed proposal?
A. We did present a proposal at that meeting,
yes, of our phased approach for resuming
disconnections. It differed slightly from previous
proposals that we had discussed with the LIAC.
Q. Would you say that PSE had decided all parts of the proposed -- all parts of the proposal at that meeting?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. It was a -- it was a proposal at that point.
Q. And importantly here, I guess, PSE hadn't decided what it was going to do with low-income customers at that meeting; correct?
A. We had removed known low-income and estimated low-income customers from the first phase of our proposed approach, and we hadn't determined exactly at that point what we would do with them. Correct.

## Q. Okay.

And PSE told the members of the LIAC that it planned to go back and have internal discussions about how to deal with customers and then present a proposal later; correct?
A. I would have to verify exactly what the language was for that. I recall that we were looking at how to manage the number of customers that would be entering dunning because there were well over -- at that point, around 250,000 customers in arrears, and our call center can't handle that volume. Our field
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folks can't handle that volume. So the phased approach was really about how do we get customers moved through the dunning process and be able to support them appropriately. And part of that is known low-income customers being -- and estimated low-income customers being allowed time to get assistance, essentially. BY MR. ROBERSON:
Q. Would it help to refresh your memory to look at Exhibit CLW-33?
A. Sure.
Q. Page 7.
A. Yes.
Q. So PSE didn't really have a plan for dealing with low-income customers at this meeting; right?
A. And we were going to discuss it, yes.
Q. Okay.

PSE next brought up the plan at the July 12th, 2022, LIAC meeting; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you at that meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

And did PSE present a detailed plan at that meeting?
A. Let me just review the minutes really quickly.

|  | Page 314 |  | Page 316 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We discussed it in the context of reporting on | 1 | chance to offer substantive feedback on the proposal |
| 2 | collection disconnects that we had been doing that | 2 | before putting it into place; correct? |
| 3 | started in May of 2022 at the request -- Hannah Navarro | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | asked us to report those in meetings subsequent to our | 4 | Q. What role does PSE see for its LIAC? |
| 5 | resuming disconnections, so we were discussing the | 5 | A. We work with the LIAC to collaborate on |
| 6 | statistics of disconnections, and we did discuss that | 6 | different -- different issues that impact our |
| 7 | we would be lowering the threshold at some point. | 7 | low-income customer assistance programs. And so we |
| 8 | Q. But did PSE present a detailed plan for how | 8 | have worked with them since the LIAC was formed to |
| 9 | that was going to happen? | 9 | implement our PSE Home Energy Lifeline Program, which |
| 10 | A. I don't recall. | 10 | is acronym HELP, and we worked with them -- we were |
| 11 | Q. Did the meeting notes reflect that PSE | 11 | working with them throughout that whole period of the |
| 12 | presented a detailed plan? | 12 | pandemic on potentially implementing arrearage |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | management plans or a percentage of income assistance |
| 14 | Q. Okay. | 14 | plan. That's the role that we play with the LIAC. |
| 15 | PSE presented the plan to the LIAC for a final | 15 | Q. So you see the LIAC serving kind of a |
| 16 | time November 8th, 2022; correct? | 16 | substantive advisory role; correct? |
| 17 | A. Correct. | 17 | A. With regards to our assistance program, |
| 18 | Q. Were you at that meeting? | 18 | absolutely. |
| 19 | A. I was not. | 19 | Q. Just with the assistance programs or with |
| 20 | Q. Okay. | 20 | low-income issues generally? |
| 21 | But you have reviewed the meeting notes? | 21 | JUDGE HUGHES: I'm sorry, Counsel. Could |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | you repeat the question? I couldn't hear you. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. | 23 | BY MR. ROBERSON: |
| 24 | At that LIAC meeting, PSE explained that -- | 24 | Q. Just with assistance programs or with |
| 25 | JUDGE HUGHES: Hold on. | 25 | low-income issues generally? |
|  | Page 315 |  | Page 317 |
| 1 | Can you -- you're using an acronym. Could you | 1 | A. At that time, it would have been just |
| 2 | say what the acronym is for the court reporter? | 2 | assistance programs. I think we have evolved since |
| 3 | MR. ROBERSON: Indeed I can. | 3 | that time, and as we have implemented the bill discount |
| 4 | BY MR. ROBERSON: | 4 | rate, we have worked in a more consensus kind of |
| 5 | Q. So at that meeting, Puget Sound Energy, PSE, | 5 | methodology. I think that at that time, it was still |
| 6 | presented to the LIAC, the Low Income Advisory | 6 | just really a collaborative inform, ask questions, take |
| 7 | Committee, something close to a fully detailed plan; | 7 | feedback, those types of collaborations. |
| 8 | correct? | 8 | Q. Fair enough. |
| 9 | A. Correct. | 9 | Okay. |
| 10 | Q. And it was at that time that the LIAC members | 10 | Would you turn to page 2 of your rebuttal |
| 11 | were first told that low-income customers would be | 11 | testimony. |
| 12 | entering the dunning process; correct? | 12 | At lines 11 and 12, you speak of PSE's |
| 13 | A. I don't know that that was the first time, but | 13 | commitment to addressing the equitable concerns raised |
| 14 | that's not reflected in these minutes. | 14 | by this filing; correct? |
| 15 | Q. Would you turn to Exhibit CLW-33 at page 26 | 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | kind of the bottom of the page. So the meeting notes | 16 | Q. Will you now turn to Exhibit CLW-39X. |
| 17 | reflect that counsel for The Energy Project was | 17 | JUDGE HUGHES: Are we looking at the |
| 18 | describing this as like a first time that anyone was | 18 | original or the supplemental? |
| 19 | hearing the low-income customers would be entering the | 19 | MR. ROBERSON: This is the original. |
| 20 | dunning process; correct? | 20 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. |
| 21 | A. It appears that way. | 21 | Thank you. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. | 22 | MR. ROBERSON: If it helps, it is also |
| 23 | And in the pages after that, so the minutes | 23 | Exhibit SMS-9 and Exhibit CJD-10. |
| 24 | for CLW pages 27 through 29, many of the other members | 24 | THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. |
| 25 | of the LIAC kind of requested that PSE give them the | 25 | Sorry about that. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ROBERSON: No worries. | 1 | the last two years, we have formed an energy equity |
| 2 | BY MR. ROBERSON: | 2 | team. We have incorporated equity in our |
| 3 | Q. Do you recognize this document? | 3 | decision-making process. It's -- it's something that |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | we're doing on a daily basis. So I misspoke. |
| 5 | Q. Can you identify it? | 5 | Q. Okay. |
| 6 | A. It is PSE's response to Staff Data Request | 6 | But according to this DR, if I understand |
| 7 | 313. | 7 | PSE's position, it wouldn't be looking at the equity |
| 8 | Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of the DR | 8 | impacts of these proposals because those would always |
| 9 | response? | 9 | depend on what the Commission orders or what is agreed |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | upon in settlement; correct? |
| 11 | Q. And you are listed as the knowledgeable | 11 | A. Say that again, please. |
| 12 | witness on this DR answer; correct? | 12 | Q. Ma'am, if I can remember. I'm sorry. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | If I understand PSE's position from this DR, |
| 14 | Q. And in the DR, staff asked PSE if it had | 14 | it would never look at the equity impacts -- not |
| 15 | looked at the equity impacts of its proposal; correct? | 15 | equity, but specifically the equity impacts of its |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | proposals because those would depend on what the |
| 17 | Q. And PSE's answer was no; correct? | 17 | Commission ultimately orders or what the parties agree |
| 18 | A. Correct. | 18 | to in settlement; correct? |
| 19 | Q. Now, PSE has supplemented this answer, and I | 19 | A. That was the response in this DR, which is why |
| 20 | just want to make sure I understand PSE's position: | 20 | we filed the supplemental response. |
| 21 | So PSE believes that it has done an equity | 21 | Q. But the supplemental doesn't change that |
| 22 | analysis by looking at the number of customers that | 22 | answer; correct? |
| 23 | would be swept up in each phase of the dunning process | 23 | A. No. |
| 24 | that have certain equity characteristics as compared to | 24 | Q. All right. |
| 25 | the general population; is that correct? | 25 | Last set of questions. If you could turn to |
|  | Page 319 |  | Page 321 |
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | page 30 of your rebuttal testimony. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 2 | And on lines 9 through 11, you state that |
| 3 | Going back to the original answer, which is | 3 | 60 percent of customers who are disconnected are |
| 4 | Exhibit CLW-39X, PSE explains that it doesn't look at | 4 | reconnected within one day; correct? |
| 5 | equity impacts because that would depend on what the | 5 | A. I'm almost there. |
| 6 | Commission orders and what the parties offer in | 6 | Correct. |
| 7 | settlement; correct? | 7 | Q. If my math is correct, that would mean that |
| 8 | A. Correct. | 8 | 40 percent of customers are not so reconnected; |
| 9 | Q. And I guess I'm wondering if that's true here, | 9 | correct? |
| 10 | when would that not be true? | 10 | A. The same day? Yes. The reconnect is |
| 11 | A. It wouldn't -- well, it depends. It wouldn't | 11 | dependent upon the customer contacting PSE to |
| 12 | be true when we have actual rule-making that requires | 12 | reconnect. |
| 13 | us to do specific things. We are doing -- we did do | 13 | Q. Understood. |
| 14 | the initial equity analysis that showed that there -- | 14 | Do you know what the average length of outages |
| 15 | that only -- that customers in protected groups were | 15 | is for those 40 percent that are not reconnected within |
| 16 | only likely to be more impacted than customers in | 16 | one day? |
| 17 | non-vulnerable groups and determined that there wasn't | 17 | A. It varies. It varies. |
| 18 | a disproportionate impact. | 18 | Q. Average? |
| 19 | Q. With that understanding, so basically anything | 19 | A. I don't know an average. |
| 20 | that could become an adjudication or is an | 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | adjudication, PSE would not look at the equity impacts | 21 | Do you know what percentage of those |
| 22 | of its proposals; correct? | 22 | 40 percent are known or estimated low-income? |
| 23 | A. That's not correct. I misstated. | 23 | A. I don't. |
| 24 | We look at equity in everything we do. We | 24 | Q. And do you know what percentage of that 40 |
| 25 | look at equity and how we propose new projects. And in | 25 | percent are members of named communities, meaning those |

who live in highly impacted communities or members of vulnerable populations?
A. I know we have looked at that, but I don't have that off the top of my head, no. I'm sorry.
Q. That's fine.

MR. ROBERSON: I'm done. Thank you.
JUDGE HUGHES: Any redirect?
MS. BARNETT: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BARNETT:
Q. Ms. Wallace, Mr. Roberson asked you about the dates of the Low Income Advisory Committee meeting.

When did PSE resume its -- the phased-in dunning process?
A. In May 2022.
Q. And had they communicated that they were going to be doing that with the -- or at the LIAC committee meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you -- is it your understanding that the LIAC committee understood that you were implementing a phased-in process resuming dunning?
A. Absolutely. We talked about it in our

May 11th, '21, meeting; September 14th, '21, meeting;
and we can also reconnect them remotely. So if a customer is disconnected, there are several things that happen. The first thing is their account that holds the arrearage that they were disconnected for is closed, and that account goes to a prior obligation. The customer can then be reconnected by reaching out to PSE either on the phone with one of our call center agents on our IVR, in our -- sorry, integrated voice response. It's the telephone computer system when you call in -- as well as our website and our mobile app, and request a reconnection. There isn't a fee for reconnection for a remote customer. There isn't a deposit required right now. They don't have to address the arrearage balance because it's going to prior obligation, and they can be reconnected sometimes within minutes, depending on the -- how the system is operating. And we have a really robust reconnect system with accuracy and reconnects on command over 99 percent of the time. So it's very short.

MS. BARNETT: Thank you.
I have no more redirect. JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you.
Any TEP-indicated cross?
So please proceed.
MR. ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor.

October 5th, 2021, meeting that I can specifically find in our minutes of those conversations that occurred.
Q. Thanks.

Turning to the cross-exam exhibit, you discussed it was PSE's response to Staff Data Request Number 313, and that's cross-exam Exhibit 39X, CLC-39X. I heard you say that they -- the supplemental response doesn't contradict the initial response. Is that my understanding?

I guess my question is why would you file that? Why did you file a supplemental?
A. We wanted to clarify that we had done an equity analysis, which was understanding what percentages of populations of known low-income, estimated low-income, deepest need, and highly impacted communities were in the population of customers that would go into dunning. And so we felt that that did constitute an equity analysis.
Q. Regarding the reconnection for those who do get disconnected, could you explain and elaborate on that process after a person is disconnected? What happens?
A. Sure.

So -- almost 99 percent of our electric meters are remote-capable, so we can disconnect them remotely

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ZAKAI:
Q. Good morning, Carol Wallace.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'm going to start by asking you some questions about the dates in your proposal. Could you please turn to your direct testimony Exhibit CLW-13T on page 18.
A. Okay.
Q. Do lines 5 to 7 say that you revised the
estimated timing of the phases in Table 2?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you file this testimony on November 17th, 2023?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

Please turn to page 19 and review Table 2.
A. Okay.
Q. What phase number is PSE in today?
A. Phase 1.
Q. And according to this table, should PSE be in Phase 1 today, in January 2024?
A. According to this table, yes. The dates in the table were illustrative. However, to show that
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it's a phased approach, it will take several months, if not longer, to move through each phase because of the volume of customers. Those aren't hard dates. They were just to illustrate that it's going to take time.
Q. So when you said on the previous page that you revised the estimated timing, you didn't revise it to be anything specific or actionable for the Commission to work with?
A. It was purely illustrative to show that there's a period of time that this is going to take.
Q. Sorry. Could you answer "yes" or "no"? Is the proposal in the table designed when you filed it to be something specific and actionable for the Commission to adopt?
A. No.
Q. Thank you.

I'm going to move on to another set of questions.

Could you please turn to your rebuttal testimony, CLW-31, and page 17 also has a Table 2 there.
A. Okay.
Q. So the second row of Table 2 identifies customers whose income is below 200 percent of the federal poverty level and past-due amounts above
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this $\mathbf{\$ 2 4}$ million or the line in the table that includes the $\$ 24$ million.

So let's -- so in the amounts past due, it looks like there's only one line that includes more than $\$ 24$ million, and that's line 1; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And does line 1 state $\$ 43$ million rounded?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

Let's turn back to your rebuttal testimony, page 2, and look at line 1 there.
A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat which page.
Q. Yeah, no problem. That's page 17 of your rebuttal testimony --
A. Thank you.
Q. -- page 2.
A. Thank you. Okay.
Q. So that line there, does that past-due number have the $\$ 43$ million that matches the past-due amount in line 1 of Table $\mathbf{2}$ in your direct testimony?
A. It does.
Q. So would it be fair to say that it's
impossible for that $\mathbf{\$ 2 4}$ million to be in Table 2 of your direct testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

I'm now going to ask some questions about PSE's arrearage projections.

Could you please turn to your direct testimony on page 8.
A. Okay.
Q. So page 8 includes Figure 2 with projected arrearage amounts in purple and actual arrearage amounts in blue.

Does Figure 2 show that in 2023, PSE's arrearage projections in purple are always higher than the actuals in blue? That's for the year 2023.
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

Now, I'm going to change topics and ask some questions about rate impacts.

Please turn to your rebuttal testimony on page 26.
A. Okay.
Q. Table 5 shows the estimated rate impact of TEP's alternative proposal or term 3 of TEP's alternative proposal.

Do the calculations in Table 5 include inactive accounts?
A. I would have to look at the original table.

|  | Page 330 |  | Page 332 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Do you know what exhibit? | 1 | testimony on page 28. |
| 2 | Q. I believe the source of this is Exhibit CLW-35 | 2 | A. Okay. |
| 3 | if you want to turn to that. | 3 | Q. On line 20 at the bottom and continuing to the |
| 4 | A. Thank you. | 4 | next page, do you estimate annual rate impacts at |
| 5 | Q. And once you're there, you could look | 5 | 1 percent for electric and 1 percent for gas? |
| 6 | specifically at line 3. | 6 | A. Based on the assumptions from the |
| 7 | A. Yes. It's for active and inactive customers. | 7 | calculations, yes. |
| 8 | Q. Do you agree that if inactive customers were | 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | removed from the calculations, that the rate impact | 9 | And is that 1 percent rate impact that you |
| 10 | would be lower? | 10 | describe here smaller than the 3.8 and 4.8 percent that |
| 11 | A. There's a lot of variables to that answer. | 11 | we just looked at in attachment $B$ ? |
| 12 | If -- if inactive customers don't pay their | 12 | A. It is because the 4.8 and the 3.2 percent were |
| 13 | arrearage balance, then they will end up going through | 13 | based on current arrearages, and this is based on |
| 14 | the bad debt process and end up in rates. | 14 | arrearages moving forward if we didn't disconnect |
| 15 | Q. But for the calculations performed in this | 15 | customers. |
| 16 | table, which includes both active and inactive customer | 16 | Q. Okay. |
| 17 | accounts, all else being equal, if you remove inactive | 17 | The footnote in attachment $B$ does discuss, |
| 18 | customers, the resulting impact would be lower; | 18 | quote, "these estimated rate impacts"; correct? |
| 19 | correct? | 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | A. In that context, yes. | 20 | Q. And that footnote 2 is placed on the same line |
| 21 | Q. Thank you. | 21 | and in the same sentence as the 4.8 and 3.2 percent |
| 22 | Now, I'm going to address different parts of | 22 | impacts. |
| 23 | PSE's rate impact. | 23 | A. Correct. |
| 24 | I'm going to ask a question, and for one time, | 24 | Q. Okay. |
| 25 | we're going to move away from your testimony and to | 25 | Now, please turn to the spreadsheet provided |
|  | Page 331 |  | Page 333 |
| 1 | PSE's petition itself. | 1 | as attachment A to Exhibit CLW-36. |
| 2 | And so could you please turn to attachment B | 2 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Excuse me, Your |
| 3 | of PSE's petition. | 3 | Honor. I don't have that attachment A. Is it in the |
| 4 | A. I don't know if I have attachment B. | 4 | exhibit? |
| 5 | Q. In the document that was filed with the | 5 | JUDGE HUGHES: I don't believe it is. I'll |
| 6 | Commission, they are all on the -- they're all in the | 6 | send a link in a moment. |
| 7 | same PDF. | 7 | If we could pause for half a moment. |
| 8 | A. Gotcha. | 8 | MR. ZAKAI: Your Honor, may I suggest that |
| 9 | I have it now. Thanks. | 9 | maybe everybody take a short break now? Would now be |
| 10 | Q. Thank you. | 10 | an appropriate time for a midmorning break? |
| 11 | Could you please turn to page 10 of attachment | 11 | JUDGE HUGHES: Let's take five minutes |
| 12 | B. | 12 | here. |
| 13 | A. Okay. | 13 | Oh, by five, I mean ten. So we'll take two |
| 14 | Q. Does footnote 2 at the bottom of this page say | 14 | sets of five-minute breaks back to back. Let's be back |
| 15 | that rate impacts would become annual and perpetual? | 15 | here at 10:30. We're going to round it up to a |
| 16 | A. If scenarios in 3 to 5 were to become | 16 | 13-minute break. |
| 17 | disconnect moratoriums on a permanent basis. | 17 | So 10:30, people. |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | And under number 5, does this page show that | 19 | And we are off the record. |
| 20 | PSE estimated the rate impacts at 4.8 for electric and | 20 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 21 | 3.8 for natural gas -- sorry, 3.2 for natural gas? I | 21 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I believe our soft 5 |
| 22 | misspoke there. | 22 | is done. |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Let us resume. |
| 24 | Q. Thank you. | 24 | And we are back on the record. |
| 25 | Now we're going to go back to your rebuttal | 25 | MR. ZAKAI: Thank you, Your Honor. |


|  | Page 334 |  | Page 336 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BY MR. ZAKAI: | 1 | In contrast to what we just looked at, do you |
| 2 | Q. So before we had left, I had asked to turn to | 2 | agree that these are rounded to the nearest whole |
| 3 | the spreadsheet that is provided as attachment A to | 3 | percent and that no digits follow the decimal point? |
| 4 | Exhibit CLW-36. This is -- Carol Wallace, is this the | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | spreadsheet that includes the support for the | 5 | Q. Okay. |
| 6 | calculations of the rate impacts we were discussing in | 6 | And if you change the formatting for the |
| 7 | the first tab, the 4.8 percent and the 3.2 percent, and | 7 | residential electric rate impact to show two digits |
| 8 | then in the second tab, the 1 percent? | 8 | after the decimal point, would that show a rate impact |
| 9 | A. This one is for the 1 percent. So the first | 9 | of 0.73 percent instead of 1 percent? |
| 10 | table is the annual impacts based on data through | 10 | A. I'm sorry, I don't have access to the |
| 11 | May 1st, and the second is the 1 percent, yes. | 11 | spreadsheet to look at that, to confirm it. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Thank you. | 12 | Q. Could you accept that subject to check? |
| 13 | Let's turn to that second tab addressing the 1 | 13 | A. Subject to check, yes. |
| 14 | percent rate impact. | 14 | MS. BARNETT: Your Honor, we can provide |
| 15 | A. Oh, I'm just looking at the printout. | 15 | that. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. | 16 | BY MR. ZAKAI: |
| 17 | Well, then let's look at the table that shows | 17 | Q. And subject to check, that if you changed the |
| 18 | the 1 percent rate impact. | 18 | formatting to show the rate impact for all electric |
| 19 | A. Okay. | 19 | customers, that that would be 0.77 instead of |
| 20 | Q. Do you have that in front of you? | 20 | 1 percent? |
| 21 | A. Ido. | 21 | A. I just got the spreadsheet, so I'm looking at |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Thank you. | 22 | it right now. |
| 23 | So 38D shows the commercial and industrial | 23 | Okay. Can you please repeat what you just |
| 24 | impact -- rate impacts for electric. But I guess let | 24 | said? |
| 25 | me clarify. | 25 | Q. Yes. |
|  | Page 335 |  | Page 337 |
| 1 | Should I refer to cell numbers or do you not | 1 | So if you change the formatting of cell 39D, |
| 2 | have that cell numbers? | 2 | which is the estimated rate impact for all electric |
| 3 | A. I don't have it. | 3 | customers, to show two digits after the decimal point, |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | do you agree that that would be 0.77 percent instead of |
| 5 | I'm going to have to change my questioning a | 5 | 1 percent? |
| 6 | little here, but I think we'll be able to get through | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | it. | 7 | Q. Okay. |
| 8 | So please look at the commercial and | 8 | And if you change the formatting of cell 39F |
| 9 | industrial rate impacts for electric. | 9 | to show two digits after the decimal point, does it |
| 10 | How many digits are visible after the decimal | 10 | show an estimated rate impact for all gas customers of |
| 11 | point in that cell? | 11 | 0.57 percent instead of 1 percent? |
| 12 | A. Two. | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Thank you. | 13 | Q. Now that we have discussed the rounding of the |
| 14 | Now, let's look at the rate impacts for gas | 14 | estimated rate impacts, I'm going to ask some questions |
| 15 | customers under residential and commercial and | 15 | about the assumptions used to develop the rate impacts. |
| 16 | industrial. | 16 | Please review line 6. |
| 17 | In contrast to what we looked at a moment ago, | 17 | Does line 6 show that PSE calculates the |
| 18 | which showed two digits after the decimal point, do you | 18 | annual rate impact by first determining the increase in |
| 19 | agree that these only show one digit after the decimal | 19 | arrearages from May 2022 to May 2023? |
| 20 | point? | 20 | A. Line 6 of -- I'm sorry. I don't know where |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | you're referring. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. | 22 | Q. Yeah, no problem. |
| 23 | Now, let's turn to the residential and total | 23 | So the table at the top of that same tab with |
| 24 | rate impacts for electric as well as the total rate | 24 | arrearages, does that include arrearages from May 2022 |
| 25 | impact for gas. | 25 | and May 2023 as the first step in the calculation? |
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Could you please turn to the cross exhibit marked CLW-30X.

Sorry, did I say 30? I -- that was a mistake. I meant 40X.
A. Okay.
Q. My apologies.
A. Okay.
Q. So on the second page, does this exhibit show arrearages in October 2022 and October 2023 excluding inactive customers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

And do you accept subject to check that if the arrearages shown here are entered into that table we were just looking at in CLW-36, that, you know, to replace the arrearages that were there in cells E7 to $F 15$, that the result is rate impacts of 0.34 percent for residential electric customers and 0.23 percent for residential gas customers?
A. Subject to check, I don't know. At this point, I don't know.
Q. But would you accept it subject to check, perform the calculations and let the Commission know if
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that's incorrect?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. ZAKAI: Thank you for your time today,
Carol Wallace.
I have no further questions.
JUDGE HUGHES: Any redirect?
MS. BARNETT: Yes. Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. BARNETT:
Q. Ms. Wallace, Mr. Zakai walked you through some differences in the arrearage tables.

Could you explain why the amounts past due and arrearages are different?
A. In -- l've looked at a lot of tables, so I would like a little more context.
Q. I guess I'll just -- are those tables accurate today?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because they're in the past, and things have changed.
Q. What is the status today of the arrearages?
A. Currently, we have $\$ 164.9$ million in
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arrearages.
Q. And is that more than you had in any of those tables?
A. Oh, absolutely.
Q. And going to the rate impacts you were reviewing with Mr. Zakai, could you -- could you point us to an exhibit or anywhere in your testimony where you provide the estimated rate increases if the Commission approves The Energy Project's proposal?
A. Yes.

I don't know off the top of my head which one it is, however.
Q. Do you know off the top of your head approximately the estimated rate increases for customers if the Commission were to adopt The Energy Project's proposal in this proceeding?
A. I think it's 4.8 percent, but I would need to double-check.
Q. And that's a 4.8 increase in rates?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know the estimated rate increase if the Commission approves PSE's proposal in this proceeding?
A. There shouldn't be a rate increase. We
have -- if we would just go back to our normal process where we have assistance that's covered under Schedule

129 that's already in rates, and debt recovery, which is already in there.

MS. BARNETT: Thank you.
No more redirect.
JUDGE HUGHES: Any questions from the bench?

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Yes, Your Honor. Thanks.

Thank you, Ms. Wallace.
So on paragraph 10 of the petition to amend the settlement -- I'm just quoting here -- "Holding dunning to phase 1 " also means that PSE is able to expand its customer and outreach practices aimed at addressing the arrearages. And a couple of the witnesses, a few of them, actually, make the point that -- and I can point you to, for example, Charlie Thompson, Joint Environmental Advocates, at page 5 of her initial testimony basically says the settlement doesn't disallow PSE from making direct contact with customers.

So I'm wondering, do you feel that you can make direct contact with customers in the current environment, first question?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Under $\$ 1,000$, I'm

|  | Page 342 |  | Page 344 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | talking about. | 1 | what the consequence is of not paying their bill, and |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. That was -- that is | 2 | that consequence is a disconnect. That's not our |
| 3 | our position. | 3 | ultimate goal, but that is a consequence. And so I |
| 4 | We -- customers that aren't in dunning. | 4 | think it's important that because it's a customer's |
| 5 | Dunning is the process that allows us to do direct and | 5 | responsibility to pay their bill, that they understand |
| 6 | targeted outreach, which includes the exact amount of | 6 | the consequence of not paying their bill. |
| 7 | arrearage. We have done outreach that includes all of | 7 | We would have to build another dunning-like |
| 8 | the information about assistance available, payment | 8 | process to handle that outside of the current process, |
| 9 | plans, those types of things, but they're more generic | 9 | and I don't know what type of resources that would |
| 10 | towards the broad customer base of customers in | 10 | take. We built the existing process back in 2013 when |
| 11 | arrears. To specifically send a document to Mr. Jones | 11 | we moved into the SAP billing system. So it's been a |
| 12 | in Tukwila, we don't have the facility currently to do | 12 | long time since we created that process, so we would |
| 13 | that. All of the process for that automated | 13 | have to do some research and requirements gathering -- |
| 14 | communication happens through the dunning process. | 14 | all of that stuff you do for a technical project. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. | 15 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So getting back to |
| 16 | Is the ultimate point of the dunning process | 16 | sort of my second question, the dunning process really, |
| 17 | that it puts customers who are in arrears in the | 17 | in your mind, it sounds like it works because the |
| 18 | disconnection queue? Is that kind of what | 18 | ultimate consequence is disconnection. |
| 19 | distinguishes it -- | 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: No. | 20 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: All right. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: -- from the normal | 21 | Now, witnesses have made the point that |
| 22 | outreach? | 22 | most -- most -- the vast majority of customers in the |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: No. | 23 | dunning process don't go to disconnection. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. | 24 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I think that could be a | 25 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. |
|  | Page 343 |  | Page 345 |
| 1 | result. That's not the intent of putting customers in | 1 | Does that make a point, then, if you did the |
| 2 | the dunning process. | 2 | research you needed to do, change your system, that |
| 3 | The dunning process allows for a lot of | 3 | just by doing the outreach -- I'm asking for your |
| 4 | upfront communication before a customer would ever hit | 4 | opinion now, I guess, if you have it. |
| 5 | the disconnection queue. We make at least two phone | 5 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. |
| 6 | calls. They get an urgent notice. They get a 72 -hour | 6 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Just by doing that |
| 7 | notice. They get a disconnect notice. We go to the | 7 | aggressive sort of outreach without that consequence, |
| 8 | door and provide them with a 24 -hour notice. | 8 | would you -- could you begin to take down this, you |
| 9 | And what we find is during that process, | 9 | know, huge arrearage balance? |
| 10 | customers leave the dunning process because they take | 10 | THE WITNESS: So in my opinion, speaking |
| 11 | action. | 11 | with my 32 years of experience at Puget Sound Energy, I |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. | 12 | don't think it would work. It has not worked in -- |
| 13 | And I don't mean this to be flippant | 13 | when we first stopped disconnecting, we changed our |
| 14 | whatsoever. | 14 | dunning notifications to let customers know they were |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: No. | 15 | past due and that there was no -- and removed all the |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Just a semantic | 16 | information about disconnections. During that period |
| 17 | issue I'm dealing with. | 17 | of time, we saw payment arrangements decrease |
| 18 | Couldn't you just call that process something | 18 | significantly. We saw applications for energy |
| 19 | else other than, say, dunning and do that sort of | 19 | assistance decrease significantly. And so in my |
| 20 | outreach independently? Because it seems to work; | 20 | opinion, no, it doesn't work the same. |
| 21 | right? | 21 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 22 | And does that question make sense? | 22 | Nothing further from me. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: It does. I think that | 23 | Thank you. |
| 24 | there's a few considerations. I think it works because | 24 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: And if I may, |
| 25 | the dunning process allows the customer to understand | 25 | when -- when was that period? When did you -- what was |
|  |  |  | 18 (Pages 342 to 345) |
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|  | Page 346 |  | Page 348 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the period in which you tried that? | 1 | And we'll prepare that bench question. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: It was between -- it was -- | 2 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So, Carol Wallace, |
| 3 | so we stopped disconnecting voluntarily March 6th of | 3 | if you would turn to your Exhibit CLW-26, which appears |
| 4 | 2020, before the moratorium. And we changed the | 4 | to be a presentation that includes -- it says arrearage |
| 5 | notifications between then and June. I'm not exactly | 5 | data as of May 16, 2021. But it doesn't have any |
| 6 | sure on the dates of that. And the process continued | 6 | indication of where this was present -- who this was |
| 7 | through the moratorium getting lifted. | 7 | presented to or the date it was presented. |
| 8 | And then we went through the communication | 8 | Do you know that information of when this was |
| 9 | back and forth with the Commission to verify customer | 9 | prepared and who it was shared with or prepared for? |
| 10 | information, those types of things. And so we actually | 10 | THE WITNESS: It was shared with the LIAC, |
| 11 | went back to the normal noticing process, having | 11 | I believe. I would have to confirm that. That's what |
| 12 | removed a large portion of customers from dunning that | 12 | it was prepared for. |
| 13 | were estimated or known low-income, and went back to | 13 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. But there's |
| 14 | the normal process for the customers in phase 1. | 14 | no date on here other than the date of the data. |
| 15 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Yeah. I mean, | 15 | THE WITNESS: True. |
| 16 | my -- what I'm trying to get a handle on is when you | 16 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 17 | tried taking out the references to disconnection, that | 17 | And if you could turn to -- so I would like |
| 18 | was when people were possibly aware of the moratorium. | 18 | you to provide a response in terms of when it was |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Yes. | 19 | presented to the Low Income Advisory Committee. |
| 20 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: And so, you | 20 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 21 | know, to -- now that we're out of having a legally | 21 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 22 | mandated moratorium, you don't have any experience with | 22 | If you turn to page 5 of the exhibit, which |
| 23 | trying the softer approach that Commissioner Doumit was | 23 | is -- actually, page 4 of the exhibit, it talks about a |
| 24 | talking about without having a backdrop of a | 24 | presentation of dunning segments. |
| 25 | moratorium? | 25 | So does this represent the -- does this |
|  | Page 347 |  | Page 349 |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's probably true. | 1 | represent what was the dunning process prior to the |
| 2 | However, we did -- we did send out 68,000 postcards to | 2 | Covid disconnects or is this an earlier version of |
| 3 | customers talking about the assistance that was | 3 | PSE's now-proposed phased dunning process? |
| 4 | available, all the protections with K cap and those | 4 | THE WITNESS: It's an earlier version of |
| 5 | types of things. We did that, and we didn't see an | 5 | the phased approach. |
| 6 | uptick in customers applying. | 6 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 7 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: So based on your | 7 | If you could turn to your rebuttal testimony, |
| 8 | experience, without raising the possibility of | 8 | which is CLW-31TR, and look at page 23. |
| 9 | disconnection, you are not going to get the response | 9 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 10 | that you feel is necessary to bring these arrearages | 10 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And at the |
| 11 | down? | 11 | very top, lines 3 through 6, you reference a special |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 12 | dunning procedure for arrearages over \$1,000, but that |
| 13 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank | 13 | isn't described in your testimony. |
| 14 | you. | 14 | Can you tell me what a special dunning |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And, Carol Wallace, | 15 | procedure is? |
| 16 | was that postcard sent during the moratorium or after | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes. It's a procedure that |
| 17 | the moratorium? | 17 | we can use to move customers in or out of dunning. So |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: After. | 18 | the special dunning procedure that this is in reference |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'm wondering if we | 19 | to is the snapshot in time when we removed customers |
| 20 | can get a copy of that -- | 20 | that were known low-income or estimated to be under |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: A copy of it? | 21 | 200 percent of federal poverty level at the beginning |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: -- with the date | 22 | of the phased approach. |
| 23 | and make it a bench request. | 23 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So this is |
| 24 | I have some other questions. | 24 | specifically focused on removing those customers of |
| 25 | JUDGE HUGHES: Go ahead. | 25 | high impact or special consideration? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: From dunning altogether, | 1 | Is that -- is it appropriate to interpret from |
| 2 | yes. | 2 | those two tables that PSE's proposal would move the |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 3 | dunning threshold from \$1,000 to \$150? |
| 4 | Do you have Mr. Dahl's testimony and exhibits | 4 | THE WITNESS: We haven't really set a new |
| 5 | in front of you? | 5 | dunning threshold. Prior to the pandemic, it was $\$ 70$. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I do. | 6 | And we have been discussing what would be the |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 7 | appropriate level. We haven't determined what that |
| 8 | If you could turn to CJD-4, which is PSE's | 8 | would be. |
| 9 | response to public counsel's data request 441. | 9 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 10 | It states it doesn't track or differentiate | 10 | But the table just goes to 150? |
| 11 | between the number of outreach attempts made to | 11 | THE WITNESS: Right. Right. And that's |
| 12 | customers with past-due balances that have active or | 12 | one of the levels we had discussed. And so that's why |
| 13 | closed accounts. Why doesn't PSE differentiate between | 13 | we included it in the analysis. |
| 14 | those types of calls in terms of collecting data? | 14 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: But that's not a -- |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I don't think we ever had to | 15 | that dunning threshold is not a specific part of PSE's |
| 16 | do that, so it wasn't built into our process. | 16 | proposal? |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 17 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 18 | And looking at the table that's -- the table 1 | 18 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have one more |
| 19 | at the bottom of the response, is it possible for PSE | 19 | question. |
| 20 | to provide in addition to the calls that were made | 20 | If you can turn to -- unless my colleagues |
| 21 | there the total number of active and closed accounts | 21 | have follow-up on it. |
| 22 | during those two periods? | 22 | And Witness Hawkins-Jones -- Witness |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes, we can provide that. | 23 | Hawkins-Jones' testimony exhibits, if you turn to |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 24 | JHJ-3, which is PSE's response to Staff Data Request |
| 25 | That would be another bench request. | 25 | Number 314. |
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| 1 | Okay. And then looking at Witness Dahl's | 1 | Do you have that? |
| 2 | Exhibit CJD-5, which is public counsel's -- I'm sorry. | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yep. |
| 3 | It's PSE's response to Public Counsel Data Request | 3 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 4 | Number 431 and the supplemental response. | 4 | So in this table on page 2 of the exhibit, it |
| 5 | Looking at the supplemental response, there's | 5 | identifies the number of customers associated with |
| 6 | a reference to PSE conducting some outreach to | 6 | vulnerable populations in highly impacted communities |
| 7 | customers, 68,000 customers in March of 2023. Is that | 7 | as requested by staff. |
| 8 | what you were referring to in terms -- | 8 | Can PSE identify the specific customers that |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 9 | are associated with these population categories or is |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: -- of the postcard? | 10 | this just an estimate? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 11 | THE WITNESS: This is an estimate. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 12 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. |
| 13 | So that was the postcard outreach. | 13 | And do these categories of high, medium, and |
| 14 | So PSE hasn't repeated that outreach? | 14 | low vulnerability line up with the deepest need |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: We haven't. | 15 | category, or is this something that's -- |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And why is that? | 16 | THE WITNESS: It's with the deepest need |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Because we didn't get any -- | 17 | category. |
| 18 | we didn't get measurable results from it. | 18 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. | 19 | I have no further questions. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: And it's expensive. | 20 | JUDGE HUGHES: All right. Thank you. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And in the | 21 | If there's no further questions, you're |
| 22 | cross-examination that Yochanan Zakai from The Energy | 22 | excused for the remainder of the hearing. |
| 23 | Project -- the questioning with you, there was a | 23 | Okay. Well, that brings us to the end of |
| 24 | reference to those two tables of the phased approach. | 24 | cross-examinations. |
| 25 | And each of those tables goes down to \$150. | 25 | So we're going to be moving on to closing |
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arguments.
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Your Honor? JUDGE HUGHES: Yes.
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Actually, I think we have a question or two for Witness Stokes and witness Dahl, if we can -- l'm not sure if that was conveyed to the parties, but if those witnesses are available.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I retract the closing of cross-examinations, then.

So, Witness Stokes, can you turn your -- if you're here, can you turn your web cam on?

There we go.
THE WITNESS: Hello.
JUDGE HUGHES: Hi.
Can you please raise your right hand and repeat after me. Well, not...

SHAYLEE STOKES,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you.
Okay. Can you please introduce the witness and tender them for cross, and please note if there are
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any corrections to the pre-filed testimony.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ZAKAI:
Q. Good morning, Shaylee Stokes.
A. Hi .
Q. Can you please state and spell your name for the record.
A. My name is Shaylee Stokes. My first name is S-H-A-Y-L-E-E, and my last name is S-T-O-K-E-S. Pronouns are she/her.
Q. Thank you. And did you pre-file testimony in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you have any corrections or changes to make to your testimony today?
A. No.

MR. ZAKAI: Thank you.
Your Honor, the witness is available for questions.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. Good morning, Shaylee Stokes. Thank you for being available. I just have a couple of questions for you.

In your testimony, SNS-1T at page 34, why
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don't you turn to that and then look at lines 1 through 4.

Are you there?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And this refers to The Energy Project's proposed term 5, which states that if PSE presents evidence to the Commission that a household does not fall within the protected group, the Commission may allow the customer to enter the disconnection process.

Do you see that?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Actually, that's
not -- yes, that's exactly what I wanted to say.
Could you elaborate on your vision on how the Commission would review these submissions.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I would say that our vision is flexible on this point. We wanted to demonstrate that there could be instances where people in a certain protected group on the whole might not fit within -- or might have, like, a higher income level -excuse me. Like, for example, a highly impacted community or one of the self-declaration categories. If PSE was -- had reason to believe that that customer did not actually meet that criteria, we wanted to put
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something in there that would allow them to try to pursue the disconnection in our alternate proposal, similar to the -- the disconnection processes during the early exit of the pandemic. So that's a model that was established that we wanted to present as a measure for the Company.

The burden of proof would be on the Company in those cases. We are certainly willing to be working with the Low Income Advisory Committee or the Company in working out exactly how that might look. Like I said, right now, it's fairly flexible.

I would expect that the Company would present information that it had that looked contrary to what the client declared and that some of the Commission would review that for whether it stands up.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And I don't know if you heard the question I had for Jackie Hawkins-Jones at the beginning of the hearing about this topic.

THE WITNESS: I did.
COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And so would
TEP believe it's beneficial, similar to the bill discount tariff program, that the community action agencies would be the ones to essentially verify the self-declaration and determine the appropriate
treatment for the customer as opposed to the Commission?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Like I said, we are flexible on this point. I would say that putting a community action agency in the position where they have the authority to say whether someone has -- is -should be disconnected or not disconnected is something that I can't speak for all of the network in saying they would be comfortable with. The role of community action agencies now in relation to, for example, the bill discount rate does do verifications of income for the randomly selected portions of people who self-declare that are then selected for post-enrollment verification. And so they're verifying specific documentation and then giving that documenta--- or giving that information back to the utility. So some arrangement similar to that might be acceptable to the community action agencies. But asking them to specifically vet whether or not they should be shut off is probably going a step beyond what would be within their scope.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. But the community action agencies may be in a better position to verify the protected -- or the vulnerable status of the customer more than staff at the Commission, who
needs for addressing arrearages with protecting vulnerable -- the most vulnerable customers. And I think processes would need to be developed, and there might be trial and error involved. There might be data sources out there that the Company might want to research, but I think we're at the beginning stages of what that might look like.

COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Okay. So at this point, you don't -- you don't know what sources if -- of information, if any, utility would have to contradict a self-declared submission by a customer?

THE WITNESS: I think for protected classes, medical need for service or people that have eviction clauses in their leases, I think it would be -- it is appropriate for people to self-declare those specific circumstances, and I think it would be tough to contest them, especially if we were clear in what those protected circumstances or protected groups were.

COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE HUGHES: All right. I believe that's
all of our questions of this witness.
Thank you for your time.
MR. ZAKAI: Your Honor?
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don't have access to all the information the community action agencies have; correct?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I could agree with that. Perhaps there would be a circular process that could be developed where there is an attempt at verification, and then information that was collected or not collected can be presented to the Commission in that respect.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. I appreciate --

Oh, go ahead if you weren't done.
THE WITNESS: Excuse me. It was just a suggestion. I'm finished.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay.
And that's all the questions I have. I really appreciate you being available this morning.

COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. I would like to just follow up.

Without getting information from another source, as a practical matter, how is the utility going to have information that would contradict what a customer self-declares?

THE WITNESS: I am not sure in that respect. I think this is an alternate proposal that is new territory in some respects in trying to balance the
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JUDGE HUGHES: Oh, sorry.
Redirect?
MR. ZAKAI: Yeah, could I have the opportunity for brief redirect?

JUDGE HUGHES: Yes. My -- we're a little off-script. So my apologies.

Go ahead.
MR. ZAKAI: No problem.
MS. BARNETT: Your Honor, I object to this. I don't think we usually redirect Commission questions.

JUDGE HUGHES: Oh. Double apologies.
MR. ZAKAI: This is something that I have seen before and does occur at the Commission.

MS. BARNETT: I disagree.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I'm sorry. We are going to move on, I think. My apologies.

So the witness is excused. Thank you for your time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
Thank you, Commissioners.
JUDGE HUGHES: And I believe witness Dahl was -- is witness Dahl present?

Okay. Please raise your right hand.
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COREY DAHL,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you.
Please introduce the witness and tender them for cross, and please indicate if there are any corrections to the pre-filed testimony.

MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GAFKEN:

## Q. Good morning.

Would you please state your name for the record and spell your last name.
A. Yes.

My name is Corey Dahl, C-O-R-E-Y; and my last name is Dahl, D-A-H-L.
Q. How are you employed and what is your occupation?
A. I'm employed as a regulatory analyst for the Washington State Office of the Attorney General within the public counsel unit.
Q. And are you testifying on behalf of public counsel today?
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disconnection to achieve positive outcomes.
Did you hear the testimony of witness Carol Wallace for PSE?

THE WITNESS: I did, yes.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Who essentially said that is that consequence, disconnection, that is -- was -- that's what makes that process work.

How do you respond to that?
THE WITNESS: I would respond to that by noting that the Company has, by their own admission, not conducted direct targeted outreach to customers without threatening disconnection. So we don't have any solid evidence that that type of outreach wouldn't work.

And, you know, the Company has said that they haven't conducted that outreach simply because they do not have the processes to do so. They haven't tried to conduct that outreach. They haven't tried to conduct that outreach without threatening disconnection.

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: So under public counsel's proposal, your proposal, and that's at the same exhibit, pages 29 through 2, you would under your proposal suggest that targeted outreach occur without the consequence of disconnection; is that correct?
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A. I am.
Q. Do you have any changes to your testimony or exhibits?
A. Yes, I do have one minor change. It is on page 30 of my testimony, Exhibit CJD-1T, page 30 at line 11.

I'll give folks a moment to get there if they need to.

Where it says 150 percent of the federal poverty line, I intended to say 200 percent of the federal poverty line.
Q. And is that the only change that you have to your testimony or exhibits?
A. Yes, it is.

MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.
Witness Dahl is available for questioning.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Corey Dahl, at your response testimony, CJD-1T at page 12, lines 5 through 8.

THE WITNESS: I'm there.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. You note that PSE directly targeted communication with customers who enter dunning appears to work, and that the Company does not have to put customers in line for
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THE WITNESS: Correct.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: What happens if this unknown becomes a known, then -- a hypothetical -- and your arrearages aren't being taken care of. What should the Commission do in that case under your proposal?

THE WITNESS: Can you state the question a little differently?

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Right.
Assuming we adopt your proposal --
THE WITNESS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: -- and it is making minimal impact on the arrearages. Without what is now the consequence of dunning, which is disconnection, what should the Commission do in your opinion then?

THE WITNESS: I guess that question is asking me to address a bit of a hypothetical where we don't have a lot of the information. I think, just as we're doing now, assessing a situation with the evidence we have with the understanding of the steps that have or have not been taken by the Company, you know, our recommendations are being based on that, and the recommendations are also being based on all of the voluminous data, research we have, about the harmful impacts of disconnections, particularly on vulnerable
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | populations. That's what we do know. And that's | 1 | make when they're faced with, you know, really |
| 2 | largely informing our recommendations here. | 2 | difficult financial decisions. That's what we do know. |
| 3 | In terms of a future state where we have to | 3 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: We also know |
| 4 | look at potential future outcomes of what may or may | 4 | that if the arrearages go up, we're going to see rate |
| 5 | not work, you know, I really can't make an assessment | 5 | impacts. So, I mean, there are equity considerations |
| 6 | without understanding what the situation is actually | 6 | in -- you know, in -- different ways of looking at the |
| 7 | like and what we're observing. And I don't think it | 7 | equity considerations. And so it's -- I hear what |
| 8 | would be appropriate to make recommendations without | 8 | you're saying. I just -- I think it's a -- it's a |
| 9 | understanding that. | 9 | tougher -- it's a tougher decision for us to make |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Fair enough. | 10 | because we really have to -- we have to figure out what |
| 11 | Thank you. | 11 | the contingencies are if either your proposal or |
| 12 | One last -- | 12 | Puget's proposal or any other proposal doesn't bring us |
| 13 | Or did you want to follow up? | 13 | the results we need. |
| 14 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: I did. | 14 | THE WITNESS: Right. And I definitely |
| 15 | Because basically, we are being asked to make | 15 | appreciate the weight of the decision here. There's a |
| 16 | a decision to either implement your proposal, which is | 16 | lot of complex factors here. |
| 17 | remove references to disconnections, and we have heard | 17 | But we do know that between the time that the |
| 18 | from the Company that if we do that, there's a chance | 18 | settlement agreement in the -- this GRC, I guess this |
| 19 | that you won't get the response that the Company needs, | 19 | GRC document was reached and the time that the Company |
| 20 | and suddenly, we're going up from \$160 million in | 20 | filed their petition to amend the settlement, you know, |
| 21 | arrearages up to, you know, whatever it could be, \$200 | 21 | I believe over a year had elapsed, and the Company |
| 22 | million. So, I mean, there's a lot riding on this | 22 | hadn't conducted or built the process to conduct |
| 23 | decision. | 23 | targeted outreach without the threat of disconnection |
| 24 | Why do you believe that your -- that your | 24 | outside of the dunning process. We know that they |
| 25 | proposal is more likely to be successful than the | 25 | didn't do that, and that hasn't been tried up to this |
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| 1 | current one or are we just -- are we taking that | 1 | point. So we, you know, can't comfortably speak to the |
| 2 | chance? I mean, how do you respond to that? Because | 2 | lack of success of that particular process. That |
| 3 | there is -- there is a lot riding on this decision if | 3 | hasn't been attempted by the Company. Yeah. |
| 4 | we take your suggestion. | 4 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: All right. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Right. I think with any | 5 | Thank you for that. |
| 6 | decision that the Commission makes, any decision that | 6 | And thank you for indulging me, Commissioner |
| 7 | the Company makes, there's always a chance that it may | 7 | Doumit. |
| 8 | not have the intended outcome. However, you've | 8 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Of course. |
| 9 | targeted communication with customers to understand | 9 | One more question, witness Dahl. Your |
| 10 | their options, to understand that they do indeed have a | 10 | alternative proposal also restricts the ability of PSE |
| 11 | past-due balance. You know, hopefully, we can get to a | 11 | to pursue the dunning process for particular groups of |
| 12 | place where customers are connected with the resources | 12 | vulnerable customers. Carol Wallace, PSE's witness, |
| 13 | they do know. | 13 | has testified that PSE does not retain such data about |
| 14 | And to my point of understanding potential | 14 | customers and raises the issue of privacy. |
| 15 | outcomes, one outcome we do know of a situation where | 15 | What is your recommendation for how PSE should |
| 16 | customers are threatened with disconnection or are | 16 | address this issue if the Commission was to adopt your |
| 17 | disconnected, there are disproportional impacts. | 17 | alternative recommendation? |
| 18 | That's an outcome we do know based on years of data | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, as I -- you know, one |
| 19 | gathered. And, you know, the Commission has an | 19 | thing that I do want to drive home, and I understand |
| 20 | obligation based on its own orders to make decisions | 20 | where your concerns are coming from. In my |
| 21 | with an equity lens and understand that, you know, no | 21 | recommendation, I do maintain that the Company retains |
| 22 | decision, no action that the Commission takes, that a | 22 | the burden of proof in this situation. That's a pretty |
| 23 | company takes is equity neutral, and we do have an | 23 | normal standard that the utility is held to in a |
| 24 | understanding of who is impacted by disconnections, how | 24 | variety of functions and decisions that are made before |
| 25 | they're impacted and the types of decisions they do | 25 | the Commission. So in my view, that's a pretty |

ordinary thing.
I guess in terms of specifics of how that's implemented, that's something that the Company should be working out with the Commission staff and the number of other parties that have been involved with this process. Based on what I heard from The Energy Project's witness Shaylee Stokes, I think there are some good ideas there and something to start working with. But, you know, public counsel is committed to working hard and very closely with all the interested parties to figure out a system that does work to make that happen.

COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Okay. Thank you.
Nothing further.
JUDGE HUGHES: I actually have a quick clarification.

You stated what the burden of proof is. Are you a lawyer?

THE WITNESS: Sorry. I couldn't tell who is asking me the question.

JUDGE HUGHES: Sorry. This is Judge Hughes.

You stated -- made a statement about the burden of proof. Are you an attorney? THE WITNESS: I am not, no.
today, and thank you for setting this important issue for adjudication.

When you issued the notice of intent to amend the final order in this proceeding, you did so because PSE's growing arrearage balances likely constitute a harm that was not fully anticipated at the time of the settlement agreement in this proceeding. Indeed, these growing arrearages are likely to have significant impacts on those customers who are accumulating larger and larger past-due balances, but they also have the potential to harm low- and moderate-income customers other than those if PSE is required to recover the arrearages in rates in the form of bad debt.

I'd like to begin by explaining why we're here today because PSE is not in the practice of requesting the Commission to amend a final order in this way. And so after the disconnection moratorium ended in 2022, PSE developed a gradual, phased-in resumption of field collection operations. They started with a small subset of customers and excluded known and estimated low-income customers and included only customers whose arrearages were over -- were $\$ 1,000$ or more. PSE discussed this phased-in approach with the Low Income Advisory Committee at its January 2022 meeting.

And by May 2022, PSE had initiated its return

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Are you an expert in burdens of proof? THE WITNESS: No. But, you know, I -JUDGE HUGHES: Just for the record. THE WITNESS: Right. But I have many years of experience in dealing with processes before the Commission, and I'm very familiar with a wide variety of types of proceedings before the Commission. So in that sense, I have a lot of knowledge about that. JUDGE HUGHES: Very good.
Please proceed.
COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: Nothing further.
Thank you.
JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you. Thank you for your time.

You are excused for the remainder of the proceeding.

And now, that brings us to the end of cross-examination. So let's get, I think, a few arguments in before we get to lunch.

So we are going to begin with the Company. MS. BARNETT: Thank you.
And good morning again, Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl, Commissioner Doumit, Judge Hughes, and Judge Howard. Thank you for your attention

$$
\text { Page } 373
$$

to dunning and provided a report on the progress of that to the Low Income Advisory Committee in its July meeting. You can read all the detailed minutes in Exhibit CLW-33, which we already discussed a little bit today. But in August 2022, PSE entered the settlement agreement in the general rate case, and that stated that PSE agrees to continue its existing credit and collection processes until the conclusion of the proceeding currently being conducted in Docket 210800. At the Low Income Advisory Committees November meeting, PSE provided an update of the dunning progress, and it was following that meeting that PSE learned that the other parties viewed PSE's dunning to be in conflict with the settlement agreement. PSE did not agree that it was in conflict since the phased-in approach was already in process, but they believed their concerns were in good faith. And so instead of moving forward, PSE paused the phased return and tried to work out a solution. That was unsuccessful, and PSE filed its petition to amend the final order in August 2023.

So that is why we're here and how we got here, but in the time it's taken us to get here, the arrearages that were already a problem in January 2022 have only grown to over $\$ 164$ million. The rulemaking
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docket is going into its third year with no end in sight.

No one disputes that the growing arrearages are a problem. The longer and the higher they grow, the bigger problem they become for those customers with past-due balances and all other customers in PSE's system. So PSE is requesting to resume its gradual phased return to dunning because it's the most fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient result that will protect vulnerable populations and minimize inequitable impacts.

PSE's dunning process is not intended to disconnect people. In fact, disconnections result in only a small fraction of those who enter the dunning process.

So what really happens in the dunning process? You heard it partly by Carol Wallace today, but when a customer enters dunning, PSE can then engage with that customer one-on-one to try and reduce or eliminate their past-due balance, but also to inform and educate that customer on the many assistance programs that might be available to them. PSE makes several phone calls to reach the customer. If those are unsuccessful, then PSE will mail out an urgent notice, a final notice, and then a disconnect notice.
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If the customer does not take action on the phone or mailed communications, then a disconnect notice is sent. If no action is taken on those, PSE will make a field visit to that customer, and if that customer is not home, a door hanger is left. But if the customer is home, that PSE representative can collect payment or can connect that customer with possible financial assistance or explain how to do that.

If the customer -- following all of these communications, if the customer takes no action, then the customer may be disconnected. In that case, they can be reconnected within four hours if they have remote capabilities, as Carol Wallace explained most people do now. And if they don't have remote capabilities, they're reconnected within 24 hours or same-day service if it's shorter.

PSE does not charge disconnection or reconnection fees. In the case of reconnection, a prior obligation is created that essentially provides the customer cannot fear disconnection based on that prior obligations. No customer will be disconnected without understanding that financial assistance may be available to them and understanding how to get that help. No customer will be disconnected without a
personal visit. No customer will fall through the cracks.

PSE's dunning system has built-in protections to help those customers who need financial assistance. The dunning process includes getting help to those who need it. If, on the other hand, certain populations are excluded from the dunning process, then those populations are more likely to fall through the cracks because they will never receive that level of engagement. PSE simply does not have the ability (technologically or human resources-wise) to identify or help these customers outside of the dunning process. But excluding certain populations from the dunning process means that their past-due balances only continue to grow.

It is important to remember that PSE's phased-in approach that PSC has, instead of just turning on dunning all at once, this benefits both customers and PSE. The arrearages are so large that PSE simply does not have the staffing available to handle all the past-due balances at once, so the phases allow customers time before they may enter dunning, and it allows PSE time to address the balances gradually. PSE's approach involves no additional costs because it is simply resuming a system that already exists. So
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|  | Page 378 |  | Page 380 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | was just a rubber stamp for PSE. But the record here, | 1 | PSE's low-income plans -- the BDR, bill discount rate, |
| 2 | specifically the minutes found in Exhibit CLW-33, show | 2 | the arrearage management program, the NP -- if those |
| 3 | that PSE presented this proposal to the LIAC as a fait | 3 | don't address the situation, PSE can file another |
| 4 | accompli. You can read the minutes. The | 4 | petition. At least we'll know. |
| 5 | representatives from the parties that were at that | 5 | Thank you. |
| 6 | meeting that are not PSE expressed repeated dismay | 6 | JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 7 | about their inability to provide feedback on PSE's | 7 | Public counsel? |
| 8 | proposal. | 8 | MS. GAFKEN: Thank you. |
| 9 | The second question is what do the public | 9 | PSE's request to modify its agreement to |
| 10 | service laws and the guidance offered by the Commission | 10 | maintain its credit and collection processes until the |
| 11 | in Cascades' 2021 general rate case about equity mean | 11 | Commission concludes its rulemaking demonstrates a lack |
| 12 | to utility operations? | 12 | of imagination and initiative and will place vulnerable |
| 13 | The Commission has suggested/stated that | 13 | customers in harmful situations. This is particularly |
| 14 | companies need to consider the consequences of their | 14 | true in light of PSE's lack of engagement with its Low |
| 15 | actions to ensure that inequities are not perpetuated | 15 | Income Advisory Group in presenting the issue and |
| 16 | or worsened. Here, you have -- and granted, it's an | 16 | discussing solutions. |
| 17 | equity analysis. I understand PSE's theory, which is | 17 | PSE refuses to acknowledge the harm |
| 18 | that they're looking at the populations swept up in the | 18 | disconnections cause to the most vulnerable, despite |
| 19 | resumption of dunning. But it's plain as day in | 19 | the significant research presented by public counsel |
| 20 | Exhibit CLW-39X. I mean, literally, it's a one-word | 20 | witness Professor David Konisky. |
| 21 | answer: No. They did not consider the equitable | 21 | While the research is based on national data, |
| 22 | impacts of their proposal. So before the Commission is | 22 | Witness Konisky notes that there is no indication that |
| 23 | a proposal that's unvetted by the LIAC and for which | 23 | Washington's data would be significantly different than |
| 24 | there is no meaningful equity analysis. | 24 | the data he has worked with. |
| 25 | Given those facts, what should the Commission | 25 | It is important to understand the difference |
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| 1 | do? As the Commission has clearly expressed in this | 1 | between the entire group of customers who fall behind |
| 2 | hearing, there's a lot of concern about PSE's growing | 2 | in their bills versus the group of customers who |
| 3 | arrearages. How do you balance the need to ensure that | 3 | actually get disconnected. Witness Konisky's testimony |
| 4 | PSE is recovering that money with the equitable | 4 | addresses the segment of customers who actually get |
| 5 | concerns that are kind of at the forefront of where | 5 | disconnected, the impact they experience, and the |
| 6 | regulation is going? There are proposals from each of | 6 | coping behaviors they engage in to survive. All of |
| 7 | the parties, which staff joins, as you heard from | 7 | this is important context with which to view PSE's |
| 8 | Ms. Hawkins-Jones, which is to allow a resumption of | 8 | request to modify its settlement commitment. |
| 9 | notice, specific outreach to customers to see if that | 9 | In PSE's last rate case, it agreed to continue |
| 10 | works, and limited resumption of PSE's ability to | 10 | its existing credit and collection processes until the |
| 11 | disconnect customers, namely, customers who do not fall | 11 | conclusion of the proceeding currently being conducted |
| 12 | within certain specific categories. And those | 12 | in Docket U-210800. PSE's existing credit and |
| 13 | categories are based on equitable concerns; right? | 13 | collection processes includes not disconnecting |
| 14 | I think -- I shouldn't say "I think." | 14 | customers with less than \$1,000 in arrearages. This |
| 15 | When the Commission balances those factors and | 15 | term continues to be in the public interest. |
| 16 | makes its decision, I think -- I said it again. When | 16 | And, frankly, PSE understood the deal it made, |
| 17 | the Commission balances those factors, it should | 17 | and it should maintain its commitment. |
| 18 | consider the fact that no party in this proceeding says | 18 | Not only should the Commission reject PSE's |
| 19 | that this is a problem that you wave a magic wand and | 19 | request to modify its agreement, but the Commission |
| 20 | it disappears tomorrow. Even PSE is recommending a | 20 | should order PSE to conduct targeted outreach to all |
| 21 | phased approach. There is no reason not to try a | 21 | past-due customers, regardless of the past-due balances |
| 22 | phased approach wherein you accept the proposals from | 22 | or status in PSE's dunning process. |
| 23 | the various parties, the Joint Environmental Advocates, | 23 | PSE argues that it could not have known that |
| 24 | TEP, public counsel, staff, see what happens. And if | 24 | the rulemaking in Docket U-210800 would still be |
| 25 | the Commission finds itself here in a year after all of | 25 | pending and that the magnitude of arrearages |
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| 1 | necessitates changes to its settlement commitment. | 1 | may not be able to easily observe how they're doing |
| 2 | First, rule-makings are often long processes, taking | 2 | that. Some of those behaviors are described in his |
| 3 | years to complete in many instances. Even when the | 3 | pre-filed testimony and illustrate risky behavior that |
| 4 | hope is to quickly move through a rulemaking, they can | 4 | people engage in to make their circumstances work. |
| 5 | be time-consuming. PSE filed its petition | 5 | These stressors and risky behaviors can be addressed |
| 6 | approximately 16 months after the rulemaking commenced | 6 | through proactive, effective communication that does |
| 7 | in earnest, which is optimistic and extremely fast | 7 | not threaten disconnection. |
| 8 | under the best of times. | 8 | PSE claims that it could not communicate with |
| 9 | Not only do rulemakings take time and move | 9 | customers under the settlement agreement. This |
| 10 | slower than litigation, but all of the parties | 10 | argument rings hollow. Essentially, PSE argues that it |
| 11 | practicing before the Commission were aware of the | 11 | could not communicate with customers about their |
| 12 | recent struggles the Commission had with staffing and | 12 | accounts unless they also threatened to disconnect. |
| 13 | capacity. And given statutory requirements, we knew | 13 | PSE argues that it could not communicate with customers |
| 14 | that litigation would be prioritized over rulemakings | 14 | about options to help with arrearages before they were |
| 15 | if the Commission had to make tough choices about what | 15 | at risk of being cut off from life-sustaining, |
| 16 | it could handle when. PSE's argument that it could not | 16 | essential services. Frankly, this does not make sense. |
| 17 | have known that the rulemaking would take time to | 17 | PSE can communicate with customers at any time to go |
| 18 | complete is simply not plausible. | 18 | over the status of their accounts and offer assistance. |
| 19 | Second, while public counsel shares PSE's | 19 | Indeed, the settlement agreement does not |
| 20 | concern about the growing arrearages, public counsel | 20 | address or limit which customers could receive direct, |
| 21 | does not believe that the answer is to allow the | 21 | targeted outreach to increase access to assistance |
| 22 | Company to disconnect customers. The intention was | 22 | programs and reduce arrearages. |
| 23 | never to allow people to rack up arrearages such that | 23 | PSE Witness Wallace testified this morning |
| 24 | they would never be able to pay. More precisely, the | 24 | that PSE used generic, nontargeted communications with |
| 25 | intention was never to set customers up for failure. | 25 | all customers and stated that the Company did not see |
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| 1 | Customers remain liable for the energy they | 1 | an uptick to access to assistance. The type of |
| 2 | use, even when they fall behind in their bills, and the | 2 | communication that public counsel and others are |
| 3 | goal is to more adequately, efficiently, and equitably | 3 | advocating for is targeted, specific communication, not |
| 4 | address situations where customers are unable to afford | 4 | generic postcard-type communication. Targeted |
| 5 | their energy bills. | 5 | communication is two-way communication with a customer |
| 6 | The impact of disconnection is severe on the | 6 | that takes into account what that customer needs. |
| 7 | most vulnerable, as described by Witness Konisky and | 7 | Generic communication can result, as we've seen, in |
| 8 | the research that he presents, and public counsel | 8 | little response. |
| 9 | believes that PSE should have been communicating with | 9 | PSE Witness Wallace also indicated that PSE is |
| 10 | all customers in arrears to improve access to | 10 | unable to communicate with customers outside of its |
| 11 | comprehensive bill and arrearage management. Instead, | 11 | dunning process because PSE lacks an internal process |
| 12 | PSE only communicated with customers after they reached | 12 | to do so. Witness Dahl also described that when he was |
| 13 | the \$1,000 threshold and were at risk of disconnection. | 13 | questioned earlier. |
| 14 | This, in my mind, is an utter failure. Failure to | 14 | The Company had more than a year before filing |
| 15 | communicate with customers in a way that did not | 15 | its petition to amend to adjust their processes to |
| 16 | threaten disconnection but that would improve access to | 16 | create a more inclusive, equitable outreach process |
| 17 | assistance, thus reducing arrearages, was and continues | 17 | without threatening disconnection. In other words, to |
| 18 | to be needed to prevent mounting arrearages and the | 18 | communicate outside of its dunning process. They chose |
| 19 | harm caused by disconnections. | 19 | not to. That PSE could not figure out how to do that |
| 20 | As Professor Konisky testified this morning, | 20 | communication outside of its dunning process does not |
| 21 | stressors grow as arrearages grow, but lower arrearage | 21 | mean that the Commission should release the Company |
| 22 | amounts can also create stressors, causing customers to | 22 | from its settlement commitment. |
| 23 | forego other essentials. | 23 | Moreover, even though PSE's per-customer |
| 24 | Professor Konisky also referred to the ways | 24 | arrearages appear higher than Avista's, Cascade's, and |
| 25 | that people may be addressing those stressors that we | 25 | NW Natural's per-customer arrearages, PSE is on par |
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| 1 | with PacifiCorp. This is despite PacifiCorp having a | 1 | under 5 years of age, households with vulnerable |
| 2 | much lower disconnection threshold of \$50 compared to | 2 | adults, renters at risk of becoming homeless, and |
| 3 | PSE's $\$ 1,000$. This indicates that the ability to | 3 | households with medical need or fragility. |
| 4 | threaten disconnections at a lower threshold does not | 4 | 3. These groups of vulnerable customers that |
| 5 | necessarily result in lower past-due balances. | 5 | I just enumerated could receive additional outreach and |
| 6 | Instead of allowing PSE to modify its | 6 | referrals. Customers may self-declare their |
| 7 | settlement, the Commission should deny the request and | 7 | vulnerability, and PSE should be required to inform |
| 8 | order PSE to immediately begin communicating with all | 8 | customers of the process to self-declare. |
| 9 | customers who are in arrears without the threat of | 9 | 4. PSE will provide contact information for |
| 10 | disconnection, but with the intention of working with | 10 | the self-declared customers to community action |
| 11 | customers to bring them current on their bills. This | 11 | agencies for further outreach. |
| 12 | communication is described by public counsel witness | 12 | 5. Lastly, bad debt will continue to be |
| 13 | Corey Dahl as targeted outreach to all customers, | 13 | allocated among customer classes in line with the |
| 14 | including those with less than \$1,000 in arrears, | 14 | current allocation methodology. |
| 15 | without the threat of disconnection. | 15 | Disconnections produce inequities. PSE's |
| 16 | Thus far, I have been describing public | 16 | proposal offers no clear pathway to mitigate or repair |
| 17 | counsel's preferred outcome for this matter. If, | 17 | inequities resulting from disconnections. Public |
| 18 | however, the Commission decides to modify PSE's | 18 | counsel offers a pathway to protect the most vulnerable |
| 19 | agreement, the Commission should establish strong | 19 | customers, reduce existing arrearages, and slow the |
| 20 | protections for particularly vulnerable customers to | 20 | growth of new arrearages. |
| 21 | mitigate inequities inherent in the disconnection | 21 | Now, I did not cover each point made by public |
| 22 | process. | 22 | witnesses Corey Dahl or David Konisky in my remarks |
| 23 | I want to be clear that public counsel is not | 23 | today. Those testimonies contain a wealth of detail |
| 24 | advocating that the Commission modify the settlement, | 24 | and cover issues that I did not specifically touch |
| 25 | but in the event that the Commission decides to do so, | 25 | upon. Those details and issues remain important to the |
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| 1 | we have recommendations about the protections that | 1 | Commission s decision in this case, and I encourage the |
| 2 | should also be included. | 2 | Commission to closely study the testimonies and |
| 3 | The term that PSE seeks to modify was a key | 3 | exhibits presented not only by public counsel, but also |
| 4 | term geared towards protecting PSE's most vulnerable | 4 | by The Energy Project; the Joint Environmental |
| 5 | customers from disconnection. As witness Dahl | 5 | Advocates, which consists of Front and Centered, Sierra |
| 6 | indicated earlier this morning, no action is equity | 6 | Club, and NWEC; and by Commission staff. |
| 7 | neutral, and the outcome of PSE's desire to modify the | 7 | Thank you for your time today. |
| 8 | settlement term is to move more people into the dunning | 8 | I also want to take a moment to express my |
| 9 | process, which will undoubtedly push more customers | 9 | deep gratitude of being able to appear before this body |
| 10 | into disconnection. | 10 | as public counsel. Representing customers has been my |
| 11 | With that in mind, as described fully in | 11 | deep honor and privilege for the last 12 years, but |
| 12 | Witness Dahl's testimony, if the Commission modifies | 12 | this will be my last appearance before you in my |
| 13 | the settlement, the Commission should also: | 13 | current role. The next time I appear before you, I |
| 14 | 1. Require PSE to conduct individual, | 14 | will have joined the UTC Division. |
| 15 | targeted outreach to all customers, regardless of | 15 | And I genuinely and enthusiastically look |
| 16 | class, who have past-due balances. This outreach | 16 | forward to the next chapter in my career, but I do |
| 17 | should be done without language that threatens | 17 | leave this chapter with great pride and appreciation. |
| 18 | disconnections or that suggests that disconnection is | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | the only alternative to full payment. | 19 | JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you. |
| 20 | 2. Certain groups are particularly vulnerable | 20 | AWEC? |
| 21 | and subject to harm. These groups are: | 21 | MS. MOSER: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 22 | Known low-income customers, estimated | 22 | AWEC appreciates the opportunity to offer a |
| 23 | low-income customers, customers with the "deepest need" | 23 | closing argument. We have been monitoring this |
| 24 | as defined in PSE's 2021 CEIP conditions, households in | 24 | proceeding; however, we did not file pre-filed |
| 25 | highly impacted communities, households with children | 25 | testimony, and we don't have a recommendation for the |
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| 1 | Commission at this time. And therefore, I am not | 1 | Instead of attempting to challenge TEP's |
| 2 | offering a substantive closing argument on behalf of | 2 | finding of statistical significance, PSE's rebuttal |
| 3 | AWEC today. | 3 | testimony invents their own threshold by which to |
| 4 | Thanks. | 4 | measure disproportionate impacts. PSE states that it |
| 5 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. | 5 | is, quote, "operating on the philosophy," end quote, |
| 6 | The Energy Project? | 6 | that a proposal is inequitable if it is twice as likely |
| 7 | MR. ZAKAI: Good morning, Chair Danner, | 7 | to threaten disconnection to selected demographic |
| 8 | Commissioners Rendahl and Doumit, administrative law | 8 | groups. TEP strongly disagrees that PSE's threshold is |
| 9 | judges. | 9 | the appropriate measure of disproportionate impact. |
| 10 | PSE's testimony repeatedly states that | 10 | PSE's philosophy is arbitrary and not supported with |
| 11 | disconnections are a last resort. Setting aside PSE's | 11 | any reference, so the Commission should not use it. |
| 12 | words, let's examine the actions PSE proposes to take. | 12 | As noted by Professor Konisky, it's reasonable |
| 13 | PSE proposes to resume threatening | 13 | to find that a disproportionate and inequitable impact |
| 14 | disconnections. PSE does not propose to provide | 14 | exists even if the threshold selected by PSE is not |
| 15 | residential customers any additional or incremental | 15 | met. In sum, the Commission should reject PSE's |
| 16 | protections than is done today, and PSE does not | 16 | measure of disproportionate impact and find that PSE's |
| 17 | propose any additional outreach to past-due customers. | 17 | proposal is likely to produce a disproportionate impact |
| 18 | Under PSE's proposal, threatening disconnections is | 18 | on named communities. |
| 19 | business as usual. And those threats have serious, | 19 | In this section of my closing, I want to |
| 20 | often disparate consequences on people's lives. | 20 | discuss the problems with PSE's proposal. TEP expected |
| 21 | The Commission should reject PSE's proposal as | 21 | a meticulously crafted proposal from PSE commensurate |
| 22 | inconsistent with the public interest. Instead, the | 22 | with the resources of a large utility seeking to break |
| 23 | Commission should adopt TEP's approach of requiring PSE | 23 | a settlement agreement it signed. Instead, PSE's |
| 24 | to perform outreach without threatening disconnection, | 24 | testimony included a sloppy and an incomplete proposal. |
| 25 | which is shown to be effective. | 25 | There was a limited amount of time for this |
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| 1 | TEP's testimony describes two types of | 1 | adjudication, and these problems hindered parties' |
| 2 | disproportionate impacts from disconnection. First, | 2 | ability to evaluate and respond to PSE's proposal in a |
| 3 | the consequences of losing utility service are more | 3 | timely manner. |
| 4 | severe or longer-lasting for certain groups. No party | 4 | As an initial matter, the dates for PSE's |
| 5 | in this proceeding contests the literature presented | 5 | phases are irrational. Following the dates in PSE's |
| 6 | that establishes that losing utility service has a more | 6 | proposals would have resumed additional disconnections |
| 7 | severe impact on people of color, families with young | 7 | in November 2023, a month before other parties |
| 8 | children, low-income customers, renters, the medically | 8 | submitted their testimony and well in advance of |
| 9 | fragile, or other named communities. | 9 | today s hearing. |
| 10 | The second type of impact is the utility | 10 | Second, PSE's proposal included arrearage data |
| 11 | subjecting a disproportionate number of customers in | 11 | from all customers, including those which PSE already |
| 12 | certain demographic groups to threats of disconnection. | 12 | put in the disconnection queue and those with inactive |
| 13 | TEP analyzed the customers which PSE proposes to | 13 | accounts. If a customer is already in the dunning |
| 14 | threaten with disconnections and found, on page 18 of | 14 | process and receiving disconnection notices, then PSE |
| 15 | Alex Pfeifer-Rosenblum's testimony, that they are | 15 | is not proposing to change its dunning process for that |
| 16 | statistically more likely to be estimated low-income, | 16 | customer. Similarly, an inactive account, by |
| 17 | energy-burdened, in a highly impacted community, and in | 17 | definition, does not have current service from PSE. |
| 18 | a highly vulnerable population. PSE does not dispute | 18 | Therefore, PSE cannot disconnect those accounts, and it |
| 19 | TEP's finding of statistical significance because it | 19 | makes no sense for them to be included in the phased |
| 20 | cannot. | 20 | dunning proposal at all. |
| 21 | In addition, TEP found that when low-income | 21 | Put simply, PSE does not propose to change its |
| 22 | customers with arrearages of over \$1,000 are included, | 22 | dunning process for inactive customers or customers |
| 23 | the inequitable outcomes of PSE's proposal increase | 23 | already in dunning, so accepting PSE's proposal will |
| 24 | beyond those found in the table on page 18 of | 24 | have no financial impact on those arrearages. |
| 25 | Pfeifer-Rosenblum's testimony. | 25 | Finally, and most concerning, PSE's proposal |
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| 1 | concerning low-income customers with past-due balances | 1 | enhanced energy assistance program, which is finally |
| 2 | over \$1,000 changed in its rebuttal testimony, and PSE | 2 | designed to decrease energy burden to 6 percent of |
| 3 | did not acknowledge that it was a change. After | 3 | household income. However, no permanent arrearage |
| 4 | reading PSE's direct testimony, TEP worked in good | 4 | management plan exists. TEP looks forward to launching |
| 5 | faith and asked discovery to determine exactly what PSE | 5 | one in October. But even after a customer enrolls in |
| 6 | proposed. PSE's first response to TEP s discovery, | 6 | the arrearage management plan, it will take 12 months |
| 7 | found in Exhibit APR-3, clarified that its proposal | 7 | of on-time payments before that past-due balance is |
| 8 | would not resume disconnections on these vulnerable | 8 | forgiven. This means that for the plan to have an |
| 9 | customers. Later, PSE contradicted itself without | 9 | impact on -- sorry, for the plan to have a full impact |
| 10 | acknowledging a change in position. | 10 | on PSE's arrearage levels, low-income customers will |
| 11 | TEP finds PSE's failure to acknowledge a | 11 | need to be enrolled for 12 months, which is not even |
| 12 | significant change in its position concerning the most | 12 | possible until the fourth quarter of 2025. Thus, it's |
| 13 | vulnerable low-income customers very concerning. Thus, | 13 | unreasonable to resume widespread disconnections at |
| 14 | TEP requests that the Commission's order in this matter | 14 | this time when customers have only had a few months to |
| 15 | reiterate its expectations that a utility's initial | 15 | sign up for the bill discount rate, and the permanent |
| 16 | testimony include specific, actionable, and complete | 16 | arrearage management plan will not show results until |
| 17 | proposals. | 17 | the fourth quarter of 2025 -- sorry, will not show full |
| 18 | Next, l'd like to address the record | 18 | results until the fourth quarter of 2025. |
| 19 | concerning a connection between increasing arrears and | 19 | Next, TEP demonstrated that PSE's rate impact |
| 20 | residential disconnections. PSE's petition is premised | 20 | calculations are inflated, but we, of course, |
| 21 | on the allegation that there is a link between the | 21 | acknowledge that other customers pay for energy |
| 22 | dollar threshold for residential disconnections and | 22 | assistance programs and bad debt. As |
| 23 | residential customer arrears. The record does not | 23 | Pfeifer-Rosenblum's testimony shows, PSE's rate impact |
| 24 | support PSE's allegation. | 24 | estimates are not an accurate reflection of failing to |
| 25 | First, Pfeifer-Rosenblum demonstrated on page | 25 | implement their proposal. Wallace's rebuttal testimony |
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| 1 | 24 that PSE's arrearage forecast was 15 percent higher | 1 | in tables 3 through 6 includes updated rate impact |
| 2 | than actual arrearages in 2023. | 2 | estimates. These updated estimates suffer from the |
| 3 | Second, Pfeifer-Rosenblum demonstrates that | 3 | same shortcomings as PSE's original estimates by |
| 4 | the utility in the state with the highest per-customer | 4 | inappropriately including inactive customers who cannot |
| 5 | residential arrears is PacifiCorp, not PSE. Yet | 5 | be disconnected. |
| 6 | PacifiCorp is also the utility with the lowest | 6 | These one-time rate impacts from pandemic-era |
| 7 | disconnection threshold, currently set at \$50. Put | 7 | arrearages becoming uncollectable bad debt -- sorry. |
| 8 | simply, the Commission cannot rely on PSE's arrearage | 8 | Let me restate that. |
| 9 | forecast, and the record in this proceeding | 9 | What we're dealing with here are one-time rate |
| 10 | demonstrates no correlation between a utility's | 10 | impacts from pandemic-era arrearages becoming |
| 11 | disconnection dollar threshold and its level of | 11 | uncollectable bad debt. So if the Commission wants to |
| 12 | residential arrearages. | 12 | reduce the rate impact on other customers further, it |
| 13 | So if reducing the disconnection threshold is | 13 | would be appropriate to spread recovery of the costs of |
| 14 | not the solution, then what is? TEP proposes that PSE | 14 | pandemic-era arrearages over multiple years. |
| 15 | perform outreach to past-due customers without | 15 | Next, the ongoing rate impacts alleged in |
| 16 | threatening disconnection and to offer low-income | 16 | Wallace's rebuttal testimony on pages 28-29 are |
| 17 | customers a permanent arrearage management plan. Why | 17 | similarly inflated. PSE's rounded rate impact estimate |
| 18 | is this? Because the data shows that the same | 18 | was 1 percent, but Wallace's testimony describes that 1 |
| 19 | residential customers have larger past-due balances now | 19 | percent. But, as I demonstrated in cross-examination, |
| 20 | than they did before. TEP interprets this data to show | 20 | if you show the decimal places, residential rate |
| 21 | that the previous generation of bill assistance | 21 | impacts, which TEP believes is the correct measure, |
| 22 | programs did not sufficiently reduce low-income | 22 | setting aside commercial and industrial, are only |
| 23 | customers' energy burden to prevent further | 23 | 0.74 percent for electric and 0.49 percent for gas. |
| 24 | accumulation of arrears or reach enough customers. | 24 | Next, PSE's rate estimate inappropriately |
| 25 | As we know, in October, PSE launched its | 25 | includes inactive customers. |
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a customer class. A modern example would be net metering, where a state policy promoting distributed generation subsidizes mostly well-resourced homeowners to install solar. The history of cross-subsidization within a customer class is more storied. For over a hundred years, utilities have used postage stamp rates to promote rural electrification, an important public interest. Back then, the state decided that urban customers, who cost less to serve, should pay the same as rural customers, who cost more to serve. This cross-subsidization largely achieved the public interest goal of providing universal access to electric service. Yet even today, the Commission allows rural customers, who cost more to serve, to pay the same rates as urban customers. Why? Because it is in the public interest to keep those customers connected to utility service.

Some rural customers are wealthy and can afford to pay their full cost of their service. But the Commission does not ask those wealthy customers to pay more. I'd like to dwell on this point for a moment. We know with certainty that some rural customers are wealthy, and we know that rural customers cost more to serve than urban customers. Yet the principle of universal access to service has, for over
a hundred years, justified this Commission allowing urban customers to subsidize rural customers' rates, even the wealthy ones. If the Commission $s$ disconnection policy similarly allows cross-subsidization for some wealthy customers, that is a reasonable outcome because it prevents severe harm on vulnerable neighbors in need.

In furtherance of the public interest in maintaining utility access to service, the Commission has repeatedly affirmed that postage stamp rates are reasonable. And it's in furtherance of this same public interest, maintaining equitable access to utility service for vulnerable customers, that The Energy Project asks the Commission to reject PSE's petition and adopt its recommendations.

Thank you.
JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you.
Okay. The Joint Environmental Advocates?
MR. HASSELMAN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Jan Hasselman on behalf of the Joint Environmental Advocates. Thanks for the opportunity to be here today.

I have two points I'd like to make about the testimony and evidence we've heard today. It's about the process by which this decision is being made and
then the substance of what PSE is seeking from this Commission.

Before I do, I'd like to say a few words about why the Joint Environmental Advocates are here, why we are using our scarce resources on a ratemaking case about utility disconnections.

Our primary role here is to advocate that communities who historically have been left out of decisions that directly and negatively impact them have a voice.

Chairman Danner, you highlighted that the stakes are high. We agree. The stakes are high because the decisions being made here have real-world impacts on families and individuals, you know, people at risk of homelessness or people who have to choose between utility bills and medicine or whatever. And, as we all know, those people and those communities have been disproportionately impacted by the historically inequitable policies around disconnections, and here, we have the power to either continue or to upend those historic practices.

We have seen and we appreciate the Commission's efforts to center equity and procedural justice in utility proceedings. We see and we appreciate PSE's acknowledgment that these are
important values. And our role, as we see it, is to hold everybody accountable to those commitments.

So let me explain briefly why the proposal that PSE has brought to you doesn't pass that test, and I want to channel Mr. Roberson and talk a little bit about the process.

First, this just isn't the appropriate docket in which to be making major changes to ongoing disconnection practices that have such consequential impacts to people's lives. PSE has asked you to upend dunning and disconnection practices that were put in place to protect the most vulnerable customers in the context of backing out of a ratemaking settlement. This is not the kind of open process where all the people potentially impacted could be heard and fully participate, and it does mean that different people will be subject to different standards depending on what utility is serving them.

As we have discussed, there is a docket that is suitable to address these kinds of questions. U-210800 will apply equally to all the utilities so that disconnection practices won't vary by the happenstance of which utility serves you, and it's open to all the stakeholders and impacted people to be heard and considered.

I also want to draw the Commission's attention in particular to Ms. Thuraisingham's testimony on this point. Ms. Thuraisingham represents Front and Centered, which is an organization devoted to communities that have historically been harmed by structural racism or excluded from decision-making processes and underserved by the benefits of the clean energy transition. Front and Centered's intervention in PSE's ratemaking case was a first for them. They overcame their hesitation about the burdens of participation because it was important for them to advocate for equitable concerns for disadvantaged communities.

And the outcome, the settlement that Front and Centered and all the other parties worked hard to negotiate and execute was a fair one. They not only got what they wanted, but it felt like there was a shared commitment to centering equity. That's why they were dismayed when PSE returned to the Commission to walk back those commitments without even engaging in any conversation with the settling parties.

Ms. Thuraisingham asks: Why would a group like Front and Centered use its scarce resources to engage and negotiate a settlement when one of the parties can just come back to the Commission and walk

So if procedural justice means something, it means ensuring that everyone impacted has an opportunity to be heard and their interests considered. And when we're talking about turning off electricity or the heat, we should tread especially carefully.

Second, we also are troubled by the way that PSE has handled this. The parties came together and negotiated a settlement. This issue was part of that settlement. It was part of the total package of compromise that everyone made to reach a comprehensive deal. And instead of coming back to the parties, PSE has surprised everybody with this filing.

And, as Ms. Thompson lays out in her testimony, PSE implied that the Low Income Advisory Committee had worked collaboratively with the Company on their proposed return to pre-pandemic practices. They didn't. PSE mentioned in a few committee meetings that it was internally discussing options to lower arrearages, then eventually presented a fully-baked plan to the Committee that they had no part in designing and had major concerns with.

Now, even if the Company isn't obligated to seek consensus with the Committee, it has an obligation to bring issues like this before it, seek input, and at least strive for consensus.
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## Page 403

|  | Page 406 |  | Page 408 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | pollution, poverty, low life expectancy, and a host of | 1 | proposals that are in front of the Commission for a |
| 2 | other issues. We know now that the historic status-quo | 2 | decision, they are not as simple as the -- what the |
| 3 | practices are inequitable and making things worse. | 3 | other parties have described them. They are not just a |
| 4 | And, really, the only difference from the | 4 | direct targeted outreach or increasing more one-on-one. |
| 5 | status-quo practice and what PSE has proposed is | 5 | They are -- they include things like cutting out all |
| 6 | putting vulnerable communities and families towards the | 6 | the estimated, known low-income, approximately half of |
| 7 | end of the disconnection queue. That s only delaying | 7 | all of the people that would be in dunning or half of |
| 8 | harm rather than grappling with it. | 8 | the arrearages that would be addressed. That's the |
| 9 | The past few years have taught us that our | 9 | proposal that we have seen, cutting out half of them. |
| 10 | usual solutions aren't working, And just going back to | 10 | And that is ineffective. |
| 11 | the way we used to do things just shouldn't be on the | 11 | But also, the proposals include much more, |
| 12 | table. There are -- as you have heard today, there are | 12 | such as gathering data that is frankly sensitive and |
| 13 | new programs in place to address the arrearage issues: | 13 | personal for which PSE has no way to gather and no way |
| 14 | The new bill discount rate program, the arrearage | 14 | to maintain. There's discussion of bringing in a third |
| 15 | management program. These are big successes for the | 15 | party. The parties don't know if their proposals will |
| 16 | utility, for the state. They are designed | 16 | work. They don't know how long it would take to |
| 17 | collaboratively to lower longstanding historic barriers | 17 | implement them. They don't even know how PSE will |
| 18 | to bill assistance. Our representatives participate in | 18 | gather the data necessary to adopt them. |
| 19 | the Low Income Advisory Committee, and it was their | 19 | I heard a couple times and read in the |
| 20 | understanding that these programs would make a | 20 | testimony that they hope that the proposal will result |
| 21 | significant impact on reducing existing arrearages and | 21 | in reduced arrearages, and PSE has shown that without |
| 22 | making monthly bills more affordable to keep future | 22 | that urgent one-on-one communication with a customer |
| 23 | arrearages at bay. PSE is pretty dismissive of these | 23 | that includes the consequences of nonpayment, that |
| 24 | efforts before they've even had a chance to get | 24 | those types of discussions do not -- or targeted |
| 25 | meaningfully underway. | 25 | outreach, that without that consequence information, it |
|  | Page 407 |  | Page 409 |
| 1 | So, in sum, the other parties have put forward | 1 | doesn't work. But PSE's proposal, on the other hand, |
| 2 | to you reasonable compromise proposals. I want to | 2 | does work. They know this through years of using it |
| 3 | emphasize that's not what we are asking for. What we | 3 | and even have the Covid -- the time during Covid to |
| 4 | are asking for is a bolstered approach to PSE's | 4 | show that without that information, customers don't |
| 5 | residential customers with less than \$1,000 in | 5 | pay. And with that information, they do. And they are |
| 6 | arrearages and adherence to the settlement proposal | 6 | provided financial assistance. |
| 7 | until the other docket is resolved. | 7 | Importantly, the proposals that you've heard |
| 8 | But, to the extent that the Commission feels | 8 | today all significantly will raise rates. They will |
| 9 | like it needs to address this issue here, there are | 9 | raise rates for all customers, including the customers |
| 10 | fair proposals that provide fair outcomes that get PSE | 10 | that the -- that the advocates are attempting to |
| 11 | making progress on arrearages without putting | 11 | protect. |
| 12 | vulnerable people at excess risk. | 12 | But I want to just underscore some of the |
| 13 | So thank you for the opportunity to appear. | 13 | information that the data is -- that the other parties |
| 14 | We are asking you to defer this conversation to the | 14 | are asking us to gather. These include children's |
| 15 | other docket or to adopt The Energy Project's | 15 | ages, rental status and, in the case of public counsel, |
| 16 | compromise proposal. | 16 | even mental and physical health status. This is not -- |
| 17 | JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you. | 17 | this information is not necessary to provide power, to |
| 18 | Rebuttal? | 18 | provide electrical or gas service, and PSE should not |
| 19 | MS. BARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor. | 19 | be gathering it. And in the case of public counsel, |
| 20 | Just a quick summary because I heard some | 20 | they even want us to gather information on medical |
| 21 | things that I think we need to address. | 21 | fragility without even defining what medical fragility |
| 22 | First, I would like to start by underscoring | 22 | means. So it's clear that the other proposals are not |
| 23 | that Judge -- or I'm sorry. Chair Danner was correct | 23 | thought out. They are not vetted. They are based on |
| 24 | when he said that the Commission needs to decide on a | 24 | hope, and they will raise rates. |
| 25 | proposal that's in front of them. And though -- the | 25 | And PSE is only requesting what every other |


|  | Page 410 |  | Page 412 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | utility in this state is already doing, to resume the | 1 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: Lisa, thank you |
| 2 | dunning process and, in this case, in a phased, gradual | 2 | for all you have done as public counsel for all these |
| 3 | way that will protect those most vulnerable. | 3 | years. I look forward to you coming to UTC (Zoom audio |
| 4 | Thank you. | 4 | feedback). |
| 5 | JUDGE HUGHES: All right. Thank you. | 5 | Thank you for all you do. |
| 6 | I have a few other housekeeping items before | 6 | COMMISSIONER DOUMIT: (Zoom audio |
| 7 | we adjourn. | 7 | feedback. Inaudible.) |
| 8 | So public comments. | 8 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And there are many |
| 9 | Ms. Gafken, how long does public counsel need | 9 | comments coming in as well. |
| 10 | to compile any public comments received regarding this | 10 | MS. GAFKEN: Thank you very much. |
| 11 | proceeding? | 11 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. |
| 12 | MS. GAFKEN: I think one week should be | 12 | Thank you to all the parties, witnesses, and |
| 13 | sufficient to do that. So next Thursday. | 13 | representatives. |
| 14 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. | 14 | We are adjourned. |
| 15 | We will designate that as a bench exhibit and | 15 | Thank you. |
| 16 | number it as Bench Exhibit 1, and it will be filed in | 16 | (The hearing adjourned at 12:22 p.m.) |
| 17 | the docket on the 25th. Yeah? | 17 |  |
| 18 | MS. GAFKEN: Thank you. | 18 |  |
| 19 | JUDGE HUGHES: Otherwise, the record will | 19 |  |
| 20 | close at the end of this hearing unless the Commission | 20 |  |
| 21 | issues any bench requests, which I believe we have a | 21 |  |
| 22 | few forthcoming, or issues a notice that it is | 22 |  |
| 23 | reopening the record. | 23 |  |
| 24 | Okay. So is there anything else we need to | 24 |  |
| 25 | address today? | 25 |  |
|  | Page 411 |  | Page 413 |
| 1 | MS. BARNETT: I'm sorry, Judge Hughes. I | 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | wasn't clear. Is the -- the ALJ is going to issue | 2 |  |
| 3 | those bench requests in writing or should we just use | 3 | STATE OF WASHINGTON |
| 4 | our notes? | 4 | COUNTY OF PIERCE |
| 5 | JUDGE HUGHES: We'll be issuing those in | 6 | I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and |
| 6 | writing. | 7 | for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the |
| 7 | MS. BARNETT: Okay. | 8 | foregoing transcript of the Evidentiary Hearing on |
| 8 | Thank you. That would be helpful. | 9 | January 18, 2024, is true and accurate to the best of my |
| 9 | JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Nothing else? | 10 | knowledge, skill and ability. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just a point of | 11 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand |
| 11 | privilege, but I guess I'll -- | 12 | and seal this 26th day of January, 2024. |
| 12 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: I will defer to | 13 | 8 |
| 13 | your point of privilege, and I also have a point of | 14 | -ubrent |
| 14 | privilege. So go right ahead. | 15 16 | /S/Tia B. Reidt |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that is to |  | Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CSR \#2798 |
| 16 | recognize public counsel Ms. Gafken. Thank you for | 17 | NOTARY PUBLIC, State of |
| 17 | your service in public counsel all these years, and |  | Washington. |
| 18 | much appreciated. And I have to say, I look forward to | 18 | My commission expires |
| 19 | having you join the UTC group and stay in this |  | 5/15/2026. |
| 20 | important work that we do. But thank you so much for | 19 |  |
| 21 | all of your years of service. | 20 |  |
| 22 | COMMISSION CHAIR DANNER: That was my | 21 22 |  |
| 23 | point of privilege. | 22 23 |  |
| 24 | MS. GAFKEN: Thank you, Commissioner | 24 |  |
| 25 | Rendahl. | 25 |  |
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