




Lisa Anderl
04/09/2003 03:54 PM

To: "Greg Trautman" <gtrautma@wutc.wa.gov>
cc: robertc1@atg.wa.gov, aab@aterwynne.com, ronroseman@attbi, stephen.melnikoff@hqda.army.mil, harlow@millernash.com, gregkopta@dwt.com, Adam Sherr/DNVRULNS12/USWEST/US@USWEST, Philip Roselli/DNVRULNS12/USWEST/US@USWEST, Mark Reynolds/PublicPolicy/USWEST/US@USWEST

Subject: Confidentiality review 

Greg -- Per your request of March 25, we have conducted a review of the portions of Staff's testimony designated as "confidential" and "highly confidential". We have used the list you provided and can now provide you a partial response. The attached document is the list you provided, with Qwest's response provided in the far right hand column. As you can see, there are a number that we do not yet have a response for -- those are simply left blank. However, in accordance with your request that we provide you some information by no later than today, we have done so. We will continue to work on this, and while I expect that some testimony references may have the confidentiality designation changed, a more specific response cannot be provided at this time. I'm sure you appreciate that this is a detailed and time consuming exercise, and that Qwest's resources are currently focused on the preparation of its rebuttal testimony. I will keep you posted as we are able to provide additional answers.

Lisa Anderl



Qwest informal response re confidential items.