## CHRISTOPHER J. BRESTER 2501 18814 STREET NE • ARLINGTON, WA 98223 • (360) 652-5434 • CHRIS.BRESTER@YAHOO.COM

July 21, 2012

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Case Name: Harbhajan Mangat v. Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Docket UE 120522 Order 01

Dear WUTC,

Presented herewith the Reconsideration of Final Order

Sincerely)

Christopher J. Brester Attorney at Law

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

HARBHAJAN MANGAT,	)
Complainant,	) ) DOCKET UE-120522
V.	ORDER 01
PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,	) ) RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ) ORDER
Respondent.	)
	)

Harbhajan Mangat requests a reconsideration of the Final Order dated July 12, 2012 by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

The Order states the Complaint is dismissed because the Commission lacks jurisdiction based on RCW 80.04.110. The pleadings taken together outline several challenges to the Puget Sound Energy tariff with reasonableness not being the sole challenge. The original pleadings dated April 11, 2012 points out that Mrs. Mangat would like a review for fair, just, reasonable, and a sufficient process. Furthermore, in the first request for Reconsideration of the Final Order dated June 15, 2012 it states in paragraph 4 and 6 that the

Reconsideration of Final Order- Page 1 of 3

Stafne Law Firm Christopher J. Brester Attorney at Law 239 North Olympic Ave. 800 Arlington, WA 98223 Phone: (425) 677-4703

complaint was filed to determine whether the five (5) year Line Extension Refund Policy under the Schedule 85 Tariff of Puget Sound Energy is unjust, unreasonable, or insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered.

RCW 80.04.110 does outline the requirements for review by the commission for reasonableness, but Mrs. Mangat is also asking for review of the Tariff on whether it could be considered unjust or insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered. The statute does not list unjust or insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered under the Provided section of RCW 80.04.110 it only states reasonableness.

The pleadings also ask for a review under RCW 80.28.020. RCW 80.28.020 states, "Whenever the commission shall find, after a hearing had upon its own motion, or upon complaint...that the rules, regulations, practices or contracts affecting such rates or charges are unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential, or in any wise in violation of the provisions of the law, or that such rates or charges are insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered, the commission shall determine the just, reasonable, or sufficient rate, charges, regulations, practices or contracts to be thereafter observed and in force, and shall fix the same by order."

Mrs. Mangat requests a review of the Line Extension Refund Policy under the Schedule 85 Tariff of Puget Sound Energy based on the grounds that it is unjust or is insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Harbhajan Mangat prays for the following relief:

1	1. The five-year limitation in the Tariff G Rate Schedule 85 found to be unjust
2	or insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the services rendered.
3	2. A new time limitation implemented.
4	3. For such other relief as the Commission deems just and appropriate.
5	
6	
7	DATED at Arlington, Washington, this 21st day of July 2012.
8	
9	
10	By:
11	Christopher J. Brester, WSBA #34321
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I

Reconsideration of Final Order- Page 3 of 3

239 North Olympic Ave. 800 Arlington, WA 98223 Phone: (425) 677-4703