```
1
                          BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
                     UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2
                                   ) DOCKET UW-110220
 3
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 4
               Complainant,
 5
                                        Volume I
                                        Pages 1-6
     vs.
 6
     SUMMIT VIEW WATER WORKS,
 7
               Respondent.
 8
 9
10
            This is the Prehearing Conference in the above matter
    held on Monday, May 9, 2011, at 2:00 p.m., at 1300 South
11
     Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, before
12
13
    Administrative Law Judge PATRICIA CLARK.
14
              The parties were present as follows:
15
              WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, by
     Robert Cedarbaum, Assistant Attorney General, Heritage Plaza
     Building, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive, Southwest, Olympia,
16
     Washington, 98504. Telephone number is (360) 664-1188.
17
              SUMMIT VIEW WATER WORKS, by Richard Finnigan, Attorney
     at Law, 2112 Black Lake Boulevard SW, Olympia, Washington,
18
     98512. Telephone number is (360) 956-7001.
19
20
21
22
23
24
     Lesley E. Kay, CCR No. 3244
25
    Court Reporter
0002
```

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE CLARK: It's approximately 2:05 p.m. on
- 3 May 9th, 2011, in the Commission's hearing hearing in Olympia,
- 4 Washington.
- 5 The Prehearing Conference in Docket UW-110220 was
- 6 originally set for 2:30 p.m. but all parties are present and
- 7 we're going to convene a little bit early.
- 8 This is the Prehearing Conference in the matter of
- 9 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission,
- 10 Complainant, versus Summit View Water Works, Respondent, given
- 11 Docket No. UW-110220, Patricia Clark, Administrative Law Judge
- 12 with the Commission, presiding.
- This matter came before the Commission on January 27,
- 14 2011, when Summit View Water Works filed revisions to its
- 15 currently effective Tariff WNU-1, specifically Tariff Sheet
- 16 Nos. 23 and 24.
- 17 The Commission suspended the tariff revisions which
- 18 would have been effective March 1st, 2011.
- 19 At this time I'll take appearances on behalf of the
- 20 parties.
- 21 Appearing on behalf of the Commission staff?
- MR. CEDARBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 My name is Robert Cedarbaum, Assistant Attorney
- 24 General, appearing for Commission staff. My business address
- is the Heritage Plaza building, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
 - 1 Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 98504. The direct dial

- 2 telephone number is (360) 664-1188. E-mail is
- 3 bcedarba@utc.wa.gov. The fax number is (360) 586-5522.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cedarbaum.
- 5 Appearing on behalf of Summit View Water Works?
- 6 MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 Richard Finnigan. The mailing address is 2112 Black
- 8 Lake Boulevard Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 98512. The
- 9 telephone is (360) 956-7001. Fax is (360) 587-3852. Email is
- 10 rickfinn@localaccess.com.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 Preliminary matters, of course the usual questions,
- 13 parties want the Commission to invoke discovery rule?
- MR. CEDARBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. We also propose that
- 15 the turnaround time for responses be reduced from ten business
- 16 days to five business days.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Finnigan?
- 18 MR. FINNIGAN: I assume that's the same as the prior
- 19 case, after filing of direct testimony?
- 20 MR. CEDARBAUM: That's right. After the company filed
- 21 its direct case it would apply to staff as well.
- 22 MR. FINNIGAN: I'm still not optimistic but I know
- 23 it's going to happen.
- I have nothing further on that.
- 25 JUDGE CLARK: The Commission is going to require

0004

- 1 turnaround time of five business days after the company files
- 2 its direct case. If this presents a burden the company can

- 3 seek relief from the Commission to satisfy a particular
- 4 discovery deadline that creates a problem.
- 5 Have the parties had an opportunity to consult
- 6 regarding procedural schedule?
- 7 MR. CEDARBAUM: We have, Your Honor, as we did in the
- 8 prior case.
- 9 If you'd like me to read that into the record I can.
- 10 JUDGE CLARK: The record, I suppose, should reflect
- 11 that the Prehearing Conference in Docket UW-110107 I propose
- 12 that perhaps these matters be consolidated and the parties are
- 13 not proponents of that, at least staff is not a proponent of
- 14 that, so we're going to establish separate procedural schedules
- 15 for each matter.
- 16 Yes, Mr. Cedarbaum, that will be necessary because
- 17 that will be completely separate.
- MR. CEDARBAUM: That's correct.
- 19 The proposal is that the company filed it's direct
- 20 case on June 10th.
- 21 Staff files its response case on July 15th.
- Company files rebuttal on August 8th.
- 23 Hearings during the two days, August 25th and
- 24 August 26th.
- 25 Briefs, as necessary, September 14th.

0005

- 1 There's also a request for the Commission to hold a
- 2 public hearing in the Kennewick area during the week of
- 3 August 15th.

```
JUDGE CLARK: All right. I consulted the Commission's
 4
 5
     calendar and there was no conflict with those hearing dates.
 6
              Procedural schedule proposed by the parties is
 7
     reasonable and it is adopted.
 8
              Do the parties feel a need to have a protective order
 9
     in this proceeding?
10
              MR. FINNIGAN: The company requests the standard
11
    protective order.
12
              JUDGE CLARK: Any objection?
13
              MR. CEDARBAUM: No.
14
              JUDGE CLARK: Hearing none, the standard protective
15
    order will be issued.
16
              The Commission will require an original and 11 copies
    of all documents filed in this matter.
17
18
              Is there anything further that we should consider on
    this afternoon's record?
19
20
              MR. CEDARBAUM: Not here.
              MR. FINNIGAN: Not that I'm aware of.
21
22
              THE COURT: Hearing nothing, we're adjourned.
23
              Thank you.
24
              (Conclusion of proceedings.)
2.5
0006
                                   Certificate
 1
 3
              I, LESLEY E. KAY, a duly authorized Court Reporter and
    Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing in
```

5	Olympia, do hereby certify;
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me
7	and thereafter transcribed by me by means of computer-aided
8	transcription; that the transcript is a full, true and complete
9	transcript of said proceedings;
10	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or
11	counsel of any party to this action, or relative or employee of
12	any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially
13	interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;
14	That upon completion of signature, if required, the
15	original transcript will be securely sealed and the same served
16	upon the appropriate party.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
18	affixed my official seal this day of,,
19	
20	
21	
22	LESLEY E. KAY
23	WA CCR #3244 CA CSR #6847
24	NV CCR #791
25	