

Incident 1. Ticket L9304341

Leak Work Orders 9 & 10. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 2. Ticket L9305608

Leak Work Orders 15 & 16. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 3. Ticket L9306961

Leak Work Orders 20, 21 & 23. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 4. Ticket L9306961

Leak Work Orders 20, 21 & 23. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 5. Ticket L9601610

Leak Work Orders 6 & 7. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 6. Ticket L9601995

Leak Work Orders 8 & 9. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 7. Ticket L9604014

Leak Work Orders 10 & 11. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 8. Ticket L9803767

Leak Work Orders 6 & 8. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 9. Ticket L9901765

Leak Work Orders 6 & 8. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 10. Ticket M0000822

Leak Work Orders 5 & 6. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 11. Ticket M0000948

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 12. Ticket M0001217

Leak Work Orders 6 & 7. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 13. Ticket M0001926

Leak Work Orders 5 & 6. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 14. Ticket M0003552

Leak Work Orders 3 & 4. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 15. Ticket M0004141

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 16. Ticket M0004142

Leak Work Order 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 17. Ticket M0004144

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 18. Ticket M0004340

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because Work Order No. 2 incorrectly characterized the leak as a phantom leak.

Incident 19. Ticket M0100011

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 20. Ticket M0100195

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 21. Ticket M0100208

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 22. Ticket M0100506

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 23. Ticket M0100506

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 24. Ticket M0101258

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 25. Ticket M0101344

Leak Work Orders 3 & 4. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 26. Ticket M0101425

Leak Work Orders 3 & 4. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 27. Ticket M0101427

Leak Work Orders 3 & 4. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 28. Ticket M0101607

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 29. Ticket M0102084

Leak Work Orders 3 & 4. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 30. Ticket M0102947

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 31. Ticket M0102953

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 32. Ticket M0103033

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 33. Ticket M0103091

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 34. Ticket M0103100

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 35. Ticket M0103223

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 36. Ticket M0103224

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 37. Ticket M0103318

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 38. Ticket N0007348

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 39. Ticket N0007381

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 40. Ticket N0007382

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 41. Ticket N0007385

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 42. Ticket N0007453

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 43. Ticket N0007458

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that follow-up inspection was not conducted within 30 days.

Incident 44. Ticket N0007513

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 45. Ticket N0007544

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 46. Ticket N0007721

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 47. Ticket N0008111

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 48. Ticket N0008676

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 49. Ticket N0008697

Leak Work Orders 4 & 5. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 50. Ticket N0008824

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 51. Ticket N0007276

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 52. Ticket N0010182

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 53. Ticket N0010459

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 54. Ticket N0010460

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 55. Ticket N0010784

Leak Work Order 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that one version of Work Order No. 2 was probably altered to reflect compliance with the inspection due date.

Incident 56. Ticket N0010984

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 57. Ticket N0011459

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 58. Ticket N0012544

Leak Work Orders 2, 3 & 5. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 59. Ticket N0012710

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 60. Ticket N0013200

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 61. Ticket N0013490

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 62. Ticket N0013584

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 63. Ticket N0013743

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 64. Ticket N0013779

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 65. Ticket N0013963

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 66. Ticket N00140163

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 67. Ticket N0014119

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 68. Ticket N0014915

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 69. Ticket N0015002

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 70. Ticket N0015213

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 71. Ticket N0015222

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 72. Ticket N0015281

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 73. Ticket N0015287

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 74. Ticket N0015640

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 75. Ticket N0015879

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 76. Ticket N0016450

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 77. Ticket N0016451

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 78. Ticket N001417625

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 79. Ticket N0017655

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 80. Ticket N0017664

Leak Work Orders 1 & 2. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the work, though erroneously listed as phantom leak follow-up inspections, actually involved follow-up inspections of newly replaced equipment.

Incident 81. Ticket N0010400

Leak Work Orders 2 & 3. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE denies that the procedures for phantom leak inspections were violated in this instance because the follow-up inspection ascertained a leak and therefore the leak wasn't "zero'd" out of LMS.

Incident 82. Ticket N0011273

Leak Work Orders 12 & 13. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that the same individual performed the initial and follow-up inspections.

Incident 83. Ticket N0011408

Leak Work Order 13. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE admits that date was altered to reflect compliance with due date for follow-up inspection.

Incident 84. Ticket N0011654

Leak Work Order 7. After consulting with Pilchuck, PSE lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations. Pilchuck investigated the facts associated with this incident and was unable to obtain sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations at present.

Incident 85. Ticket M0004292

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 86. Ticket M0102348

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 87. Ticket M0102349

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 88. Ticket M0102853

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 89. Ticket M0103288

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 90. Ticket M0103341

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 91. Ticket M0103378

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 92. Ticket M0103457

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 93. Ticket N0007358

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 94. Ticket N0007396

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 95. Ticket M0103378

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 96. Ticket N0007429

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 97. Ticket N0008014

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 98. Ticket N0008303

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 99. Ticket N0008442

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located Work Order 2 and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident. Further, the facility was replaced in 2002, and, therefore, the leak records are no longer required to be kept.

Incident 100. Ticket N0008464

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 101. Ticket N0008849

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 102. Ticket N0008952

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because this facility was replaced in 2006, and, therefore, the leak records are no longer required to be kept.

Incident 103. Ticket N0010660

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 104. Ticket N0010826

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file.

Incident 105. Ticket N0011391

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 106. Ticket N0014010

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 107. Ticket N0014712

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 108. Ticket N0014390

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 109. Ticket N0014996

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file.

Incident 110. Ticket N0016114

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 111. Ticket N0018278

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 112. Ticket N0019239

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 113. Ticket N0019871

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 114. Ticket N0019927

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 115. Ticket N0020503

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 116. Ticket N0010864

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 117. Ticket M0103158

PSE admits that it has not provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident. However, this incident is a duplicate of Ticket No. L9802389. Work Order 2 exists only to document cancellation of the leak.

Incident 118. Ticket M0103306

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 119. Ticket N0007227

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. Work order 6 was provided to Commission Staff. Source of gas determined to be swamp gas. Work order 7 exists only to document cancellation of the leak.

Incident 120. Ticket N0007597

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 121. Ticket N0007990

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 122. Ticket N0008045

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 123. Ticket N0008071

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 124. Ticket N0008242

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 125. Ticket N0009548

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE has located Work Order 5 and this record has been provided to Commission Staff. Work Order 4 was not located.

Incident 126. Ticket N0009552

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE denies a violation has occurred because PSE has located the record and has provided Commission Staff with PSE's record of this incident.

Incident 127. Ticket N0009556

PSE admits that it produced only screen prints at the time Commission Staff requested PSE's file. PSE has located Work Order 16 and this record has been provided to Commission. Work Order 15 was not located.