Docket Nos. UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894 (Consolidated) - Vol. IV

WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities

July 9, 2021



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 248	Page 25
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) Complainant,)	FOR AVISTA: DAVID MEYER Avista Corporation PO Box 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: SIMON FFITCH 10 321 High School Road Northeast Suite D3, #383 11 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 12 simon@ffitchlaw.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR AWEC: CORINNE O. MILINOVICH Davison Van Cleve, PC 1750 Southwest Harbor Way Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201 503.241.7242 com@dvclaw.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR INLAND EMPIRE PAPER COMPANY: TYLER PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve, PC 1750 Southwest Harbor Way Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201 503.241.7242 24 tcp@dvclaw.com (Via Teams videoconference)
APPEARANCES ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL (Via Teams videoconference) COMMISSIONERS: DAVID W. DANNER, Chair ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner (Via Teams videoconference) FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON NASH I. CALLAGHAN HARRY FUKANO JOE M. DALLAS DANIEL J. TEIMOURI Assistant Attomeys General PO Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov odan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov den.teimouri@utc.wa.gov (Via Teams videoconference) FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: NINA SUETAKE Attorney General's Office 800 5th Avenue Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.389.2055 nina.suetake@atg.wa.gov	Page 25 APPEARANCES CONTINUED FOR THE SIERRA CLUB: JESSICA YARNALL LOARIE 2101 WEBSTER STREET Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612 jessica.yarnall@sierraclub.org (Via Teams videoconference) ****** ****** ****** 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 (Pages 248 to 251)

	Page 252		Page 254
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	1	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	WITNESS	2	This is Nina Suetake, Assistant Attorney General for
3 4	WITNESS PAGE DAVID HOWELL	3	Public Counsel.
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 292	4	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for the Alliance of
6	ELAINE JORDAN	5	Western Energy Consumers.
7	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 307	6	MS. MILINOVICH: Good morning, Your
8	Redirect Examination by Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 337	7	Honor. Corinne Milinovich, on behalf of the Alliance
	SHAY BAUMAN	8	of Western Energy Consumers.
9		9	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for Inland Empire
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Meyer 345	10	Paper.
10	PAUL ALVAREZ	11	MR. PEPPLE: Good morning. This is Tyler
11		12	Pepple, for Inland Empire Paper Company.
1.0	Cross-Examination by Mr. Meyer 359	13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for The Energy
12	DENNIS STEPHENS	14	Project.
13	DEMINIO OTEL MENO	15	MR. FFITCH: Good morning, Judge
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Meyer 359	16	O'Connell. Simon ffitch, representing The Energy
14 15		17	Project.
16		18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
17		19	And for Sierra Club.
18		20	MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Good morning. This
19 20		21	is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for Sierra Club.
21		22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you all.
22		23	Good morning.
23		24	Before we came on the record I discussed
24 25		25	a number of clarifying questions with the parties
	Page 253		Page 255
1	FRIDAY, JULY 9, 2021	1	regarding the bench requests that the Commission
2			
	9:36 A.M.	2	issued in a notice yesterday. And regarding those
3	000	3	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for
3 4		3 4	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request
	00o P R O C E E D I N G S	3 4 5	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas
4	000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record.	3 4 5 6	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural
4 5 6 7	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021.	3 4 5 6 7	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers.
4 5 6	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today	3 4 5 6 7 8	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to
4 5 6 7 8 9	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate	3 4 5 6 7 8	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than
4 5 6 7 8 9	000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	o0o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	o0o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	o0o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today are Assistant Attorneys General Jeff Roberson, Nash	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated revenue requirement models. It is not necessary to go
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today are Assistant Attorneys General Jeff Roberson, Nash Callaghan, Harry Fukano, Joe Dallas, and Daniel	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated revenue requirement models. It is not necessary to go back and update Ms. Crane's testimony, in particular
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today are Assistant Attorneys General Jeff Roberson, Nash Callaghan, Harry Fukano, Joe Dallas, and Daniel Teimouri.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated revenue requirement models. It is not necessary to go back and update Ms. Crane's testimony, in particular ACC-1T, with those numbers. We are just interested in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today are Assistant Attorneys General Jeff Roberson, Nash Callaghan, Harry Fukano, Joe Dallas, and Daniel Teimouri. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated revenue requirement models. It is not necessary to go back and update Ms. Crane's testimony, in particular ACC-1T, with those numbers. We are just interested in having the most updated revenue requirement models and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	00o PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is July 9th, 2021. The time is approximately 9:36 a.m. We're here today for the second day of the Avista 2020 general rate case, Dockets UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894. Let's go ahead and take short appearances from the parties, make sure everyone's here for the record. And I'll start with Avista. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. David Meyer, for Avista. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for staff. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sorry. I had to unmute. For staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, and with me again today are Assistant Attorneys General Jeff Roberson, Nash Callaghan, Harry Fukano, Joe Dallas, and Daniel Teimouri.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bench requests, I want to adjust the deadline for Bench Request No. 9 for Avista. That bench request pertained to AMI meters and non-AMI meters for gas only, electric only, and combined electric and natural gas customers. I'm going to modify that due date to July 16 at 5:00 p.m. So to be filed no later than July 16 at 5:00 p.m., instead of the original request was for no later than July 14th at 5:00 p.m. MR. MEYER: Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're welcome. Second, regarding Bench Request No. 4, Public Counsel had requested clarification on what the Commission was seeking as far as the updates that were necessary to testimony, exhibits, and any revenue requirement models as it might come from the hearing today, any updates to those. The Commission is seeking the updated revenue requirement models. It is not necessary to go back and update Ms. Crane's testimony, in particular ACC-1T, with those numbers. We are just interested in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 256

And, Ms. Suetake, is the -- I assume that the due date for that being -- is still reasonable; is that correct? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, Your Honor. It was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Friday the 16th, I believe. That would still be fine for us. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Very good.

Today we're going to resume the Commissioners' questions for Avista witnesses. We're going to start with Howell and then we will have Kensok.

Before we get to that, I wanted to discuss with the parties, in particular Public Counsel and staff, the cross exhibits that are intended for staff witness Jordan, and on the record yesterday I -or Wednesday, not yesterday, I mentioned that I would rule on those exhibits as they were presented and move for admission during witness Jordan's testimony.

I am still going to rule on them during witness Jordan's testimony, but I wanted to address them and have a discussion here before we started bench questions for Avista's witnesses.

And I have some clarifying questions for the identification and naming of three of the documents in particular, Ms. Suetake, the -- what's MS. SUETAKE: Correct. Sorry. Yes,

Page 258

Exhibit 12X, Chapter 11.

I do not know right off the top of my head which docket this was filed under. We can find out for you during the break, and I can get back to you on that.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I think that will be important to know when we get to it.

Now, to address, I guess, the remaining three cross exhibits, 11X, 12X, 15X, I want to be forthright with the parties so that no one is surprised, and I want to clearly explain how I'm looking at these cross exhibits.

I look at 11X and 15X in particular, and I look at the substance or the topic of those exhibits, as well as 12X, and I see that there is relevance for that topic to the disagreement between staff and Public Counsel in this case regarding the inputs and the data that are used by Avista in this cost-of-service study. However, as you are all familiar with, all relevant evidence is admissible in our proceedings, but that doesn't mean that that evidence should be admitted to the record, and the foundation of the exhibits will need to be shown, and in particular I need to know that these exhibits are

Page 257

Page 259

been labeled or identified as 12X, 13X, and 14X. These are indicated as being from Avista's IRP, integrated resource plan. It's indicated as being Chapters 11 and Chapter 9, and then Appendix H.

I want to clarify because the numbering is for the year 2020, and I'm curious to clarify, are these documents that were filed in Docket UE-180738, being the 2019 progress report? Which the Commission is familiar with those being entitled on themselves as a 2020 IRP, but they are filed in this docket UE-180738, as opposed to what I think we are referring to as the 2021 Avista IRP, that has been filed in a 2020 docket, UE-200301.

Can you, Ms. Suetake, now clarify for me where those proposed cross exhibits are coming from?

MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, first I wanted to clarify that we are no longer using Exhibits 13X and 14X.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So you're no longer asking that those -- or you don't plan to ask that those be admitted to the record?

MS. SUETAKE: Correct, Your Honor. Regarding Exhibit 11X, I will have to --JUDGE O'CONNELL: I'm sorry. 12X?

Chapter 11?

what Public Counsel says they are, that the information that's contained in the documents are correct and that the Commission can trust what it is, that these documents are trustworthy.

Now, my concern there is less for the IRP Chapter 11 because whether it's one that's been filed in Docket UE-180738 or UE-200301, that is something that the Commission has seen and is familiar with.

Excuse me one moment.

If you're listening on the phone or on Teams, please mute your microphone unless you're talking, and I expect that the only people who should be talking during this hearing are myself, the Commissioners, the attorneys representing the parties, and any witnesses that have been identified.

So as I was saying, Exhibit 12X Chapter 11, I have -- my concern as to its trustworthiness and the foundation for that is less significant than it is for the others, because the others come from -- or are identified as data requests and responses between Public Counsel and Avista. These are things that the Commission has not seen, is not aware of in any other docket. So that I see is where the foundation is lacking.

And, of course, Public Counsel, you will

Page 260 Page 262 1 1 have the chance to provide that foundation. I wanted Thank you, Your Honor. 2 2 to hear whether it was your intent still to go forward Additionally, the cross I intend to do 3 establishing that foundation through the staff witness 3 with the IRP exhibit, based on -- is going to be on 4 Jordan or whether you had planned something else. 4 tables which were also included in Mr. Watkins' 5 5 Ms. Suetake. testimony. I had decided to use the source material 6 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, are you 6 rather than Mr. Watkins' testimony partly for ease of 7 7 concerned about the veracity of the material within referencing; also because Ms. Jordan also agrees that 8 the document or are you concerned with me asking 8 the material comes from Avista's 2020 IRP. 9 questions of Ms. Jordan regarding Avista data 9 But if you would prefer, I can just use 10 10 requests? Mr. Watkins' testimony, which is copying Avista's IRP. 11 JUDGE O'CONNELL: The former, because the 11 We've received no objections or rebuttal 12 information provided was provided by Avista to Public 12 testimony on the veracity or lack thereof of those Counsel, and all the evidence that has been already 13 13 tables, so I'm not guite sure what would be the best admitted to the record was stipulated, so the parties 14 14 course of action here. all agree that it is what the parties say it is and 15 15 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, let's -- given 16 that the Commission can trust it. 16 that scoping of the cross exhibit and the intention, 17 What I need to admit any evidence into 17 let me turn, then, to Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski. 18 the record is that, yes, it's relevant, but it also 18 What is staff's perspective on that, and 19 can be trusted by the Commissioners in making a 19 do you still plan to object to the admission of 12X if 20 20 it's for the purposes of showing, yes, Mr. Watkins' decision in the case. 21 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, regarding 21 tables do come from that IRP, given that they're 22 Exhibit 11X, if it helps any, that is actually already 22 already in the record as part of his testimony? 23 an exhibit with -- that has been filed with 23 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, 24 Mr. Watkins' testimony, his response testimony, which 24 Ms. Suetake and I had spoken on Wednesday, and I had 25 I didn't realize it was already in the record under a 25 represented that -- after we had spoken about Public Page 261 Page 263 1 different exhibit number. 1 Counsel's plans, staff doesn't have an objection to 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Can you please identify 2 the admission of Exhibit 12X. 3 3 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Then, for the that for me? 4 MS. SUETAKE: One moment. I apologize. 4 record, 12X will be admitted. 5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I 5 Okay. Ms. Suetake, would you like to 6 believe it's GAW-6. 6 please address 15X, if there is any clarification you 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I have the exhibit list 7 would like to make now, or should we plan on waiting 8 pulled up in front of me, and that's been identified 8 to see if the foundation can be established in cross? and admitted to the record as GAW-6, which is Avista's 9 MS. SUETAKE: We can wait till cross. I 9 10 response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 279. Is 10 think that might be -- it might make it more clear in 11 the course of my cross-examination. 11 that the same as what is proposed as Cross 12 Exhibit 11X? 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 13 MS. SUETAKE: Yes, Your Honor, it appears 13 And to make sure, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, 14 staff at this point does still plan to object to 15X, 14 SO. 15 15 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. correct? 16 In that case, I am going to reject 11X as MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's correct, 16 a duplicate, and I'm attempting to avoid any 17 17 Your Honor. 18 duplication within the record, for efficiency sake. 18 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 19 However, because GAW-6 has already been 19 20 admitted to the record, it's evidence, and you can 20 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Our plans are to 21 certainly ask staff witness Jordan what they know 21 wait and see the purpose for which Public Counsel 22 about it, if they know about it, and continue your 22 intends to use it. 23 cross-examination based on that if witness Jordan 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Very good. 24 knows something and can offer testimony on it. 24 With that, all the housekeeping matters I 25 25 MS. SUETAKE: Additionally, Your Honor -wanted to address before we moved on is taken care of.

	Page 264		Page 266
1	I'm going to ask the Commissioners to	1	MS. RENDAHL: You have not. Okay.
2	join us and turn on their cameras, and I would like to	2	
3	ask that all the attorneys except for Mr. Meyer and	3	Well, we'll make sure you get a copy of
4	Ms sorry and Mr. Meyer turn off their cameras,	4	that testimony. Are you aware that Public Counsel, in
5	and, Mr. Meyer, if you could have your witness Howell	5	their testimony, was concerned that Avista did not
6	be ready and turn on his video.	6	rely on historical ground fire data in its developing
7	MR. MEYER: It's done. Thank you.	7	its plan? Just generally, while you're looking for
8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.	8	that.
9	As the Commissioners are turning on their	9	THE WITNESS: I have not read that
10	video, Mr. Howell, if you would please, where you are,	10	testimony.
11	raise your right hand. I will swear you in.	11	MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Well, then, I will
12	(Witness sworn)	12	move on.
13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	13	So were you involved in the stakeholder
14	Mr. Meyer.	14	workshops developing the wildfire plan?
15	MR. MEYER: Thank you.	15	THE WITNESS: Some of those. We actually
16	Mr. Howell, for the record, please state	16	had two different stakeholders. We had some internal
17	your name, by whom you're employed, and what your	17	stakeholders, and those workshops we used subject
18	position is.	18	matter experts internally, but we also had some
19	THE WITNESS: It's David Howell, I work	19	stakeholders we didn't refer to them as
20	for Avista Utilities, and I'm the director of	20	stakeholders, but peer utility workshops, and I was
21	electrical operations.	21	involved in those.
22	MR. MEYER: Thank you.	22	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
23	And with that, Mr. Howell is available	23	Somebody is fumbling with their
24	for any questioning.	24	microphone.
25	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. I	25	Mr. Cook, can you hear what we're saying?
	oozoz o oo mazz. onay. mam you		coon, can you near man no to caying .
	Page 265		
	1490 203		Page 267
1	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their	1	Page 267 THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the
1 2	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions.	1 2	
	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell.		THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better?
2	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good	2 3 4	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you.
2	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you?	2 3 4 5	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the
2 3 4	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute. Have you read that testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I wanted if I can, I'll explain a little bit what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute. Have you read that testimony? THE WITNESS: My original testimony or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I wanted if I can, I'll explain a little bit what I mean by that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute. Have you read that testimony? THE WITNESS: My original testimony or the Public Counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I wanted if I can, I'll explain a little bit what I mean by that. Utilities operate in different with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute. Have you read that testimony? THE WITNESS: My original testimony or the Public Counsel? MS. RENDAHL: Public Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I wanted if I can, I'll explain a little bit what I mean by that. Utilities operate in different with different geographic considerations. A simple one is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will turn it over to the Commissioners for their questions. MS. RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Howell. This is Commissioner Rendahl. Good morning. How are you? THE WITNESS: Good morning. MS. RENDAHL: So there are a few questions related to the wildfire plan. In particular, do you have your initial testimony before you? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Which is I'm sorry. Yes, your initial testimony. And also, do you have Public Counsel's testimony? Mr. Stephens the PA/DS-1T. Do you have that in front of you as well? THE WITNESS: I do not. I see them looking for it. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just pause just a minute. Have you read that testimony? THE WITNESS: My original testimony or the Public Counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Yes, I can, but the witness is coming in a bit faintly. THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE REPORTER: Yes. Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: If you can hold the microphone closer when you're speaking, that would be great. Thank you. Can you explain why Avista's proposed plan differs from some of the stakeholder recommendations made during those meetings and workshops? THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the internal stakeholder or to the our Pacific Northwest peer utility stakeholder group? MS. RENDAHL: I guess I'm referring to both. If there's any differences between those, why were those different than what's in the plan? THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so maybe the way I'd frame this is that we created a wildfire plan that is relevant to our service territory, and I wanted if I can, I'll explain a little bit what I mean by that. Utilities operate in different with

Page 268 Page 270 1 1 were one of those stakeholders. They have lots of now, if you would --2 2 prairie land. That risk and mitigation of that risk Let's see. In your testimony, you talked is different than like an Avista, that operates with 3 about how you defined the -- at DRH-1T, at Page 9 3 4 fair -- we have quite a bit of forested areas, where 4 through 11, you discuss wildfire risk as it relates to 5 5 we have high-consequence areas in those forested the distribution and transmission infrastructure, 6 6 using your historical outage data. Do you see that? areas. 7 7 THE WITNESS: I do, and I'm familiar with You would implement a different 8 mitigative action based on those geographic operating 8 9 considerations, and have potentially different -- I 9 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 10 10 mean, they're just different spins, different So can you explain, then, how the company 11 solutions. 11 did use the historical data in the planning? And 12 Examples of that is that for us, we use 12 maybe what historical data might be missing or what 13 assumptions the company used to make up for any lack 13 grid hardening as a solution. That is a good solution 14 of historical data. 14 in forested areas. 15 Idaho Power, if you do -- down there, 15 THE WITNESS: So the historical data we 16 wrapping wooden poles, that helps in prairie land 16 have is related to either fault events or outage 17 situations because it mitigates -- you know, when 17 events, but -- and this is building a little bit on 18 grasslands burn, they burn at the bottom, so you 18 your original question, which was fire related. One 19 protect your infrastructure that way. When fires burn 19 of the things that we've identified is that we need to 20 in our area, they burn in the tops of trees, and so 20 understand fire-related events with each of our spark you have to -- by wrapping a wood pole, it doesn't 21 21 events or fault events. So we use fault events and outage events 22 22 protect it. 23 23 So that's just a simple example. to be an indicator of where the risk exists in our 24 But we have mitigative actions that are 24 system. We know, on the transmission system, that a 25 relevant to our service territory, and -- so we have 25 fault event during fire season is a concern, and it's Page 269 Page 271 1 created a risk-based plan, and our goal was to -- I'm 1 something that we should try to minimize and also 2 going to go back a little bit trying to answer your 2 follow up on. And we have elements of mitigation 3 3 first part of this. We did not use fire events, we related to that. 4 used spark ignition sources as our rationale for how 4 On the distribution side, we do know pole 5 we would come up with mitigative actions. And there's 5 fires -- that's actually one of those records that 6 a lot of different potential spark ignition --6 we've historically kept in our outage management 7 You're on mute. 7 system -- but we don't know of all fires. We just 8 MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Howell, if you'll wait. 8 know of outages. So there's a bit of a correlation I do have some questions specifically about historical 9 9 that we're trying to make as we develop mitigative 10 data that we can go into. 10 actions. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 But going forward, we are creating 12 MS. RENDAHL: So if you're finished about 12 methods of tracking fire ignition associated with 13 the differences between the stakeholder and internal 13 outages on our system. 14 workgroups and what's in the plan, then I can move on 14 Does that make sense? The pieces that I 15 to those questions specifically. 15 had and that I didn't have as we developed the plan? 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.

6 (Pages 268 to 271)

MS. RENDAHL: Yes, it does.

So just to follow up, you said you hadn't

THE WITNESS: I have it with me now. I

read Public Counsel's testimony about the wildfires --

MS. RENDAHL: Well, and so in your

comments that Public Counsel's witnesses make about

rebuttal testimony, DRH-8T, you do respond to the

the wildfire resiliency plan, so I just want to

clarify. Did you, in fact, read Public Counsel's

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can reference it.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helpful.

And just to clarify, the internal

recommendations, and then we implemented the top tiers

MS. RENDAHL: Thank you. That's very

MS. RENDAHL: So going to your testimony

of those recommendations, just to differentiate how we

stakeholder groups did develop a number of

THE WITNESS: Okay.

use those two different groups.

Page 272 Page 274 1 testimony in preparing your rebuttal testimony? 1 response, where we'll actually send resources to those 2 2 THE WITNESS: I do have the questions fault locations, because we know there's a potential 3 that were posed, and provided responses to those. 3 for a fire. MS. RENDAHL: So in order to respond to 4 4 I don't know that I would state it so 5 Public Counsel's claims in their response testimony, 5 strongly on the distribution side with the outage so, for example, if we're looking at Page 4 of your 6 6 events routinely on the distribution system that are 7 7 rebuttal testimony, which is DRH-8T. not associated with fire events. Typically those are 8 THE WITNESS: I am there. 8 associated with pole fires, contacts, those other 9 MS. RENDAHL: At the bottom, on Line 21, 9 grid-hardening mitigative actions that we've taken. 10 10 and going on to the next page, it says, MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 11 "Misters Alvarez and Stephens, on behalf of Public 11 So can you complain currently how Avista 12 Counsel, argue," et cetera, et cetera, and it 12 investigates and tracks ground fires related to 13 references Public Counsel's testimony, and then you equipment outages, maybe on the distribution system? 13 have a response. So I just want to clarify. In 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. So we have -- and 14 preparing this testimony, did you review Public 15 15 this is -- I indicated we haven't done it in the past, 16 Counsel's testimony? 16 but today what we're doing is we -- it's typically THE WITNESS: I worked with other people 17 17 our -- we call servicemen but it's trouble men, is within the wildfire group and did understand the 18 18 commonly the term, or local reps. Those are typically 19 context of the responses that we need to provide, and 19 our first responders to events. If a fire is noted 20 then we discussed our responses to those and provided 20 related to any type of an outage event, we then have 21 an escalation policy where that information is those in writing. 21 22 MS. RENDAHL: So is this your testimony 22 communicated and documented. 23 or is this somebody else's testimony? 23 Our goal moving forward is to -- for 24 THE WITNESS: This is my testimony. 24 outage events or fault events, to track fires related 25 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 25 to those. Page 273 Page 275 1 THE WITNESS: I mean -- yes. I mean, 1 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 2 this is -- yeah, this is my testimony related to 2 I'll hold now and see if my colleagues 3 3 wildfire. have some questions. I can keep going, but if you 4 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 4 have questions, please go ahead. 5 So can you explain, then, why outage data 5 Okay. I will keep going. 6 is an appropriate figure to use, as opposed to outage 6 So in your initial testimony, Mr. Howell, 7 events that might spark fires? 7 and that's Exhibit DRH-1T, at Page 8 --8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I would -- I want to 8 And I'll pause till you find that page. frame that a little bit. Outage data is, I think, a 9 THE WITNESS: I'm there. 9 10 better measure on our transmission system than it is 10 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. on the distribution system. On the transmission 11 11 So do you see Lines 7 through 15, where 12 system, we have very few faults. We have not 12 you discuss a risk calculation, where impact is 13 historically tracked fire events associated with a 13 defined as the sum of direct financial cost, customer and safety? Do you see that? 14 fault. That's something that we'll do moving forward. 14 15 But there's a lot of energy when you have 15 THE WITNESS: I do. a transmission fault, and there is the potential for a 16 16 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. fire that's associated with those. You can have a 17 So when you refer to impact, does that 17 include the long-term community costs related to a 18 tree that contacts a line that creates a fault during 18 devastating wildfire event? For example, the costs of 19 the winter, and that's probably not going to be a fire 19 20 event. But we do see fire -- we do have the potential 20 rebuilding a community, health outcomes related to the wildfire smoke, the economic loss as a community its 21 for a fault event during a summer condition, and it 21 22 can be -- doesn't have to be windy, it can just be a 22 inhabitants might face, and the total costs of making 23 hot dry day during the summer. 23 the community whole post event, or is it just focused 24 And we've actually added an element to 24 on the company's impact? 25 THE WITNESS: It did not include the --25 our wildfire plan which we refer to as expedited

Page 276 Page 278 1 I'm going to generalize your more of a community 1 liability if we did not do this work. impact. There are more direct costs that we would 2 2 The risk equation that we used was the 3 see, but direct costs could be fighting fire, its 3 probability times the impact or consequences, and replacement assets, but not the -- you had a number of 4 probability, we used historical information to define 4 5 5 categories there, but in general not including those that. That's fairly easy for us to at least start 6 categories. 6 with. 7 7 The impact side is much more challenging, MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 so we took an approach to do a relative risk cost. So 9 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you. 9 what we did is we said, What is our inherent risk? 10 10 So going on on Page 9 of this exhibit. This is don't do anything, and mitigated risk. 11 THE WITNESS: I do want to -- if I can go 11 And there is also some information within 12 back and just respond a little bit. I mean, we do 12 the risk analysis section of this that talks about the include -- I mean, the reason we're doing our wildfire 13 overall risk reduction that we will see because of 13 plan is the risk to our communities, and public safety 14 that. We also know practically that if we take these 14 is an element of that consideration. So we're trying mitigative actions, we will reduce the potential for 15 15 spark ignition events, which is what can lead to 16 to minimize our spark event. 16 17 So there is an element of community, but 17 wildfire. 18 in the way that you characterized them, not -- we 18 But that -- if I'm answering your 19 generally didn't look at it that way. It was the 19 question correctly, that eight billion is a risk -- I 20 safety impacts to loss of life and structures within a 20 can't guarantee it because it's based on probability. MS. RENDAHL: So it's a risk, not a community. 21 21 22 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 22 liability number? Yes or no? 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 23 THE WITNESS: That is -- that is my 24 MS. RENDAHL: So if you move on to Page 9 24 understanding, it's a risk calculation. 25 of your initial testimony. And let me know when 25 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Great. Page 277 Page 279 1 1 THE WITNESS: And the second one, you had you're there. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm there. 2 asked how did we come up with that amount, so we had a 3 3 MS. RENDAHL: On Lines 1 through 3. couple of different ways that we tried to understand 4 You indicate that Avista's accumulated 4 risk and respond appropriately. The first one was 5 5 external to us. We used a consultant that looked at 10-year risk of wildfires is at least \$8 billion. Do 6 6 you see that? the single largest event that can happen in our system 7 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 and they just considered loss of property and assets, 8 MS. RENDAHL: So are you testifying there 8 and then that was identified in one of our higher-risk that without doing anything to mitigate or plan for 9 9 10 wildfire as outlined in Avista's plan, that the 10 This number here that you're referencing company would face at least \$8 billion in liability? 11 was done with our internal stakeholder group that we 11 12 THE WITNESS: No, because there's 12 spoke of earlier, and they had to try to quantify the 13 probability that's associated with that. 13 risk associated with implementing mitigative actions. 14 14 So if I can, I'll speak a little bit to And so there's really two ways to look at 15 risk and the way that we looked at that. 15 it: an absolute dollar amount, which is a risk dollar, MS. RENDAHL: I guess maybe you can 16 16 and then a risk reduction. clarify in response to the question. When you 17 17 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 18 indicate that without doing anything or without 18 THE WITNESS: But it was an internal planning for the wildfire, you're facing \$8 billion in 19 19 stakeholder group. 20 liability, so what reports and information is this 20 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you. 21 figure based on, and what exactly does that \$8 billion 21 And just to clarify, you were just 22 in liability cover? 22 talking about the risk analysis model that CoreLogic 23 THE WITNESS: So the eight billion that 23 provided for you, correct? 24 we're referencing is a risk cost, so I can't say 24 THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. 25 definitively that we would have an \$8 billion 25 MS. RENDAHL: So if you look back at

	7 000		5 000
	Page 280		Page 282
1	Page 8 of your initial testimony, which is DRH-1T, and	1	see those really on the first line, which was more
2	then at Lines 15 to 21. Do you see that?	2	related to fire suppression and our assets.
3	THE WITNESS: I do. I'm going to read	3	MS. RENDAHL: Thank you. I appreciate
4	them really quick.	4	the response.
5	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	5	So I'll pause here and see if my
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	colleagues have any questions they wish to ask of
7	MS. RENDAHL: So just to confirm what you	7	Mr. Howell.
8	just said, that that amount that risk analysis	8	Okay. I just have a few more, then, but
9	model was focused on property loss and doesn't include	9	interrupt me if you would like to.
10	loss of life, injury, fire suppression costs, timber	10	So continuing on with your initial
11	loss, and other economic losses, correct?	11	testimony, Mr. Howell, on Pages 11 through 13, can you
12	THE WITNESS: That is correct. That's on	12	turn to that, please. Starting at Page 11.
13	Line 18 and 19.	13	THE WITNESS: I'm there.
14	MS. RENDAHL: Right.	14	MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Great.
15	And so does the \$8 billion figure that	15	So at that point in your testimony you're
16	the company included include these costs?	16	describing the use of Avista's wildland urban
17	And I apologize for the plane.	17	interface map, correct?
18	THE WITNESS: That's okay.	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	I'm going to have to look at the we	19	MS. RENDAHL: And you indicate that it
20	have a risk analysis section, and it would take me a	20	provides a focus on two main metrics, which is fuel
21 22	moment to review it. I want to make sure I answer you	21	concentration and housing density; is that correct?
23	correctly. If I can reference that.	22 23	THE WITNESS: That is correct. MS. RENDAHL: So does this map include
24	MS. RENDAHL: Sure. Thank you.	24	· •
25	THE WITNESS: Your question is does it include loss of life?	25	measures of community resilience, that might include
4 5	include loss of life?	25	socioeconomic factors or a percentage of low-income
	Page 281		Page 283
1	MS. RENDAHL: My question was not just		
		1	customers?
2		1 2	customers? THE WITNESS: It does not.
2	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs,	1 2 3	THE WITNESS: It does not.
	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses.	2	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
3 4	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to	2 3	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these
3	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community
3 4 5	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these
3 4 5 6	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary,	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its
3 4 5 6 7	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire
3 4 5 6 7 8	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Trying to get back to your answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations as defined in the Clean Energy Transformation Act, or is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Trying to get back to your answer. It does consider safety, injury, so life,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations as defined in the Clean Energy Transformation Act, or is this something that Avista will be incorporating in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Trying to get back to your answer. It does consider safety, injury, so life, injury timber loss was not included and economic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations as defined in the Clean Energy Transformation Act, or is this something that Avista will be incorporating in the future?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	loss of life, but injury, fire suppression costs, timber loss, and other economic losses. THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm going to reference DRH-3, which is our risk analysis Let me give you the proper title. It is our wildfire risk analysis summary, and Page 5, and it says referencing what the risk is included in that, and there's not line numbers but I'll reference, it says three items: direct financial costs, which is the replacement costs, fire suppression, first-party damage; and two, customer, which is the interpretation, it says interruption costs, estimates they use the ICE calculator this, again, was done with our internal stakeholder group, just to understand how this was put together and third-party claims, and then finally safety, public employee injuries. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Trying to get back to your answer. It does consider safety, injury, so life,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It does not. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So if this map didn't include these measures, how did Avista incorporate community resilience, equity and income status, into its assessment of wildfire risk and its chosen wildfire plan activities? THE WITNESS: Those factors, in our risk how I'm trying to I mean, essentially our plan was developed around potential spark ignition sources. I mean, directly we didn't include those factors in our initial plan. We were looking for ways where high-risk operating areas and where we had potential for spark ignition, and our goal was to mitigate those within our system, and provide benefits to those communities. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So can you speak to whether this map corresponds to the company's understanding of highly impacted communities and vulnerable populations as defined in the Clean Energy Transformation Act, or is this something that Avista will be incorporating in

Page 284 Page 286 1 But the replacement of a wood pole with 1 on incorporating that within the Clean Energy 2 2 Transformation Act. I'm aware that it could be steel, which also includes a steel crossarm, makes 3 possible that our map -- we know where those areas 3 that system more resilient and safer when it comes to have been defined, and you could see where those potentially not creating a spark event related to 4 4 5 5 overlaps would be. wildfire. 6 MS. RENDAHL: But that hasn't been done 6 So just a very small part of our capital 7 7 is a resiliency effort, and the majority of it is to up to this point? 8 THE WITNESS: That has not. 8 reduce spark ignition events. And I can -- if it 9 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 9 helps, I can explain how some of those different 10 10 And just one last question. So can you capital expenditures relate to safety. 11 explain a bit further about how the capital 11 MS. RENDAHL: No, I think that's 12 investments in Avista's wildfire plan serves the need 12 sufficient. of risk reduction, specifically Avista's equipment 13 13 At this point those are all of my 14 that might spark a fire, as opposed to general questions, and I don't know if my colleagues have any 14 resiliency to wildfire impacts in its service 15 follow-up. If not, I think -- thank you, Mr. Howell. 15 territory. So has the company broken out risk I think that's all for you. 16 16 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. 17 reduction benefits from more general resiliency 17 18 benefits or just from sparking events? 18 MR. DANNER: Mr. Howell, I do have one 19 THE WITNESS: With wildfire, there --19 question. It regards PSPS, because in one of your 20 there's always this kind of tradeoff. Sometimes you 20 exhibits you say that that is affecting in the -- it's 21 get dual benefit between reliability -- or 21 in the Exhibit DRH-2, which is the 2020 fire 22 resiliency -- you're using the term resiliency; I'll 22 resiliency plan and addendum. You mentioned that PSPS 23 call it reliability or resiliency -- and safety 23 is not something you're doing right now because offsets. We -- on the capital side --24 24 25 Can you restate it? I want to make sure 25 Let me find the page here. Page 285 Page 287 1 I'm answering you correctly. We have so many 1 Essentially, paraphrasing, you're not set different mitigative actions that we're taking, some 2 up for it at this time. 2 of them are capital, some are expense, and I want to 3 3 THE WITNESS: I don't remember where it's 4 make sure I'm responding to your -- because you're 4 at. I do remember -- I know what it's -- I know those 5 asking me to address capital specifically. 5 comments, so we can visit on it if you don't find it 6 MS. RENDAHL: Right. 6 specifically. MR. DANNER: Actually, I'm sorry. It's 7 So specifically, has the company broken 7 8 out any risk reduction benefits from those capital 8 in DRH-3, and it's at Page 18. investments from more general resiliency benefits, or 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 10 is it just focused on limiting the sparking event? 10 MR. DANNER: And what you said is that THE WITNESS: Okay. I understand your 11 PSPS is a system in use in California, and while it 11 12 12 was discussed during the workshops, it requires question. 13 Our plan focused on two elements: impacts 13 systems and processes not yet available at Avista. from fire as well as preventing spark ignition events. However, the concept will be addressed in April of 14 14 15 There's a small piece -- I haven't done the breakout, 15 2020, in the wildfire resilience plan. And the plan but a small element of our plan is more of a 16 does include recommendations that would support a 16 17 resiliency effort, but not exclusively. 17 future deployment. What is the status of that? 18 I'll use the example, when we wrap a 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so we currently are wooden transmission pole with the fire mesh wrap --19 19 doing workshops internally related to public safety power shutoffs -- that's the PSPS reference -- and, 20 it's this material that goes up about six feet. If a 20 21 fire comes across in those areas, it will prevent that 21 you know, when we initially did our plan, there's an 22 wood pole from burning up and then potentially 22 element of PSPS that requires customer communication, 23 dropping the conductor. That's more of a resiliency 23 and it's not just the -- prior to the event, it's a 24 solution than it is a safety solution, because it's 24 long-cycle customer communication, and we recognize 25 that to be successful with PSPS, there's no way we 25 not reducing a spark ignition event on our system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Page 288

could initiate our original plan, because that's what this is, is our first plan, and successfully administer PSPS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And what I mean by that is that we know that for Avista's service territory, we have very rural circuits, and that's where our high consequence areas are. And, you know, let's just -- I'm going to characterize here. Somebody has 20 acres and they're on a well, and if a fire was to come through and we were to proactively shut off their power, they no longer have the means to fight a fire.

That's the counter to -- the understanding is if you shut somebody's power off. you've eliminated the spark ignition event but you've also prevented somebody from fighting a fire, especially if that fire is from outside the system.

So it's a very -- we have to have a very balanced approach when we start considering PSPS.

We are looking at it, we have a workshop -- not a workshop. We have a committee that's actually taking a look at that and trying to decide how we would utilize that within our plan and if it's appropriate.

MR. DANNER: So when you say that the plan includes recommendations that would support a circuit goes out, the system automatically tries to reclose. Then during our summer season, which for us this year is a little bit longer because we started in late June. We're disable reclosing.

Page 290

Then the next two levels we're going to have is a -- what we're going to refer to as a Fire 2 shot and then a Fire 1 shot. And it just makes our system much more sensitive. It's ratcheting up the sensitivity. And what you really have is -- and PSPS is on the top of that, which means that we -- as opposed to letting the system make the decision, it sees a fault, it trips offline, it's us doing it proactively based on environmental conditions. So it's really just a ratcheted thought process on sensitivity to protecting our system.

But we've looked at it. and we can reduce our fault energies, which is where the spark ignition events come from, by 90 percent by just enabling additional protection settings.

The next level, though, beyond that would be PSPS, and that's why we're looking at that.

MR. DANNER: All right.

So when -- just so I understand the term, when you talk about a long-cycle communication plan. what are you referring to? What does that term mean?

Page 289

future deployment of PSPS, you have made no decision about whether you would deploy PSPS in the future?

THE WITNESS: We have not made that decision yet. We're evaluating how -- how you would implement that, when it should be implemented.

You know, what we're doing -- and this is something that we could do with a shorter cycle, was that -- we've had enhanced dry-land mode in the past and we've talked about that historically. It just disables reclosing. And we do that during the summer season and then we send crews out to patrol prior to reenergizing. That's the system operating when there's a known fault, and that fault has the potential for a spark.

We also are going to have what we call enhanced dry-land mode, which is going to allow us to operate our circuits more sensitively. Think of it as a standard circuit breaker versus a GFI in your home. It just would be more sensitive to a branch or an animal contact, especially during the hot dry season. So what we're moving to is the ability to dynamically change our system on the system protection side.

There's kind of really levels of this. If you think about it, you have normal operating. That's when you have reclosing enabled. That's the Page 291

THE WITNESS: Maybe I'll counter that to what short cycle is. So when we see -- we watched the PSPS that's been implemented in California. They have -- about three days out they're starting to communicate with customers, two days out, then one day out, and then they make the decision. So that's the short-cycle communications.

What I mean is if we make that decision as a company, we need to be communicating to our customers, and we've talked about there's vulnerable customers, there's customers that have the means to install generators, some may not. We need to find solutions for those customers before we just shut the power off and then let them deal with the impacts of

It's got to be -- so it's long cycle. More than a year, it needs to be very thoughtful. Very thoughtful if we're going to implement that.

MR. DANNER: I just wanted clarification on that term you used, so thank you very much.

All right, I have no further questions,

22 Judge.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anything else from either of the other Commissioners?

MS. RENDAHL: No.

Page 292 Page 294 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, then, thank you, 1 internal systems costs? 2 Mr. Howell. You're excused. Thank you very much for 2 I'm specifically -- I have written down 3.12, 3.13 or 3.15. 3 3 your testimony. 4 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. So just wondering what you have done with 5 5 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And now we will shift regard to offsetting factors in terms of valuing 6 6 reduced internal system costs. over to Avista's witness Kensok. 7 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Chair Danner, let me Mr. Meyer, you've turned on your video. 8 8 step in. I apologize, for one moment while we are Go ahead. 9 9 having Mr. Kensok find his way. MR. MEYER: I did. Thank you. 10 10 Just one or two very quick redirect, if I Mr. Meyer, I did neglect to turn it over 11 might. 11 to you to introduce your witness and have him spell 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I apologize. I should 12 his last name for the record and for the court reporter. If you would please go ahead and do that. 13 have asked. Yes. 13 Mr. Howell, if you would please rejoin 14 then we will -- I apologize -- continue the answer to 14 15 15 the Chair's question. us. 16 Yes, one or two clarifications. Please 16 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you, Your 17 17 go ahead, Mr. Meyer. Honor. 18 MR. MEYER: Okay. Thank you. 18 For the record, please state your name 19 19 and your employer, spelling your last name. 20 20 THE WITNESS: James Kensok, K-e-n-s-o-k, REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: 21 Avista Utilities, vice president, CIO, and chief 21 22 Q. Mr. Howell, was your testimony prepared 22 security officer. by you or others under your direction and supervision? 23 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 24 A. Under my direction, but I was part of 24 Mr. Meyer, you can go ahead and turn off 25 that process of preparing that testimony. 25 your camera. Page 293 Page 295 1 So aside from the actual form of the 1 Mr. Kensok, if you please can answer the question in your rebuttal testimony, is the 2 Chair's question regarding the offsetting factors. 2 information contained in your answers true and 3 3 Thank you. 4 correct? 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. I think 5 5 A. Yes. we're finding them. 6 MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank 6 When we consider whether or not we use 7 you. 7 thought-based services versus on-premise services 8 8 THE WITNESS: Thanks. and/or software, we do consider the cost as one of the 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Mr. Howell, you 9 relevant factors. Offsetting costs typically can be 10 are excused. Thank you very much. 10 in the infrastructure, which is the hardware and/or Now let's turn to Avista witness Kensok. 11 the software. What we've found is that it's not 11 12 Okay. Mr. Kensok, will you please raise 12 always financially less cost in terms of a hosted work 13 your right hand. 13 cloud solution versus on premise. 14 14 (Witness sworn) So, for example, a depreciation cost JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. You 15 could be offset by a maintenance cost that we have 15 can put your hand down. 16 with software-as-a-service or cloud-provided 16 17 And I will turn it over to the 17 application, and so there's not always an offset, and 18 Commissioners for their questions. 18 I think that we do state that in my testimony. I'll 19 MR. DANNER: Good morning, Mr. Kensok. 19 have to find the pages, though, that we do consider 20 Witness Mullins states that Avista did 20 the overall depreciation expense. 21 not consider offsetting factors associated with 21 And then the internal offsetting costs 22 cloud-based IT systems, such as, for example, reduced 22 typically could be in labor. However, it depends on 23 internal system costs. Can you please clarify if you 23 which application is hosted. 24 did, in fact, include offsetting factors in the 24 So, for example, if we host an 25 25 adjustments that you made associated with reduced application that has to be connected to one that

Page 296 Page 298 1 remains on premise, there's still labor associated 1 2020; is that correct? 2 2 with that, so it doesn't necessarily disappear. THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 And then also in the hosting, we look at 3 MR. BALASBAS: All right. 4 the volumetric pricing that comes with that. An 4 In your responsive testimony -- or in 5 example, how many licenses or how often do you use or 5 responsive testimony, multiple parties cite that the how much do you store of data. That too then gets company work papers included budget-based pro forma 6 6 7 7 considered against the capital costs of on-premise adjustments through 2021. However, work papers are 8 solutions. 8 not part of the record in this case, so could you 9 So we do look at that, we have a process 9 explain the time frame of your IS and IT pro forma 10 10 that we go through that with our technical folks, and expenses included in this case and the basis for those 11 then we consider too performance of -- the physics of 11 adjustments? 12 moving from Avista's headquarters to a hosted service 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think that in the 13 13 and back. 2020, it's May through December, and then '21 --14 14 So, for example, if it's a customer-based I don't know what that one is. I've got web application, if that were hosted, there may be a 15 15 to look. performance impact versus having it on-site, because 16 16 We took a conservative approach once we they've got to go to multiple data centers. 17 17 moved into 2021 because we tried to -- we only put in 18 So we do -- to answer your question 18 things that we knew that would be in the used and 19 specifically, we do consider the cost offsets. 19 useful category, and then in the --20 Typically they aren't always favorable, and we do 20 See if I've got it here. 21 21 revisit those on a regular basis. I think we said May through December, and 22 MR. DANNER: And how regularly do you 22 then we used just what was -- what we knew that we had 23 revisit them? If there are offsetting factors, how do 23 that was in service for 2021. 24 we as a Commission capture those to benefit the 24 MR. BALASBAS: And do you have a time 25 ratepayers? 25 frame for the 2021 in-service? Page 297 Page 299 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Chair Danner. 1 THE WITNESS: Time frame as far as the The way that we look at them is on a monthly basis on 2 used and useful? 2 3 3 volumetric pricing, so we have a monthly report that MR. BALASBAS: Yes. 4 shows what volumetric costs have been, for example, at 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. We're building those 5 5 Amazon Web Services, or AWS, for a particular as we speak, in terms of they adjust literally application. And then if we see that move upward --6 6 monthly, but in effect we would say that 2021 is going 7 sometimes it does -- or downward, then we take a look 7 to be very similar to 2020, but just as I mentioned 8 at that and decide if that's still the most efficient 8 earlier, when those contracts come due -- those are 9 the ones that we're making sure are in there -- that's 9 solution. 10 We can't always change it but we can 10 when we would reevaluate those and include them for adjust our processes that we use that can drive that 11 11 12 12 MS. RENDAHL: Mr. Balasbas, can I follow cost. 13 And then on an annual basis, depending on 13 up, or are you going to follow up on that? 14 how long the contract is with that particular 14 MR. BALASBAS: No, go ahead. provider, then we can look at those and see where we 15 MS. RENDAHL: So I guess what is in the 15 might be able to either adjust the cost or change the case in front of us? What is in the record that we 16 16 17 have for 2021, not what you might include later? 17 solution. MR. DANNER: I may have more questions 18 18 Because what we have to decide in this 19 for you, but I'm going to pause here for a second and 19 case is what is in evidence. So what is in the 20 20 evidence right now that Avista has included about 21 MR. BALASBAS: So good morning, 21 2021? 22 Mr. Kensok. I do have another question here for you. 22 And if you need to respond to that in a 23 So in your testimony you stated that the 23 bench request, we can do that. 24 2020 pro forma expenses included in this case were 24 MR. MEYER: That might be a very good 25 25 already largely in service through December 31st of suggestion.

			1
	Page 300		Page 302
1	These questions really are trenching on	1	So we have a used and useful policy statement. You
2	ground more appropriate for Liz Andrews, who worked up	2	mentioned used and useful in your testimony earlier.
3	the pro forma analysis, and the bench request I think	3	And it has some paragraphs, 26 and 27, that basically
4	would be an ideal vehicle for that.	4	say a retrospective review of property requests must
5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: This is Judge	5	replace prospective review when requests cannot be
6	O'Connell.	6	reviewed completely prior to rates going into effect.
7	For the record, Mr. Cook, that was	7	So my question is: Do you anticipate a retrospective
8	Mr. Meyer speaking.	8	review of the IT expenses that we have not been able
9	THE REPORTER: Thank you.	9	to review, and do you have any plans or process for
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: We will	10	noncompany parties to review expenses after responsive
11	MS. RENDAHL: Commissioner Balasbas, do	11	testimony is due in this case?
12	you want to frame this bench request?	12	MR. MEYER: That again, might this is
13	MR. BALASBAS: Sure. Yeah, thank you,	13	Mr. Meyer. That's certainly a fair question, and that
14	Commissioner Rendahl.	14	might be part of our response to that bench request.
15	So, Judge O'Connell, yeah, I think I	15	It's really more of a purview of Ms. Andrews.
16	agree, I think a bench request would be appropriate	16	MR. DANNER: All right.
17	here, to indicate what and I hope I got this right,	17	Judge, can we add to that bench request,
18	so, Commissioner Rendahl or Chair Danner, please help	18	then, just a question about what process the company
19	me if I'm not framing correctly here, but I believe	19	has to first to allow noncompany parties to review
20	what we are looking for is what the time frame is and	20	expenses, and then what process does the company have
21	expenses are in this case for the pro forma IS and IT	21	for retrospective review of expenses after the
22	adjustments.	22	effective date?
23	Mr. Kensok has already stated May through	23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, I will add that as
24	December of 2020, but I guess we would like to see	24	a subpart to Bench Request No. 10.
25	more detail on that.	25	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very
	- 201		
	Page 301		Page 303
1		1	
1 2	And then if there are any budget-based	1 2	much.
	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in		much. And I have no further questions for
2	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case?	2	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok.
2	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that?	2 3	much. And I have no further questions for
2 3 4	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you.	2 3 4	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one.
2 3 4 5	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief
2 3 4 5 6	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you
2 3 4 5 6 7	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10.	2 3 4 5 6 7	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you. MR. BALASBAS: So, Judge O'Connell, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. And then Avista also proposes pro forma
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you. MR. BALASBAS: So, Judge O'Connell, at this point I do not have any other questions for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. And then Avista also proposes pro forma adjustment 3.17 to include costs associated with its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you. MR. BALASBAS: So, Judge O'Connell, at this point I do not have any other questions for Mr. Kensok.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. And then Avista also proposes pro forma adjustment 3.17 to include costs associated with its wildfire plan. How is Avista classifying its IT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you. MR. BALASBAS: So, Judge O'Connell, at this point I do not have any other questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: I have a question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. And then Avista also proposes pro forma adjustment 3.17 to include costs associated with its wildfire plan. How is Avista classifying its IT investments between IT costs and the costs related to other proposed adjustments, such as the wildfire adjustment I just mentioned?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then if there are any budget-based pro forma adjustments for 2021, are those included in the record in this case? Commissioner Rendahl, did I capture that? MS. RENDAHL: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And we will make that Bench Request No. 10. And as far as a deadline, Mr. Meyer, would it be reasonable to also set a deadline for the 16th? MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor, that would work. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will attempt to get that memorialized and issued in a notice this afternoon, before the close of business. If I am unable to do that, it will be first thing Monday morning. Thank you. MR. BALASBAS: So, Judge O'Connell, at this point I do not have any other questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: I have a question, unless it looks like Chair Danner may, so go ahead,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	much. And I have no further questions for Mr. Kensok. MS. RENDAHL: And I just have a brief one. So, Mr. Kensok, in your exhibit do you have your initial testimony, JMK-1T? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you look at Page 8. THE WITNESS: I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So at that point you cite disaster preparedness and wildfire preparedness as part of the business case for the IS and IT investments included in pro forma adjustment 3.08. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: I do. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. And then Avista also proposes pro forma adjustment 3.17 to include costs associated with its wildfire plan. How is Avista classifying its IT investments between IT costs and the costs related to other proposed adjustments, such as the wildfire

	Page 304		Page 306
1	Mr. Howell has the costs specific to the wildfire plan	1	Honor.
2	in his budget, and then any technologies that we have	2	Good morning, Ms. Jordan. Please state
3	within the ISIT area is within the ISIT budget.	3	your name for the record.
4	MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.	4	THE WITNESS: Good morning. My name is
5	And that's all the questions I have.	5	Elaine Jordan, J-o-r-d-a-n.
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.	6	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Where are you
7	Mr. Meyer, let me turn back to you and	7	employed?
8	ask if you have any clarifying questions from what the	8	THE WITNESS: I am a regulatory analyst
9	Commissioners have asked.	9	with the Washington Utilities and Transportation
		10	Commission.
10 11	MR. MEYER: I do not. Thank you.	11	
	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	12	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Please direct
12	Then, Mr. Kensok, thank you for your	1	your attention to the responsive testimony and the
13	testimony. You are excused.	13	cross answering testimony that you filed in this case.
14	So at this point we've finished the	14	Are there any corrections or other
15	testimony from all of Avista's witnesses, and what we	15	changes that need to be made to any of the exhibits
16	will do next is take testimony from the staff witness	16	that were filed as part of this testimony?
17	Jordan.	17	THE WITNESS: Yes. There are three
18	However, before we get there, there has	18	corrections to my responsive testimony.
19	been a request for a break, and it is time for our	19	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Please go ahead
20	midmorning break. The time now is 10 minutes until	20	and provide those for the record.
21	11:00 in the morning, and we will take our midmorning	21	THE WITNESS: On Page 26, starting on
22	break until 11 o'clock. So we will be off the record	22	Line 15, where it says 89 cents, it should read \$1.14;
23	until 11:00.	23	where it says negative 1.1 percent, it should read
24	Okay. Thank you.	24	negative 1.4 percent; and then on Line 16, where it
25	(Short recess.)	25	says \$81.44, it should read \$81.19.
	Page 305		
	1 age 303		Page 307
1		1	Page 307 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan,
1 2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that	1 2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan,
	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that	1	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit?
2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to	2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear
2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify.	2 3	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct.
2 3 4	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk	2 3 4	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say
2 3 4 5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day.	2 3 4 5	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct.
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put your hand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put your hand down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put your hand down. Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUETAKE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put your hand down. Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you are muted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUETAKE: Q. Good morning, Ms. Jordan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: If I can please ask that Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski turn on her video and that we have staff witness Elaine Jordan appear on video to testify. Before we get to Jordan, I want to talk about the schedule for the rest of the day. I understand that we expect to have at least some amount of time that Public Counsel is going to cross-examine witness Jordan. Depending on when that testimony concludes, we're going to look at what time it is, and we will decide then whether we're going to have a break for lunch or whether we will have a short break and continue with the cross-examination by Avista of Public Counsel witnesses before going on a lunch break. So with that expectation, I'd like to turn now to staff witness Jordan. Will you please raise your right hand, and I'm going to swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put your hand down. Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And, Ms. Jordan, do these numbers appear in another exhibit? THE WITNESS: Yes, these numbers appear in Exhibit ELJ-5, which is correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And when you say "which is correct," you mean the numbers that are in ELJ-5 are correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, the numbers in ELJ-5 are correct. MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Ms. Jordan is available for cross-examination and to respond to questions from the bench. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you can turn off your video now, until you may need to turn it back on to be heard. Ms. Suetake, thank you for turning on your video. You may proceed with cross-examination. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SUETAKE:

Page 308 Page 310 And here you said that you reviewed -- is 1 1 Q. Is it correct that you are staff's witness on Avista's electric and gas cost of service it correct that you reviewed those inputs to 2 2 3 calculate -- that Avista used to calculate its RFPC, 3 studies? 4 that is, the renewable future peak credit? Is that 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. Could you please turn -correct? 6 Do you have a copy of your cross 6 A. Yes, I reviewed the numbers to confirm 7 7 answering testimony in front of you? the numbers that Ms. Knox used were the same dollar 8 A. I do. 8 amounts from the IRP. 9 Q. Could you please turn to Page 4. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Could you please explain to me your 10 A. I'm there. understanding of the theory of the peak credit method. 11 Q. To Lines 7 through 10. 11 12 12 A. Yes. The theory of the peak credit A. Yes. 13 Now, you indicate here -- is it correct 13 methodology is to compare a base load type generating that you indicate here that staff reviewed the 14 14 resource unit to a peaker type generating resource information provided in the company's filing and 15 unit, to calculate what we call a demand energy split, 15 16 believes it is reasonable? 16 which is used to help allocate the generating costs 17 17 the company incurs to the residential -- or to the Α. Yes 18 When you refer to staff here in these 18 customer classes. The demand energy split is used to 19 lines, did you personally review the company's class 19 estimate the amount of generation costs that were 20 cost of service study to form your opinion? 20 incurred by the company to meet demand or kilowatt. 21 A. 21 And the other part of that component is estimate 22 Q. Did you personally review and examine 22 how -- the generating resources needed to meet the Ms. Knox's electronic class cost of service study that 23 23 energy component or kilowatt hours. Q. And when you say "split," do you mean the 24 was provided in Excel format? 24 25 A. Yes. 25 classification ratio between demand and energy? Page 309 Page 311 1 Q. Now, staying on this same page, Page 4, 1 Yes. A. 2 starting on Line 10, is it correct that you note that 2 And is it correct that that -- in Avista used inputs from its 2020 IRP? 3 3 developing the peak credit classification ratio 4 4 between demand and energy -- is it based on a ratio of A. 5 Q. And did you review the company's 2020 5 different types of generation plants? IRP? 6 6 A. Yes, and the ratio being a base load 7 A. I did not. 7 compared to a peaker type plant. 8 8 Q. And the peaker -- is it correct that the Q. Okay. And then -peaker is the numerator in that ratio? 9 9 10 A. I'm sorry. If I can --10 Α. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. I'm Q. Do you know what renewable peaker unit 11 11 12 hearing a beeping coming from someone's microphone. 12 did Ms. Knox select as her -- the numerator in her 13 If you are not Ms. Suetake or Ms. Jordan, your 13 peak credit method? 14 microphone should be muted at this time. 14 Yes, Ms. Knox used a 25-megawatt Okay. Ms. Jordan? 15 15 eight-hour lithium ion battery. Q. Thank you. 16 MS. SUETAKE: Actually, that was my --16 If we can turn to Exhibit ELJ-11X. 17 sorry. That was my mic. There's construction next 17 Avista's response to Public Counsel --18 door. I apologize. 18 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. I 19 Oh, sorry. This would be now numbered 20 appreciate that. 20 GAW-6. 21 THE WITNESS: If I could slightly 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you, 22 rephrase. I did not review the company's IRP but I 22 Ms. Suetake. That was what I was going to note for 23 did review the numbers in Ms. Knox's cost of service 23 the record. 24 study to confirm that they came from the 2020 IRP. 24 Let's please refer to Exhibit GAW-6. 25 25 Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: So this exhibit is Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: Okay.

Page 312 Page 314 Avista's response to Public Counsel's Data 1 1 testimony. I don't believe that she testified that 2 2 Request 279. the RFPC method was reasonable. She testified that it 3 Are you familiar with this exhibit? 3 was in compliance with the Commission's rule in 4 4 A. I became familiar with this exhibit once Chapter -- WAC 480-85. 5 5 it was filed as a cross exhibit. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. One 6 Q. Okav. 6 moment. And did you review -- so you did not 7 7 Thank you, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski. I'm 8 review this as part of Mr. Watkins' response 8 familiar with the testimony and with this exhibit. 9 testimony? 9 Now, Ms. Jordan does testify that she 10 10 A. I did not. reviewed the inputs and that what Avista did was 11 Okay. 11 reasonable. So to that point, what Ms. Suetake is 12 Would you agree, however -- after you've 12 questioning about in this exhibit in particular is reviewed this, would you agree that this data request 13 13 relevant and within the scope of Ms. Jordan's asks for the source documents and support for the 14 14 testimony. So I am going to permit questioning about inputs to the renewable future peak credit? 15 15 these inputs based upon the information given by MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Objection, Your 16 16 Avista as to what they included, given that Ms. Jordan Honor. It's not clear that this is within the scope 17 17 testified that she reviewed the inputs used and 18 of witness Jordan's testimony. 18 determined that they were reasonable. 19 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, this is the 19 So, Ms. Suetake, go ahead with your 20 20 questioning, but please identify for the record what witness -it is we're looking at in Exhibit GAW-6. 21 I'm sorry, Your Honor? 21 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, do you 22 MS. SUETAKE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank 23 have a response? 23 you. 24 MS. SUETAKE: Yes. Ms. Jordan is the 24 Q. For this question, if you can look at 25 only witness for staff for the cost of service 25 Subpart A. Page 313 Page 315 1 studies. She said she reviewed the inputs for 1 Α. Okav. 2 2 Q. First let's go back to the first question Avista's cost of service study. I would like to ask 3 I had, which is: Would you agree that this data 3 her about these inputs. 4 I'm not sure who else this would go to --4 request asks for the source document and support for the inputs to the renewable future peak credit? 5 5 these questions would go to if not Ms. Jordan. I 6 don't know whose scope of testimony it would otherwise 6 A. Yes, I would agree that's what the data 7 7 request is asking. Q. And then if you look at answer to 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I understand. 8 9 Subpart A, you mentioned an eight-hour lithium ion 9 The objection is overruled. 10 Ms. Suetake, if you could please --10 battery? 11 before you get into the substance of the exhibit, 11 And would you agree that this is the same 12 which has already been admitted, please explain or 12 lithium ion battery that you mentioned earlier as the 13 identify how the information in this exhibit goes to 13 peaker unit that Ms. Knox had chosen? the RFPC method and the staff testimony that purports 14 14 15 Avista's use of that methodology. 15 Yes. A. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, staff has said Q. Okay. 16 16 17 Do you have a copy of Mr. Watkins' that Avista's cost of service study and their 17 18 response testimony, GAW-1T, in front of you? renewable future peak credit method is reasonable. In 18 19 order to assess the underlying basis for that opinion 19 A. I do. Give me a minute. 20 that this is reasonable, I would like to discuss and 20 21 examine Ms. Jordan about her understanding about the 21 Q. Now, if you could turn to --22 inputs. 22 Did you read Mr. Watkins' response 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. 23 testimony in preparation for this case? 24 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, if I 24 A. I did. 25 25 may, that's a bit of a misstatement of Ms. Jordan's Could you please turn to Page 11 of

Page 316 Page 318 1 Mr. Watkins' testimony. ion battery storage facility to serve as a peaker unit 1 2 2 in this table? A. I'm there. Q. Do you see Table 1? 3 3 A. No. 4 Q. So in reviewing these tables from 4 A. Yes. Q. And this table says "Avista 2020 Avista's IRP, would you agree that Avista has no plans 5 5 Preferred Resource Strategy 2021 through 2030"; is to install a lithium ion battery unit anytime between 6 6 7 7 that correct? now and 2040? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. According to these tables in the IRP, it 9 Q. Similarly, if you go down one page to 9 does not appear that Avista is planning on installing Tables 2 and 3. Do you see those two tables? 10 10 a lithium ion battery through 2040. 11 A. Yes. 11 And then if we can go to Page 11-8 in 12 12 Q. Would these -- all of these three tables, this same exhibit. would you agree that Mr. Watkins represents that this 13 13 A. I'm there. is information from the Avista 2020 IRP? Q. Would you agree that this is the same 14 14 table -- same information as presented in Table 3 of A. According to the footnotes in 15 15 Mr. Watkins' testimony? 16 Mr. Watkins' testimony, they come from the 2020 IRP. 16 17 Q. Thank you. 17 A. Yes. 18 If we could then turn to Exhibit ELJ-12X, 18 Would you agree that this table also does 19 which is Chapter 11 from Avista's 2020 IRP. 19 not include an eight-hour lithium ion battery? 20 20 A. This table does not include an eight-hour A. I'm there. Q. Are you familiar with this exhibit? lithium ion battery but it does include a four-hour 21 21 lithium ion battery. A. I became familiar with this exhibit once 22 2.2 Q. Would you agree that a four-hour lithium 23 it was filed as a cross exhibit. 23 ion battery storage unit is not the same as an 24 Q. If you can turn to Page 11-5, please. 24 2.5 A. I'm there. 25 eight-hour lithium ion battery storage unit? Page 317 Page 319 1 Q. Do you see the Table 11 -- what's --1 I would not agree that four and eight are Table 11.1 at the top there? 2 the same number, but I am not familiar enough with 2 lithium ion storage battery technology to say if they 3 3 A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this is the same 4 4 are the same or not. That's fine. Thank you. information that is presented in Mr. Watkins' 5 5 Q. 6 testimony? 6 Ms. Jordan, does any of the material we 7 Α. 7 have reviewed suggest -- state that the company is 8 8 planning in their preferred resource strategy to build Q. Looking at this table, does Avista 9 or install an eight-hour lithium ion battery storage 9 include an eight-hour lithium ion battery storage 10 facility to serve as a peaker unit in the next -- up 10 through 2045? through 2030? 11 These three -- according to these three 11 12 12 tables in Avista's 2020 IRP, there is -- they are not A. No. 13 Can you turn to Page 11-7, please, in the 13 planning on installing eight-hour lithium ion battery Q. storage through 2045. same exhibit. 14 14 15 Q. Do you know where in Ms. Knox's -- or 15 Α. I'm there. Do you see the table identified as 16 apologies. Do you know where in the 2020 IRP the 16 eight-hour lithium ion battery is mentioned? Table 11.1 again, "2020 Preferred Resource Strategy 17 17 2031 through 2040"? 18 18 Α. I am not aware. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. Thank you. 20 Q. And would you agree that this is the same 20 Would you agree that the purpose of the 21 as the Table No. 2 in Mr. Watkins' testimony? 21 cost allocation study used for the purposes of this 22 22 case is to allocate Avista's current generation rate 23 Q. Turning to this table, would you agree 23 base? 24 that this table --24 Would you like me to repeat the question? 25 25 Sorry. Do you see an eight-hour lithium Yes, please.

Page 320

- Q. Would you agree that the purpose of a cost allocation study used in this rate case is to allocate Avista's current generation rate base?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Would you also agree that the purpose of a --

Sorry. I used negatives in the writing of my question.

Would you also agree that a cost allocation study is not intended to allocate Avista's generation rate base 25 years from now? Cost allocation used in today's rate case.

- A. Yes, I would agree with that; however, that is not what -- that is not what this cost of service study is doing, nor is having a battery that is not projected to be in -- used until 2042 is doing in this case. The renewable future peak credit methodology uses -- uses renewable resources to create that demand energy split. Both the peak credit methodology and -- the traditional peak credit methodology and the renewable peaker peak credit methodology use base load and peaker resources. In this case a battery -- lithium ion battery is the peaker resource used by the company.
 - Q. So are you saying that it is -- an

Q. When you speak of future resources, could you please tell me in your opinion what is -- how far out would be reasonable in an expectation of a peaker plant would be? Like how far out would it be

Page 322

plant would be? Like how far out would it be reasonable for Avista to use a resource -- a

hypothetical resource?

A. I would have to review it on a case-by-case basis and look at the entirety of the cost study. In this case I've reviewed the inputs, and I found that a lithium ion battery is reasonable. As Mr. Watkins testifies to in his responsive testimony, it is the most -- it is a peaker unit, and it is the -- it is a renewable type peaker unit. Therefore, it is appropriate to use in the renewable future peak credit methodology calculation.

Q. Thank you.

In developing the levelized cost for that eight-hour lithium ion battery storage facility, do you know how many hours Ms. Knox assumed that this facility would be dispatched or operated?

- A. I do not.
- Q. Can we turn back to Exhibit GAW-6, please.
 - A. I'm there.

Page 321

eight-hour lithium ion battery that is not projecting at this time to be used on Avista's system or part of their preferred resource strategy even through 2045 -- is it your opinion that this specific resource is a reasonable proxy for a peaker unit to serve as a basis within Avista's forward-looking renewable peak credit method that will be used to allocate current generation rate bases?

A. Yes. First Ms. Knox used 2022 battery, which is appropriate because the rate effective year in this case is effectively 2022.

Second, using that forward-looking resource is in line with the cost of service rule-making order.

Specifically, if I can get there, Page 12 of that cost of service rule-making order, Line --Paragraph 44, the first line states, "The renewable future peak credit method upholds a principle long favored by this Commission, a properly conducted cost of service study is forward looking by reflecting the purposes for which plant expenditures are made."

And finally, even under the traditional peak credit methodology, also used hypothetical cost estimates from -- as input to the demand energy split that came from an IRP.

Page 323

- Q. If you can look at the request for -under Subpart C, the basis for assumed 200 hours of operations. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Do you see the answer to Subpart C says they got -- that Avista used the number -- and they received from it PacifiCorp's Scenario 3 from a rule-making docketed UG-170002, that were staff requested scenarios?
 - A. Yes, I see that as the answer.
- Q. In your opinion, why is the 200-hour scenario the most reasonable?

Sorry. Hold on. Let me ask first, were you part of the staff on that UG-0002?

- A. Yes, I was a part of that rule-making docket.
- Q. Ms. Jordan, in this case, in your opinion, why is the 200-hour scenario the most reasonable?
- A. I apologize. I cannot remember the reason why we -- why staff requested scenarios dated June 14th, 2019, why 200-hour was selected.
- Q. Do you recall if staff asked for any other scenarios?
 - A. Yes. Staff -- I can't remember the

19 (Pages 320 to 323)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 324

number off the top of my head, but staff did request other scenarios in that rule making docket.

Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I can say in that -- when we -- when those scenarios did come back, though, which Ms. Knox points to in her rebuttal testimony and is also in the cost of service rule-making order -- and I apologize, I don't have that reference handy -- that all of the -- the stakeholders were surprised, though, when those scenario results came back because they were negligible differences in the results.

Q. Thank you.

We can move on to some of these other inputs.

Do you see, under Input E, winter month fixed costs?

A. Yes.

If you look at the answer, would you agree that Avista states that this is a PPA price per megawatt hour, when we asked for the inputs?

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I'm going to object again here. And it's just not clear how this is -- how this is within the scope of Ms. Jordan's testimony. The Public Counsel is asking about the answers that Avista provided in a data

Commission's rule and has no problem with the inputs

I think Ms. Suetake's line of questioning is within that scope of what the opinion of staff on that cost of service study and the methodologies used and the inputs. I think the question is within that scope. So overruled.

Ms. Suetake, go ahead.

MS. SUETAKE: Thank you.

Q. Let me back up a little, then. Turning to the -- as we spoke about -- we spoke about previously about the ratio, and we talked about the numerator, which is the peaker unit. Let's speak about the denominator now, in develop -- that was used in developing the peak credit ratio.

Do you know what Ms. Knox used in the denominator or base load facility within her renewable peak credit approach?

A. Yes, Ms. --I just had the reference. Ms. Knox used a wind turbine as the denominator.

Q. And are you -- would you agree that the wind turbine -- the costs that they use from the wind turbine was from a PPA or power purchase agreement, as

Page 325

request that was propounded by Public Counsel, and so it's just not clear what we're accomplishing here.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake?

MS. SUETAKE: I was just about to ask a couple more questions that might make it clear that I'm asking about the reasonableness -- or Ms. Jordan's opinion about the reasonableness of using a PPA for a cost of service study.

And I was going to ask -- continue to ask about the use of a PPA for the denominator of the ratio, which would -- is intended to be a base load facility.

Again, I'm trying to discern why this witness thinks that this cost of service study and the inputs that Avista used is reasonable.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, I understand your objection and why you're objecting. However, I'm overruling it, again, because this is staff's expert witness on cost of service. Staff has testified that the cost of service study and the inputs used were reasonable. In particular, that the IRP -- use of the IRP was reasonable.

But in addition to that, staff has supported and said that it is accepting of Avista's cost of service study as it complies with the

per Subpart E in this data request?

A. Yes, the price for that wind turbine does come from a PPA; however, as I have previously said, whether the traditional peak credit methodology or the RFPC uses hypothetical costs, additionally, Ms. Knox had done calculations to represent that that -- PPA cost, which is -- my understanding, is the best cost estimate -- or the IRP produces a best cost estimate, she did further calculations to -- sorry. She did some further calculations to -- to -- she did further calculations to make the wind turbine PPA a base load resource.

You would have to ask Ms. Knox the exact calculations that she did.

Q. This conversion, is it correct that Ms. Knox needed to convert that because a PPA contract is an expense and it's not reflected in rate base?

A. That is my understanding of how PPAs work. I am not a power cost expert.

Q. I understand.

You spoke about that conversion or, you know, that she had to do calculations. Would you agree that she attempted to convert that energy-based PPA expense item into a capacity cost per kilowatt to use as the denominator?

20 (Pages 324 to 327)

Page 326

Page 327

Page 328 Page 330 Q. 1 1 If you can look at Page 10, through A. I'm sorry, I cannot remember right now 2 2 exactly, but I believe that is what she did, subject Line 21. 3 3 to check. A. And I'm sorry. Page 10 doesn't have 21 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. That's good. That's 4 lines. 5 5 fine. Q. Sorry. If you could just take a moment to review Pages 10 through 21 in this testimony. If we can turn to Page 4 in your cross 6 6 7 7 answering testimony, please. I'm trying to do this faster rather than 8 A. I'm there. 8 have you go page section by page section. 9 If you can look at Lines 2 and 3. 9 A. Mm-hmm. 10 10 Is it correct that you state that MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Objection. The 11 Mr. Watkins' testimony is a backdoor challenge -- or 11 testimony states what it states, and we need to have a Public Counsel's arguments on methodology are a 12 specific reference regarding the question. 12 backdoor challenge to the rule more than a critique of 13 13 MS. SUETAKE: If I -how Avista conducted its cost of service study; is 14 14 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake -that correct? 15 MS. SUETAKE: I understand what you're 15 16 A. Yes. Public Counsel witness Watkins 16 saying. 17 17 How about this. I'll ask the question in critiques the RFPC methodology; however, I did not see 18 any evidence in this case that the RFPC was not in the 18 a different way. 19 public interest and something else should have been 19 Q. Given that we went through all these 20 20 tables and all of this discussion about the inputs used, especially given what WAC 480-85-060 21 that Mr. Watkins raised in his testimony, and 21 Subsection (2) states, which in part states, "a party may file a cost of service study with modifications to 22 Mr. Watkins' view of Avista's 2020 IRP -- inputs from 22 23 the 2020 IRP, do you still assert that Mr. Watkins 23 the methodologies outlined in Tables 1 through 4 in 24 subsection (3) of this section provided that each 24 failed to provide a critique of how Avista conducted 25 modification is explained in narrative testimony and 25 its cost of service study? Page 329 Page 331 the party shows that each modification materially 1 1 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat your improves the cost of service study and is in the 2 2 question? 3 public interest." 3 Q. Given our view of Mr. Watkins' testimony Q. Putting aside what the WAC says, I'd like 4 and our discussion about the inputs to the cost of 4 to speak to you about what your testimony says. Is it service study, do you still assert that Mr. Watkins 5 5 6 correct that you say that you assert that Public 6 failed to provide a critique of how Avista conducted 7 Counsel's arguments on methodology are more of a 7 its cost of service study? 8 backdoor challenge to that rule than a critique of 8 A. Yes. As I say in my cross answering Avista's -- how Avista conducted its cost of service 9 9 testimony on Page 4, Line 12 and 13, it is my 10 study? 10 statement that Public Counsel is critiquing the 11 results of the company's IRP, and which then is --11 Yes, again, because I did not see any other evidence in this case that the RFPC was not 12 leads to the assertion that the cost of service study 12 13 the -- the evidence that I saw from Public Counsel was 13 should not be -- that Public Counsel's assertion that 14 14 critiquing the RFPC itself and not the input -- or -the cost of service study should not be used in this 15 15 I'm sorry. Let me try again. case. The -- I stand by my sentence in the 16 16 Again, however, I did not see a -- and in 17 testimony here that says the arguments are a backdoor 17 Mr. Watkins' testimony, and it's still my testimony, 18 challenge to the rule because I did not see any 18 that challenging the approved generation methodology 19 evidence from Public Counsel that the RFPC was not in 19 in the process of WAC 480-85. 20 the public interest. 20 Q. Okay. 21 Q. But you assert here essentially that 21 If we can turn to the first part of your 22 public --22 assertion in Lines 2 and 3. Is it correct that you

state that Mr. Watkins' testimony is a backdoor

challenge to the Commission's rule?

A. Yes.

Let's turn to Mr. Watkins' response

23

24

25

testimony, please.

A. I'm there.

23

24

25

Page 332

Q. In your opinion, is it -- is it your opinion that it is inappropriate for Mr. Watkins or any other qualified expert to provide information to the Commission regarding whether a particular cost of service study is or is not reasonable?

A. Give me one moment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is within any party's right to discuss the cost of service rule -- or the -- the company -- a company complying with the cost of service. WACs, however, in the rule-making order on Page 19, Paragraph 67 -- the second sentence there, it states, quote, "The Commission intends the proponent for any alternative cost of service study to bear" -- "to bear the burden of justification to any modification to the methodologies."

In this instance I did not see Public Counsel offer an alternative cost of service study and instead just critiqued it. Therefore, I state that it is a backdoor challenge to the rule because Public Counsel does not offer an alternative.

- Q. Was Public Counsel asserting that Avista had to use a different cost of service study?
- A. No, Public Counsel -- my understanding of Public Counsel's testimony is that the --

One second. I want to make sure I don't

inputs used in a cost of service study without a full alternative cost of service study provided as well?

- I think it is unreasonable to critique the inputs without providing an alternative or -- an alternative cost of service study or what the results of a cost of service study would be with those critiques.
- Q. So in a hypothetical -- let me propose a hypothetical, then. If a utility proposed a completely unreasonable cost of service study but a party was not able to, for reasons, say, because they couldn't get the data, provide an alternative cost of service study, does that make the company's cost of service study reasonable?
- A. I don't think so. The way you stated your hypothetical was if a company provided an unreasonable cost of service study, providing an alternative. An unreasonable cost of service study would not be considered reasonable; however, if a -it is my opinion that if a party is going to critique the inputs that a company used and conclude that it is -- that the study is unreasonable but not provide their own evidence to what would be reasonable -- a reasonable cost study, that is critiquing without providing an alternative.

Page 333

misrepresent my understanding of the Public Counsel's testimony. Give me one moment.

- Q. I can ask a different question if you would prefer. We don't have to --
- A. I just don't have my testimony tabbed as well as I thought I did.

On Page 25 of Mr. Watkins' responsive testimony, starting on Line 20, he states there, "For reasons discussed in this testimony, Avista's class cost of service testimony should not be relied upon to measure any" -- "relied upon as any reasonable measure of class cost responsibility."

So -- which is the -- one of the purposes of a cost service testimony, is to calculate what we call parity ratios, which shows the amount of costs a particular customer class causes a utility to incur compared to the revenues that that customer class is providing, so by -- what I'm reading here in Mr. Watkins' testimony is that the Commission-approved WACs of the cost of service study are not reasonable; however, Public Counsel did not provide an alternative cost of service to be that -- that they found -- that you found would be more reasonable.

Q. Is it your opinion that it is inappropriate to critique the reasonableness of the

In your opinion, does every determination of reasonableness or unreasonableness require an alternative from other parties?

Page 335

A. I don't think I understand your question.

For every time a party states that -- and provides evidence of the unreasonableness of a utility's filing, do they have to provide an alternative?

A. In a cost of --

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Objection. One moment.

Objection. We're just talking about the cost of service study here, not any filing, so I would say that there's an objection to relevance here.

MS. SUETAKE: Understood. I can change my question.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please.

- Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: So to recap, is it correct that you believe -- and correct me if I'm wrong. Is it correct that you believe that any challenge to an input to a cost of service study must be accompanied by a complete full alternative cost of service study?
- A. Yes.
 - Okay. Thank you. Q.

Page 334

1

2

7 8

9

10 11 12

13 14 15

20 21 22

24

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22 (Pages 332 to 335)

Page 336 Page 338 1 Do you know if it was Mr. Watkins' include a four-hour or eight-hour battery? 1 2 intention to conduct an alternative cost of service 2 A. Avista's cost of service study used an study for the Commission's consideration? 3 3 eight-hour battery. 4 Q. And did you -- did you perform any 4 A. I cannot speak to the intentions of 5 5 calculations to compare a four-hour versus eight-hour Public Counsel witness Watkins. battery with respect to parity ratios? 6 Q. Can you please turn to cross-examination 6 7 7 A. Yes, I reviewed the -- or I used the Exhibit ELA-15X. 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And, for the record, 8 four-hour battery cost instead of the eight-hour 9 ELJ-15X has not yet been admitted to the record, so 9 battery, and found that the differences in the parity 10 it's currently an exhibit simply identified as -15X. 10 ratios were negligible. 11 Please go ahead, Ms. Suetake. 11 Q. Thank you. Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: Are you familiar with 12 12 And now I'm going to ask you -- I'm going this data request response? to take you to Cross Exhibit 12. 13 13 I became familiar with it once it was 14 A. 14 A. I'm there. Q. And I'm going to ask you to look at that 15 provided as a cross exhibit. 15 Table 11.1. 16 Q. Would you agree that in this response 16 Mr. Watkins requested hourly sales or load data for 17 17 A. I'm there. the different categories in Subparts A through E? 18 18 Now, based on this table and to the best 19 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Objection. 19 of your knowledge, is Avista planning on installing 20 Please -- I'd just ask that you rephrase 20 any renewable resource before or during the rate year that you would consider a peaker resource? the question. 21 21 22 Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: Were you aware that 22 A. No. I do not see any resources on this Mr. Watkins requested this information from Avista 23 23 table for the rate year that I would -- that would be 24 prior to writing your response testimony? 24 considered a peaker resource. 25 A. No. 25 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Page 337 Page 339 1 Q. Cross answering testimony. Sorry. 1 I have no further questions for Ms. Jordan. No, I did not review this data -- Public 2 2 3 Counsel's -- or Avista's response to Public Counsel JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, do you 3 4 Data Request 269 prior to writing -- or -- yes, Public 4 have any recross within that very limited scope? 5 Counsel Data Request 269 prior to writing my cross 5 MS. SUETAKE: No, Your Honor, I do not. 6 answering testimony. 6 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. 7 Let me turn to the Commissioners. Do we 8 With that, I have no further questions 8 have any questions that can be posed to Ms. Jordan? 9 9 MS. RENDAHL: I have no questions. 10 JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. 10 MR. DANNER: Nor do I. 11 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Well, the bench 11 Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, do you have 12 12 has no questions, so I'd like to thank you, any redirect? 13 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, I do, Your 13 Ms. Jordan, for your testimony. You are excused. 14 And I want to note for the record that 14 Honor. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Go ahead. 15 Cross Exhibit -- what's been identified as Cross 15 16 Exhibit ELJ-15X was not moved for admission so it 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 17 remains not in the record. BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: 18 18 Okav. 19 Q. Ms. Jordan, I'm going to take you back to 19 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I'm sorry, Your 20 your testimony regarding the four-hour versus 20 Honor. You said it remains --21 eight-hour lithium ion battery. Do you recall 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Not in the record. 22 testifying about that just now? 22 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not in the 23 A. Yes. 23 record. Thank you for that clarification. 24 Q. All right. 24 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 25 25 And does Avista's cost of service study By my count, we have two more witnesses

		1	
	Page 340		Page 342
1	left that have been identified. Both of them are	1	introduce the witness.
2	Public Counsel's witnesses. And Avista has intended	2	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you.
3	cross-examination for them.	3	Good afternoon, Ms. Bauman. Could you
4	Mr. Meyer, if you would anticipate me	4	please state your name.
5	asking, please turn on your camera. Welcome back.	5	THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Shay
6	Is your time estimate the same as	6	Bauman. That's B-a-u-m-a-n.
7	originally planned for?	7	MS. SUETAKE: And what is your place of
8	MR. MEYER: You know, it is, and it's a	8	employment?
9	tough thing to pinch everybody on their lunch hour, so	9	THE WITNESS: I work for the Public
10	I'm thinking I'll be done by a half hour, even if	10	Counsel unit of the Washington State Attorney
11	things go on the longish side. Total.	11 12	General's office, as a regulatory analyst.
12 13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: At this point I have	13	MS. SUETAKE: And did you file testimony
14	we've taken about an hour with the cross-examination of witness Jordan. We would prefer to push through	14	and exhibits in this docket on behalf of Public Counsel?
15	through the two cross-examinations for the Public	15	THE WITNESS: I did. I provided Exhibits
16	Counsel witnesses before we take our lunch break.	16	SB-1C through 19.
17	I'd like to open up my question to the	17	MS. SUETAKE: Were your testimony and
18	attorneys for the other parties. Do we need a short	18	exhibits prepared by you or under your instruction and
19	five-minute break before we continue on?	19	supervision?
20	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That would be	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	appreciated, Your Honor.	21	MS. SUETAKE: Do you have any changes to
22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Then let's go	22	your testimony or exhibits at this time?
23	ahead and take a five-minute break, and we will come	23	THE WITNESS: I do. In my response
24	back and hear from both of Public Counsel's witnesses.	24	testimony, Exhibit SB-1T, I asserted that Avista did
25	The time is currently almost 12:00 noon.	25	not include the cost of stranded meters (inaudible).
	·		. ,
	Page 341		Page 343
1	We will be off the record until 12:05. Thank you.	1	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm having
2	(Short recess.)	2	trouble understanding. I don't know if you need to
3	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Welcome back.	3	get closer to the microphone, but can you repeat that
4	Mr. Cook, are you available and ready?	4	answer, please.
5	THE REPORTER: Yes, Your Honor.	5	THE WITNESS: In my response testimony,
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	6	Exhibit SB-1T, I asserted that Avista did not include
7	I'd like to ask that, Ms. Suetake, you	7	the cost of stranded meters in their AMI cost-benefit
8	turn on your video, and that we have Shay Bauman ready	8	analysis. Avista's rebuttal testimony clarified the
9	to testify.	9	treatment of these costs, and I would like to strike
10	I will go ahead and swear you in. If	10	the following. Please let me know if I need to go
11	you'll please raise your right hand.	11	down. Page 5, Lines 5 through 12 entirely, Page 8,
1.0	• •	1	
12	If I one moment. If I haven't said	12	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41,
13	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record.	13	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words
13 14	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good.	13 14	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and."
13 14 15	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will	13 14 15	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify
13 14 15 16	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in.	13 14 15 16	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made?
13 14 15 16 17	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn)	13 14 15 16 17	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not
13 14 15 16 17 18	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	13 14 15 16 17 18	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact
13 14 15 16 17 18	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible).
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further before I turn it over to Ms. Suetake. We will wait	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible). THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting
13 14 15 16 17 18	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further before I turn it over to Ms. Suetake. We will wait for the chair to come back in.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible). THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting out again. "The changed did not affect any of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further before I turn it over to Ms. Suetake. We will wait for the chair to come back in. MR. DANNER: Sorry about that. I didn't	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible). THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting out again. "The changed did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact"
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further before I turn it over to Ms. Suetake. We will wait for the chair to come back in.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible). THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting out again. "The changed did not affect any of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If I one moment. If I haven't said it, let's be on the record. THE REPORTER: Very good. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Bauman, if you will please raise your right hand, I will swear you in. (Witness sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Let's wait one moment to go any further before I turn it over to Ms. Suetake. We will wait for the chair to come back in. MR. DANNER: Sorry about that. I didn't realize I had my camera off.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Line 17, through Page 9, Line 5. And on Page 41, Lines 19 through 20, I would like to remove the words "abandoned legacy meter costs and." MS. SUETAKE: Do these changes modify your positions or any calculations you've made? THE WITNESS: No. The changes did not affect any of the numbers that I provided. The impact (inaudible). THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're cutting out again. "The changed did not affect any of the numbers that i provided. The impact" JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment, Mr. Cook.

_	Page 344		Page 346
1	If you could please just keep in mind that you are	1	well, am I?
2	speaking at a speed that someone has to type at.	2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, we can hear you,
3	So please go ahead and explain.	3	Mr. Meyer. It is a little there is a little
4	THE WITNESS: Sure. I also changed the	4	distortion, but I can understand you.
5	microphone. Is this any better?	5	Mr. Cook, our court reporter, can you
6	THE REPORTER: Much better.	6	make out Mr. Meyer?
7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, that's better.	7	THE REPORTER: So far, but it might
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. Excellent.	8	MR. MEYER: I'll try yet a third one.
9	So for the court reporter, the impact on	9	Is this any better?
10	the revenue requirement remains the same, and in my	10	THE REPORTER: It's still difficult, but
11	Exhibit SB-3 and associated work paper, Work Paper 1,	11	we can try our best.
12	I used numbers directly from Avista, which already	12	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.
13	included those calculations and are, therefore,	13	Mr. Meyer, let's do what we can, and,
14	accurate.	14	Mr. Cook, if you can jump in if you're having trouble.
15	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Ms. Bauman is	15	MR. MEYER: You got me right where you
16	available for cross-examination.	16	want me, muted and barely understood, so
17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Great. Thank you.	17	Q. Okay. Good afternoon.
18	Ms. Suetake, if you could please turn off	18	So I'd obviously like to discuss with you
19	your video, and, Mr. Meyer, if you would turn yours	19	your position on AMI, and the first I understand
20	on.	20	you're not the revenue requirements witness, but I
21	Okay. Mr. Meyer, you may proceed with	21	suspect you understand that the Public Counsel witness
22	cross-examination.	22	Crane has reflected your recommendations on AMI in her
23	MR. MEYER: Thank you.	23	revenue requirement; is that correct?
24	This has flashbacks to my high school	24	A. That's correct.
25	basketball career. I was put in the last two minutes	25	Q. And just to establish order of magnitude
	Page 345		Page 347
1	of the game as a scrub, and had to make the best of	1	here, is it your understanding that your proposals on
2	it. So flashbacks.	2	AMI would result in about a \$7 million reduction in
3		3	our proposed revenue requirement for electric and
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	4	about 2.7 million for gas?
5	BY MR. MEYER:	5	A. Yes, that's correct.
6	Q. Good afternoon.	6	Q. Okay. Thank you.
7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, I need to	7	So it's a matter of some significance, as
8	stop you.	8	you can imagine, for Avista, correct?
9	Your sound quality has been good up until	9	A. Correct.
10	this point, but for some reason we're getting some	10	Q. All right.
11	feedback, or at least I am. Are you able to move the	11	Now, do you have JDD-2R in front of you?
12	microphone closer to you?	12	That is our advanced metering infrastructure plan.
13	MR. MEYER: Is this any better?	13	A. I do.
14	How about now?	14	Q. You do. Good.
15	JUDGE O'CONNELL: It is better. We're	15	And this plan is about 105 pages long?
16	getting a little feedback, but I can hear you louder,	16	A. Yes. It actually goes down to 101.
17	which helps.	17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, we've lost
18	MR. MEYER: Let me try a different mic.	18	you audio-wise.
19	We have a series of several of these little guys.	19	MR. MEYER: Am I there?
20	There we go. How about this one?	20	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Now you're back. Thank
21	Better?	21	you.
22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: It seems	22	MR. MEYER: Thank you. Okay.
23	MR. MEYER: A little bit?	23	Q. I think I had asked you whether this plan
24	Okay. Would you like me to proceed?	24	as shown in Exhibit JDD-2R was approximately 105 pages
25	I don't think I'm coming through very	25	long.
			<u> </u>

Page 348 Page 350 1 Yes. Mine goes down through 101. Exhibit JDD-2R, which we talked about. That's the 1 2 2 most recent revised plan of Avista. And turn to Q. Sure. And is this Exhibit JDD-2R a revision of 3 Page 4 of that, if you will. 3 4 4 an earlier draft that was marked as JDD-2? A. Okay. I'm there. 5 Q. Are you there? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. All right. 6 A. Yes. 7 And is it your understanding that in 7 Q. Okay. 8 prior proceedings Avista has presented AMI plans for 8 Now, in the table that appears at the 9 the Commission's consideration? 9 bottom of Page 4 of that exhibit, continuing on to the top of Page 5, is it correct that Avista has 10 Yes, that is my understanding. 10 11 Q. Okay. 11 identified each of those use cases and posited 12 So you acknowledge that over time, over 12 benefits to be derived from each one of those? the last several years, there has been an evolution 13 13 A. While that is correct that Avista has and refinement of Avista's AMI plan, correct? 14 14 discussed each of those use cases, part of what I 15 (No audible response.) 15 analyzed in my testimony is the basis for which they 16 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 16 came up with those estimations. I don't agree with 17 17 the estimations. an answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 Q. Okay. I understand. 19 Q. BY MR. MEYER: Thank you. 19 So did the Commission in the Puget order 20 So do you consider Avista's most current 20 also recognize that the -- Puget's AMI program was not expected to be operational until 2022 or 2023? AMI plan a formal plan or proposal? 21 21 22 A. I do consider it a formal plan of what 22 A. That's my understanding, yes. 23 Q. I'm sorry, I did not catch that. 23 Avista wishes to do with its AMI system. A. Yes. 24 Q. All right. Thank you. 24 25 Now, are you familiar with the recent 25 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're fading Page 349 Page 351 1 rate order in the PSE case? 1 out again. 2 2 A. Iam. THE WITNESS: Sorry. 3 3 And I don't expect you to have this in That is my understanding of their front of you, but I'll read just a clause or two from 4 program. 4 that order at Paragraph 155, and you can accept this, 5 5 Q. BY MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you. if you will, subject to check. 6 6 Now, at the time you filed your response 7 "The company" -- quote/unquote, "The 7 testimony --8 company," representing PSE, "represented at hearing 8 That was in April, wasn't it? that it is planning to pursue additional benefits, but 9 9 A. Correct. 10 it has yet to put forth any formal plan or proposal." 10 Q. Okay. I'll stop there. 11 -- had the parties come to terms on a 11 12 Do you recall that language? 12 settlement calling for Avista to establish a pilot 13 A. I do recall that being part of the 13 time-of-use program? Commission's language, yes. 14 I can't recall the timing of the 14 All right. 15 settlement exactly off the top of my head, but that 15 Then the Commission also in that order, was included in the settlement. 16 16 if you recall, challenged Puget for not having 17 17 Q. Thank you. developed testimony and support around so-called six 18 18 And didn't you note, though, in your use cases; am I correct? 19 19 answering testimony that you did file, that should 20 A. Correct. 20 time-of-use rates be adopted, that that could be 21 Q. And was that a basis for its decision, as 21 perhaps, in your words, the second-most significant 22 best you recall? 22 benefit to be derived from AMI? 23 A. Yes. 23 It is what research suggests, yes. 24 Q. All right. 24 And didn't you put a number of 58 million 25 25 I'd like you now to turn to to that in terms of potential benefits from time of

	Page 352		Page 354
1	use? Isn't that number in your testimony?	1	you.
2	A. I'm just double-checking my testimony.	2	MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, I do not have
3	Q. And I can refer you to Page	3	any redirect.
4	No, I can't help you on that.	4	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.
5	I can find it if I need to, but I'm	5	Let me ask the Commissioners if they have
6	hoping you can get to there quicker.	6	questions for Ms. Bauman or if her testimony sparked
7	Would you accept that subject to check	7	any additional questions.
8	and we can move on?	8	MR. DANNER: I have no questions, Your
9	A. Subject to check, yes.	9	Honor.
10	Q. Okay.	10	MS. RENDAHL: And I have no questions,
11	Now, when you arrived at your positive	11	either.
12	net benefit calculation of 1.1 to 1	12	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.
13	And that was a positive net benefit,	13	Seeing that there are no bench questions,
14	correct?	14	Ms. Bauman, thank you for your testimony today, and
15	A. Posited?	15	you can be excused.
16	Q. Positive.	16	Let's take a moment to ask Puget Counsel
17	A. Positive, yes. Assuming that Avista	17	witnesses Alvarez and Stephens to turn on their
18	achieves all of its other estimates.	18	cameras and be prepared to testify.
19	Q. Okay.	19	Now, I see Mr. Alvarez. We also have
20	So we were on the to put it simply, at	20	some
21	that even under your analysis, on the plus side of	21	Ms. Suetake?
22	the equation; is that right?	22	MR. STEPHENS: I'm here and I can see my
23	A. Numbers and estimates, correct.	23	video. I don't know why you're not.
24	Q. And even that calculation of 1.1 to 1 did	24	JUDGE O'CONNELL: We can see you and hear
25	not include \$58 million of additional benefit that	25	you, Mr. Alvarez.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,, ·····
	Page 353		Page 355
1	would come from time of use, correct?	1	MR. STEPHENS: Oh. I'm sorry. Is my
2	 That may come from time of use. 		
	A. That may come nom time of use.	2	this is Dennis Stephens. Am I under Alvarez's name,
3	Q. I'm sorry, I missed that.	2 3	this is Dennis Stephens. Am I under Alvarez's name, perhaps?
3 4	•		·
	Q. I'm sorry, I missed that.	3	perhaps?
4	Q. I'm sorry, I missed that.A. That may come from time of use.	3 4	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes.
4	Q. I'm sorry, I missed that.A. That may come from time of use.Q. No, my	3 4 5	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness
4 5 6	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 	3 4 5 6	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens?
4 5 6 7	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about 	3 4 5 6 7	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video on, if you have any redirect. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman. MR. STEPHENS: I see her too. JUDGE O'CONNELL: What I see on my
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video on, if you have any redirect. MS. SUETAKE: One second. I'm sorry, I'm 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman. MR. STEPHENS: I see her too. JUDGE O'CONNELL: What I see on my screen, at least, is that, Mr. Stephens, you are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video on, if you have any redirect. MS. SUETAKE: One second. I'm sorry, I'm just looking at my notes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman. MR. STEPHENS: I see her too. JUDGE O'CONNELL: What I see on my screen, at least, is that, Mr. Stephens, you are identified in your the name for your video as
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video on, if you have any redirect. MS. SUETAKE: One second. I'm sorry, I'm just looking at my notes. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And, Mr. Meyer, you can 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman. MR. STEPHENS: I see her too. JUDGE O'CONNELL: What I see on my screen, at least, is that, Mr. Stephens, you are identified in your the name for your video but I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I'm sorry, I missed that. A. That may come from time of use. Q. No, my Yeah, okay. It's correct that your 1.1 to 1 ratio did not include any assumption about \$58 million of additional time-of-use benefits, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, would these \$58 million of assumed time-of-use benefits be at all possible without AMI? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I still can't hear you. A. I don't believe so, no. MR. MEYER: That's all I have. Thank you very much for your testimony. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake, if you can turn your video on, if you have any redirect. MS. SUETAKE: One second. I'm sorry, I'm just looking at my notes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perhaps? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Will we be having a second witness joining Mr. Stephens? MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez is also on the line, and I can see his video. I can't see Mr. Stephens but I can see Mr. Alvarez. I think there's something frozen with Ms. Bauman's she's turned hers off. We've asked her. MR. ALVAREZ: Can you see me now? MS. SUETAKE: Yes, we can see you now. JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. It's possible I'm the only one, but I do not see either Stephens or Alvarez on my screen. I do have a frozen Ms. Bauman. MR. STEPHENS: I see her too. JUDGE O'CONNELL: What I see on my screen, at least, is that, Mr. Stephens, you are identified in your the name for your video as

	Page 356		Page 358
1	And, Mr. Alvarez, if you are on the	1	appearing today?
2	telephone, I can hear you but I cannot see you.	2	THE WITNESS: I'm appearing on behalf of
3	MR. ALVAREZ: I am participating via	3	Public Counsel.
4	Microsoft Teams, and I can see myself.	4	MS. SUETAKE: And, Mr. Stephens, could
5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's just pause one	5	you please state your name and spell it for the
6	moment.	6	record, please.
7	THE REPORTER: Your Honor, can we go off	7	MR. STEPHENS: My name is Dennis
8	the record for this, please?	8	Stephens. It's spelled S-t-e-p-h-e-n-s.
9	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you,	9	MS. SUETAKE: And what is your place of
10	Mr. Cook. We'll be off the record for a moment.	10	employment?
11	Thank you.	11	MR. STEPHENS: It's DS Consulting.
12		12	MS. SUETAKE: And what is your occupation
13	(Discussion off the record.)	13	
13 14	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's go back on the	14	at DS Consulting?
	record.		MR. STEPHENS: I am a technical
15	The time is approximately 12:40 p.m., and	15	consultant to Wired Group.
16	we've had a brief moment resolving certain technical	16	MS. SUETAKE: And on whose behalf are you
17	issues. We are going to proceed.	17	appearing today?
18	I can see both witnesses, Mr. Stephens	18	MR. STEPHENS: Public Counsel's.
19	and Mr. Alvarez. Mr. Meyer and Ms. Suetake, all three	19	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you.
20	Commissioners have turned off their cameras, which	20	Mr. Stephens and Mr. Alvarez are
21	seems to afford us the possibility to see both	21	available for cross-examination.
22	witnesses.	22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you, Ms. Suetake.
23	At this point Ms. Suetake has stated that	23	You can turn off your camera until you
24	she's willing to go forward with only being able to	24	want to be heard.
25	see one of her witnesses.	25	Mr. Meyer, you may proceed with
	Page 357		Page 359
1	Page 357	1	Page 359
1 2	So with that, I'd like to turn it to	1 2	cross-examination.
2	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses.	2	
2	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been	2 3	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in?	2 3 4	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very	2 3 4 5	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER:
2 3 4 5 6	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are,	2 3 4 5 6 7	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid modernization and substations combined what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record. MR. ALVAREZ: A-I-v, as in Victor,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record. MR. ALVAREZ: A-I-v, as in Victor,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid modernization and substations combined what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record. MR. ALVAREZ: A-I-v, as in Victor, -a-re-z. MS. SUETAKE: And what is your occupation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid modernization and substations combined what the impact of that would be on a rate base. Would it be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record. MR. ALVAREZ: A-I-v, as in Victor, -a-r-e-z. MS. SUETAKE: And what is your occupation at the Wired Group?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid modernization and substations combined what the impact of that would be on a rate base. Would it be reduction of \$23 million?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So with that, I'd like to turn it to Ms. Suetake to introduce her witnesses. MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, have they been sworn in? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. Thank you very much. Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, where you are, please raise your right hand. (Witnesses sworn) JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. You can put your hands down. Thank you, Ms. Suetake. Now I will turn it over to you. MS. SUETAKE: Mr. Alvarez, could you please state your name and place of employment. MR. ALVAREZ: Paul Alvarez, Wired Group. MS. SUETAKE: And could you please spell your last name for the record. MR. ALVAREZ: A-I-v, as in Victor, -a-r-e-z. MS. SUETAKE: And what is your occupation at the Wired Group? MR. ALVAREZ: I'm the president of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cross-examination. MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER: Q. And good afternoon, gentlemen. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Good afternoon. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Good afternoon. Q. So I am going to put some questions to you collectively, and I'm not going to address them to one or the other, so you'll have to decide who is going to answer them. So I'd like to cover two areas. The first is wildfire mitigation, and the second has to do with your testimony on grid modernization and substation rebuilds. So we understand the context for this and what's at stake. I'd first like to ask if you understand what the impact of your proposal for grid modernization and substations combined what the impact of that would be on a rate base. Would it be reduction of \$23 million? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): This is Mr. Alvarez.

Page 360 Page 362 be essentially -- not essentially. It would be a 1 1 they visited, because I'm not sure -- or you should 2 write-off on the company's books should the Commission 2 ask why they should need to visit. accept your recommendation? 3 3 Go ahead. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): That's our 4 4 MR. MEYER: I'll rephrase it more 5 5 understanding. directly. Thank you. 6 Q. All right. 6 Q. Given your visit, Mr. Alvarez, of 10 7 7 And you can imagine that this matters to years to go to the Spokane service territory, do you 8 the company, correct? 8 feel you have a sufficient understanding of the unique 9 9 circumstances affecting the service territory when it A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Of course. 10 Q. And with respect to wildfire -- with 10 comes to wildfire mitigation? 11 respect to wildfire mitigation, are you proposing to 11 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I have a general review from rate base or capital in this case capital 12 understanding of the topography, the forestry, the 12 of 11 and a half million dollar? 13 13 rural versus urban nature. I did spend some time in 14 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes. Mr. Alvarez 14 the suburbs and the rural areas around Spokane fishing 15 and so forth, so I feel like I have a -- a limited speaking. 15 Q. Thank you. 16 knowledge of the service territories, geography and 16 17 And, again, is that a significant amount, 17 topography and so forth. 18 11 and a half million? 18 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Dennis 19 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes, certainly. 19 Stephens. Could I respond to that question? 20 Q. All right. 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, Mr. Stephens. 21 Now, would you agree that any wildfire 21 MR. MEYER: Surely. mitigation plan should be tailored to the unique 22 22 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): So when I said that conditions and circumstances of the service territory? 23 23 it depended on a geographic area, I was referring to 24 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens, 24 the difference between urban, rural, nonforested 25 and, yes, that's true. 25 areas, and forested areas, which all of those -- all Page 361 Page 363 1 Q. Thank you. 1 of those areas I am familiar with. Now, when was the last time either of you 2 2 So when I say, yes, it depends on a visited Spokane, Washington? 3 3 geographic area, I'm talking about what kind of --A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Dennis 4 4 what the geography is in that area, not a specific Stephens. I have never visited Spokane, Washington. 5 5 area like Spokane. 6 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): And Mr. Alvarez. It's 6 I personally live in Evergreen, Colorado, 7 been about a decade, I imagine. 7 and it's the number one highest rated fire area in 8 Q. All right. 8 Colorado and number five in the nation. So I'm very And, Mr. Alvarez, you were here once. 9 9 familiar with forested areas and the issues associated 10 Was that visit confined just to the Spokane area, or 10 with wildfire. did you get out and about in our --11 Q. BY MR. MEYER: Well, I can't let that go. 11 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, I object. I'm 12 12 Do you know that your experience where 13 13 you live mirrors that of Spokane and the vicinity? questioning the relevance of this. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, can you 14 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I -- I assume it 14 15 please explain. 15 does. I mean, it's a forested area, and this is a MR. MEYER: Sure. This goes to the very 16 forested area, so it's very similar. 16 17 basic credibility of these witnesses. If a wildfire 17 Q. Okay. 18 plan depends on their understanding of the unique 18 Let's move on. 19 circumstances of the service territory, I'm inquiring 19 Assuming you're trying to address 20 as to whether they have any understanding of those 20 wildfire mitigation in an area of -- let's say rolling 21 unique circumstances. It's just that simple. 21 hills and prairie land and -- but with no real forest 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, I think that, 22 cover, would your grid-hardening and bench management 23 Ms. Suetake, your objection will be overruled, but, 23 approach be different than if you were trying to 24 24 address a heavily forested area? Mr. Meyer, I'd like to ask that you rephrase your 25 25 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I'm sorry, you're question to get that information, instead of whether

Page 364 Page 366 1 1 repeat your last question, and I am curious, saying -- are you comparing a nonforested area to a 2 2 Mr. Meyer, if there's perhaps two microphones that forested area? 3 3 Q. Correct. might be on near you. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Dennis 4 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes, there's a 4 5 difference. 5 Stephens. That was my phone that just went off, and I turned it off, so I -- that might have been part of 6 Q. Sure. 6 7 7 And you'd use different approaches, the problem. 8 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. correct? 9 9 Mr. Meyer, please repeat your question. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes, that's true. We 10 10 would -- this is Dennis Stephens, and we would use MR. MEYER: Sure. Surely. different approaches, right. 11 11 Q. So when you take on an engagement as a 12 Q. So even though what I'm going to 12 consulting firm, does it make sense to first characterize at best is only a passing familiarity familiarize yourself with the distribution system 13 13 with our service territory, that didn't stop you from being studied? 14 14 15 making some very specific recommendations concerning A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Certainly. 15 our wildfire plan, correct? 16 16 Q. And you would want to know before making any recommendations things like the age of the system, 17 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I made some 17 the type of area being served, whether it's rural or 18 recommendations with regard to your wildfire plan, 18 19 19 urban, the topography, levels of built-in redundancy, 20 Q. With the bite to that -- 11 and a half 20 things like that, correct? 21 million dollars of bite in terms of disallowed 21 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): That's -- that's what 22 capital, correct? 22 we attempted to do through the discovery process. 23 Q. Okay. 23 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That would be your 24 term, "bite." All I did was look at the -- the data 24 So --25 that you used to justify those, and I not find 2.5 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Dennis Page 365 Page 367 adequate justification for those expenditures. 1 1 Stephens. I've worked in the utility industry for 40 Q. All right. 2 years, and I've looked at a lot of systems, and most 2 3 Let's move on now to the grid 3 of them are very similar given whether they're urban or rural or forested areas. So we do try to make 4 4 modernization and substation rebuild. 5 5 Again, let's add some context for this. ourselves familiar with any concerns that pertain to 6 Is it true that the effect of your proposal on grid 6 our analysis, but we don't do a site visit, we don't 7 modernization and substations would be to remove 7 do a detailed discovery on every aspect of the system, 8 \$23 million of capital? And I think you said it would 8 because I have a lot of familiarity with all the 9 be, correct? 9 systems without having to do that specific review. 10 (BY MR. ALVAREZ): This is Mr. Alvarez. 10 JUDGE O'CONNELL: This is Judge A. Correct, that is our testimony. 11 O'Connell. I want to -- I'm having trouble following 11 12 Now, your firm is -- Mr. Alvarez, I 12 this so I want to make sure that we're a little bit 13 believe it's called Wired, Inc., or -- do I have that 13 more organized. right? 14 14 I understand this is difficult, having 15 two witnesses, Mr. Meyer. I'd like to have you ask 15 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Wired Group. So when Wired Group takes on 16 your question, Mr. Meyer, and then we will allow 16 engagements -- consulting engagements due to grid 17 Mr. Alvarez and Mr. Stephens a chance to respond 17 modernization, do you first familiarize yourself with 18 18 before you ask your next question. Okay? the distribution existing --MR. MEYER: Thank you. 19 19 20 MS. SUETAKE: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's go to your next 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. 21 question, Mr. Meyer, and we'll start always with 22 If everyone can please mute your 22 Mr. Alvarez, and then we'll hear from Mr. Stephens. 23 microphone except for Mr. Meyer, Mr. Alvarez, 23 MR. MEYER: That's helpful. Thank you. 24 24 Q. So let's explore your working assumption Mr. Stephens. 25 25 Mr. Meyer, I'm going to ask that you that all systems are pretty much the same. Let's use

	Page 368		Page 370
1	redundancy	1	Q. For distribution.
2	MS. SUETAKE: Objection, Your Honor.	2	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): For distribution.
3	That was a characterization of my of what my	3	Q. Okay.
4	witnesses said.	4	And elsewhere you say that and this is
5	MR. MEYER: I'll rephrase it.	5	on Page 51.
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please.	6	Why don't you go to that now.
7	Q. BY MR. MEYER: Let's explore the level of	7	A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Okay.
8	redundancy in Avista's system, and with respect to	8	Q. And your Footnote 58.
9	substations in particular.	9	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Hang on just a
10	And I'm going to first direct you to your	10	second.
11	own testimony at Page 40, Lines 7 through 11.	11	Okay, I'm on Page 51.
12	And I will read this aloud, and if I	12	Q. Mr. Alvarez?
13	misspeak, please correct me. Quote	13	A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I am. Thank you.
14	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Give us some time to	14	Q. Note 58, please.
15	get there, please.	15	Again, I'm going to read this aloud, and
16	Q. Sure.	16	I'll just read the last sentence of this footnote.
17	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay, 40 Page 40,	17	"With this redundancy design, also known as the N-1
18	Lines 7 through 11?	18	design, a substation will continue to operate even if
19	Q. Yes, please.	19	one of its two supply lines is lost. Redundance, N-1
20	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay, I'm there.	20	design of subtransmission (substation) networks is
21	Q. Mr. Alvarez, are you there?	21	standard industry practice."
22	A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I am.	22	Is that your testimony?
23	Q. So I'll read it aloud. "All utilities	23	A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes.
24	assign substations with full redundancy, called N-1	24	A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes.
25	design. In an N-1 design, each substation is designed	25	Q. Show me where in your testimony you
	Page 369		Page 371
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	to accommodate the loads of adjacent substations	1	produced evidence that this planning criteria is
1 2	to accommodate the loads of adjacent substations should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus,	1 2	produced evidence that this planning criteria is standard utility practice.
2	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus,	2	standard utility practice.
2	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its	2 3	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is
2 3 4	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately?	2 3 4	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens
2 3 4 5	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote.	2 3 4 5	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry?
2 3 4 5 6	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately?	2 3 4 5 6	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find
2 3 4 5 6 7	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk transmission system, not the distribution system,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. What about Idaho Power?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk transmission system, not the distribution system, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. What about Idaho Power? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk transmission system, not the distribution system, correct? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. I mean, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. What about Idaho Power? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. Do you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk transmission system, not the distribution system, correct? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. I mean, it's typical for utilities to use N-1 independent of NERC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. What about Idaho Power? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. Do you know? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I have not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should one of those adjacent substations fail. Thus, the failure of a piece of equipment and, hence, its availability risk, does not necessarily result in a service outage for customers," end of quote. Have I read that accurately? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): You have. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): You have. Q. So there's a lot to unpack there. Let's begin with this notion of N-1 design for substations. Is N-1 a NERC requirement for system planning? A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not know that. Dennis? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know that it is. I mean, they do have N-1 but they also have N-2 requirements. But we are talking about distribution here, and most utilities use an N-1 for distribution. Q. So N-1, would you agree, is a NERC requirement, if you know, related to the bulk transmission system, not the distribution system, correct? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. I mean, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	standard utility practice. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens I'm sorry? Q. I did not see it so please help me find it. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): It's not there. This is Mr. Stephens. That is based on my 40 years of experience in the utility industry, and knowing that every utility uses this approach on their distribution systems. There is no reference other than that. Q. So you know that to be true? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. Q. And have you specifically examined even the sister utilities in this jurisdiction, Puget Sound Energy or PacifiCorp? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. So you haven't. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. What about Idaho Power? A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): No. Q. Do you know?

Page 372 Page 374 1 1 MR. MEYER: Thank you. those, no. Q. At the outset of this line of 2 Q. Okay. 2 So now let's turn to substations, and questioning, I had read a portion of your testimony 3 3 4 from Page 40. I won't reread that again, other than this is your own cross-examination exhibit that was 4 to say it began with the statement, "All utilities used yesterday with respect to some Avista witnesses, 5 5 and so it may not be in front of you, but hopefully designed substations with full redundancy." 6 6 you can -- you've been provided with it. And this is 7 So that is your working assumption, 7 8 Cross Exhibit JD/LL-9X. 8 correct? 9 Do you have that or --9 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. 10 I'll give you time to get to it. 10 Q. All right. 11 MS. SUETAKE: Hold on, Your Honor. I 11 So let's explore that. Would you now turn to the exhibit that you were provided --12 was -- Your Honor, I was not informed that these would 12 And thank you, Counsel, for forwarding 13 be used for cross-examination of these witnesses. 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: One moment. 14 14 that. 15 15 (BY MR. STEPHENS): So let me -- can I Mr. Meyer, go ahead. Α. 16 MR. MEYER: Your Honor, she put this into 16 qualify my answer there, please? Q. Sure. evidence as a cross-examination exhibit. Therefore, 17 17 18 I'm entitled to rely on this as a piece of the record 18 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): So when I say --19 for purposes of this cross-examination. 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me stop you right 20 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Go ahead, Ms. Suetake. there, Mr. Stephens. MS. SUETAKE: I was just going to ask if A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay. 21 21 22 I could have a moment to make sure my witnesses had 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer only asked if 23 23 this before he launched into questions. that was your testimony. A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okav. Okav. 24 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens. 24 25 I do not have this. 25 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. Page 373 Page 375 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: So what I'm hearing is 1 Now, Mr. Meyer is going to ask you 2 that there isn't an objection to the exhibit but that 2 something related to that statement regarding this 3 exhibit, so I do think you are going to have an 3 we need to make sure the witnesses have it in front of 4 4 opportunity to explain. them. 5 MS. SUETAKE: Yes, correct, Your Honor. 5 (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay. Thank you. A. JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can wait for that 6 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 6 7 Mr. Meyer, if you are okay, let's take a 7 question. 8 moment to make sure the witnesses can see what you're 8 And first we're going to hear from 9 9 going to ask them about. Mr. Alvarez, if he has any testimony, then we will 10 MR. MEYER: All right. 10 hear from Mr. Stephens. MS. SUETAKE: Could you please repeat the 11 11 Mr. Meyer, go ahead. 12 cross exhibit number. 12 MR. MEYER: Thank you. 13 MR. MEYER: It is JD/LL-9X. 13 Q. So now let's turn to Exhibit -- to Cross MS. SUETAKE: If you could please give me Exhibit JD/LL-9X, and I'm told you have it, provided 14 14 15 a moment to make sure I can get that to them. 15 by your counsel. Thank you. And would you turn to the bottom of 16 MR. MEYER: Sure. 16 17 Page 2 of that exhibit. Let me know when you're 17 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Cook, let's be off 18 the record. 18 there. 19 THE REPORTER: Very good. 19 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I am there. 20 (Discussion off the record.) 20 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes, I'm there. 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Cook, let's be back 21 Q. Okav. 22 on the record. 22 And this was a response prepared by 23 The Cross Exhibit JD/LL-9X has been 23 Avista, correct? 24 provided to both witnesses, and, Mr. Meyer, you can 24 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Correct. 25 25 (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't know, so -continue now with your cross-examination.

Page 376 Page 378 1 Q. All right. constraints -- this is Dennis Stephens. Seasonal 1 2 Well, the exhibit speaks for itself so --2 constraints does not mean that it cannot be fully (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay. 3 3 offloaded. Seasonal constraints means that there Q. I'm going to quote the last paragraph, or 4 4 might be seasonal constraints or there might be 5 5 at least a portion of it. "Results of these something that causes a problem, but it does not 6 classifications described above are summarized in the 6 indicate that they do not have full backup. 7 7 table below. Of particular import, Avista has no And, in fact, if they don't have full 8 distribution substations in its Washington service 8 backup, then they should be providing the capacity to 9 9 territory that are capable of being offloaded 100% of make sure that they have that capacity in all seasons. 10 the time." 10 Q. So are you advocating that the Commission 11 Do you see that? 11 require the company to invest at ratepayer expense in 12 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes. 12 the full redundancy of its substations? 13 (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. 13 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Only -- only in those 14 Q. Down below -- and you perhaps weren't 14 areas where it -- where it seems most appropriate, with us on Wednesday during the hearing, but there was 15 15 like in urban areas. 16 some discussion about the table just below that 16 Do you know what the cost for that would Q. 17 paragraph, where there's a reference to percentages of 17 be? 18 interconnectedness. And the number of 55 percent 18 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I do not. I --19 appears there, presumably being fully interconnected, 19 that's -- this is what's -- why we have a utility, and 20 correct? 20 they're -- the utility company is supposed to do these A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That's correct. I 21 21 diagnostics to understand and cost justify the haven't added them all up but I will assume that 22 22 installation of new equipment based on its value to 23 23 that's correct. ratepayers. 24 Q. All right. 24 In other words, they should be making 25 Now, would you turn to the accompanying 25 investments that the cost is greater than the value to Page 377 Page 379 1 spreadsheet that appears at Page 4 of 5 of this 1 the customers, and that's my argument in all of my 2 exhibit. 2 testimony and it's my argument here. 3 3 Q. Well, so you do truly appreciate that A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay, I'm there. 4 it's up to the utility to do the engineering 4 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I'm there. Q. All right. 5 analysis -- up to the utility itself to do the 5 6 So on the far right-hand column, do you 6 engineering analysis and make the right decisions, 7 see a title of "Full or Partial Load Offload 7 correct? 8 Capabilities"? Do you see that? 8 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I think it's -- if A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes. they're doing appropriate analysis, then I agree. 9 9 10 (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes. 10 Q. Okay. Α. And so for each of the identified 11 So now, closing the loop here, so if none 11 substations, each one is characterized as being full 12 of Avista's distributions substations are fully 12 13 or not interconnected, but do you see any of what are 13 redundant but there was a need for a repair or characterized as full offload capabilities that don't 14 replacement of parts in that substation and it's taken 14 also have seasonal constraints affecting them? 15 out of service, is there any guarantee that customers 15 would have continuing service from a different 16 16 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I don't see any that 17 says that, no. 17 substation? 18 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): I do not. 18 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I think you're going 19 Q. All right. 19 to have to ask that question again. 20 So you have no reason to disagree with 20 Q. Sure. 21 the statement on the previous page that Avista has no 21 If there was a need for repair or 22 distribution substations that are capable of being 22 replacement of a substation that Avista would have to 23 offloaded 100 percent of the time, do you? No reason 23 then, because of that, take it out of service, would 24 to disagree with that. 24 there be issues with service continuity because a 25 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Seasonal 25 substation was not redundant?

Page 380

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Well, it depends, again, on what is driving the need for the replacement. Generally if you're doing this appropriately, you're testing those -- that substation equipment, transformers, breakers, such, and you're deciding when a -- when those pieces of equipment need to be replaced, and you can replace them in off-peak times so that you minimize the impacts to customers.

The other thing that you need to take into account is the number of customers affected. Obviously, if you're talking about a really small substation or even distribution transformers, the number of customers is small, and so then you can take the outage and do the repair or the replacement.

Again, it just comes back to cost justification.

Q. Let's put this in simpler terms. If Avista were to adopt your run-to-failure approach, assuming it doesn't have redundancy, might that lead to an extended interruption of service?

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I'm sorry. With regard to what specific equipment are you referring to?

Again, a substation is composed of several components. I did not recommend run to

question on the record, please wait until he's done asking it.

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, please go ahead.

Page 382

Q. BY MR. MEYER: Again, I'm just referring back to your own testimony in April, now that I've read -- this will be the third time. Quote/unquote, "All the utilities" -- presumably including Avista -- "design substations with full redundancy." Was that your testimony?

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That is, and they do. I'm sorry. Are you finished with your question?

Q. I am.

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): They do. They do design substations with full redundancy. Not all substations are designed with full redundancy, but they do design -- every utility designs substations with full redundancy. Not all substations.

Q. Is that what Cross Exhibit 9X says about Avista substation?

We've been through that. They're --Avista stated that it has no substations that are fully redundant, at least without some seasonal

Page 381

failure for power transformers or breakers. And that's the major component in a substation.

Now, if you're talking about the other smaller equipment that doesn't have an impact on customers, I definitely did recommend run to failure.

Q. At the time you filed your testimony in -- or answering testimony in April of this year, you assumed that substations were fully redundant. In fact, I think I read your testimony in that regard, correct?

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I did not -- that is not correct. I said that the -- that all utilities have an N-1 policy for some -- for their transformers, and they apply that N-1 appropriately given the cost justifications or basically number of customers.

So I didn't say that they had total redundancy for all transformers.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me stop you both. Before we pursue the next question,

Mr. Stephens, please answer the question when Mr. Meyer is done asking it. I know --

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): Okay.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: You're anticipating what the question is going to end up being, and I know you're eager to answer, but for the sake of having the

Page 383

discontinuity.

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I'm sorry. I just don't --

MR. MEYER: You disagree with that?

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I disagree with your interpretation of them not having full redundancy just with seasonal limitations. Seasonal limitations does not mean that they don't have full redundancy. It just means that they have to take some seasonal -- there is the possibility that seasonal -- that weather can make a difference, but there's still full redundancy there. They're designing for N-1 on -- the one that says full redundancy with the seasonal -- with seasonal limitations is a full redundancy N-1 design.

Q. BY MR. MEYER: Okay.

A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That's my testimony.

Q Sure

And I'm not going to try and put too fine a point on this, but on the preceding page, what we have just been talking about is whether, in fact, there is full redundancy, and there's a percentage there of 55 percent.

Let's move off that and just talk about the other percentages there of 21 percent for no

Page 384 Page 386 interconnection, 25 percent for partial 1 1 customers, with full redundancy. There may be interconnection. So clearly almost half, one can't 2 2 seasonal limitations. Those are mights, and does not make any argument about full redundancy; is that 3 constitute a violation of N-1 design for those 3 correct? 4 4 55 percent of substations. 5 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That is correct, and 5 So in our view the testimony would not be 6 I'm sure that was designed appropriately based on the 6 correct. 7 7 number of customers that were affected. Dennis, do you have anything to add to 8 8 that? 9 So to finish off here, you filed your 9 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I do not. testimony in April of this year, making the -- what I 10 10 Q. Last question. Show me where N-1 11 would characterize as a bold statement that all 11 contingency planning is required for distribution. 12 utilities have redundancy when it comes to their 12 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): This is Mr. Stephens, substations. Then in the sequence of things, in June and I -- there is no documentation that I know of --13 13 of this year you were provided with the company's again, I'm relying on my 40 years of experience, and 14 14 response, which we've been discussing as part of 15 15 it has been a standard practice at every utility that Exhibit JD/LL-9X, correct? 16 16 I've ever been associated with. 17 A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): That's what we've 17 MR. MEYER: Thank you. 18 been discussing, yes, sir. 18 That's all I have, Your Honor. 19 Q. And that came after you filed your 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 20 answering testimony in April, correct? 20 I'd like to ask Ms. Suetake, if you have A. (BY MR. STEPHENS): I'm sorry. You have any redirect, to please turn on your camera. 21 21 to understand that I -- I think this is --22 And now that we have a slight pause, 22 23 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: This is Judge Mr. Stephens, Mr. Alvarez, we are -- I am hearing a 24 O'Connell. One moment. 24 little feedback or fuzz. If -- when you are not 25 Mr. Meyer, please clarify what you mean 25 answering a question, when you're just listening, if Page 385 Page 387 by what came after the testimony. 1 you would please click that mute button so that we 1 2 MR. MEYER: Surely. 2 aren't getting that pickup from your microphones. 3 3 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And it appears as if Okay. Ms. Suetake, go ahead. Mr. Alvarez may have a response to your question. 4 MS. SUETAKE: I was just going to say, I 4 MR. MEYER: I'll clarify first. 5 5 do not have any redirect. 6 Q. I see the date for this particular 6 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Very good. 7 response to this particular data request that is the 7 Okay. The bench does not have any 8 subject of JD/LL-9X. I see that date as 6-17 of '21, 8 further questions for Mr. Stephens or Mr. Alvarez, so June 17th of '21. 9 9 thank you both for your testimony this afternoon. You 10 Do you understand that to be the case? 10 both may be excused. A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): Yes. 11 MR. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 Okay. 12 MR. STEPHENS: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 So you were provided with this 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: So that brings us to information after you filed the testimony back in 14 14 the point where I'd like to ask the attorneys for all April, correct? 15 of the parties to please turn on your video. 15 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): That's correct. 16 I'm still hearing that fuzz feedback. 16 Q. And yet you made no attempt to correct If -- I would ask everyone who is on the Teams 17 17 the record in this case when you offered your 18 18 application to please click that mute microphone testimony, did you? 19 19 button. 20 A. (BY MR. ALVAREZ): We found no reason to 20 The Commissioners, are you able to turn 21 correct the testimony. Mr. -- as Mr. Stephens has 21 back on your cameras? 22 just testified, the --22 We are -- we've concluded the 23 And maybe I should let you do this, 23 cross-examination of witnesses, and all we have left 24 Mr. Stephens, but Avista does design the majority of 24 to address today, that I know of from my notes, is 25 25 its substations, and by far the majority of its certain procedural steps going forward, and we will

Page 388 Page 390 1 also discuss any procedural things that the parties 1 why don't we -- instead of limiting it to 50, why 2 2 don't we increase that to 60, the usual 60, and we have -- need to address. 3 3 will give you back the 10 pages that we had initially Okav. 4 taken away from you. Will that be satisfactory, at 4 MR. MEYER: I do -- and I don't know if 5 5 now is the time to make my customary request. least? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, before you 6 6 MR. MEYER: It won't solve my problem, 7 7 make that request, I'm going to address legal briefs but I certainly accept that guidance. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Then we will 8 8 9 MR. MEYER: That's where I was going. 9 make it 60 pages, as is usually the case, and it will 10 10 Thank you. be for all parties. 11 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And when you hear what 11 Is there anything else that we need to 12 I have to say about that, maybe you will modify your 12 address today before we adjourn? 13 request. So let me go first, and then you and all the 13 MS. SUETAKE: Yes, Your Honor. I do -- I other attornevs will have a chance to address what I'm 14 would like a clarification for Bench Request 3, which 14 is the public comments exhibit. We normally set a 15 about to say. 15 cutoff date for those comments. Is that today or did 16 Legal briefs are due April -- I'm 16 you want a later date, which we can include in if 17 sorry -- August 13th. Because no party opposes the 17 18 settlement, we -- we would like the parties to know 18 anything else comes in? 19 that we need not address the settlement in your legal 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, the public comment 20 briefs, unless you need to address it as part of 20 period is open until the close of the hearing, which 21 discussing a contested issue. 21 will be today. 22 22 MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. So because we are removing that 23 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: But just to be clear, requirement, we would like to limit the pages for 24 legal briefs to 50 instead of the usual 60 that is 24 if you receive something by close of business today, 25 allowed in rule. 25 that should be included. Not just the time that we Page 389 Page 391 1 Now that I say that, I am expecting, 1 sign off of the proceeding. MS. SUETAKE: Okay. Understood. Thank Mr. Meyer, that you might have some thoughts and a 2 2 3 3 you for that clarification. request. 4 MR. MEYER: Yes. Clearly I'm losing 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anything else 5 5 ground here, not gaining ground. from the parties? 6 I was going to ask for 70 pages, and 6 Okay, I'm seeing nothing. 7 here's why. There are a lot of --7 Let me -- before I adjourn us, let me 8 You know, and I understand if I ask for 8 double-check. Commissioners, is there anything else 9 9 it, it should apply to all parties and not just that you need to address before we adjourn? 10 Avista, so any party could have 70 pages. 10 MS. RENDAHL: No. Thank you very much. And the reason I'm asking for that is, in 11 11 MR. DANNER: No, I don't have anything in the case of Avista, we're in that unenviable position 12 12 mind. 13 of having to respond from fire from all sides, if you 13 MR. BALASBAS: None from me, either. will, issues from all sides, and that makes it 14 14 JUDGE O'CONNELL: All right. Well, then 15 difficult to make use of the pages effectively and to 15 we will conclude today's hearing. respond to all incoming fire, if you will. So a Thank you to all the attorneys and to all 16 16 modest 10-page increase from 60 to 70 is I think 17 17 of the witnesses. I know that the hearing wasn't an reasonable. So that is the request. 18 18 easy one, and so thank you to all of you. It is very 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I'd like to hear from 19 much appreciated. And I know all the work that you 20 the attorneys for the other parties on their opinion 20 put into it. So thank you. 21 about what they need as far as a page limit, if there 21 MR. MEYER: Thank you. 22 is any request. 22 MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Okay. Mr. Meyer, your point is well 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: So with that, we will 24 taken, and we do understand you have to address 24 adjourn, and we will be off the record. Thank you. everything. Your request for 10 additional pages --25 25

```
Page 392
   1
                      (The proceedings were adjourned at
   2
                       1:28 p.m.)
   3
                               ---0---
   4
   5
   б
   7
   8
   9
10
11
 12
 13
14
15
16
 17
 18
19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 2.4
 25
                                                         Page 393
 1
                CERTIFICATE
 3
      STATE OF WASHINGTON )
      ) ss.
COUNTY OF KING
 4
 6
            I, Ronald L. Cook, Certified Court
       Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified
 7
       Realtime Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
       do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were
 8
       taken stenographically by me and transcribed under my
 9
            I further certify that the proceedings as
10
      transcribed are a full, true and correct transcript,
       including all objections, motions and exceptions of
11
      counsel, made and taken.
12
            I further certify that I am neither
       attorney for nor a relative or employee of any of the
13
       parties to the action; further, that I am not a
       relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14
       employed by the parties hereto, nor financially
       interested in its outcome.
15
16
17
18
                   RONALD L. COOK, CCR, RDR, CRR
19
                   State of Washington CCR #2523
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	additional 290:19	afternoon 301:16	272:11 354:17,19	animal 289:20
A	349:9 352:25	342:3 345:6	354:25 355:7,10	ANN 249:7
A-l-v 357:19	353:8 354:7	346:17 359:6,7,8	354.25 333.7,10	annual 297:13
a-r-e-z 357:20	389:25	387:9	, ,	annuar 297.13 answer 269:2
a.m 253:2,8			356:1,3,19 357:7	
abandoned 343:14	additionally 261:25	age 366:17	357:14,16,16,19	280:21 281:22
ability 289:21	262:2 327:5	agree 260:15	357:23 358:20	294:14 295:1
able 297:16 302:8	address 256:20	300:16 312:12,13	359:7,23,23 360:4	296:18 315:8
334:11 345:11	258:9 263:6,25	315:3,6,12 316:13	360:9,14,14,19	323:5,10 324:18
356:24 387:20	285:5 359:10	317:4,20,23 318:5	361:6,6,9 362:6	343:4 348:17
absolute 279:15	363:19,24 387:24	318:14,18,23	362:11 365:10,10	359:12 374:16
ACC-1T 255:23	388:2,7,14,19,20	319:1,20 320:1,5	365:12,15,23	381:20,25
accept 349:5 352:7	389:24 390:12	320:9,13 324:19	366:15,21 367:17	answering 278:18
360:3 390:7	391:9	326:23 327:23	367:22 368:21,22	285:1 306:13
accepting 325:24	addressed 287:14	336:16 350:16	369:7,13 370:7,12	308:7 328:7 331:8
accommodate	adequate 365:1	360:21 369:19	370:13,23 375:9	337:1,6 351:19
369:1	adjacent 369:1,2	379:9	375:20,24 376:12	381:7 384:20
accompanied	adjourn 390:12	agreement 326:25	377:4,9,18 385:4	386:25
335:22	391:7,9,24	agrees 262:7	385:11,16,20	answers 293:3
accompanying	adjourned 392:1	ahead 253:11 275:4	386:23 387:8,11	324:25
376:25	adjust 255:3	281:20 292:8,17	Alvarez's 355:2	anticipate 302:7
accomplishing	297:11,16 299:5	294:13,24 299:14	Amazon 297:5	340:4
325:2	adjustment 303:16	301:23 306:19	AMI 255:5 343:7	anticipating 381:23
account 380:10	303:20,24	314:19 326:8	346:19,22 347:2	anytime 318:6
accumulated 277:4	adjustments	336:11 337:15	348:8,14,21,23	apologies 319:16
accurate 344:14	293:25 298:7,11	340:23 341:10	350:20 351:22	apologize 261:4
accurately 369:6	300:22 301:2	344:3 362:3	353:13	280:17 292:12
achieves 352:18	303:23	372:15,20 375:11	amount 279:2,15	294:8,14 309:18
acknowledge	administer 288:3	382:5 387:3	280:8 305:8	323:20 324:7
348:12	ADMINISTRAT	Alliance 254:4,7	310:19 333:15	appear 305:3 307:2
acres 288:8	248:13 249:3	allocate 310:16	360:17	307:3 318:9
Act 283:22 284:2	admissible 258:21	319:22 320:3,10	amounts 310:8	appearances
action 262:14 268:8	admission 256:18	321:7	analysis 278:12	253:11
393:13	262:19 263:2	allocation 319:21	279:22 280:8,20	appearing 358:1,2
actions 268:24	339:16	320:2,10,12	281:5,7 300:3	358:17
269:5 271:10	admit 260:17	allow 289:16	343:8 352:21	appears 261:13
274:9 278:15	admitted 257:21	302:19 367:16	367:6 379:5,6,9	350:8 376:19
279:13 285:2	258:23 260:14	allowed 388:25	analyst 306:8	377:1 385:3
activities 283:8	261:9,20 263:4	aloud 368:12,23	342:11	Appendix 257:4
actual 293:1	313:12 336:9	370:15	analyzed 350:15	application 295:17
add 302:17,23	adopt 380:18	alternative 332:13	371:25	295:23,25 296:15
365:5 386:7	adopted 351:20	332:17,20 333:21	and/or 295:8,10	297:6 387:18
added 273:24	advanced 347:12	334:2,4,5,12,18	ANDREW 248:13	apply 381:14 389:9
376:22	advocating 378:10	334:25 335:3,8,22	249:4	appreciate 282:3
addendum 286:22	affect 343:18,21	336:2	Andrews 300:2	309:20 379:3
addition 325:23	afford 356:21	Alvarez 252:10	302:15	appreciated 340:21
auditivii 323.23			2 4 1 - 2	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

			1	ı
391:19	asking 257:20	253:20 254:2	379:22 380:18	balanced 288:18
approach 278:8	260:8 285:5 315:7	342:10 393:12,13	382:9,22,24	Balasbas 249:8
288:18 298:16	324:24 325:6	attorneys 249:14	385:24 389:10,12	297:21 298:3,24
326:18 363:23	340:5 381:21	253:21 259:14	Avista's 256:22	299:3,12,14
371:11 380:18	382:2 389:11	264:3 340:18	257:2 261:9 262:8	300:11,13 301:19
approaches 364:7	asks 312:14 315:4	387:14 388:14	262:10 267:8	391:13
364:11	aspect 367:7	389:20 391:16	277:4,10 282:16	barely 346:16
appropriate 273:6	assert 329:6,21	audible 348:15	284:12,13 288:5	base 310:13 311:6
288:23 300:2,16	330:23 331:5	audio-wise 347:18	292:6 296:12	319:23 320:3,11
321:10 322:14	asserted 342:24	August 388:17	304:15 308:2	320:22 325:11
378:14 379:9	343:6	automatically	311:18 312:1	326:17 327:11,17
appropriately	asserting 332:21	290:1	313:2,15,17	359:21 360:12
279:4 380:4	assertion 331:12,13	availability 369:4	316:19 318:5	based 261:23 262:3
381:14 384:6	331:22	available 264:23	319:12,22 320:3	268:8 277:21
approved 331:18	assess 313:19	287:13 307:11	320:10 321:2,6	278:20 290:13
approximately	assessment 283:7	341:4 344:16	325:24 329:9	311:4 314:15
253:8 347:24	assets 276:4 279:7	358:21	330:22 333:9	338:18 371:9
356:15	282:2	Avenue 249:22	337:3,25 338:2	378:22 384:6
April 287:14 351:8	assign 368:24	Avista 248:7,8	343:8 348:14,20	bases 321:8
381:7 382:7	Assistant 249:14	250:3,4 253:9,14	368:8 379:12	basic 361:17
384:10,20 385:15	253:20,21 254:2	253:16 255:4	avoid 261:17	basically 302:3
388:16	associated 271:12	256:9 257:12	aware 259:23 266:4	381:15
area 268:20 304:3	273:13,17 274:7,8	258:19 259:21	284:2 319:18	basis 296:21 297:2
361:10 362:23	277:13 279:13	260:9,12 264:20	336:22	297:13 298:10
363:3,4,5,7,15,16	293:21,25 296:1	266:5 268:3	AWEC 250:14	313:19 321:5
363:20,24 364:1,2	303:20 344:11	274:11 283:5,23	AWS 297:5	322:8 323:2
366:18	363:9 386:16	287:13 293:11,20		349:21 350:15
areas 268:4,5,6,14	assume 256:1	294:21 299:20	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	basketball 344:25
279:9 283:14	363:14 376:22	303:19,21 305:14	B-a-u-m-a-n 342:6	battery 311:15
284:3 285:21	assumed 322:20	309:3 310:3	back 255:22 258:5	315:10,13 317:9
288:7 359:13	323:2 353:12	314:10,16 316:5	269:2 276:12	318:1,6,10,19,21
362:14,25,25	381:8	316:14 317:8	279:25 281:21	318:22,24,25
363:1,9 367:4	assuming 352:17	318:5,9 322:5	296:13 304:7	319:3,9,13,17
378:14,15	363:19 380:19	323:6 324:19,25	307:16 315:2	320:15,23,23
argue 272:12	assumption 353:7	325:15 328:14	322:23 324:5,10	321:1,9 322:10,19
argument 379:1,2	367:24 374:7	329:9 330:24	326:10 337:19	337:21 338:1,3,6
384:3	assumptions	331:6 332:21	340:5,24 341:3,21	338:8,9
arguments 328:12	270:13	336:23 338:19	347:20 356:13	Bauman 252:8
329:7,17	attempt 301:15	340:2 342:24	373:21 380:15	341:8,15 342:3,6
arrived 352:11	385:17	343:6 344:12	382:7 385:14	344:15 354:6,14
aside 293:1 329:4	attempted 327:23	347:8 348:8,23	387:21 390:3	355:19
asked 279:2 292:13	366:22	350:2,10,13	backdoor 328:11	Bauman's 355:12
304:9 323:23	attempting 261:17	351:12 352:17	328:13 329:8,17	bear 332:13,13
324:20 347:23	attention 306:12	372:5 375:23	331:23 332:19	beeping 309:12
355:12 374:22	attorney 249:22	376:7 377:21	backup 378:6,8	began 374:5
			Bainbridge 250:11	

		Ī	Ī	I
behalf 254:7	bottom 268:18	327:9,10,11,14,22	365:8	challenge 328:11
272:11 342:13	272:9 350:9	338:5 343:16	capture 296:24	328:13 329:8,18
357:25 358:2,16	375:16	344:13	301:4	331:24 332:19
believe 256:5 261:6	Box 249:14 250:5	calculator 281:14	care 263:25	335:21
300:19 314:1	branch 289:19	California 251:5	career 344:25	challenged 349:17
328:2 335:19,20	break 258:5 304:19	287:11 291:3	case 253:10 258:18	challenging 278:7
353:16 359:24	304:20,22 305:12	call 274:17 284:23	260:20 261:16	331:18
365:13	305:13,15 340:16	289:15 310:15	297:24 298:8,10	chance 260:1
believes 308:16	340:19,23	333:15	299:16,19 300:21	367:17 388:14
bench 255:1,3,4,4	breaker 289:18	Callaghan 249:12	301:3 302:11	change 289:22
255:14 256:22	breakers 380:5	253:22	303:15 306:13	297:10,16 335:15
299:23 300:3,12	381:1	called 365:13	315:23 319:22	changed 343:21
300:16 301:7	breakout 285:15	368:24	320:2,12,17,23	344:4
302:14,17,24	brief 303:4 356:16	calling 351:12	321:11 322:9	changes 306:15
307:13 339:11	briefs 388:7,16,20	camera 294:25	323:17 328:18	342:21 343:15,17
354:13 363:22	388:24	340:5 341:23	329:12 331:15	Chapter 257:4,25
387:7 390:14	brings 387:13	358:23 386:21	349:1 360:12	258:2 259:6,17
benefit 284:21	broken 284:16	cameras 264:2,4	385:10,18 389:12	314:4 316:19
296:24 351:22	285:7	354:18 356:20	390:9	Chapters 257:4
352:12,13,25	budget 304:2,3	387:21	case-by-case 322:8	characterization
benefits 283:16	budget-based	Cameron-Rulko	cases 349:19	368:3
284:17,18 285:8,9	298:6 301:1	249:11 252:7	350:11,14	characterize 288:8
349:9 350:12	build 319:8	253:18,19 261:5	catch 350:23	364:13 384:11
351:25 353:8,13	building 270:17	262:17,23 263:13	categories 276:5,6	characterized
best 262:13 327:7,8	299:4	263:16,20 305:2	336:18	276:18 377:12,14
338:18 345:1	built-in 366:19	305:23,24,25	category 298:19	check 328:3 349:6
346:11 349:22	bulk 369:20	306:6,11,19 307:1	causes 333:16	352:7,9
364:13	burden 332:14	307:5,10,15	378:5	chief 294:21
better 267:3 273:10	burn 268:18,18,19	312:16 313:24	CCR 248:23,24	chosen 283:7
344:5,6,7 345:13	268:20	314:7 324:21	393:18,19	315:14
345:15,21 346:9	burning 285:22	325:16 330:10	centers 296:17	CIO 294:21
beyond 290:20	business 301:17	335:10 336:19	cents 306:22	circuit 289:18
billion 277:5,11,19	303:15 390:24	337:11,13,18	certain 356:16	290:1
277:21,23,25	button 387:1,19	338:25 339:19,22	387:25	circuits 288:6
278:19 280:15		340:20	certainly 261:21	289:17
bit 267:2,21 268:4	<u>C</u>	capabilities 377:8	302:13 360:19	circumstances
269:2 270:17	C 249:1 250:1,1	377:14	366:15 390:7	360:23 361:19,21
271:8 273:9	251:1,1 253:4	capable 376:9	Certified 393:6,6	362:9
276:12 277:14	323:2,5 393:1,1	377:22	certify 393:7,9,12	cite 298:5 303:13
284:11 290:3	CA 248:24	capacity 327:24	cetera 272:12,12	claims 272:5
313:25 345:23	calculate 310:3,3	378:8,9	chair 249:7 294:7	281:17
367:12	310:15 333:14	capital 284:11,24	297:1 300:18	clarification 255:15
bite 364:20,21,24	calculation 275:12	285:3,5,8 286:6	301:23,24 341:21	263:6 291:19
bold 384:11	278:24 322:16	286:10 296:7	Chair's 294:15	339:23 390:14
books 360:2	352:12,24	360:12,12 364:22	295:2	391:3
	calculations 327:6			
	-	•	•	•

				1 agc 557
clarifications	250:18	356:20 387:20	completely 302:6	348:9
292:16	combined 255:6	391:8	334:10	considerations
clarified 343:8	359:20	Commissioners'	compliance 314:3	267:24 268:9
clarify 257:5,6,14	come 255:18	256:9	complies 325:25	considered 279:7
257:17 269:17	259:20 262:21	committee 288:20	complying 332:9	296:7 334:19
271:25 272:14	269:5 279:2 288:9	commonly 274:18	component 310:21	338:24
277:17 279:21	290:18 299:8	communicate	310:23 381:2	considering 288:18
293:23 384:25	316:16 324:5	291:5	components 380:25	constitute 386:3
385:5	327:3 340:23	communicated	composed 380:24	constraints 377:15
clarifying 254:25	341:21 351:11	274:22	concentration	378:1,2,3,4
256:23 304:8	353:1,2,4	communicating	282:21	construction
class 308:19,23	comes 262:8 285:21	291:9	concept 287:14	309:17
333:9,12,16,17	286:3 296:4	communication	concern 259:5,17	consultant 279:5
classes 310:18	362:10 380:15	287:22,24 290:24	270:25	358:15
classification	384:12 390:18	communications	concerned 260:7,8	consulting 358:11
310:25 311:3	coming 257:15	291:7	266:5	358:13 365:17
classifications	267:2 309:12	communities	concerning 364:15	366:12
376:6	345:25	276:14 283:17,21	concerns 367:5	Consumers 254:5,8
classifying 303:21	comment 390:19	community 275:18	conclude 334:21	contact 289:20
clause 349:4	comments 271:23	275:20,21,23	391:15	contacts 273:18
Clean 283:22 284:1	287:5 390:15,16	276:1,17,21	concluded 387:22	274:8
clear 263:10 312:17	Commission 248:2	282:24 283:5	concludes 305:10	contained 259:2
324:22 325:2,5	248:4 249:10	company 250:20	condition 273:21	293:3
390:23	255:1,16,20 257:8	254:12 270:10,13	conditions 290:13	contested 388:21
clearly 258:12	259:3,8,22 260:16	277:11 280:16	360:23	context 272:19
384:2 389:4	296:24 306:10	284:16 285:7	conduct 336:2	359:17 365:5
Cleve 250:15,21	321:19 332:4,12	291:9 298:6	conducted 321:19	contingency 386:11
click 387:1,18	349:16 350:19	302:18,20 310:17	328:14 329:9	continue 261:22
close 301:17 390:20	360:2 378:10	310:20 319:7	330:24 331:6	294:14 305:13
390:24	Commission's	320:24 332:8,9	conductor 285:23	325:9 340:19
closer 267:6 343:3	314:3 326:1	334:16,21 349:7,8	confined 361:10	370:18 373:25
345:12	331:24 336:3	360:8 378:11,20	confirm 280:7	continuing 282:10
closing 379:11	348:9 349:14	company's 275:24	309:24 310:6	350:9 379:16
cloud 295:13	Commission-app	283:20 308:15,19	connected 295:25	continuity 379:24
cloud-based 293:22	333:19	309:5,22 331:11	consequence 288:6	contract 297:14
cloud-provided	Commissioner	334:13 360:2	consequences	327:16
295:16	249:7,8 265:4	384:14	278:3	contracts 299:8
Club 251:3 254:19	300:11,14,18	compare 310:13	conservative	conversion 327:15
254:21	301:4	338:5	298:16	327:21
colleagues 275:2	Commissioners	compared 311:7	consider 281:23	convert 327:16,23
282:6 286:14	249:6 259:14	333:17	293:21 295:6,8,19	Cook 248:23
collectively 359:10	260:19 264:1,9	comparing 364:1	296:11,19 338:21	266:25 300:7
Colorado 363:6,8	265:1 291:24	comparing 304.1	348:20,22	341:4 343:23
column 377:6	293:18 304:9	Complainant 248:5	consideration	346:5,14 356:10
com@dvclaw.com	339:7 354:5	complete 335:22	276:15 336:3	373:17,21 393:6
	337.1 337.3	complete 333.22	270.13 330.3	373.17,21 373.0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_	_	
393:18	278:8 295:8,12,14	265:23,24 266:4	321:6,18,23	320:3 321:7
copy 266:2 308:6	295:15 296:19	272:12 305:8,14	322:15 326:15,18	348:20
315:17	297:12,16 308:2	311:18 324:24	327:4	currently 274:11
copying 262:10	308:20,23 309:23	325:1 328:16	crews 289:11	287:18 336:10
CoreLogic 279:22	312:25 313:2,17	329:13,19 331:10	criteria 371:1	340:25
Corinne 250:15	319:21 320:2,9,11	332:17,20,21,23	critique 328:13	customary 388:5
254:7	320:14 321:13,16	333:21 336:5	329:8 330:24	customer 275:13
Corporation 248:7	321:19,23 322:9	337:3,5 340:16	331:6 333:25	281:12 287:22,24
250:4	322:18 324:7	342:10,14 346:21	334:3,20	310:18 333:16,17
correct 256:3	325:8,14,19,20,25	354:16 358:3	critiqued 332:18	customer-based
257:22 258:1	326:5 327:7,7,8	374:13 375:15	critiques 328:17	296:14
259:3 263:15,16	327:19,24 328:14	393:11,13	334:7	customers 255:7
279:23,24 280:11	328:22 329:2,9	Counsel's 263:1	critiquing 329:14	283:1 291:5,10,11
280:12 282:17,21	330:25 331:4,7,12	265:14 271:18,23	331:10 334:24	291:11,13 369:5
282:22 293:4	331:14 332:4,8,9	271:25 272:5,13	cross 256:14 257:15	379:1,15 380:8,10
298:1 307:4,6,7,9	332:13,17,22	272:16 312:1	258:10,13 261:11	380:13 381:5,15
308:1,13 309:2	333:10,12,14,20	328:12 329:7	262:2,16 263:8,9	384:7 386:1
310:2,5 311:2,8	333:22 334:1,2,5	331:13 332:24	306:13 308:6	cutoff 390:16
316:7 327:15	334:6,10,12,13,17	333:1 337:3 340:2	312:5 316:23	cutting 343:20
328:10,15 329:6	334:18,24 335:9	340:24 358:18	328:6 331:8	cycle 289:7 291:2
331:22 335:19,19	335:13,21,22	count 339:25	336:15 337:1,5	291:16
335:20 346:23,24	336:2 337:25	counter 288:12	338:13 339:15,15	
347:5,8,9 348:14	338:2,8 342:25	291:1	372:8 373:12,23	D
349:19,20 350:10	343:7 378:16,21	COUNTY 393:4	375:13 382:21	D 250:1 251:1
350:13 351:9	378:25 380:15	couple 279:3 325:5	cross-examination	253:4
352:14,23 353:1,6	381:14	course 259:25	252:7,9,11,13	d/b/a 248:7
353:9,10 360:8	cost-benefit 343:7	262:14 263:11	261:23 263:11	D3 250:10
364:3,8,16,19,22	cost-of-service	360:9	305:14 307:12,19	Dallas 249:13
365:9,11 366:20	258:20	court 294:12 344:9	307:22 336:6	253:22
368:13 369:22	costs 275:18,19,22	346:5 393:6	340:3,13 344:16	damage 281:12
373:5 374:8	276:2,3 280:10,16	cover 277:22	344:22 345:4	dan.teimouri@u
375:23,24 376:20	281:2,11,11,14	359:13 363:22	358:21 359:1,4	249:18
376:21,23 379:7	293:23 294:1,6	Crane 346:22	372:4,13,17,19	Daniel 249:13
381:10,12 384:4,5	295:9,21 296:7	Crane's 255:22	373:25 387:23	253:22
384:16,20 385:15	297:4 303:20,22	create 320:18	cross-examinations	Danner 249:7
385:16,17,21	303:22 304:1	created 267:19	340:15	286:18 287:7,10
386:6 393:10	310:16,19 324:16	269:1	cross-examine	288:24 290:22
corrections 306:14	326:24 327:5	creates 273:18	305:9	291:19 293:19
306:18	333:15 343:9,14	creating 271:11	crossarm 286:2	294:7 296:22
correctly 278:19	counsel 249:20	286:4	CRR 248:23	297:1,18 300:18
280:22 285:1	253:25 254:3	credibility 361:17	393:18	301:23,24,25
300:19	255:15 256:13	credit 310:4,11,12	CSR 248:24	302:16,25 339:10
correlation 271:8	258:18 259:1,21	311:3,13 312:15	curious 257:6	341:22 354:8
corresponds 283:20	259:25 260:13	313:18 315:5	366:1	391:11
cost 275:13 277:24	261:10 263:21	320:17,19,20,21	current 319:22	data 258:19 259:20
				260:9 261:10

]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
266:6 269:10	Dennis 252:12	266:14 311:3	discuss 256:13	380:3
270:6,11,12,14,15	355:2 358:7 361:4	322:18 326:15	270:4 275:12	dollar 279:15,15
273:5,9 296:6,17	362:18 364:10	diagnostics 378:21	313:20 332:7	310:7 360:13
312:1,13 315:3,6	366:4,25 369:14	difference 362:24	346:18 388:1	dollars 364:21
324:25 327:1	378:1 386:7	364:5 383:11	discussed 254:24	door 309:18
334:12 336:13,17	denominator	differences 267:16	272:20 287:12	double-check 391:8
337:2,4,5 364:24	325:10 326:14,17	269:13 324:11	333:9 350:14	double-checking
385:7	326:22 327:25	338:9	discussing 384:15	352:2
date 248:22 255:8	density 282:21	different 261:1	384:18 388:21	downward 297:7
256:2 302:22	depended 362:23	266:16 267:17,23	discussion 256:21	draft 348:4
385:6,8 390:16,17	depending 297:13	267:24 268:3,7,9	330:20 331:4	DRH-1T 270:3
dated 323:21	305:9	268:10,10 269:6	356:12 373:20	275:7 280:1
David 249:7 250:4	depends 295:22	269:21 279:3	376:16	DRH-2 286:21
252:4 253:15	361:18 363:2	285:2 286:9 311:5	dispatched 322:21	DRH-3 281:5 287:8
264:19	380:1	330:18 332:22	distortion 346:4	DRH-8T 271:22
david.meyer@av	deploy 289:2	333:3 336:18	distribution 270:5	272:7
250:6	deployment 287:17	345:18 363:23	271:4 273:11	drive 297:11
Davison 250:15,21	289:1	364:7,11 379:16	274:5,6,13 365:19	driving 380:2
day 253:9 273:23	depreciation	differentiate	366:13 369:17,18	dropping 285:23
291:5 305:6	295:14,20	269:20	369:21 370:1,2	dry 273:23 289:20
days 291:4,5	derived 350:12	differs 267:9	371:11 376:8	dry-land 289:8,16
deadline 255:3	351:22	difficult 346:10	377:22 380:12	DS 358:11,13
301:9,10	described 376:6	367:14 389:15	386:11	dual 284:21
deal 291:14	describing 282:16	Diplomate 393:6	distributions	due 255:8 256:2
decade 361:7	design 368:25,25	direct 275:13 276:2	379:12	299:8 302:11
December 297:25	369:10 370:17,18	276:3 281:10	docket 257:7,10,13	365:17 388:16
298:13,21 300:24	370:20 382:10,17	306:11 368:10	258:4 259:7,23	duplicate 261:17
decide 288:22	382:19 383:15	direction 292:23,24	323:16 324:2	duplication 261:18
297:8 299:18	385:24 386:3	393:8	342:13	dynamically
305:11 359:11	designed 368:25	directly 283:12	docketed 323:8	289:21
decided 262:5	374:6 382:18	344:12 362:5	Dockets 253:10	207.21
deciding 380:6	384:6	director 264:20	document 260:8	\mathbf{E}
decision 260:20	designing 383:12	disable 290:4	315:4	E 249:1,1 250:1,1,1
289:1,4 290:11	designs 382:19	disables 289:10	documentation	251:1,1,1 253:4,4
291:6,8 349:21	detail 300:25	disagree 377:20,24	386:13	324:15 327:1
decisions 379:6	detailed 367:7	383:4,5	documented	336:18 393:1,1
define 278:4	determination	disagreement	274:22	eager 381:25
defined 270:3	335:1	258:17	documents 256:25	earlier 279:12
275:13 283:22	determined 314:18	disallowed 364:21	257:7 259:2,4	299:8 302:2
284:4	devastating 275:19	disappear 296:2	312:14	315:13 348:4
definitely 381:5	develop 269:18	disaster 303:13	doing 274:16	ease 262:6
definitively 277:25	271:9 326:14	discern 325:13	276:13 277:9,18	easy 278:5 391:18
demand 310:15,18	developed 271:15	discontinuity 383:1	286:23 287:19	economic 275:21
310:20,25 311:4	283:11 349:18	discovery 366:22	289:6 290:12	280:11 281:3,24
320:19 321:24	developing 266:6	367:7	320:15,16 379:9	effect 299:6 302:6
320.17 321.2 4	ucveloping 200.0	307.7	320.13,10 317.7	365:6
	l	l	l	

		İ	İ	ı
effective 302:22	employment 342:8	estimates 281:14	296:14 297:4	305:7 349:3
321:10	357:15 358:10	321:24 352:18,23	Examples 268:12	expectation 305:16
effectively 321:11	enabled 289:25	estimations 350:16	Excel 308:24	322:3
389:15	enabling 290:18	350:17	Excellent 344:8	expected 350:21
efficiency 261:18	energies 290:17	et 272:12,12	exceptions 393:10	expecting 389:1
efficient 297:8	energy 250:8 254:5	evaluating 289:4	exclusively 285:17	expedited 273:25
effort 285:17 286:7	254:8,13,16	event 270:25	Excuse 259:9	expenditures
eight 277:23 278:19	273:15 283:22	273:20,21 274:20	excused 292:2	286:10 321:21
319:1	284:1 310:15,18	275:19,23 276:16	293:10 304:13	365:1
eight-hour 311:15	310:23,25 311:4	279:6 285:10,25	339:13 354:15	expense 285:3
315:9 317:9,25	320:19 321:24	286:4 287:23	387:10	295:20 327:17,24
318:19,20,25	371:17	288:14	exhibit 255:25	378:11
319:9,13,17 321:1	energy-based	events 269:3	257:23 258:2	expenses 297:24
322:19 337:21	327:23	270:16,17,20,21	259:16 260:22,23	298:10 300:21
338:1,3,5,8	engagement 366:11	270:21,22,22	261:1,7,12 262:3	302:8,10,20,21
either 270:16	engagements	273:7,13 274:6,7	262:16 263:2	experience 363:12
291:24 297:16	365:17,17	274:19,24,24	275:7 276:10	371:10 386:14
354:11 355:18	engineering 379:4	278:16 284:18	286:21 303:6	expert 325:19
361:2 391:13	379:6	285:14 286:8	307:2,4 311:17,24	327:19 332:3
ELA-15X 336:7	enhanced 289:8,16	290:18	311:25 312:3,4,5	experts 266:18
Elaine 252:6 305:3	entirely 343:11	Evergreen 363:6	313:11,13 314:8	explain 258:12
306:5	entirety 322:8	everybody 340:9	314:12,21 316:18	267:8,21 270:10
electric 255:6,6	entitled 257:9	everyone's 253:12	316:21,22,23	273:5 284:11
308:2 347:3	372:18	evidence 258:21,23	317:14 318:12	286:9 298:9
electrical 264:21	environmental	260:13,17 261:20	322:23 336:7,10	310:10 313:12
electronic 308:23	290:13	299:19,20 328:18	336:15 338:13	344:3 361:15
element 273:24	equation 278:2	329:12,13,19	339:15,16 342:24	375:4
276:15,17 285:16	352:22	334:23 335:6	343:6 344:11	explained 328:25
287:22	equipment 274:13	371:1 372:17	347:24 348:3	explore 367:24
elements 271:2	284:13 369:3	EVIDENTIARY	350:1,9 372:4,8	368:7 374:11
285:13	378:22 380:5,6,22	248:11	372:17 373:2,12	extended 380:20
eliminated 288:14	381:4	evolution 348:13	373:23 374:12	external 279:5
ELJ-11X 311:17	equity 283:6	exact 327:13	375:3,13,14,17	
ELJ-12X 316:18	escalation 274:21	exactly 277:21	376:2 377:2	
ELJ-15X 336:9	especially 288:16	328:2 351:15	382:21 384:16	F 393:1
339:16	289:20 328:20	Examination 252:1	390:15	face 275:22 277:11
ELJ-5 307:4,7,8	essentially 283:10	252:5,7 292:20	exhibits 255:17	355:24
else's 272:23	287:1 329:21	337:17	256:14,17 257:15	facility 317:10
Empire 250:20	360:1,1	examine 308:22	257:17 258:10,13	318:1 322:19,21
254:9,12	establish 346:25	313:21	258:16,24,25	325:12 326:17
employed 264:17	351:12	examined 371:15	286:20 306:15	facing 277:19
306:7 393:14	established 263:8	example 268:23	342:13,15,18,22	fact 271:25 293:24
employee 281:18	establishing 260:3	272:6 275:19	existing 365:19	378:7 381:9
393:12,13	estimate 310:19,21	285:18 293:22	exists 270:23	383:21
employer 294:19	327:8,8 340:6	295:14,24 296:5	expect 259:12	factors 282:25
				283:9,13 293:21

				Tage 401
293:24 294:5	280:15	282:1 288:2	forward 260:2	327:9,10,10 337:8
295:2,9 296:23	file 328:22 342:12	301:18 302:19	271:11 273:14	339:1 341:19
fading 350:25	351:19	315:2,2 321:9,17	274:23 321:20	387:8 393:9,12,13
fail 369:2	filed 255:9 257:7,10	323:13 331:21	356:24 387:25	future 283:24
failed 330:24 331:6	257:12 258:4	346:19 359:14,18	forward-looking	287:17 289:1,2
failure 369:3 381:1	259:6 260:23	365:18 366:12	321:6,12	310:4 312:15
381:5	306:13,16 312:5	368:10 375:8	forwarding 374:13	313:18 315:5
faintly 267:2	316:23 351:6	385:5 388:8,13	found 295:11	320:17 321:18
fair 268:4 302:13	381:6 384:9,19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	322:10 333:22,23	320:17 321:18
	385:14	first-party 281:12	338:9 385:20	fuzz 386:24 387:16
fairly 278:5		fishing 362:14 five 363:8		1uzz 380:24 387:10
familiar 257:9	filing 308:15 335:7		foundation 258:24	G
258:21 259:8	335:13	five-minute 340:19	259:18,24 260:1,3	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 253:4
270:7 312:3,4	finally 281:17	340:23	263:8	gaining 389:5
314:8 316:21,22	321:22	fixed 324:16	four 319:1	gaming 369.3 game 345:1
319:2 336:12,14	financial 275:13	flashbacks 344:24	four-hour 318:21	C
348:25 363:1,9	281:10,25	345:2	318:23 337:20	gas 255:5,7 308:2 347:4
367:5	financially 295:12	focus 282:20	338:1,5,8	GAW-1T 315:18
familiarity 364:13	393:14	focused 275:23	frame 267:19 273:9	
367:8	find 258:4 275:8	280:9 285:10,13	298:9,25 299:1	GAW-6 261:6,9,19
familiarize 365:18	286:25 287:5	folks 296:10	300:12,20	311:20,24 314:21
366:13	291:12 294:9	follow 271:2,17	framing 300:19	322:23
FAPR 248:23	295:19 352:5	299:12,13 301:25	Friday 248:22	general 249:14
far 255:16 299:1	364:25 371:6	follow-up 286:15	253:1 256:5	253:9,20,21 254:2
301:9 322:2,4	finding 295:5	following 343:10	front 261:8 265:16	276:5 284:14,17
346:7 377:6	fine 256:5 319:5	367:11	299:16 308:7	285:9 362:11
385:25 389:21	328:5 383:19	footnote 370:8,16	315:18 347:11	General's 249:22
faster 330:7	finish 384:9	footnotes 316:15	349:4 372:6 373:3	342:11
fault 270:16,21,22	finished 269:12	foregoing 393:7	frozen 355:11,19	generalize 276:1
270:25 273:14,16	304:14 382:13	forest 363:21	fuel 282:20	generally 266:7
273:18,21 274:2	fire 266:6 269:3	forested 268:4,5,14	Fukano 249:12	276:19 380:3
274:24 289:13,13	270:18,25 271:12	362:25 363:9,15	253:22	generating 310:13
290:12,17	273:13,17,19,20	363:16,24 364:2	full 334:1 335:22	310:14,16,22
faults 273:12	274:3,7,19 276:3	367:4	368:24 374:6	generation 310:19
favorable 296:20	280:10 281:2,11	forestry 362:12	377:7,12,14 378:6	311:5 319:22
favored 321:19	282:2 284:14	form 293:1 308:20	378:7,12 382:10	320:3,11 321:8
feedback 345:11,16	285:14,19,21	forma 297:24 298:6	382:17,18,20	331:18
386:24 387:16	286:21 288:9,11	298:9 300:3,21	383:6,8,11,13,14	generators 291:12
feel 362:8,15	288:15,16 290:6,7	301:2 303:16,19	383:22 384:3	gentlemen 359:6
feet 285:20	363:7 389:13,16	formal 348:21,22	386:1 393:10	geographic 267:24
ffitch 250:9 254:15	fire-related 270:20	349:10	fully 376:19 378:2	268:8 362:23
254:16	fires 268:19 271:5,7	format 308:24	379:12 381:8	363:3
fight 288:11	273:7 274:8,12,24	former 260:11	382:25	geography 362:16
fighting 276:3	firm 365:12 366:12	forth 349:10	fumbling 266:23	363:4
288:15	first 257:16 269:3	362:15,17	further 284:11	getting 345:10,16
figure 273:6 277:21	274:19 279:4	forthright 258:11	291:21 303:2	387:2
115010 275.0 277.21	2/1.1/2//.T	101 tilligit 250.11	271.21 303.2	GFI 289:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1
give 281:6 315:19	305:15,19 311:22	300:24	352:4 371:6	hopefully 372:6
332:6 333:2	314:14 324:22	guidance 390:7	helpful 269:23	hoping 352:6
368:14 372:10	325:9 334:20	guys 345:19	367:23	host 295:24
373:14 390:3	337:19 338:12,12		helps 260:22	hosted 295:12,23
given 262:15,21	338:15 356:17	Н	268:16 286:9	296:12,15
314:15,16 328:20	359:9,10,12	H 257:4	345:17	hosting 296:3
330:19 331:3	364:12 365:25	half 340:10 360:13	hereto 393:14	hot 273:23 289:20
362:6 367:3	368:10 370:15	360:18 364:20	high 250:10 288:6	hour 324:20 340:9
381:14	372:21 373:9	384:2	344:24	340:10,13
go 253:11 255:21	375:1,3,8 376:4	hand 264:11	high-consequence	hourly 336:17
260:2 269:2,10	379:18 381:24	293:13,16 305:18	268:5	hours 310:23
275:4 276:11	383:19 387:4,25	305:21 341:11,16	high-risk 283:14	322:20 323:2
281:20 292:8,17	388:7,9 389:6	357:8	higher-risk 279:8	housekeeping
294:13,24 296:10	good 253:7 254:6	hands 357:11	highest 363:7	263:24
296:17 299:14	254:11,15,20,23	handy 324:8	highly 283:20	housing 282:21
301:23 306:19	256:7 263:23	Hang 370:9	hills 363:21	Howell 252:4
313:4,5 314:19	265:4,6 268:13	happen 279:6	historical 266:6	256:10 264:5,10
315:2 316:9	293:19 297:21	Harbor 250:16,22	269:9 270:6,11,12	264:16,19,23
318:11 326:8	299:24 306:2,4	hardening 268:13	270:14,15 278:4	265:3 269:8 275:6
330:8 336:11	307:24,25 328:4	hardware 295:10	historically 271:6	282:7,11 286:15
337:15 340:11,22	341:14 342:3	Harry 249:12	273:13 289:9	286:18 292:2,14
341:10,19 343:10	345:6,9 346:17	253:22	hold 267:5 275:2	292:22 293:9
344:3 345:20	347:14 359:6,7,8	harry.fukano@u	323:13 372:11	304:1
356:7,13,24 362:3	373:19 387:6	249:17	home 289:18	hypothetical
362:7 363:11	grasslands 268:18	head 258:4 324:1	Honor 253:15	321:23 322:6
367:20 370:6	great 267:7 278:25	351:15	254:1,7 256:4	327:5 334:8,9,16
372:15,20 375:11	282:14 344:17	headquarters	257:16,22 260:6	
382:4 387:3	greater 378:25	296:12	260:21 261:5,13	I
388:13	grid 268:13 359:15	health 275:20	261:25 262:1,23	ICE 281:14
goal 269:1 274:23	359:19 365:3,6,17	hear 260:2 266:25	263:17 294:17	Idaho 267:25
283:15	grid-hardening	340:24 345:16	301:12 306:1	268:15 371:21
goes 285:20 290:1	274:9 363:22	346:2 348:16	307:20 312:17,19	ideal 300:4
313:13 347:16	ground 266:6	353:15 354:24	312:21 313:16,24	identification
348:1 361:16	274:12 300:2	355:25 356:2	314:22 324:21	256:24
going 255:8 256:8	389:5,5	367:22 375:8,10	337:14 339:5,20	identified 257:1
256:10,19 261:16	group 267:14	388:11 389:19	340:21 341:5	259:15,20 261:8
262:3 264:1 269:2	272:18 279:11,19	heard 307:17	354:2,9 356:7	270:19 279:8
269:25 271:11	281:16 357:16,22	358:24	357:3 359:2	317:16 336:10
272:10 273:19	357:24 358:15	hearing 248:11	361:12 365:20	339:15 340:1
275:3,5 276:1,10	365:15,16	255:18 259:13	368:2 372:11,12	350:11 355:23
280:3,19 281:4	groups 269:18,21	309:12 349:8	372:16 373:5	377:11
288:7 289:15,16	guarantee 278:20	373:1 376:15	382:3 386:18	identify 261:2
290:5,6 291:18	379:15	386:23 387:16	387:11,12 390:13	313:13 314:20
297:19 299:6,13	guess 258:9 267:15	390:20 391:15,17	390:22 391:22	ignition 269:4,6
302:6 305:8,10,12	277:16 299:15	heavily 363:24	hope 300:17	271:12 278:16
302.0 303.0,10,12	211.10 277.13	help 300:18 310:16	110pc 300.17	283:11,15 285:14
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	1
285:25 286:8	includes 286:2	390:3	329:3,20	379:24 389:14
288:14 290:17	288:25	initiate 288:1	interested 255:23	item 327:24
imagine 347:8	including 276:5	injuries 281:18	393:14	items 281:10
360:7 361:7	382:9 393:10	injury 280:10	interface 282:17	IV 248:11
impact 275:12,17	income 283:6	281:2,23,24	internal 266:16	
275:24 276:2	incoming 389:16	Inland 250:20	267:13 269:13,17	J
278:3,7 296:16	incorporate 283:5	254:9,12	279:11,18 281:15	J 248:13 249:4,13
343:18,22 344:9	incorporating	input 321:24	293:23 294:1,6	J-o-r-d-a-n 306:5
359:19,21 381:4	283:23 284:1	324:15 329:14	295:21	James 294:20
impacted 283:21	increase 389:17	335:21	internally 266:18	JAY 249:8
impacts 276:20	390:2	inputs 258:19	287:19	JD/LL-9X 372:8
284:15 285:13	incur 333:16	309:3 310:2	interpretation	373:13,23 375:14
291:14 380:8	incurred 310:20	312:15 313:1,3,22	281:13 383:6	384:16 385:8
implement 268:7	incurs 310:17	314:10,15,17	interrupt 282:9	JDD-2 348:4
289:5 291:18	independent	315:5 322:9	interruption	JDD-2R 347:11,24
implemented	369:24	324:14,20 325:15	281:13 380:20	348:3 350:1
269:19 289:5	INDEX 252:1	325:21 326:1,6	introduce 294:11	Jeff 249:11 253:21
291:3	indicate 277:4,18	330:20,22 331:4	342:1 357:2	jeff.roberson@ut
implementing	282:19 300:17	334:1,4,21	invest 378:11	249:16
279:13	308:13,14 378:6	inquiring 361:19	investigates 274:12	Jennifer 249:11
import 376:7	indicated 257:2,3	install 291:12 318:6	investments 284:12	253:19
important 258:8	274:15	319:9	285:9 303:15,22	jennifer.cameron
improves 329:2	indicator 270:23	installation 378:22	378:25	249:16
in-service 298:25	industry 367:1	installing 318:9	involved 266:13,21	Jessica 251:4
inappropriate	370:21 371:10	319:13 338:19	ion 311:15 315:9,13	254:21
332:2 333:25	information 259:2	instance 332:16	317:9 318:1,6,10	jessica.yarnall@s
inaudible 342:25	260:12 274:21	instruction 342:18	318:19,21,22,24	251:6
343:19	277:20 278:4,11	integrated 257:3	318:25 319:3,9,13	JMK-1T 303:7
include 275:18,25	293:3 308:15	intend 262:2	319:17 320:23	Joe 249:13 253:22
276:13 280:9,16	313:13 314:15	intended 256:14	321:1 322:10,19	joe.dallas@utc.w
280:25 282:23,24	316:14 317:5	320:10 325:11	337:21	249:18
283:4,12 287:16	318:15 332:3	340:2	IRP 257:2,10,12	join 264:2
293:24 299:10,17	336:23 361:25	intends 263:22	259:5 262:3,8,10	joining 355:6
303:20 317:9	385:14	332:12	262:21 309:3,6,22	Jordan 252:6
318:19,20,21	informed 372:12	intent 260:2	309:24 310:8	256:15 260:4,9
338:1 342:25	infrastructure	intention 262:16	316:14,16,19	261:21,23 262:7
343:6 352:25	268:19 270:5	336:2	318:5,8 319:12,16	304:17 305:3,5,9
353:7 390:17	295:10 347:12	intentions 336:4	321:25 325:22,22	305:17 306:2,5
included 262:4	inhabitants 275:22	interconnected	327:8 330:22,23	307:1,11,24
280:16 281:9,24	inherent 278:9	376:19 377:13	331:11	309:13,15 312:24
297:24 298:6,10	initial 265:9,13	interconnectedness	ISIT 304:3,3	313:5,21 314:9,16
299:20 301:2	275:6 276:25	376:18	Island 250:11	319:6 323:17
303:15 314:16	280:1 282:10	interconnection	issue 388:21	337:9,19 339:2,8
344:13 351:16	283:13 303:7	384:1,2	issued 255:2 301:16	339:13 340:14
390:25	initially 287:21	interest 328:19	issues 356:17 363:9	Jordan's 256:18,20
				312:18 313:25
	-	-	-	-

314:13 324:24	391:14,23	375:17,25 378:16	363:20 365:3,5	321:1 322:10,19
325:6	July 248:22 253:1,7	381:21,24 386:13	367:20,24,25	337:21
Judge 248:13 249:3	255:9,10,11	387:24 388:4,18	368:7 369:9 372:3	little 267:21 269:2
253:6,17,24 254:4	jump 346:14	389:8 391:17,19	373:7,17,21	270:17 273:9
254:9,13,15,18,22	June 290:4 323:22	knowing 371:10	374:11 375:13	276:17 273:3
255:13 256:7	384:13 385:9	knowledge 338:19	380:17 383:24	290:3 326:10
257:19,24 258:7	jurisdiction 371:16	362:16	letting 290:11	345:16,19,23
260:11 261:2,7,15	justification 332:14	known 289:13	level 290:20 368:7	346:3,3 367:12
262:15 263:3,12	365:1 380:16	370:17	levelized 322:18	386:24
263:18,23 264:8	justifications	knows 261:24	levels 289:23 290:5	live 363:6,13
264:13,25 291:22	381:15	Knox 310:7 311:12	366:19	Liz 300:2
291:23 292:1,5,12	justify 364:25	311:14 315:14	liability 277:11,20	load 310:13 311:6
293:9,15 294:7,23	378:21	321:9 322:20	277:22 278:1,22	320:22 325:11
300:5,5,10,15		324:5 326:16,21	licenses 296:5	326:17 327:11
301:6,14,19	K	327:5,13,16	life 276:20 280:10	336:17 377:7
302:17,23 304:6	K-e-n-s-o-k 294:20	Knox's 308:23	280:25 281:2,23	loads 369:1
304:11 305:1,21	keep 275:3,5 344:1	309:23 319:15	limit 388:23 389:21	Loarie 251:4
307:14 309:11,19	Kensok 256:11		limitations 383:7,7	254:20,21
311:21 312:22	292:6 293:11,12	L	383:14 386:2	local 274:18
313:8,23 314:5	293:19 294:9,20	L 248:23 393:6,18	limited 339:4	locations 274:2
325:3,16 330:14	295:1 297:22	labeled 257:1	362:15	long 291:16 297:14
335:17 336:8	300:23 301:21	labor 295:22 296:1	limiting 285:10	321:18 347:15,25
337:10,15 339:3,6	303:3,6 304:12	lack 262:12 270:13	390:1	long-cycle 287:24
339:11,21,24	kept 271:6	lacking 259:24	line 272:9 273:18	290:24
340:12,22 341:3,6	kilowatt 310:20,23	land 268:2,16	280:13 281:9	long-term 275:18
341:15,18,24	327:24	363:21	282:1 306:22,24	longer 257:17,20
343:23 344:7,17	kind 284:20 289:23	language 349:12,14	309:2 321:13,16	288:11 290:3
345:7,15,22 346:2	363:3	largely 297:25	321:17 326:3	longish 340:11
346:12 347:17,20	KING 393:4	largest 279:6	330:2 331:9 333:8	look 258:14,15
353:19,24 354:4	knew 298:18,22	late 290:4	343:12,12 355:8	276:19 279:14,25
354:12,24 355:4	know 258:3,8,25	launched 372:23	374:2	280:19 288:21
355:16,21 356:5,9	261:21,22 267:25	LAW 248:13 249:3	lines 275:11 277:3	296:3,9 297:2,7
356:13 357:5,10	268:17 270:24	lead 278:16 380:19	280:2 308:11,19	297:15 298:15
358:22 361:14,22	271:4,7,8 274:2,4	leads 331:12	328:9 330:4	303:10 305:10
362:20 365:21	276:25 278:14	left 340:1 387:23	331:22 343:11,13	314:24 315:8
366:8 367:10,10	283:25 284:3	legacy 343:14	368:11,18 370:19	322:8 323:1
367:20 368:6	286:14 287:4,4,21	legal 388:7,16,19	list 261:7	324:18 328:9
372:14,20 373:1,6	288:4,7 289:6	388:24	listening 259:10	330:1 338:15
373:17,21 374:19	298:14 311:11	let's 253:6,11	386:25	364:24
374:22,25 375:6	313:6 319:15,16	262:15 270:2	literally 299:5	looked 277:15
381:18,23 382:4	322:20 326:16	288:7 293:11	lithium 311:15	279:5 290:16
384:23,23 385:3	327:22 336:1	311:24 315:2	315:9,13 317:9,25	367:2
386:19 387:6,13	340:8 343:2,10	326:13 329:23	318:6,10,19,21,22	looking 258:13
388:6,11 389:19	354:23 363:12	340:22 341:13,19	318:23,25 319:3,9	265:18 266:7
390:8,19,23 391:4	366:16 369:13,15	346:13 354:16	319:13,17 320:23	272:6 283:13
	369:20 371:13,23	356:5,13 363:18		
	1	1	1	1

288:19 290:21	347:7	327:4 328:12,17	Microsoft 356:4	modernization
300:20 314:21	matters 263:24	329:7 331:18	midmorning	359:15,20 365:4,7
317:8 321:20	360:7	methods 271:12	304:20,21	365:18
353:23	mean 258:22	metrics 282:20	mights 386:2	modest 389:17
looks 301:23	267:22 268:10	Meyer 250:4 252:5	Milinovich 250:15	modification
loop 379:11	273:1,1 276:12,13	252:9,11,13	254:6,7	328:25 329:1
losing 389:4	283:10,12 288:4	253:15,16 255:12	million 347:2,4	332:14
loss 275:21 276:20	290:25 291:8	264:3,4,5,7,14,15	351:24 352:25	modifications
279:7 280:9,10,11	307:6 310:24	264:22 292:7,9,17	353:8,12 359:22	328:22
280:25 281:2,3,24	363:15 369:16,23	292:18,21 293:6	359:25 360:13,18	modify 255:8
losses 280:11 281:3	378:2 383:8	294:10,16,24	364:21 365:8	343:15 388:12
281:25	384:25	299:24 300:8	mind 344:1 391:12	moment 259:9
lost 347:17 370:19	means 288:11	301:9,12 302:12	Mine 348:1	261:4 280:21
lot 269:6 273:15	290:10 291:11	302:13 304:7,10	minimize 271:1	294:8 309:11
367:2,8 369:9	378:3 383:9	340:4,8 344:19,21	276:16 380:8	314:5,6 330:5
389:7	measure 273:10	344:23 345:5,7,13	minute 265:20	332:6 333:2
lots 268:1	333:11,11	345:18,23 346:3,6	315:19	335:11 337:10
louder 345:16	measures 282:24	346:8,13,15	minutes 304:20	341:12,19 343:23
low-income 282:25	283:5	347:17,19,22	344:25	354:16 355:16
lunch 305:12,15	meet 310:20,22	348:19 351:5	mirrors 363:13	356:6,10,16
340:9,16	meeting 267:25	353:17,24 356:19	misrepresent 333:1	365:21 372:14,22
340.7,10	meetings 267:10	358:25 359:2,5	missed 353:3	373:8,15 384:24
M	megawatt 324:20	361:14,16,24	missing 270:12	Monday 301:18
M 249:13	memorialized	362:4,21 363:11	misspeak 368:13	month 324:15
magnitude 346:25	301:15	365:23,25 366:2,9	misstatement	monthly 297:2,3
main 282:20	men 274:17	366:10 367:15,16	313:25	299:6
maintenance	mentioned 256:16	367:19,21,23	Misters 272:11	morning 253:7
295:15	286:22 299:7	368:5,7 372:15,16	mitigate 277:9	254:6,11,15,20,23
major 381:2	302:2 303:24	373:7,10,13,16,24	283:16	265:5,6 293:19
majority 286:7	315:9,13 319:17	374:1,22 375:1,11	mitigated 278:10	297:21 301:18
385:24,25	mesh 285:19	375:12 381:21	mitigates 268:17	304:21 306:2,4
making 260:19	meter 343:14	382:4,6 383:4,16	mitigation 268:2	307:24,25
275:22 299:9	meter 5 13.11 metering 347:12	384:25 385:2,5	271:2 359:14	motions 393:10
324:2 364:15	metering 5 17:12 meters 255:5,5	386:17 388:4,6,9	360:11,22 362:10	move 256:17
366:16 378:24	342:25 343:7	389:2,4,23 390:6	363:20	266:12 269:14
384:10	method 310:11	391:21	mitigative 268:8,24	276:24 297:6
management 271:6	311:13 313:14,18	mic 309:17 345:18	269:5 271:9 274:9	324:13 345:11
363:22	314:2 321:7,18	microphone 259:11	278:15 279:13	352:8 363:18
map 282:17,23	methodologies	266:24 267:6	285:2	365:3 383:24
283:4,19 284:3	326:5 328:23	309:12,14 343:3	Mm-hmm 330:9	moved 263:25
marked 348:4	332:15	344:5 345:12	mode 289:8,16	298:17 339:16
material 260:7	methodology	353:25 365:23	model 255:25	moving 273:14
262:5,8 285:20	310:13 313:15	387:18	279:22 280:9	274:23 289:21
319:6	320:18,20,21,22	microphones 366:2	models 255:18,21	296:12
materially 329:1	321:23 322:15	387:2	255:24	Mullins 293:20
matter 266:18	321.23 322.13	307.2	233.21	1.14.1111111111111111111111111111111111
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_		_
multiple 296:17	380:9 388:2,19,20	351:24 352:1	354:12,24 355:4	377:23 378:3
298:5	389:21 390:11	359:25 363:7,8	355:16,21 356:5,9	offset 295:15,17
mute 259:11 269:7	391:9	373:12 376:18	356:13 357:5,10	offsets 284:24
353:25 365:22	needed 310:22	380:10,13 381:15	358:22 361:14,22	296:19
387:1,18	327:16	384:7	362:20 365:21	offsetting 293:21
muted 305:24	needs 291:17	numbered 311:19	366:8 367:10,11	293:24 294:5
309:14 346:16	negative 306:23,24	numbering 257:5	367:20 368:6	295:2,9,21 296:23
	negatives 320:7	numbers 255:23	372:14,20 373:1,6	Oh 311:19 355:1
N	neglect 294:10	281:9 307:2,3,6,8	373:17,21 374:19	357:5
N 249:1 250:1,1,1	negligible 324:11	309:23 310:6,7	374:22,25 375:6	okay 254:22 256:7
251:1,1,1 253:4	338:10	343:18,22 344:12	381:18,23 382:4	257:19 258:7
N-1 368:24,25	neither 393:12	352:23	384:23,24 385:3	261:15 263:3,5,12
369:10,11,16,18	NERC 369:11,19	numerator 311:9	386:19 387:6,13	263:18,23 264:8
369:19,24 370:17	369:24	311:12 326:13	388:6,11 389:19	264:13,25 265:19
370:19 381:13,14	net 352:12,13		390:8,19,23 391:4	266:1,11,22
383:12,14 386:3	networks 370:20	0	391:14,23	269:11,16,24
386:10	never 361:5	O 250:1,15 251:1	o 392:3	270:9 272:25
N-2 369:16	new 378:22	253:4	000 253:3	273:4 274:10
name 264:17	Nina 249:21 254:2	o'clock 304:22	Oakland 251:5	275:1,5,10,16
294:12,18,19	nina.suetake@at	O'Connell 248:13	object 262:19	276:7,8,22 278:25
306:3,4 342:4,5	249:24	249:4 253:6,17,24	263:14 324:22	279:17 280:5,18
355:2,23 357:15	non-AMI 255:5	254:4,9,13,16,18	361:12	281:4,19 282:8,14
357:18 358:5,7	noncompany	254:22 255:13	objecting 325:17	283:3,18 284:9
naming 256:24	302:10,19	256:7 257:19,24	objection 263:1	285:11 287:9
narrative 328:25	nonforested 362:24	258:7 260:11	312:16 313:9	292:18 293:9,12
Nash 249:12	364:1	261:2,7,15 262:15	325:17 330:10	293:15 294:23
253:21	noon 340:25	263:3,12,18,23	335:10,12,14	295:4 301:14
nash.callaghan@	normal 289:24	264:8,13,25	336:19 361:23	303:9,12,18 304:4
249:17	normally 390:15	291:23 292:1,5,12	368:2 373:2	304:6,11,24
nation 363:8	Northeast 250:10	293:9,15 294:7,23	objections 262:11	305:23 307:14
natural 255:6	Northwest 267:14	300:5,6,10,15	393:10	309:8,15,25 310:9
nature 362:13	Nos 248:6	301:6,14,19	obviously 346:18	312:6,11 315:1,16
near 366:3	note 309:2 311:22	302:23 304:6,11	380:11	315:20 328:4
necessarily 296:2	339:14 351:18	305:1,21 307:14	occupation 357:21	331:20 335:25
369:4	370:14	309:11,19 311:21	358:12	337:7,11 339:6,11
necessary 255:17	noted 274:19	312:22 313:8,23	off-peak 380:7	339:18,24 340:22
255:21	notes 353:23	314:5 325:3,16	offer 261:24 332:17	341:3,6,18,24
need 258:24,25	387:24	330:14 335:17	332:20	344:8,21 345:24
260:17 270:19	notice 255:2 301:16	336:8 337:10,15	offered 385:18	346:12,17 347:6
272:19 284:12	notion 369:10	339:3,6,11,21,24	office 249:22	347:22 348:11
291:9,12 299:22	number 254:25	340:12,22 341:3,6	342:11	350:4,7,18 351:10
306:15 307:16	261:1 269:18	341:15,18,24	officer 294:22	352:10,19 353:6
330:11 340:18	276:4 278:22	343:23 344:7,17	offline 290:12	353:11,19 354:4
343:2,10 345:7	279:10 319:2	345:7,15,22 346:2	offload 377:7,14	354:12 363:17
352:5 362:2 373:3	323:6 324:1	346:12 347:17,20	offloaded 376:9	366:23 367:18
379:13,21 380:2,6		353:19,24 354:4		
		l	I	I

	1	<u> </u>	1	
368:17,20 370:3,7	Oregon 250:17,23	332:10 333:7	258:11 259:14	percentages 376:17
370:11 372:2	organized 367:13	343:11,11,12,12	260:14,15 298:5	383:25
373:6,7 374:21,24	original 255:10	350:3,9,10 352:3	302:10,19 335:3	perform 338:4
374:24 375:5,21	265:22 270:18	368:11,17 370:5	340:18 351:11	performance
376:3 377:3	288:1	370:11 374:4	387:15 388:1,18	296:11,16
379:10 381:22	originally 340:7	375:17 377:1,21	389:9,20 390:10	period 390:20
383:16 384:8	outage 270:6,16,22	383:20 389:21	391:5 393:13,14	permit 314:14
385:12 386:19	271:6 273:5,6,9	pages 248:12	partly 262:6	personally 308:19
387:3,7 388:3	274:5,20,24 369:5	282:11 295:19	parts 379:14	308:22 363:6
389:23 390:8	380:14	330:6 347:15,24	party 328:21 329:1	perspective 262:18
391:2,6	outages 271:8,13	388:23 389:6,10	334:11,20 335:5	pertain 367:5
Olympia 249:15	274:13	389:15,25 390:3,9	388:17 389:10	pertained 255:5
on-premise 295:7	outcome 393:14	paper 250:20	party's 332:7	phone 259:10 366:5
296:7	outcomes 275:20	254:10,12 344:11	passing 364:13	physics 296:11
on-site 296:16	outlined 277:10	344:11	patrol 289:11	picking 343:25
once 298:16 312:4	328:23	papers 298:6,7	Paul 252:10 357:16	pickup 387:2
316:22 336:14	outset 374:2	paragraph 321:17	pause 265:20 275:8	piece 285:15 369:3
361:9	outside 288:16	332:11 349:5	282:5 297:19	372:18
ones 299:9	overall 278:13	376:4,17	356:5 386:22	pieces 271:14 380:6
open 340:17 390:20	295:20	paragraphs 302:3	PC 250:15,21	pilot 351:12
operate 267:23	overlaps 284:5	paraphrasing	peak 310:4,11,12	pinch 340:9
289:17 370:18	overruled 313:9	287:1	311:3,13 312:15	place 342:7 357:15
operated 322:21	326:7 361:23	parity 333:15 338:6	313:18 315:5	358:9
operates 268:3	overruling 325:18	338:9	320:17,19,20,21	plan 257:3,20
operating 268:8		part 262:22 269:3	321:6,18,23	262:19 263:7,14
283:14 289:12,24	P 240 1 1 250 1 1	286:6 292:24	322:15 326:15,18	265:8 266:7,14
operational 350:21	P 249:1,1 250:1,1	298:8 302:14	327:4	267:9,17,19 269:1
operations 264:21	251:1,1 253:4	303:14 306:16	peaker 310:14	269:14 271:15,24
323:3	355:24	310:21 312:8	311:7,8,9,11	273:25 276:14
opinion 308:20	p.m 255:9,10,11	321:2 323:14,15	315:14 317:10	277:9,10 283:8,11
313:19 321:4	356:15 392:2	328:21 331:21	318:1 320:21,22	283:13 284:12
322:2 323:11,18	PA/DS-1T 265:15	349:13 350:14	320:24 321:5	285:13,16 286:22
325:7 326:4 332:1	Pacific 267:13	366:6 384:15	322:3,12,14	287:15,15,21
332:2 333:24	PacifiCorp 371:17	388:20	326:13 338:21,24	288:1,2,22,25
334:20 335:1	PacifiCorp's 323:7	partial 377:7 384:1	peer 266:20 267:14	290:24 303:21
389:20	page 252:3 270:3 272:6,10 275:7,8	participating 356:3	people 259:12	304:1 347:12,15
opportunity 375:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	particular 255:22	272:17	347:23 348:14,21
opposed 257:11	276:10,24 280:1	256:13,25 258:14	Pepple 250:21	348:21,22 349:10
273:6 284:14	281:8 282:12	258:25 265:9	254:11,12	350:2 360:22
290:11	286:25 287:8 303:10 306:21	297:5,14 314:12	percent 290:18	361:18 364:16,18
opposes 388:17	308:9 309:1,1	325:21 332:4	306:23,24 376:18	plane 280:17
order 272:4 313:19	315:25 316:9,24	333:16 368:9	377:23 383:23,25	planned 260:4
321:14,16 324:7		376:7 385:6,7	384:1 386:4	340:7
	1 317.13210.11			
332:10 346:25	317:13 318:11	parties 253:12	percentage 282:25	planning 270:11
332:10 346:25 349:1,5,16 350:19	321:15 328:6	parties 253:12 254:25 256:13	383:22	277:19 318:9
		-	_	

		Ī	l .	Ī
319:8,13 338:19	points 324:6	preceding 383:20	problem 326:1	protect 268:19,22
349:9 369:12	pole 268:21 271:4	prefer 262:9 333:4	343:24 366:7	protecting 290:15
371:1 386:11	274:8 285:19,22	340:14	378:5 390:6	protection 289:22
plans 263:1,20	286:1	preferred 316:6	procedural 387:25	290:19
302:9 318:5 348:8	poles 268:16	317:17 319:8	388:1	provide 260:1
plant 311:7 321:21	policy 274:21 302:1	321:3	proceed 307:19	272:19 283:16
322:4	381:13	premise 295:13	344:21 345:24	306:20 330:24
plants 311:5	populations 283:21	296:1	356:17 358:25	331:6 332:3
please 259:11 261:2	portion 374:3	preparation 315:23	proceeding 391:1	333:21 334:12,22
263:6 264:10,16	376:5	prepared 292:22	proceedings 258:22	335:7
275:4 282:12	Portland 250:17,23	342:18 354:18	348:8 392:1 393:7	provided 260:12,12
292:14,16 293:12	posed 272:3 339:8	375:22	393:9	272:3,20 279:23
293:23 294:13,18	posited 350:11	preparedness	process 290:14	308:15,24 324:25
295:1 300:18	352:15	303:14,14	292:25 296:9	328:24 334:2,16
305:1,18 306:2,11	position 264:18	preparing 272:1,15	302:9,18,20	336:15 342:15
306:19 308:5,9	283:25 346:19	292:25	331:19 366:22	343:18,22 372:7
310:10 311:24	389:12	presented 256:17	processes 287:13	373:24 374:12
313:10,12 314:20	positions 343:16	317:5 318:15	297:11	375:14 384:14
315:25 316:24	positive 352:11,13	348:8	produced 371:1	385:13
317:13 319:25	352:16,17	president 294:21	produces 327:8	provider 297:15
322:2,24 328:7	possibility 356:21	357:23	program 350:20	provides 282:20
329:24 335:17	383:10	presumably 376:19	351:4,13	335:6
336:6,11,20 340:5	possible 284:3	382:9	progress 257:8	providing 333:18
341:11,16 342:4	353:13 355:17	pretty 367:25	Project 250:8	334:4,17,25 378:8
343:4,10 344:1,3	post 275:23	prevent 285:21	254:14,17	proxy 321:5
344:18 356:8	potential 269:6	prevented 288:15	projected 320:16	PSE 349:1,8
357:8,15,17 358:5	273:16,20 274:2	preventing 285:14	projecting 321:1	PSPS 286:19,22
358:6 361:15	278:15 283:11,15	previous 377:21	proper 281:6	287:11,20,22,25
365:22 366:9	289:14 351:25	previously 326:12	properly 321:19	288:3,18 289:1,2
368:6,13,15,19	potentially 268:9	327:3	property 279:7	290:9,21 291:3
370:14 371:6	285:22 286:4	price 324:19 327:2	280:9 302:4	public 249:20
373:11,14 374:16	power 267:25	pricing 296:4 297:3	proponent 332:12	253:25 254:3
381:20 382:1,4	268:15 287:20	principle 321:18	proposal 348:21	255:15 256:13
384:25 386:21	288:10,13 291:14	prior 287:23	349:10 359:19	258:18 259:1,21
387:1,15,18	326:25 327:19	289:11 302:6	365:6	259:25 260:12
plus 352:21	371:21 381:1	336:24 337:4,5	proposals 347:1	261:10 262:25
PO 249:14 250:5	PPA 324:19 325:7	348:8	propose 334:8	263:21 265:14,23
point 263:14	325:10 326:25	pro 297:24 298:6,9	proposed 257:15	265:24 266:4
282:15 284:7	327:3,6,11,16,24	300:3,21 301:2	261:11 267:8	271:18,23,25
286:13 301:20	PPAs 327:18	303:16,19	303:23 334:9	272:5,11,13,15
303:13 304:14	practically 278:14	proactively 288:10	347:3	276:14 281:18
314:11 340:12	practice 370:21	290:13	proposes 303:19	287:19 305:8,14
345:10 356:23	371:2 386:15	probability 277:13	proposing 360:11	311:18 312:1
383:20 387:14	prairie 268:2,16	278:3,4,20	propounded 325:1	324:24 325:1
389:23	363:21	probably 273:19	prospective 302:5	328:12,16,19
	•		·	

329:3,6,13,19,20	301:22 302:7,13	357:8	301:10 308:16	260:14,18,25
329:22 331:10,13	302:18 314:24	raised 330:21	313:18,20 314:2	261:9,18,20
332:16,19,21,23	315:2 319:24	ratcheted 290:14	314:11,18 321:5	262:22 263:4
332:24 333:1,21	320:8 326:6	ratcheting 290:8	322:3,5,10 323:12	264:16 294:12,18
336:5 337:2,3,4	330:12,17 331:2	rate 253:9 319:22	323:19 325:15,21	298:8 299:16
340:2,15,24 342:9	333:3 335:4,16	320:2,3,11,12	325:22 332:5	300:7 301:3
342:13 346:21	336:21 340:17	321:8,10 327:17	333:11,20,23	304:22 306:3,20
358:3,18 390:15	361:25 362:19	338:20,23 349:1	334:14,19,23,24	311:23 314:20
390:19	366:1,9 367:16,18	359:21 360:12	389:18	336:8,9 339:14,17
Puget 349:17	367:21 375:7	rated 363:7	reasonableness	339:21,23 341:1
350:19 354:16	379:19 381:19,20	ratepayer 378:11	325:6,7 333:25	341:13 356:8,10
371:16	381:24 382:1,14	ratepayers 296:25	335:2	356:12,14 357:18
Puget's 350:20	385:4 386:10,25	378:23	reasons 333:9	358:6 372:18
pulled 261:8	questioning 264:24	rates 302:6 351:20	334:11	373:18,20,22
purchase 326:25	314:12,14,20	ratio 310:25 311:3	rebuild 365:4	382:1 385:18
purports 313:14	326:3 361:13	311:4,6,9 325:11	rebuilding 275:20	391:24
purpose 263:21	374:3	326:12,15 353:7	rebuilds 359:16	records 271:5
319:20 320:1,5	questions 254:25	rationale 269:4	rebuttal 262:11	recross 339:4
purposes 262:20	256:9,22,23 260:9	ratios 333:15 338:6	271:22 272:1,7	redirect 252:5,7
319:21 321:21	265:2,8 269:9,15	338:10	293:2 324:6 343:8	292:10,20 337:12
333:13 372:19	272:2 275:3,4	RDR 248:23	recall 323:23	337:17 353:21
	· ·		337:21 349:12,13	
pursue 349:9	282:6 286:14	393:18	,	354:3 386:21
381:19	291:21 293:18	read 265:21 266:9	349:17,22 351:14	387:5
purview 302:15	297:18 300:1	271:18,25 280:3	recap 335:18	reduce 278:15
push 340:14	301:20 303:2	306:22,23,25	receive 390:24	286:8 290:16
put 281:16 293:16	304:5,8 307:12	315:22 349:4	received 262:11	reduced 293:22,25
298:17 305:21	313:5 325:5 337:8	368:12,23 369:6	323:7	294:6
344:25 349:10	339:1,8,9,12	370:15,16 374:3	recess 304:25 341:2	reducing 285:25
351:24 352:20	354:6,7,8,10,13	381:9 382:8	reclose 290:2	reduction 278:13
357:11 359:9	359:9 372:23	reading 333:18	reclosing 289:10,25	279:16 284:13,17
372:16 380:17	387:8	ready 264:6 341:4	290:4	285:8 347:2
383:19 391:20	quick 280:4 292:10	341:8	recognize 287:24	359:22
Putting 329:4	quicker 352:6	real 363:21	350:20	Redundance
0	quickly 343:25	realize 260:25	recommend 380:25	370:19
	quite 262:13 268:4	341:23	381:5	redundancy 366:19
qualified 332:3	quote 332:12	really 279:14 280:4	recommendation	368:1,8,24 370:17
qualify 374:16	368:13 369:5	282:1 289:23	360:3	374:6 378:12
quality 345:9	376:4	290:9,14 300:1	recommendations	380:19 381:17
quantify 279:12	quote/unquote	302:15 380:11	267:10 269:19,20	382:10,17,18,20
question 270:18	349:7 382:8	Realtime 393:7	287:16 288:25	383:6,8,12,13,14
277:17 278:19		reason 276:13	346:22 364:15,18	383:22 384:3,12
280:24 281:1	<u>R</u>	323:21 345:10	366:17	386:1
284:10 285:12	R 249:1 250:1	377:20,23 385:20	record 253:6,13	redundant 379:13
286:19 293:2	251:1 253:4 393:1	389:11	254:24 256:15	379:25 381:8
294:15 295:2	raise 264:11 293:12	reasonable 256:2	257:21 258:23	382:25
296:18 297:22	305:18 341:11,16			
			·	1

	I	I	ı	1
reenergizing	274:24 275:18,20	300:11,14,18	request 255:4,4,11	338:20,21,24
289:12	282:2 286:4	301:4,5,22 303:4	255:14 261:10	resources 274:1
reevaluate 299:10	287:19 303:22	303:9,12,18 304:4	299:23 300:3,12	310:22 320:18,22
refer 266:19 273:25	369:20 375:2	339:9 354:10	300:16 301:7	322:1 338:22
275:17 290:6	relates 270:4	391:10	302:14,17,24	respect 338:6
308:18 311:24	relative 278:8	renewable 310:4	304:19 312:2,13	360:10,11 368:8
352:3	393:12,13	311:11 312:15	315:4,7 323:1	372:5
reference 271:20	relevance 258:17	313:18 315:5	324:1 325:1 327:1	respond 271:22
280:22 281:5,10	335:14 361:13	320:17,18,21	336:13 337:4,5	272:4 276:12
287:20 324:8	relevant 258:21	321:6,17 322:13	385:7 388:5,7,13	279:4 299:22
326:20 330:12	260:18 267:20	322:13,15 326:17	389:3,18,22,25	307:12 362:19
371:12 376:17	268:25 295:9	338:20	390:14	367:17 389:13,16
references 272:13	314:13	repair 379:13,21	requested 255:15	Respondent 248:9
referencing 262:7	reliability 284:21	380:14	323:9,21 336:17	responders 274:19
277:24 279:10	284:23	repeat 319:24	336:23	responding 285:4
281:8	relied 333:10,11	331:1 343:3 366:1	requests 255:1,3	response 260:24
referring 257:11	rely 266:6 372:18	366:9 373:11	259:20 260:10	261:10 272:5,14
267:12,15 290:25	relying 386:14	rephrase 309:22	302:4,5	274:1 277:17
362:23 380:22	remaining 258:9	336:20 361:24	require 335:2	282:4 302:14
382:6	remains 296:1	362:4 368:5	378:11	311:18 312:1,8,23
refinement 348:14	339:17,20 344:10	replace 302:5 380:7	required 386:11	315:18,22 329:23
reflected 327:17	remember 287:3,4	replaced 380:7	requirement	336:13,16,24
346:22	323:20,25 328:1	replacement 276:4	255:18,21,24,25	337:3 342:23
reflecting 321:20	Remotely 248:15	281:11 286:1	344:10 346:23	343:5 348:15
regard 294:5	remove 343:13	379:14,22 380:3	347:3 369:11,20	351:6 375:22
364:18 380:22	365:7	380:14	388:23	384:15 385:4,7
381:9	removing 388:22	report 257:8 297:3	requirements	responses 259:21
regarding 255:1,2	Rendahl 249:7	REPORTED	346:20 369:17,25	272:3,19,20
255:14 257:23	265:3,4,7,12,19	248:23	requires 287:12,22	responsibility
258:18 260:9,21	265:24 266:1,11	reporter 267:1,4	reread 374:4	333:12
295:2 330:12	266:22 267:5,15	294:13 300:9	research 351:23	responsive 298:4,5
332:4 337:20	269:8,12,22,25	341:5,14 343:1,20		302:10 306:12,18
375:2	270:9 271:16,21	344:6,9 346:5,7	resilience 282:24	322:11 333:7
regards 286:19	272:4,9,22,25	346:10 348:16	283:6 287:15	rest 305:6
Registered 393:6	273:4 274:10	350:25 356:7	resiliency 271:24	restate 284:25
regular 296:21	275:1,10,16 276:7	373:19 393:6,6,7	284:15,17,22,22	result 347:2 369:4
regularly 296:22	276:9,22,24 277:3	reports 277:20	284:23 285:9,17	results 324:10,11
regulatory 306:8	277:8,16 278:21	represent 327:6	285:23 286:7,22	331:11 334:5
342:11	278:25 279:17,20	represented 262:25	resilient 286:3	376:5
reject 261:16	279:25 280:5,7,14		resolving 356:16	resume 256:8
rejoin 292:14	280:23 281:1,19	representing	resource 257:3	retrospective 302:4
relate 286:10	282:3,14,19,23	254:16 259:14	310:14,14 316:6	302:7,21
related 265:8	283:3,18 284:6,9	349:8	317:17 319:8	revenue 255:17,21
270:16,18 271:3	285:6 286:11	represents 316:13	320:24 321:3,4,13	255:24,25 344:10
273:2 274:12,20	291:25 299:12,15	reps 274:18	322:5,6 327:12	346:20,23 347:3

				T ago +11
revenues 333:17	276:14 277:5,15	satisfactory 390:4	280:20 328:24	serves 284:12
review 272:15	277:24 278:2,8,9	saw 329:13	330:8,8	service 267:20
280:21 297:20	278:10,12,13,19	saving 259:16	security 294:22	268:25 284:15
302:4,5,8,9,10,19	278:21,24 279:4	266:25 320:25	see 258:16 259:23	288:5 296:12
302:21 308:19,22	279:13,15,16,22	330:16 364:1	263:8,21 265:17	297:25 298:23
309:5,22,23 312:7	280:8,20 281:5,7	says 259:1 272:10	270:2,6 273:20	308:2,20,23
312:8 322:7 330:6	281:8 283:7,10	281:8,10,13	275:2,11,14 276:3	309:23 312:25
337:2 360:12	284:13,16 285:8	306:22,23,25	277:6 278:13	313:2,17 320:15
367:9	369:4	316:5 323:5 329:4	280:2 282:1,5	321:13,16,20
reviewed 302:6	risk-based 269:1	329:5,17 377:17	284:4 291:2 297:6	324:7 325:8,14,19
308:14 310:1,2,6	Road 250:10	382:21 383:13	297:15 298:20	325:20,25 326:5
312:13 313:1	Roberson 249:11	SB-1C 342:16	300:24 303:16	328:14,22 329:2,9
314:10,17 319:7	253:21	SB-1T 342:24	316:3,10 317:1,16	330:25 331:5,7,12
322:9 338:7	rolling 363:20	343:6	317:25 323:3,5,10	331:14 332:5,8,9
reviewing 318:4	Ronald 248:23	SB-3 344:11	324:15 328:17	332:13,17,22
revised 350:2	393:6,18	scenario 323:7,12	329:11,18 331:16	333:10,14,20,22
revision 348:3	routinely 274:6	323:18 324:10	332:16 338:22	334:1,2,5,6,10,13
revisit 296:21,23	rule 256:17,19	scenarios 323:9,21	354:19,22,24	334:14,17,18
RFPC 310:3	314:3 324:2 326:1	323:24 324:2,5	355:8,9,9,14,15	335:13,21,23
313:14 314:2	328:13 329:8,18	schedule 305:6	355:18,20,21,24	336:2 337:25
327:5 328:17,18	331:24 332:8,19	school 250:10	356:2,4,18,21,25	338:2 360:23
329:12,14,19	388:25	344:24	371:6 373:8	361:19 362:7,9,16
right 258:3 263:19	rule-making	scope 312:17 313:6	376:11 377:7,8,13	364:14 369:5
264:11 265:19	321:14,16 323:8	314:13 324:23	377:16 385:6,8	376:8 379:15,16
280:14 285:6	323:15 324:7	326:4,7 339:4	seeing 354:13 391:6	379:23,24 380:20
286:17,23 290:22	332:10	scoping 262:16	seeking 255:16,20	servicemen 274:17
291:21 293:13	run 380:25 381:5	screen 355:18,22	seen 259:8,22	services 295:7,7
294:16 298:3	run-to-failure	scrub 345:1	sees 290:12	297:5
299:20 300:17	380:18	season 270:25	select 311:12	set 287:1 301:10
302:16,25 305:18	rural 288:6 362:13	289:11,20 290:2	selected 323:22	390:15
328:1 332:7	362:14,24 366:18	seasonal 377:15,25	send 274:1 289:11	settings 290:19
337:24 341:11,16	367:4	378:1,3,4 382:25	sense 271:14	settlement 351:12
346:15 347:10		383:7,7,9,10,13	366:12	351:15,16 388:18
348:6,24 349:15	S	383:14 386:2	sensitive 289:19	388:19
349:24 351:5	S 249:1 250:1 251:1	seasons 378:9	290:8	Shay 252:8 341:8
352:22 357:8	253:4	Seattle 249:23	sensitively 289:17	342:5
359:24 360:6,20	S-t-e-p-h-e-n-s	second 253:9	sensitivity 290:9,15	shift 292:5
361:8 364:11	358:8	255:14 279:1	sentence 329:16	short 253:11 291:2
365:2,14 373:10	safer 286:3	297:19 321:12	332:11 370:16	304:25 305:13
374:10,19 376:1	safety 275:14	332:11,25 353:22	separate 303:25	340:18 341:2
376:24 377:5,19	276:14,20 281:17	355:5 359:14	sequence 384:13	short-cycle 291:7
379:6 391:14	281:23 284:23	370:10	series 345:19	shorter 289:7
right-hand 377:6	285:24 286:10	second-most	serve 317:10 318:1	shot 290:7,7
risk 268:2,2 270:4	287:19	351:21	321:5	Show 370:25
270:23 275:12	sake 261:18 381:25	section 278:12	served 366:18	386:10
	sales 336:17			
	I	I	I	I

	1	Ī	İ	ı
showing 262:20	282:25	290:17	308:14,18 312:25	333:8 335:5
shown 258:24	software 295:8,11	sparked 354:6	313:14,16 323:8	status 283:6 287:17
347:24	software-as-a-ser	sparking 284:18	323:14,21,23,25	staying 309:1
shows 297:4 329:1	295:16	285:10	324:1 325:19,23	steel 286:2,2
333:15	solution 268:13,13	speak 277:14	326:4	stenographically
shut 288:10,13	285:24,24 295:13	283:19 299:5	staff's 262:18 308:1	393:8
291:13	297:9,17	322:1 326:13	325:19	step 294:8
shutoffs 287:20	solutions 268:11	329:5 336:4	stake 359:18	Stephens 252:12
side 271:4 274:5	291:13 296:8	343:25	stakeholder 266:13	265:15 272:11
278:7 284:24	solve 390:6	speaking 267:6	267:9,13,14	354:17,22 355:1,2
289:22 340:11	somebody 266:23	300:8 344:2	269:13,18 279:11	355:6,9,18,20,22
352:21	272:23 288:8,15	360:15	279:19 281:15	356:18 357:7
sides 389:13,14	somebody's 288:13	speaks 376:2	stakeholders	358:4,7,8,11,14
Sierra 251:3 254:19	someone's 309:12	specific 304:1	266:16,17,19,20	358:18,20 359:8
254:21	sorry 253:18	321:4 330:12	268:1 324:9	360:24,24 361:4,5
sign 391:1	257:24 258:1	363:4 364:15	stand 329:16	362:18,19,20,22
significance 347:7	264:4 265:12	367:9 380:22	standard 289:18	363:14,25 364:4,9
significant 259:19	287:7 309:10,17	specifically 269:9	370:21 371:2	364:10,17,23
351:21 360:17	311:19 312:21	269:15 284:13	386:15	365:24 366:4,5,25
similar 299:7	317:25 320:7	285:5,7 287:6	start 253:14 256:10	367:1,17,22
363:16 367:3	323:13 327:9	294:2 296:19	278:5 288:18	368:14,17,20
Similarly 316:9	328:1 329:15	321:15 371:15,25	367:21	369:8,15,23 370:2
Simon 250:9	330:3,5 331:1	371:25	started 256:21	370:9,24 371:3,4
254:16	337:1 339:19	speed 344:2	290:3	371:8,9,14,18,20
simon@ffitchlaw	341:22 343:1,20	spell 294:11 357:17	starting 282:12	371:22,24 372:24
250:12	348:16 350:23,25	358:5	291:4 306:21	372:24 374:9,15
simple 267:24	351:2 353:3,15,22	spelled 358:8	309:2 333:8	374:18,20,21,24
268:23 361:21	355:1 363:25	spelling 294:19	state 264:16 274:4	375:5,10,19,25
simpler 380:17	365:20 371:5	spend 362:13	294:18 295:18	376:3,13,21 377:3
simply 336:10	380:21 382:13	spins 268:10	306:2 319:7	377:10,16,25
352:20	383:2 384:21	split 310:15,18,24	328:10 331:23	378:1,13,18 379:8
single 279:6	388:17	320:19 321:24	332:18 342:4,10	379:18 380:1,21
sir 384:18	sound 345:9 371:16	Spokane 250:5	357:15 358:5	381:11,20,22
sister 371:16	source 262:5	361:3,5,10 362:7	393:3,7,19	382:3,12,16 383:2
site 367:6	312:14 315:4	362:14 363:5,13	stated 297:23	383:5,17 384:5,17
situations 268:17	sources 269:4	spoke 279:12	300:23 334:15	384:21 385:21,24
six 285:20 349:18	283:12	326:11,11 327:21	356:23 382:24	386:9,12,12,23
slight 386:22	Southwest 250:16	spoken 262:24,25	statement 302:1	387:8,12
slightly 309:21	250:22	spreadsheet 377:1	331:10 374:5	steps 387:25
small 285:15,16	spark 269:4,6	ss 393:3	375:2 377:21	stipulated 260:14
286:6 380:11,13	270:20 273:7	staff 249:10 253:17	384:11	stop 345:8 349:11
smaller 381:4	276:16 278:16	253:19 256:14,15	states 293:20	364:14 374:19
smoke 275:21	283:11,15 284:14	258:18 260:3	321:17 324:19	381:18
so-called 349:18	285:14,25 286:4,8	261:21 263:1,14	328:21,21 330:11	storage 317:9 318:1
socioeconomic	288:14 289:14	304:16 305:3,17	330:11 332:11	318:24,25 319:3,9

	I	l	I	Ī
319:14 322:19	382:22	391:22	305:19 341:10,16	340:13 379:14
store 296:6	substations 359:20	Suetake's 326:3	sworn 264:12	389:24 390:4
stranded 342:25	365:7 368:9,24	sufficient 286:12	293:14 305:20	393:8,11
343:7	369:1,2,10 372:3	362:8	341:17 357:4,9	takes 365:16
strategy 316:6	374:6 376:8	suggest 319:7	system 270:24,24	talk 290:24 305:5
317:17 319:8	377:12,22 378:12	suggestion 299:25	271:7,13 273:10	383:24
321:3	379:12 381:8	suggests 351:23	273:11,12 274:6	talked 270:2 289:9
STREET 251:4	382:10,17,18,19	Suite 249:23	274:13 279:6	291:10 326:12
strike 343:9	382:20,24 384:13	250:10,16,22	283:16 285:25	350:1
strongly 274:5	385:25 386:4	251:5	286:3 287:11	talking 259:12,13
structures 276:20	subtransmission	sum 275:13	288:16 289:12,22	279:22 335:12
studied 366:14	370:20	summarized 376:6	289:22 290:1,8,11	353:25 363:3
studies 308:3 313:1	suburbs 362:14	summary 281:7	290:15 293:23	369:17 380:11
study 258:20	successful 287:25	summer 273:21,23	294:6 321:2	381:3 383:21
308:20,23 309:24	successfully 288:2	289:10 290:2	348:23 366:13,17	talks 278:12
313:2,17 319:21	Suetake 249:21	supervision 292:23	367:7 368:8	tcp@dvclaw.com
320:2,10,15	252:7 254:1,2	342:19	369:11,21,21	250:24
321:20 322:9	256:1,4,25 257:14	supply 370:19	systems 287:13	Teams 248:15
325:8,14,20,25	257:16,22 258:1	support 287:16	293:22 294:1	249:4,8,19,25
326:5 328:14,22	260:5,6,21 261:4	288:25 312:14	367:2,9,25 371:12	250:7,12,18,24
329:2,10 330:25	261:13,25 262:24	315:4 349:18		251:6 259:11
331:5,7,12,14	263:5,9 307:18,20	supported 325:24	T 250 1 251 1	356:4 387:17
332:5,13,17,22	307:23 309:13,16	supposed 378:20	T 250:1 251:1	technical 296:10
333:20 334:1,2,5	309:25 311:22,25	suppression 280:10	393:1,1	356:16 358:14
334:6,10,13,14,17	312:19,22,24	281:2,12 282:2	tabbed 333:5	technologies 304:2
334:18,22,24	313:10,16 314:11	sure 253:12 262:13	table 316:3,5 317:1	technology 319:3
335:13,21,23	314:19,22 325:3,4	263:13 266:2	317:2,8,16,17,21	Teimouri 249:13
336:3 337:25	326:8,9 330:13,14	280:21,23 284:25	317:23,24 318:2	253:23
338:2	330:15 335:15,18	285:4 299:9	318:15,15,18,20	telephone 356:2
subject 266:17	336:11,12,22	300:13 313:4	338:16,18,23	tell 322:2
328:2 349:6 352:7	339:3,5 341:7,20	332:25 344:4	350:8 376:7,16	term 274:18 284:22
352:9 385:8	341:25 342:2,7,12	348:2 361:16	tables 262:4,13,21	290:23,25 291:20
subpart 302:24	342:17,21 343:15	362:1 364:6	316:10,10,12	364:24
314:25 315:9	344:15,18 353:20	366:10 367:12	318:4,8 319:12	terms 294:5 295:12
323:2,5 327:1	353:22 354:2,21	368:16 372:22	328:23 330:20	299:5 351:11,25
Subparts 336:18	355:7,15 356:19	373:3,8,15,16	tailored 360:22	364:21 380:17
subsection 328:21	356:23 357:2,3,12	374:17 378:9	take 253:11 278:14	territories 362:16
328:24	357:14,17,21,25	379:20 383:18	280:20 297:7	territory 267:20
substance 258:15	358:4,9,12,16,19	384:6	304:16,21 330:5	268:25 284:16
313:11	358:22 361:12,23	Surely 362:21	337:19 338:13	288:5 360:23
substation 359:16	365:20 368:2	366:10 385:2	340:16,23 354:16	361:19 362:7,9
365:4 368:25	372:11,20,21	surprised 258:12	366:11 373:7	364:14 376:9
370:18,20 379:14	373:5,11,14	324:9	379:23 380:9,13	testified 314:1,2,17
379:17,22,25	386:20 387:3,4	suspect 346:21	383:9	325:20 385:22
380:4,12,24 381:2	390:13,22 391:2	swear 264:11	taken 248:15	testifies 322:11
			263:25 274:9	

testify 305:4 314:9	384:10,20 385:1	391:2,10,16,18,20	298:9,24 299:1	transcript 393:10
341:9 354:18	385:14,19,21	391:21,22,24	300:20 304:19,20	Transformation
testifying 277:8	386:5 387:9	Thanks 293:8	305:8,11 309:14	283:22 284:2
337:22	testing 380:4	theory 310:11,12	321:2 335:5 340:6	transformers 380:5
testimony 255:17	thank 253:15,24	thereof 262:12	340:25 342:22	380:12 381:1,13
255:22 256:18,20	254:1,18,22	thing 301:18 340:9	348:12 351:6,25	381:17
260:24,24 261:24	255:12 256:6	380:9	353:1,2,4 356:15	transmission 270:5
262:5,6,10,12,22	262:1 264:7,13,15	things 259:22	361:2 362:13	270:24 273:10,11
265:9,13,15,21,22	264:22,25 265:3	270:19 298:18	368:14 372:10	273:16 285:19
266:3,5,10 269:25	267:4,7 269:22	340:11 366:17,20	376:10 377:23	369:21
270:2 271:18,22	273:4 275:1 276:9	384:13 388:1	381:6 382:8 388:5	Transportation
272:1,1,5,7,13,15	276:22 279:20	think 257:11 258:7	390:25	248:2,4 306:9
272:16,22,23,24	280:23 281:19	263:10 273:9	time-of-use 351:13	treatment 343:9
273:2 275:6	282:3 283:18	286:11,15,16	351:20 353:8,13	tree 273:18
276:25 280:1	286:15,17 291:20	289:17,24 295:4	times 278:3 380:8	trees 268:20
282:11,15 292:3	292:1,2,4,9,18	295:18 298:12,21	timing 351:14	trenching 300:1
292:22,25 293:2	293:6,10,15	300:3,15,16 326:3	title 281:6 377:7	tried 279:3 298:17
295:18 297:23	294:16,23 295:3,4	326:6 334:3,15	today 253:7,8,20	tries 290:1
298:4,5 302:2,11	297:1 300:9,13	335:4 343:24	255:19 256:8	trips 290:12
303:7 304:13,15	301:5,6,18 302:25	345:25 347:23	274:16 354:14	trouble 274:17
304:16 305:10	304:4,10,11,12,24	355:11 361:22	358:1,17 387:24	343:2,25 346:14
306:12,13,16,18	305:25 307:10,14	365:8 375:3 379:8	390:12,16,21,24	367:11
308:7 312:9,18	307:18,20 309:19	379:18 381:9	today's 320:12	true 293:3 360:25
313:6,14 314:1,8	311:16,21 314:7	384:22 389:17	391:15	364:9 365:6
314:14 315:18,23	314:22 316:17	thinking 340:10	told 375:14	371:13 393:10
316:1,16 317:6,21	319:5,19 322:17	thinks 325:14	top 258:3 269:19	truly 379:3
318:16 322:12	324:3,12 326:9	third 346:8 382:8	290:10 317:2	trust 259:3 260:16
324:6,24 328:7,11	328:4 335:25	third-party 281:17	324:1 350:10	trusted 260:19
328:25 329:5,17	337:7,14 338:11	thought 290:14	351:15	trustworthiness
329:24 330:6,11	338:25 339:6,12	333:6	topic 258:15,17	259:18
330:21 331:3,9,17	339:23 341:1,6,18	thought-based	topography 362:12	trustworthy 259:4
331:17,23 332:24	342:2 344:15,17	295:7	362:17 366:19	try 271:1 279:12
333:2,5,8,9,10,14	344:23 347:6,20	thoughtful 291:17	tops 268:20	329:15 345:18
333:19 336:24	347:22 348:19,24	291:18	total 275:22 340:11	346:8,11 367:4
337:1,6,20 339:13	351:5,17 353:11	thoughts 389:2	381:16	383:19
342:12,17,22,24	353:17,25 354:14	three 256:24	tough 340:9	trying 269:2 271:9
343:5,8 349:18	356:9,11 357:5,10	258:10 281:10	track 274:24	276:15 281:21
350:15 351:7,19	357:12 358:19,22	291:4 306:17	tracked 273:13	283:10 288:21
352:1,2 353:18	359:2 360:16	316:12 319:11,11	tracking 271:12	325:13 330:7
354:6,14 359:15	361:1 362:5 366:8	356:19	tracks 274:12	363:19,23
365:11 368:11	367:19,23 370:13	tiers 269:19	tradeoff 284:20	turbine 326:21,24
370:22,25 374:3	374:1,13,25 375:5	till 263:9 275:8	traditional 320:20	326:25 327:2,11
374:23 375:9	375:12,15 386:17	timber 280:10	321:22 327:4	turn 262:17 264:2
379:2 381:6,7,9	387:9,11,12	281:3,24	transcribed 393:8	264:4,6 265:1
382:7,11 383:17	388:10 390:22	time 253:8 287:2	393:10	282:12 293:11,17
302.7,11 303.17	200.10 270.22	200.0 201.2	270.10	202.12 273.11,17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

l			I	
294:10,24 304:7	257:11 259:7	unmute 253:19	378:20 379:4,5	WA 248:24
305:2,17 307:15	UE-200301 257:13	unpack 369:9	382:19 386:15	WAC 314:4 328:20
307:16 308:5,9	259:7	unreasonable	utility's 335:7	329:4 331:19
311:17 315:21,25	UE-200894 248:7	334:3,10,17,18,22	utilize 288:22	WACs 332:9
316:18,24 317:13	253:10	unreasonableness	T 7	333:20
322:23 328:6	UE-200900 248:6	335:2,6	V	wait 263:9,21 269:8
329:23 331:21	253:10	update 255:22	v 248:6	341:19,20 375:6
336:6 339:7 340:5	UG-0002 323:14	updated 255:20,24	value 378:22,25	382:1
341:8,20,25	UG-170002 323:8	updates 255:16,19	valuing 294:5	waiting 263:7
344:18,19 349:25	UG-200901 248:6	upholds 321:18	Van 250:15,21	want 255:3 257:5
350:2 353:20	253:10	upward 297:6	vehicle 300:4	258:10,12 271:24
354:17 357:1,12	unable 301:17	urban 282:16	veracity 260:7	272:14 273:8
358:23 372:3	underlying 313:19	362:13,24 366:19	262:12	276:11 280:21
374:12 375:13,16	understand 270:20	367:3 378:15	versus 289:18	284:25 285:3
376:25 386:21	272:18 279:3	use 262:5,9 263:22	295:7,13 296:16	300:12 305:5
387:15,20	281:16 285:11	268:12 269:3,21	337:20 338:5	332:25 339:14
turned 292:7	290:23 305:7	270:11,22 273:6	362:13	346:16 358:24
355:12 356:20	313:8 325:17	281:14 282:16	vice 294:21	366:16 367:11,12
366:6	327:20 330:15	285:18 287:11	vicinity 363:13	390:17
turning 264:9	335:4 346:4,19,21	295:6 296:5	Victor 357:19	wanted 256:12,20
307:18 317:23	350:18 359:17,19	297:11 313:15	video 264:6,10	257:16 260:1
326:10	367:14 378:21	320:22 322:5,14	292:7 305:2,3	263:25 267:21
two 266:16 269:21	384:22 385:10	325:10,22 326:24	307:16,19 341:8	291:19 301:25
279:14 281:12	389:8,24	327:25 332:22	344:19 353:20	Washington 248:1
282:20 285:13	understanding	349:19 350:11,14	354:23 355:8,23	248:4 249:15,23
290:5 291:5	278:24 283:20	352:1 353:1,2,4	355:24 387:15	250:5,11 306:9
292:10,16 316:10	288:13 310:11	364:7,10 367:25	videoconference	342:10 361:3,5
339:25 340:15	313:21 327:7,18	369:18,24 389:15	248:15 249:4,8,19	376:8 393:3,7,19
344:25 349:4	332:23 333:1	useful 298:19 299:2	249:25 250:7,12	wasn't 351:8
359:13 366:2	343:2 347:1 348:7	302:1,2	250:18,24 251:6	391:17
367:15 370:19	348:10 350:22	uses 320:18,18	view 330:22 331:3	watched 291:2
Tyler 250:21	351:3 360:5	327:5 371:11	386:5	Watkins 316:13
254:11	361:18,20 362:8	usual 388:24 390:2	violation 386:3	322:11 328:16
type 274:20 310:13	362:12	usually 390:9	visit 287:5 361:10	330:21,23 331:5
310:14 311:7	understood 335:15	utilities 248:2,4,8	362:2,6 367:6	332:2 336:5,17,23
322:14 344:2	346:16 391:2	264:20 267:23	visited 361:3,5	Watkins' 260:24
366:18	unenviable 389:12	294:21 306:9	362:1	262:4,6,10,20
types 311:5	unique 360:22	368:23 369:18,24	voice 343:25	312:8 315:17,22
typical 369:24	361:18,21 362:8	371:16 374:5	VOLUME 248:11	316:1,16 317:5,21
typically 274:7,16	unit 310:14,15	381:12 382:9	volumetric 296:4	318:16 328:11
274:18 295:9,22	311:11 315:14	384:12	297:3,4	329:23 330:22
296:20	317:10 318:1,6,24	utility 266:20	vulnerable 283:21	331:3,17,23 333:7
	318:25 321:5	267:14 333:16	291:10	333:19 336:1
U	322:12,14 326:13	334:9 367:1 371:2		way 250:16,22
U 250:1 251:1	342:10	371:10,11 378:19	W	267:19 268:19
UE-180738 257:7	5 12.10	5/1.10,11 5/0.17	W 249:7	207.17 200.17
			<u> </u>	

276:18,19 277:15	277:10,19 278:17	291:1 292:4,6	374:7	1.4 306:24
287:25 294:9	281:7 283:7,7	293:8,11,14,20	workshop 288:20	1:28 392:2
297:2 330:18	284:12,15,19	294:11,20 295:4	288:20	10 301:8 302:24
334:15	286:5 287:15	297:1 298:2,12	workshops 266:14	304:20 308:11
ways 279:3,14	303:14,21,23	299:1,4 303:8,11	266:17,20 267:11	309:2 330:1,3,6
283:13	304:1 359:14	303:17,25 304:16	287:12,19	362:6 389:25
we'll 265:20 266:2	360:10,11,21	305:3,9,17,20	wrap 285:18,19	390:3
273:14 274:1	361:17 362:10	306:4,8,17,21	wrapping 268:16	10-page 389:17
356:10 367:21,22	363:10,20 364:16	307:3,8 308:2	268:21	10-year 277:5
we're 253:8 256:8,9	364:18	309:21 312:18,20	write-off 360:2	100 377:23
266:25 271:9	wildfires 271:18	312:25 325:14,19	writing 272:21	100% 376:9
272:6 274:16	277:5	328:16 336:5	320:7 336:24	101 347:16 348:1
276:13,15 277:24	wildland 282:16	340:14 341:17	337:4,5	105 347:15,24
285:2 289:4,6,21	willing 356:24	342:1,5,9,15,20	written 294:2	11 257:4,25 258:2
290:4,5,6,21	wind 326:21,24,24	342:23 343:5,17	wrong 335:20	259:6,17 270:4
291:18 295:5	327:2,11	344:4,8 346:20,21		282:11,12 304:22
299:4,9 305:10,11	windy 273:22	348:18 351:2	X	315:25 316:19
314:21 325:2	winter 273:19	355:5 358:2	T 7	317:1 360:13,18
335:12 343:24	324:15	witnesses 256:9,22	Y Y Y 251 4	364:20 368:11,18
345:10,15 367:12	Wired 357:16,22	259:15 271:23	Yarnall 251:4	11-5 316:24
375:8 389:12	357:24 358:15	304:15 305:15	254:20,21	11-7 317:13
we've 262:11	365:13,15,16	339:25 340:2,16	yeah 267:18 273:2	11-8 318:11
270:19 271:6	wish 282:6	340:24 354:17	276:23 287:18	11.1 317:2,17
273:24 274:9	wishes 348:23	356:18,22,25	300:13,15 353:6	338:16
289:8,9 290:16	witness 252:3	357:2,9 361:17	year 257:6 290:3	11:00 304:21,23
291:10 295:11	256:15,18,20	367:15 368:4	291:17 321:10	11X 257:23 258:10
304:14 340:13	260:3 261:21,23	372:5,13,22 373:3	338:20,23 381:7	258:14 260:22
347:17 355:12	264:5,12,19 265:6	373:8,24 387:23	384:10,14	261:12,16
356:16 382:23	265:11,17,22,25	391:17	years 320:11	12 321:15 331:9
384:15,17 387:22	266:9,15 267:2,3	wondering 294:4	348:13 362:7	338:13 343:11
weather 383:10	267:12,18 269:11	wood 268:21	367:2 371:9	12:00 340:25
web 296:15 297:5	269:16,24 270:7	285:22 286:1	386:14	12:05 341:1
WEBSTER 251:4	270:15 271:19	wooden 268:16	yesterday 255:2	12:40 356:15
Wednesday 256:16	272:2,8,17,24	285:19	256:15,16 372:5	12X 257:1,24 258:2
262:24 376:15	273:1,8 274:14	words 343:13	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	258:10,16 259:16
welcome 255:13	275:9,15,25 276:8	351:21 378:24		262:19 263:2,4
340:5 341:3	276:11,23 277:2,7	work 264:19 278:1	0	13 282:11 331:9
went 330:19 366:5	277:12,23 278:23	295:12 298:6,7		1300 251:5
weren't 376:14	279:1,18,24 280:3	301:13 327:19	1	13928 248:24
Western 254:5,8	280:6,12,18,24	342:9 344:11,11	1 277:3 290:7 316:3	13th 388:17
wildfire 265:8	281:4,21 282:13	391:19	328:23 344:11	13X 257:1,17
266:14 267:19	282:18,22 283:2,9	worked 272:17	352:12,24 353:7	14th 255:11 323:22
270:4 271:24	283:25 284:8,19	300:2 367:1	1.1 306:23 352:12	14X 257:1,18
272:18 273:3,25	285:11 286:17	workgroups 269:14	352:24 353:6	15 275:11 280:2
275:19,21 276:13	287:3,9,18 289:3	working 367:24	1.14 306:22	306:22

				raye 417
155 349:5	2045 319:10,14	4	280:15 303:10	
15X 258:10,14	321:3		343:11	
263:6,14 336:10	206.389.2055	4 255:14 272:6	800 249:22	
16 255:9,10 306:24	249:24	308:9 309:1 328:6	81.19 306:25	
16th 256:5 301:11	206.669.8197	328:23 331:9	81.44 306:25	
17 343:12	250:11	350:3,9 377:1	89 306:22	
1750 250:16,22	21 272:9 280:2	40 367:1 368:11,17	07 300.22	
17th 385:9	298:13 299:11	368:17 371:9	9	
18 280:13 287:8	330:2,3,6 383:25	374:4 386:14	9 248:22 253:1	
19 280:13 237:3	385:8,9	41 343:12	255:4 257:4 270:3	
342:16 343:13	2101 251:4	44 321:17	276:10,24 343:12	
J - 2.10 J - 3.13	23 359:22,25 365:8	450 250:16,22	9:36 253:2,8	
2	248 248:12	47250 249:14	90 290:18	
2 290:6 316:10	25 320:11 333:7	480-85 314:4	94612 251:5	
317:21 328:9,21	384:1	331:19	97201 250:17,23	
331:22 375:17	25-megawatt	480-85-060 328:20	98104 249:23	
2.7 347:4	311:14	5	98110 250:11	
20 288:8 333:8	2523 248:24 393:19	5 281:8 343:11,11	98504 249:15	
343:13	26 302:3 306:21	343:12 350:10	99220 250:5	
200 323:2	269 337:4,5	377:1	9th 253:7	
200-hour 323:11,18	27 302:3	5:00 255:9,10,11	9X 382:21	
323:22	279 261:10 312:2	50 388:24 390:1		
2000 249:23	292 252:5	503.241.7242		
2019 257:8 323:22		250:17,23		
2020 253:9 257:6	3	509.495.4316 250:6		
257:10,13 262:8	3 277:3 316:10	51 370:5,11		
286:21 287:15	318:15 323:7	55 376:18 383:23		
297:24 298:1,13	328:9,24 331:22	386:4		
299:7 300:24	390:14	58 351:24 352:25		
309:3,5,24 316:5	3.08 303:16	353:8,12 370:8,14		
316:14,16,19	3.12 294:3	5th 249:22		
317:17 319:12,16	3.13 294:3	Jtn 247.22		
330:22,23	3.15 294:3	6		
2021 248:22 253:1	3.17 303:20	6-17 385:8		
253:7 257:12	307 252:7	60 388:24 389:17		
298:7,17,23,25	31st 297:25	390:2,2,9		
299:6,17,21 301:2	321 250:10	67 332:11		
316:6	337 252:7			
2022 321:9,11	345 252:9	7		
350:21	359 252:11,13	7 275:11 308:11		
2023 350:21	360.664.1186	347:2 368:11,18		
2030 316:6 317:11	249:15	70 389:6,10,17		
2031 317:18	3727 250:5			
2040 317:18 318:7	383 250:10	8		
318:10	393 248:12	8 275:7 277:5,11,19		
2042 320:16		277:21,25 280:1		
			1	l