0733 1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND 2 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 3 In the Matter of:) LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC's) 4 Petition for Arbitration) Pursuant to Section 252(b) of) 5 the Communications Act of 1934))DOCKET NO. UT-063006 as Amended by the 6 Telecommunications Act of 1996)Volume VII 7 and the Applicable State Laws)Pages 733-848 for Rates, Terms, and) 8 Conditions of Interconnection) with Qwest Corporation.) 9) 10 11 An arbitration in the above matter was held on 12 October 26, 2006, at 9:30 a.m., at 1300 South 13 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 206, Olympia, 14 Washington, before ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ANN 15 REHNDAHL. 16 17 The parties were present as follows: 18 LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, by ERIK CECIL, Regulatory Attorney, and RICHARD THAYER, Director of Interconnection Policy, 1025 Eldorado Boulevard, 19 Broomfield, Colorado, 80021, Telephone (720) 888-1319, 20 Erik.Cecil@Level3.com, and (720) 888-2620, fax (720) 888-2802, E-mail, Rick.Thayer@Level3.com. 21 QWEST CORPORATION, by THOMAS DETHLEFS, 22 Attorney at Law, 1801 California, 10th Floor, Denver, Colorado 80202, Telephone (303) 383-6646. 23 24 Deborah L. Cook, RPR, CSR 25 Court Reporter

1	QWEST CORPORATION, by TED SMITH, Attorney at
2	Law, Stoel Rives, 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, Telephone (801) 578-6961.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
3		
4	WITNESS:	PAGE
5	PHILIP LINSE	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Cecil	739
7	Redirect Examination by Mr. Dethlefs	772
8	Examination by Judge Rendahl	774
9	Examination by Mr. Williamson	789
10	Recross Examination by Mr. Cecil	798
11		
12	LARRY BROTHERSON	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Smith	801
14	Cross Examination by Mr. Thayer	804
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Smith	826
16	Examination by Judge Rendahl	828
17	Examination by Mr. Williamson	841
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1			
2		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
3			
4	EXHIBIT		ADMITTED
5	91 - 93 T		739
б	51 - 59 T		803
7	17 C - 18 C		816
8	121 - 126		818
9	66 - 67		841
10	1, 2, 3		846
11			
12	BENCH REQUESTS		
13	(NONE)		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Thursday, October 26, 2006 at 09:36 AM
3	
4	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's be on the record.
5	We're back in hearing on Thursday, October 26, and
6	we're continuing with the cross-examination of
7	Mr. Linse.
8	And I will do what I would advise everybody
9	else to do, which is speak directly into the mic so
10	everybody can hear. And the button is up when it
11	is on, and down when it is off.
12	Mr. Cecil, you are on.
13	Do I understand we have resolved the issue
14	about the item in the testimony, the correction in
15	Exhibit 91 T? There was some language that Mr. Linse
16	modified. Do I understand you all have resolved that
17	issue?
18	MR. CECIL: Correct, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE RENDAHL: And which page, Mr. Smith,
20	was that change on?
21	MR. SMITH: It was page 19, I believe.
22	JUDGE RENDAHL: Of the testimony?
23	MR. SMITH: I am sorry. I was talking about
24	the issues matrix.
25	JUDGE RENDAHL: Page 19 of the disputed

1	issues list, which is Exhibit 3
2	MR. DETHLEFS: 31 of Mr. Linse's testimony,
3	his direct testimony, and that's 91 T. And then in
4	his rebuttal testimony, which is 93 T, it was on
5	page 18 and 19.
6	JUDGE RENDAHL: And you will need to speak
7	into the mic so it's much more clear. You need to
8	talk directly into it.
9	So page 18 of 93 T, and page 31 of Exhibit
10	91 T. And, Mr. Cecil, you have agreed that that
11	language is the current language?
12	MR. CECIL: Yeah. I was double-checking the
13	change here. Question, Tom, what I wrote down
14	yesterday was on page 31, the change to A was under
15	Qwest's intrastate tariff.
16	And I don't know if this matters to you. On
17	the disputed points list, it says under Qwest,
18	"intrastate access tariffs." Do you want the word
19	"access" in there, or do you care?
20	MR. DETHLEFS: I don't think it's
21	ambiguous. There's just one tariff, correct,
22	Mr. Linse, that as the specific tariff signaling?
23	THE WITNESS: From an intrastate
24	perspective, yes.
25	JUDGE RENDAHL: But to make it consistent,

we should add the word "access" and make the tariff 1 plural; is that correct? 2 3 MR. DETHLEFS: That's correct. JUDGE RENDAHL: And likewise on page 18 in 4 5 93 T the same change should be reflected? MR. DETHLEFS: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE RENDAHL: So it should say, "under Owest's intrastate access tariffs." 8 9 With that, Mr. Cecil, do you have any 10 objection to admitting what has been marked as 91 through 93 T? 11 12 MR. CECIL: No, I don't, Your Honor. 13 (EXHIBIT RECEIVED.) 14 JUDGE RENDAHL: Are we ready? 15 16 CROSS EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. CECIL: 18 19 So before we begin today, I just want to Q 20 clarify a few things so we ensure we make the best 21 use of our time. I am going to explore a few more 22 issues with Mr. Linse, couple on routing telephone 23 calls, couple of areas about Qwest's network architecture, and then explore single trunking just 24 25 a bit.

1	Before I go there, though, I just wanted to
2	ask you a couple of questions, because I want to
3	make sure that I stay within areas that are within
4	the scope of your expertise, because I understand
5	sometimes Mr. Easton addresses things, and then
6	sometimes Mr. Brotherson addresses things. And I
7	understand there's, by necessity, some shared
8	responsibilities here.
9	So you are familiar with both
10	Mr. Brotherson's and Mr. Easton's testimony in this
11	case. You have reviewed it recently?
12	A Yes, I have.
13	Q And so if I asked you any questions that
14	were properly directed to Mr. Brotherson or
15	Mr. Easton, you would tell me, right?
16	A Yes, I would tell you.
17	Q Yesterday we talked a little bit about your
18	role in technical regulatory interconnection
19	matters, and you are part of the policy
20	organization; is that correct?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And as to your policy responsibilities, are
23	those focused on a particular state or are those
24	throughout the Qwest region?
25	A It's throughout Qwest's regulated company.

1	Q And when you say Qwest's regulated company,
2	you are referring to
3	A I am referring to the 14 state regions that
4	Qwest operates in as a regulated company.
5	Q So you are generally familiar with what
6	Qwest is advocating, or what the policy work is
7	across all of these states, maybe not on absolute
8	particular areas, but generally familiar with what
9	is going on?
10	A I am familiar with the policy issues
11	associated with our network.
12	Q Do you only address areas that are wire
13	lined to wire line interconnection, or does your
14	policy encompass interconnection with, say,
15	wireless carriers?
16	A It does overlap into some wireless.
17	Q And you understand wireless interconnection?
18	A I am it's been a while since I have dealt
19	with wireless interconnection, but I am familiar
20	with it for the most part, yes.
21	Q And are you familiar with, or do you ever
22	address interconnection between Qwest and the
23	independent or rural interexchange carriers?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Or rural exchange strike interexchange.

1 Excuse me. A Yes, I am familiar with the interconnection 2 3 between Qwest and the independent companies. 4 On page 9 of your direct testimony -- do you Q have it with you? 5 A Yes, I do. 6 7 Q I think it's around lines 6 to 8, you 8 mention -- you say, "Qwest's local tandem 9 architecture, however, does not have the capability 10 of routing toll traffic." Do you see that? 11 А That's correct. 12 0 And there's some terminology questions, 13 because terminology gets confusing in these cases. 14 What is your definition -- what do you mean by toll 15 traffic? What are you referring to in that 16 context? A Interexchange traffic. 17 18 And when you say interexchange traffic, what 0 does that mean? 19 20 A Like switched access. 21 Forgive me. Could you be a little more Q 22 concrete as to, you know, what is a switched access 23 call? I mean, what is -- how do you know something is switched access or interexchange or toll? What 24 would be the defining characteristics of that? 25

1	A The defining characteristics would be
2	traffic that is delivered to Qwest from an
3	interexchange carrier. Typically that is traffic
4	that originates outside of the LATA that the Qwest
5	tandem switch is located.
6	Q So it's delivered from an interexchange
7	carrier and I am sorry. What was the second?
8	A Typically the traffic that those
9	interexchange carriers delivers to Qwest originates
10	outside of the LATA, or originates within the LATA
11	destined for a location outside of the LATA.
12	Q And so according to or to you, by
13	definition, then, any traffic that comes from an
14	interexchange carrier that either originates
15	outside the LATA and terminates inside a LATA
16	and that's L-A-T-A, so I'm not from Brooklyn
17	describing a ladder or traffic that originates
18	within a LATA and is going outside of the LATA,
19	that traffic what would you call that traffic?
20	A Interexchange it would be switched access
21	traffic, or interexchange traffic. That's just an
22	example.
23	Q And it would be interexchange because it
24	crosses a LATA boundary. Is that your
25	characterization?

1	A More fundamentally it crosses exchange
2	boundaries, which would be an interexchange call.
3	Q And how do you know when a call is crossed
4	in an exchange boundary?
5	A Based on the origination and termination of
6	the call.
7	Q What does that mean?
8	A That means based on the locations of the
9	originator and the terminator of the call.
10	Q And so what is an originator of a call?
11	What do you mean by that?
12	A The example would be a Qwest end user.
13	Q Qwest end user. And what are they an end
14	user of?
15	A They would be an end user of Qwest's
16	telecommunications service.
17	Q And what would be the terminator then? I
18	think you mentioned the originator and the
19	terminator.
20	A It could be another telecommunications
21	customer of Qwest, or some other company.
22	Q What if the traffic doesn't terminate to
23	another telecommunications customer, or another
24	company? What if it terminates to some other
25	entity? Is it switched access?

1	A I guess I am not clear as to what you mean
2	by that, or how that could occur.
3	Q Well, you said an originator would be a
4	Qwest end user, a purchaser of telecom service,
5	correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And the terminator, much to Arnold's
8	chagrin, is another telecom customer, or another
9	company is what you said, correct?
10	A Another customer of another company is what
11	I was attempting to say, if it did not come across
12	that way.
13	Q And another customer of another company. Do
14	you mean another telecommunications company?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Would you consider a wireless carrier a
17	telecommunications company?
18	A I believe I think so.
19	Q Well, let me give you an example. Verizon
20	Wireless, would they be a telecommunications
21	company?
22	A I believe they are a telecommunications
23	company.
24	Q So if a call originated with a Qwest end
25	user and terminated to a Verizon customer, and

1	crossed an exchange boundary, would that be
2	switched access under your definition?
3	A You need to clarify for me, if you would,
4	please, it originates with who and terminates with
5	who?
6	Q A Qwest end user, as you define it, and a
7	customer of Verizon wireless.
8	A Okay. If it originated with a Qwest
9	customer and it crossed exchange boundaries, it
10	would be an interexchange carrier call, or an
11	interexchange call.
12	Q And in your parlance, that would be the same
13	as a toll call?
14	A Sometimes.
15	Q So sometimes not?
16	A Well, we're talking about wireless, and
17	wireless typically has different rules than that
18	they follow than wire line companies. For example,
19	wireless companies are bound by the MTA boundaries,
20	where wire line carriers are bound by the LATA. Or
21	at least Qwest is bound by the LATA boundaries.
22	Q What is an MTA?
23	A It is a metropolitan
24	Q Is that major trading area?
25	A Major trading area, thank you.

1	Q Do you know how many major trading areas the
2	FCC has established within the United States for
3	those purposes?
4	A I am not familiar with how many. I know
5	they can encompass multiple states, and they do not
6	follow LATA boundaries.
7	Q So if I were to represent to you that it's
8	51 major trading areas in the United States, that
9	would seem within the realm of reason?
10	A I wouldn't know.
11	Q But if they encompass entire states, you
12	wouldn't expect there to be significantly more MTAs
13	than states, would you?
14	A I understand that some of the MTAs can
15	encompass more than one state. I am not familiar
16	with whether or not there are MTAs that encompass
17	entire states.
18	Q So in those situations a wireless call in
19	the call flow that we have been discussing here
20	isn't always a toll call. Is that your
21	understanding?
22	A No. Wireless calls are not always I
23	forgot what term you used.
24	Q Toll?
25	A Toll calls.

1	Q Same term that you use at line 7 on page 9
2	of your testimony.
3	A That is correct.
4	Q So it would be correct would it be
5	correct to say that at least insofar as wireless is
б	concerned, sometimes interexchange calls are routed
7	over Qwest's local tandem architecture?
8	A There's a potential for that under the
9	situation where you have wireless roaming. You
10	could have a situation where that call might
11	terminate to an end user that's not within the
12	exchange.
13	Q Is it only when there's wireless roaming
14	that that occurs?
15	A There may be an exception, such as FX, but
16	other than that, I am not aware of any.
17	Q Are you aware of the terms type 2 A and type
18	2 B interconnection?
19	A Yes, I am.
20	Q And what is your understanding of those
21	types of interconnection?
22	A Type 2 interconnection is essentially an
23	interconnection it looks a lot like how a
24	competitive local exchange area would interconnect
25	with Qwest.

Q It would occur at the local tandem? 1 There are some that would occur at the local 2 А 3 tandem. 4 Do you know generally how many? Can you Q characterize it? Is it the exception or the rule? 5 6 I wouldn't say it's either. It's the А capability of a wireless carrier that's receiving 7 local traffic. 8 9 Q Is it one way? The wireless can only receive local traffic, they can't send to local 10 traffic? 11 12 A No. They can set up mobile-to-land and 13 land-to-mobile type trunks. That's typically a 14 two-way arrangement. 15 Q Does local mean something different on a 16 wireless network than it does on a wire line 17 network? 18 A That's my understanding. Q Is -- would it be accurate to say that 19 20 intraMTA traffic is considered local traffic in the 21 wireless realm? 22 A I think generally that's the understanding. 23 Generally? Q I don't know if they call it, quote, local 24 А 25 under the same terms that the wire line companies

1	call it, quote, local. The way I understand it is
2	it is considered, quote or it's considered
3	local.
4	Q And that routes over type 2 trunks between
5	wireless carriers and Qwest, correct?
б	A That's correct. They may have type 2
7	connections with other companies, as well.
8	Q Do you know approximately how long type 2
9	trunks have been around? How long this traffic has
10	been passing back and forth over type 2 trunks
11	between Qwest and wireless carriers?
12	A I am not 100 percent sure exactly what the
13	dates are.
14	Q More than one year?
15	A Yes.
16	Q More than five years?
17	A Yes.
18	Q More than 10 years?
19	A I believe so.
20	Q In that situation does Qwest ever carry
21	traffic from a wireless carrier to a third party
22	carrier, say an independent?
23	A That's my understanding, that Qwest does do
24	that.
25	Q To your knowledge, has an independent ever

1	received that traffic and claimed it was long
2	distance and not local in the case of an intraMTA
3	call?
4	A I don't know.
5	Q So you wouldn't know if Qwest has ever
6	refused to pay access charges on that kind of
7	traffic?
8	A I know there's been some billing disputes,
9	but I'm not 100 percent sure on what types of
10	traffic was being claimed as access and what
11	traffic was not.
12	Q So you wouldn't know if those cases have
13	been addressed in Federal District Court, or
14	Federal Appellate Court?
15	A I don't know of any particular case.
16	Q Is ISP bound traffic interexchange traffic?
17	A To the extent it crosses exchange
18	boundaries, yes.
19	Q Does that make it toll traffic according to
20	your definition?
21	A To the extent that the ISP is in a different
22	exchange, yes.
23	Q Does that make it technically infeasible to
24	exchange toll traffic or facilities connected to
25	Qwest's local tandem?

1	A I don't believe that traffic would be
2	exchanged through Qwest's local tandem between
3	exchanges.
4	Q You are familiar with Level 3's network
5	architecture in this state?
6	A Yes, I am a little bit. For the most part I
7	understand from Qwest's perspective, Level 3's
8	Q And what is your understanding from Qwest's
9	perspective?
10	A They have interconnection trunks that
11	connect Qwest's network with their network.
12	Q Do you recall how many?
13	A I have kind of dated information, which is
14	probably back in March, of maybe 37,000, somewhere
15	around that number.
16	Q Those trunks connect to Qwest's tandem?
17	A Yes, I believe some of them do.
18	Q Are those Qwest's local tandem?
19	A They are Qwest's local tandem, as well as
20	access tandem, as well as some end offices as well.
21	Q And what is your understanding of where the
22	ISP's internet service providers that are accessed
23	via those connections are located?
24	A In the case of the ISPs in question, which I
25	think is part of the dispute under this

1	arbitration, is that those the locations of
2	those ISPs are not within the exchange that the
3	customer originates the call. And that's part of,
4	I think, our dispute here, as well as a subsequent
5	complaint with the Washington Commission.
6	Q And because of that, it's your
7	characterization that those are toll calls?
8	A They are interexchange calls, which would be
9	toll calls.
10	Q That's your testimony, correct?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q Does that determination make it technically
13	infeasible for that traffic to flow?
14	A It is not technically infeasible for that
15	traffic to flow, but under the circumstance that
16	Level 3 has architected its network, it essentially
17	allows the traffic to appear as local, even though
18	it is not local.
19	My testimony addresses toll traffic as
20	intended to be toll traffic, which is traffic that
21	would be presubscribed to an interexchange carrier
22	such that that end user is intending to have their
23	interexchange carrier route that traffic on behalf
24	of that customer.
25	Interexchange carriers do not have

Interexchange carriers do not have

connections to our local tandem; therefore, it is 1 technically impossible for an interexchange carrier 2 3 to receive that traffic. 4 You said traffic intended to be toll traffic Q such that the IXC intends -- let me stop there as 5 there was a lot in that response. б What traffic is intended to be toll traffic? 7 8 What do you mean by traffic intended to be toll 9 traffic? 10 Α When an end subscriber dials a long distance 11 call or interchange call, they intend to utilize 12 their choice of interexchange carrier. That 13 interexchange carrier then handles that traffic, 14 and sends it to the local exchange carrier that 15 would terminate the call. This is done through a 16 presubscription process, which is handled through the equal access functionality of Qwest's end 17 18 office switches, and allows each individual end user to select the interexchange carrier of their 19 20 choice to carry such traffic. 21 0 What does an end user have to do when they 22 dial a long distance call? 23 They typically dial 1 plus the long distance А 24 telephone number. Is there a reason that they dial 1 plus the 25 0

1	long distance number?
2	A Typically it's been, I think, conditioned
3	into consumers based on the historical nature of
4	how customers have dialed long distance.
5	Q So when customers dial 1 plus they expect to
6	reach a long distance carrier, a traditional long
7	distance carrier. Is that what you are saying?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q What happens on the network when you dial 1
10	plus? Is that some special instruction, or is that
11	a gratuitous thing to make the customers feel good?
12	A It's it could be both, depending on how
13	the switch is set up. For the most part, 1 plus
14	dial digit is typically unnecessary, because the
15	routing is done based on the 10-digit telephone
16	number. The 1 plus is more of a comfort dialing.
17	And although if you in most cases, if you
18	do not dial 1, you will get a recording back that
19	says you must dial a 1 before dialing this number.
20	For the most part that is an unnecessary digit to
21	be dialed, although I think consumers have been
22	conditioned in order to conditioned in order to
23	maintain that dialing pattern.
24	And you can kind of see that with the

25 introduction of wireless into the industry. On a

1	wireless phone, you can dial 1 plus thinking you
2	are dialing a long distance telephone number, or
3	you can omit that 1, and still obtain that calling.
4	Q So starting with the last thing you said,
5	and we will go back into the other things, you said
6	the wireless in a wireless situation, it doesn't
7	really matter if you dial 1 plus?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Do consumers have different expectations
10	with wireless?
11	A Yes and no. I think there's times where I
12	think some people still dial 1 plus on their
13	cellular phone, but it's not necessary.
14	Q And it's not necessary because the call will
15	complete without the 1?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q On a in the state of Washington, do
18	consumers need to dial 1 plus to dial long distance
19	calls?
20	A I'm not sure if there's any circumstances
21	where there may be permissive dialing for 1 plus or
22	not.
23	Q What would permissive dialing be?
24	A Permissive dialing is situations where you
25	may have an area that is maybe changes in

jurisdiction, where you may have to dial 1 plus or 1 not dial 1 plus, or to the extent that -- so like 2 3 if you had a local calling area where you end up 4 having a rate center consolidation where previously the customer would dial 1 plus in order to reach a 5 different rate center, once the rate center б 7 consolidates then the customers no longer have to 8 dial that 1 plus. 9 But sometimes, under habit, they would dial 10 that 1 plus. So the companies would set up what 11 they call a permissive dialing where they would 12 accept a 1 plus, even though it's a local call. 13 0 So before the rate center consolidation, 14 they had to dial 1 plus? 15 А That's correct. 16 0 And if they didn't, they would have gotten 17 one of those recordings? 18 А That's correct. Why would they have gotten one of those 19 Q 20 recordings? 21 А Because they didn't dial 1 plus. 22 Well, that was, in the fact pattern, why? 0 23 What happened on the network? I'm sorry? 24 А What happened on the network to cause that 25 0

recording to come back? 1 The translations in the switch would inform 2 Α 3 the customer that they must dial a 1. 4 Why must they dial a 1? What messes up the Q translation? 5 Because that's how the switches are set up. 6 А So what does 1 tell the switch to do? 7 0 It basically is a digit that tells the 8 А switch, this is a long distance call. However, the 9 10 row routing of that call is based on the 10 digits 11 or the presubscription of the end user. 12 0 Isn't it true that the 1 would direct the 13 switch to look up the long distance carrier and 14 direct that call to the Feature Group D trunk? 15 А It would. 16 0 Isn't that the typical, if not pervasive, situation in that regard? 17 18 It can, but it's not necessary technically. А More often than not that's the case, isn't 19 0 20 it? 21 А That would be the case, yes. 22 And in the vast majority of cases, wouldn't Q that be the circumstance? 23 I would believe so. 24 А Feature Group D, you mentioned customer 25 0

1	expectations with regard to dialing patterns. I am
2	wondering, if a customer dials 10 digits to a
3	number that they expect is local, do you think the
4	customer those expectations are satisfied if
5	they receive a bill for per minute usage?
6	A I guess I don't understand the question.
7	Q A local call is rated as a long distance
8	call.
9	A I don't believe I don't understand what
10	you mean by a local call being billed as a long
11	distance call.
12	Q The customer dials a number that the
13	customer believes is local, makes a 10 digit call,
14	we have been discussing those you with me so
15	far?
16	A I believe so.
17	Q You are not sure?
18	A Well, I haven't heard the rest of the
19	question.
20	Q And their carrier bills them a per minute
21	charge rather than a flat rated charge. Would that
22	frustrate the customer's expectations?
23	A It would frustrate my expectations as a
24	customer.
25	Q Page 13 of your testimony, line 11

JUDGE RENDAHL: Is this the direct 1 2 testimony? 3 MR. CECIL: The replacement direct 4 testimony. JUDGE RENDAHL: So page 13, line --5 MR. CECIL: 11. 6 Q BY MR. CECIL: You are discussing something 7 called a bona fide request. Can you briefly 8 9 describe what that is, and what process that 10 entails? 11 А The bona fide request process is just a 12 process that Qwest would go through in order to 13 determine technical feasibility of a request. This 14 extends beyond a request for interconnection, and 15 it also would be utilized in order to evaluate 16 unbundling network elements as well. 17 Can you give me an example, based on your 0 18 experience, of something specific that would involve a bona fide request? 19 20 A Utilizing a signaling network for something 21 different than what it currently is designed for, 22 or is utilized for by Qwest. So if there's a 23 product that a customer wishes to have Qwest develop, they would go through a bona fide request 24 asking Qwest -- we think this is a good product, 25

and they would subscribe to and Qwest would go 1 2 through a bona fide request process in order to 3 evaluate the technical feasibility of that. 4 So, for example, if the customer developed a Q new way of interacting with Qwest, and they wanted 5 б to make changes in the advanced intelligent network, AIN, that would be something they would 7 submit a bona fide request for? 8 9 A I don't believe we would accept a bona fide 10 request for changing our AIN network, as far as how 11 it fundamentally operates. But if they requested a 12 product that could be provided through the AIN 13 network, we would consider that and receive that 14 through the bona fide request process. 15 0 Is there a cost involved in this? 16 А Yes, I believe there is a cost. Do you know generally what that cost is, or 17 0 18 what the rates are for that cost? I am not sure what they are in Washington. 19 Α 20 Do you know how long it takes to go -- for 0 21 Qwest to validate the technical feasibility of 22 alternative methods, just generally in your 23 experience, in your many years with Qwest? Would 24 you say average maybe --I think the BFR process is 120-day process. 25 А

1	And that's 120 day time line. It may be shorter
2	than that, depending on what the request is, what
3	is involved in determining the technical
4	feasibility and how it would be implemented.
5	Q Is that 120 days start to finish, or are
б	there stages after the first 120 days?
7	A The evaluation of the bona fide request is
8	120 days.
9	Q So when you say alternate method, is that
10	any alternate method, or something that is fairly
11	unusual? What is the criteria for determining what
12	is an alternate method?
13	A When you say alternate method, you say
14	alternate method of what?
15	Q Page 13, we have been discussing line 11
16	and, actually, I am sorry. I moved down to line
17	12. I just completed the sentence, or completed
18	reading it, "The BFR enables Qwest to validate the
19	technical feasibility of the alternate method to
20	facilitate interconnection." How does one
21	determine an alternate method versus
22	A Well, essentially, Qwest offers the three
23	methods of interconnection. We have an entrance
24	facility method, which basically if you look at the
25	networks, you have the Qwest network and then you

have Level 3's network. There's space in between
those networks.

3 And in order to connect the two networks you 4 need to bridge that space, and there's three ways that can be done. Either through an entrance 5 б facility where Qwest would build that facility from Qwest's network over to Level 3's network, or 7 8 there's a meet point, mid-span meet point type 9 arrangement where essentially Level 3 would build 10 halfway from its point of interconnection or its 11 location to Qwest's, and then Qwest would build 12 halfway to that point. And that would be a 13 mid-span meet point. 14 And then finally if the CLEC wished to 15 co-locate its network within Qwest's Central 16 Office, Qwest would then connect at that location 17 within the Central Office. And I need to tie this back to the question, 18 an alternate method of interconnection. So 19 20 something other than those three methods of 21 interconnection would be something alternate to 22 those. 23 And right now it seems as though -- it appears as though we have, for the most part, 24 25 methods pretty much covered, since Qwest is willing

1	to build them under the entrance facility method.
2	We're willing to build halfway to them, and they
3	can build halfway to us, or they can actually
4	co-locate within the Qwest Central Office.
5	So we put this technical feasibility some
6	other technically feasible method in there to cover
7	maybe some other creative method of interconnection
8	that we haven't captured in those three.
9	Q So those are the only three methods that
10	Qwest considers technically feasible?
11	A So far Qwest has not received any requests
12	for something other than those three that has been
13	technically feasible.
14	Q I am sorry. That wasn't my question. Based
15	on what you are saying, and based on how you
16	explain it in this sentence, is it fair to say that
17	Qwest considers only those three methods, the three
18	methods you just mentioned, the only three
19	technically feasible methods of interconnection?
20	A Qwest is not limited to those three. That's
21	why Qwest has also offered the bona fide request
22	process, which would allow the carrier to come in
23	and request something that is different than those
24	three.
25	Q So does that mean if it's different than

those three it's not, by definition, technically 1 infeasible, correct? 2 3 А That's my understanding, yeah. 4 Just out of curiosity, does Qwest operate an Q optical network? 5 I am sorry. Repeat that. 6 А 7 Does Qwest operate an optical network? Q 8 А It's -- Qwest's network has optical aspects of its network as an entire network, yes. 9 10 0 Within the state of Washington, does Qwest 11 operate an optical network? 12 А Qwest operates fiber optics within 13 Washington, yes. 14 Q Is that throughout the state, or just in 15 certain portions of the state? Do you know? 16 A I think, for the most part, it is throughout 17 the state. I don't know. It depends on what your definition is of throughout the state. We use a 18 lot of fiber optic transaction ports in both 19 20 Spokane and Seattle LATAs. 21 Q Does Qwest sell fiber optic transport to 22 other carriers? 23 A It has the capability to. I don't know if there's -- what customers Qwest has in Washington 24 that are fiber optic customers. 25

1	Q Does would you happen to know if Qwest's
2	tariffs contain rates, terms, and conditions for
3	fiber optic services?
4	A I would assume they would have some, but I
5	have not reviewed any of those tariffs.
6	Q In the circumstance that Qwest were to sell
7	fiber optic service to another carrier, is it
8	possible that those carriers would have to
9	interconnect at a fiber optic level?
10	A Could you repeat that question?
11	MR. CECIL: Could you read that back,
12	please.
13	(Record read back.)
14	THE WITNESS: Well, and I think the
15	terminology might be a little confusing in that
16	when I look at interconnection, I look at
17	interconnection to Qwest's network for the exchange
18	of traffic. Selling transport, fiber optic
19	transport does not necessarily require
20	interconnection with Qwest.
21	Q BY MR. CECIL: I was using the term
22	generically, not as a statutory term. I wasn't
23	trying to trip you up. I was just curious.
24	Carriers have to connect networks, right? Whether
25	they do so pursuant to a tariff or an

interconnection agreement, correct? 1 2 Α They would have to interconnect. I mean, 3 like I said, if they want to purchase fiber optic 4 transport, they can purchase fiber optic transport. But that is not necessarily interconnection. 5 6 I didn't use that term, and that wasn't my 0 7 question. MR. CECIL: Could you read my original 8 9 question back, please? 10 (Record read back.) 11 THE WITNESS: That would be correct. 12 0 BY MR. CECIL: Moving on, I would like to 13 explore as briefly as we can, some of your 14 testimony on Level 3's request to send all 15 traffic -- to terminate traffic, additional traffic over the interconnection trunks. And I was 16 17 wondering if you could turn to page 18 of your 18 direct testimony. (Complies.) I am there. 19 А 20 0 I believe Mr. Easton referred some questions 21 to you regarding the use -- Level 3's proposed 22 contract language, and the concept of terminating 23 interexchange traffic. And using our terms as B, 1 plus dial traffic originating on a wire line 24 network, carried by a retail long distance carrier, 25

something we would also consider a retail 1 interexchange carrier, where Level 3 would 2 3 terminate that traffic over the existing local 4 interconnection network with Qwest. And I just want to make sure we're on the same page. 5 6 Do you recall that, and do you recall that discussion? 7 Yes, I do recall the discussion. 8 А 9 0 You were in the room for that discussion 10 yesterday? Yes, I recall that discussion. 11 А 12 0 You were in the room for that discussion? I think, for the most part, I was. I may 13 А 14 have stepped out for part of it. I am not 100 15 percent sure if I was in for all of it, but I think 16 I was. 17 Let me see if I can get a couple of basic 0 concepts in mind here, so we can agree on terms. 18 Hopefully we can shortcut the discussion here. 19 20 I want to make sure we have common 21 understanding. In the case where a switch connects 22 to other switches -- so it's what we would call a 23 trunk-to-trunk connection, a switch that provides trunk-to-trunk connections, would you call that a 24 25 tandem switch?
1	A A tandem switch does provide trunk-to-trunk
2	type connections, but a
3	Q So your answer is "yes" I am sorry. Go
4	ahead.
5	A That's okay.
6	Q So is your answer "yes"?
7	A A tandem switch does provide that
8	functionality. That is one of the functionalities
9	of a tandem switch.
10	Q As we understand that term, tandem in the
11	industry, is it generally agreed that that is the
12	primary criterion by which we would determine and
13	agree that a switch is a tandem?
14	A Yes. But I believe there are different
15	types of tandem switches.
16	Q Okay. There's local tandem on Qwest's
17	network, and there are access tandem, correct?
18	A Within a local network, yes. But there are
19	also interexchange carrier tandem switches as well.
20	Q Interexchange carrier tandem switches, what
21	do you mean by that?
22	A An interexchange carrier switch essentially
23	does a similar function to a tandem switch, which
24	is it switches trunk to trunk. So a tandem switch
25	is not exclusively a function of a local network.

It can also be utilized in the toll networks 1 2 as well. 3 0 And whether it's in the local network or 4 toll network, the functionality, according to your testimony, is analogous? They are both trunk to 5 trunk? 6 7 A tandem switch would route trunk to trunk. А 8 0 So in the case where a tandem switch routed 9 to, say, all of the end office switches in a local 10 calling area or in an entire LATA, that would be 11 providing analogous functionality to what the Qwest 12 switches provide -- Qwest's tandem switch would 13 provide then? 14 А That function could also be provided through 15 an interexchange carrier switch, or long distance 16 switch as well. 17 Q So is that a "yes"? I guess it's a "yes" with the qualification 18 A that toll switches also do a similar function 19 20 as well. 21 0 And these are analogous functions? 22 I think it's a matter of what network that Α switch is located in. If it's the LEC network or 23 if it's the interexchange carrier's network. 24 Q What do you mean? 25

1	A What do I mean by what? I guess I'm not
2	clear on the question. Could you clarify it,
3	please?
4	Q On what you just said
5	JUDGE RENDAHL: Why don't you state a full
6	question based on your understanding of what he
7	said, Mr. Cecil, and that might move things
8	forward.
9	MR. CECIL: Certainly. Let me consider
10	whether I can restate this.
11	JUDGE RENDAHL: And would this be a good
12	time for a break?
13	MR. CECIL: Let's do that.
14	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's take a 10-minute
15	break, and be back on at 10 to 11. Off the record.
16	(Brief recess.)
17	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's be back on record.
18	We're back after the mid-morning break, and
19	we're continuing with the cross-examination of
20	Mr. Linse.
21	Mr. Cecil.
22	MR. CECIL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	Q BY MR. CECIL: Mr. Linse, do you have any
24	experience with billing between carriers?
25	A No, I don't have experience with billing

1 between carriers. Q So unlike Mr. Greene, you have never been 2 3 personally involved in the mediation process? 4 A No, I have not been personally involved 5 with -- as I recall in Mr. Greene's -- in fact, I don't know if it was Mr. Greene -- but the 6 discussion on mediation yesterday. 7 MR. CECIL: That's it, Your Honor. That's 8 9 all I have. 10 JUDGE RENDAHL: True to your word. It was 15 or less. 11 12 Is there any redirect for the witness? MR. DETHLEFS: Yes, Your Honor. I just have 13 14 a couple of questions. 15 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. DETHLEFS: 18 19 Q Mr. Linse, you were asked questions about 20 Qwest's wholesale dial product --21 MR. CECIL: Objection; I never asked about 22 that product. MR. DETHLEFS: Very early in his 23 testimony --24 25 MR. CECIL: Oh, wholesale -- that's right.

1	I withdraw it.
2	JUDGE RENDAHL: That was yesterday.
3	MR. CECIL: Sorry.
4	Q BY MR. DETHLEFS: Which Qwest entity offers
5	wholesale dial?
6	A QCC, which is Qwest Communications
7	Corporation.
8	Q Is wholesale dial offered by Qwest
9	Corporation, ILEC?
10	A No, it is not.
11	Q You were asked this morning a number of
12	questions concerning interexchange calls. If a
13	call is between two local calling areas within the
14	same LATA, would you consider that to be an
15	interexchange call?
16	A Yes, I would.
17	MR. DETHLEFS: Those are all the questions
18	I have, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE RENDAHL: Do you have any recross
20	based on that?
21	MR. CECIL: No.
22	JUDGE RENDAHL: With that, I have a few
23	questions, Mr. Linse.
24	
25	

0774	
1	EXAMINATION
2	
3	BY JUDGE RENDAHL:
4	Q You were in the room when I asked three of
5	the prior witnesses about various terms, were you
6	not?
7	A Yes, I was.
8	Q And you have talked about your definition of
9	toll and interexchange this morning, so I won't go
10	through that again. But I do want to get your
11	thoughts on the term "access" and "switched
12	access," and what those mean.
13	A Switched access is the traffic that an
14	interexchange carrier would send to Qwest, or
15	traffic that would be sent from Qwest to an
16	interexchange carrier. And this would that
17	would probably be the simplest definition.
18	Q And access means essentially the same thing,
19	or is the term switched with access, does that
20	change the meaning at all?
21	A Well, access, I think, can be used very
22	broadly. Like in the terms of switched access,
23	switched access is, you know, the long distance
24	access into the local network. Where access could
25	be interpreted as a local access as far as how do I

1	access the local switch, which would be access
2	through the loop. That would not necessarily be a,
3	quote, long distance type access. It's more
4	generalized.
5	Q But if you are talking about charges a
6	carrier might pay, if you are talking about access
7	charges, does that have a specific meaning?
8	A Then I would associate that with, like, the
9	switched access.
10	Q Okay. And you have heard the other
11	witnesses talk about their understanding of
12	exchange, local calling area, rate center, and wire
13	center. Did you have anything did you
14	understand those terms differently than they did?
15	Do you have anything to add to that?
16	A No. Mr. Easton, I think, accurately
17	captured the wire center and rate center questions.
18	Q If you could turn to your direct testimony,
19	which is 91 T, page 9, please. It's the same
20	sentence that Mr. Cecil was focusing on about the
21	local tandem.
22	A Yes.
23	Q How many local tandems of the type you are
24	talking about here, the pure local tandem, does
25	Qwest have in Washington that can't route toll

1 traffic? I think there's about four or five. 2 А 3 Q And do you know where those are? 4 A I have a list of them on my computer. Let me think of the cities now. You know, I can't 5 think of the cities now. I was looking at them б before, but I don't have those on the tip of my 7 tongue right now. 8 9 Q Not in the random access memory in your 10 brain? A Yes. 11 12 0 Are they spread throughout the state, or are 13 they grouped in one area? 14 A I think they are pretty much spread across 15 the state. I think there are probably more of them 16 in the Seattle area than like in the Spokane area. 17 I now want to turn to this issue of 0 18 recording. And I know that Mr. Easton is more of the billing expert than you are. But I think 19 20 there's some technical aspects of this. Do you 21 have in front of you Qwest's response to Bench 22 Request 2? 23 A No, I don't believe I do. JUDGE RENDAHL: Do you have a copy, 24 25 Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: Well, I probably do. 1 JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's be off the record for 2 3 a moment while we get an extra copy. 4 (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE RENDAHL: So let's be back on the 5 record. 6 7 BY JUDGE RENDAHL: I am going to, while we 0 make copies of the Bench Request response for 8 9 everyone, I am going to ask another question about 10 another area. 11 If you go to page 21 of your direct, and 12 that's 91 T. And if you look at that paragraph beginning on line 3, and this is talking about the 13 14 types of recording traffic. I understand one is, 15 it says, "based on SS7 signaling, or a link 16 monitoring recording based on SS7 signaling." 17 That's on line 6. Do you see that? A Yes, I see that. 18 What does that mean? 19 0 20 А Well, essentially, the network is made up of 21 switches. And those switches are connected by 22 voice trunks, or facilities that allow your 23 conversation path to be transported. In order to set up the connections between switches there's a 24 25 system called SS7, or the signaling system 7.

1	And so essentially what happens is the
2	switches communicate with information to set up and
3	tear down calls when you call when you pick up
4	your receiver, dial numbers, have your
5	conversation, and hang up the phone. That SS7
6	network manages when you make that call, and then
7	when you terminate or or when you complete and
8	end the call.
9	Q And are those messages sent on the trunks
10	just on a different frequency, or are they sent
11	through some other network?
12	A It's a whole other network. It's separate
13	network from the voice trunks that connect between
14	the switches. So essentially, with that you can
15	capture certain types of information that would
16	allow you to record like the dial digits, and call
17	duration, things like that.
18	Now, on the other method, which is the
19	switch based method which utilizes the conversation
20	length of the actual trunk that connects the two
21	switches, as well as information that is internal
22	to the switch processing.
23	And so you actually end up having two
24	separate types of recordings that you can obtain
25	from a call, either the SS7 based information or

1	the switch based information.
2	Q And my understanding, from the testimony in
3	the record, is that Feature Group D software uses
4	the switch based recording, and the LIS or LIS
5	local interconnection service trunk software uses
6	the SS7 based recording; is that correct?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q And your discussion or the discussion of
9	the Bench Request response that we're going to talk
10	about, which is marked as Exhibit 123, talks about
11	the difficulties of making changes to those to
12	the software to allow either the SS7 system to be
13	put on the Feature Group D, or the switch based
14	system to be included in the LIS software.
15	Is that your understanding? And I think we
16	now have the response in front of you. You might
17	want to turn to page this is attachment A to
18	what has been marked as Exhibit 123. If you turn
19	to page 3.
20	A Okay.
21	Q Actually, it starts on page 2 in that last
22	paragraph. And I think this starts from a
23	discussion in your testimony about significant
24	investment, and reworking the billing systems and
25	processes. And this delves into more detail; is

1	that correct?
2	A Yes, that's
3	Q Did you have any input into responding to
4	this Bench Request?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I would note, I
7	think the first page was double sided, and we
8	JUDGE RENDAHL: So we're missing the second
9	page.
10	MR. WILLIAMSON: Is that a problem?
11	JUDGE RENDAHL: Well, it is for those who
12	don't have it. For purposes of sharing with the
13	witness, the information I want to delve into is
14	not on page 2. But the cross-reference to the
15	testimony, to Mr. Easton's testimony is on page 2,
16	which I will hand to the witness.
17	MR. SMITH: He has the one with a second
18	page.
19	JUDGE RENDAHL: Off the record.
20	(Discussion off the record.)
21	JUDGE RENDAHL: Back on the record.
22	Q BY JUDGE RENDAHL: So on page 3, starting at
23	the top it references three different types of
24	billing systems or ways of communicating this
25	information. One is the integrated access billing

system, or IABS, and there's a cross -- Cross 7, 1 maybe Cross 7, and the trunk usage measurement 2 3 setup, or TUMS. 4 I just want to test whether my understanding is correct of how this works. The Cross 7 system 5 б is that SS7 recording system we were talking about, correct? 7 8 А That is correct. 9 And TUMS is used in relation to the Cross 7 0 10 system? 11 А I believe that's the case. Just to be 12 clear, my knowledge tends to end where that SS7 13 ends. So when it goes into TUMS, I am kind of lost 14 when it goes into that system. 15 0 Well, I think I am not going to be delving 16 too much past your knowledge of this. Does the 17 Cross 7 recording system then flow into TUMS, or is it the reverse? 18 It would be -- the information from Cross 7 19 Α 20 would flow into that TUMS, or a system like TUMS. 21 So where it says in the paragraph referring Q 22 to TUMS, TUMS is an application which loads LIS 23 trunk data into Cross 7. That's where I got confused, because I was assuming that the trunk 24 data was the Cross 7 data, and then loading it into 25

1 Cross 7 confused me. So is there some way for you 2 to clarify that? 3 А Where are you seeing the TUMS reference? 4 Q On the third page of the --There we go. I see it. 5 А 6 Do you see where I am talking about? Q Third paragraph down? 7 А 8 0 Yes. 9 Okay. (Reading document.) А 10 0 And if you don't know, I can ask a Bench 11 Request and get it clarified. 12 Α That, I think, would be best. 13 Q I may do that. And then in terms of how the 14 IABS interconnects with this, the switch recordings 15 on the Feature Group D system would then be loaded 16 into the IABS systems to create the bills? 17 A That's correct. 18 So as far as my confusion on the Cross 7 and 0 TUMS is kind of the same. Which system creates the 19 20 bills, and which system creates the recordings? 21 And I will develop a Bench Request and send it out 22 to Qwest on that. 23 So that is the Bench Request you are going А 24 to be asking? Q Yes. So you don't need to answer that 25

1	today, because I think we're both confused on that
2	and I don't want to confuse the record.
3	And then if you look at page 22 of your
4	testimony, which is the direct, 91 T.
5	A Okay.
6	Q At lines 11 through 17, this is a discussion
7	about why Cross 7 was developed. And my question
8	to you is, do you know if other ILECs or RBOCs
9	across the country developed their billing systems
10	between interconnection and Feature Group D trunks
11	similarly to Qwest? So do other RBOCs use a Cross
12	7 for LIS and the switch based for access traffic?
13	A I'm not sure if they have. With the need to
14	record minutes of use for local traffic that is
15	essentially exchanged between LECs or companies
16	like Qwest and competitive companies, there should
17	be some method of recording those minutes of use
18	based on the provisions of the actor.
19	However, we came to the point where we had
20	to establish a reciprocal compensation, so we had
21	the need to develop a way to measure those minutes
22	of use. So I don't know if they have actually
23	deployed a like monitoring system out there for
24	other RBOC's.
25	However, I don't believe the Cross 7 system

was developed exclusively for Qwest. It was 1 2 developed by a company called Agulent, so my --3 knowing that it was a third party that developed 4 that software, that capability, that it would be provided to other carriers, whether it's the other 5 б RBOCs, I'm not clear on who would have that. But I believe it would be available to other carriers. 7 8 0 And I hate to ask you to do this on the stand, but can you spell Agulent, or do you know? 9 10 А A-q-u-l-e-n-t, I believe. 11 0 I just have a few more. On page 25 on lines 12 18 -- 17 and 18, you say that all that Level 3 13 needs to do is convert its LIS trunks to Feature 14 Group D trunks. Do you know what Level 3 might 15 have to do other than ordering different software? Actually there is very little that Level 3 16 А would actually have to do, because the software 17 18 that characterizes those trunks is located within Qwest's switches. There is no software in Level 19 3's switch that would essentially recognize that 20 21 that trunk is any different than the LIS trunk. 22 It's on the Qwest side of the trunk. All of that 23 software is contained. So to the extent that Level 3 has 30,000 24

25 trunks with Qwest in Washington, the fact that some

1	of those trunks may be Feature Group D with the
2	combined functionality to also receive local
3	traffic over those trunks would be
4	very insignificant to Level 3's routing or switch
5	maintenance.
6	Q Well, similar to your discussion about the
7	implications for Qwest billing system and sort of
8	the off-network impacts of this, do you have any
9	idea what have you had any discussions with
10	Level 3 about what Level 3's off-network impacts
11	would be?
12	A I don't think they would be any different
13	than what they are today. We haven't had the
14	discussion with Level 3, or at least I personally
15	have not had that discussion with Level 3. But
16	their operation today manages traffic through other
17	networks, such as their Wiltel network. And it
18	doesn't appear as though there would be much
19	difference under Qwest's language versus under
20	Level 3's language.
21	Q But if they are using language off the Cross
22	7 system, like you are now, and they would have to
23	switch to the switch based system and a different
24	billing system in connection to Qwest's billing
25	system, do you think that might cause an impact for

1 Level 3?

2	A I don't believe that has an impact, because
3	the software is contained in Qwest's switch.
4	There's no software that is modified in Level 3's
5	switch. The trunks essentially look the same to
6	Level 3. They maintain the same recording
7	capabilities that they have today. Nothing changes
8	with those trunks on the Level 3 side of the
9	network.
10	Q Well, that might be worth pursuing through a
11	Bench Request with Level 3. On page 26 you use a
12	term on line 20, quote, unquote, one off, as in a
13	one off solution. What did you mean by that?
14	A What I meant by that is it's a it would
15	be a solution that is has not been requested or
16	utilized by other carriers that connect with Qwest.
17	So in other words, Level 3 would be the only
18	carrier that would have that capability.
19	Q If you turn to your reply testimony on
20	page that's Exhibit 93 T on page 18.
21	A (Complies.)
22	Q And in that language at the bottom on line
23	21, there's a term common channel signaling access
24	capability service. And what is that?
25	A That is our SS7 capability.

1 Q And this is in the section about quad links. 2 And, again, I think when he asked this question of 3 Mr. Wilson about whether there's truly an issue 4 here, his response had to do with more of an issue 5 of resources than it is of -- well, I will leave it 6 at that.

7 I understood his response to be this is 8 really an issue of resources. It doesn't seem to 9 me that the parties disagree about whether more 10 than a single SS7 quad link is required, but it 11 seems there's more involved here than just that. 12 What is the issue here?

13 A That's a very good question, because when I 14 listened to Mr. Wilson's testimony he appeared to 15 describe a requirement that Qwest would need to 16 have more than one set of quad links.

17 Q Is that his testimony yesterday, or his18 testimony at the technical conference?

A His testimony yesterday when he talked about it being a matter of resources, that it was more of a -- required more than one set of quad links would require more resources on Level 3's side -- or for Level 3's network.

And Qwest, as far as I know -- and I have not heard anything different -- doesn't require

1 anything more than a single set of quad links if 2 they wish to connect their signaling network with 3 Qwest's signaling network. Now, if unbundled 4 signaling is not an issue here, Qwest is fine with 5 that.

So then I am struggling, myself, with what 6 7 it is that Level 3 is requesting of Qwest. Because 8 Qwest doesn't require anything more than -- as 9 Qwest's language describes, it provides signaling 10 under the standards of the industry, as well as out 11 of our tariffs. And our tariffs do not explain 12 that there needs to be any more than a single set. 13 Q So to clarify for the record, and I think it 14 may be clear in the technical conference 15 transcript, when you talk about a single set of 16 quad links, that involves, in a sense, two 17 connections from carrier A's side to carrier B. 18 And two trunks or lines or connections from carrier B's side to Carrier A's side. That is a quad link, 19 20 four connections crossing the SS7 -- or the STPs? 21 А That's correct. The connection between 22 Level 3's STPs, and those are signaling transfer 23 points, and Qwest's STPs, or signaling transfer 24 points.

Q So would there be a need in Qwest's mind to

0788

1	have, for the local or LIS service, a single set of
2	quad links. And for access service or for access
3	trunks, another set of quad links, or is just a
4	single set of quad links to serve both types of
5	traffic, is that how it's used?
6	A That's how it's used. With a single set
7	would handle both local and nonlocal traffic. And
8	in the tariffs it talks about what charges apply to
9	those types of messages. And I think it segregates
10	them out as far as access messages versus other
11	messages.
12	JUDGE RENDAHL: Well, that is, I think, all
13	I have.
14	Mr. Williamson, do you have anything.
15	MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes, I do.
16	
17	EXAMINATION
18	
19	BY MR. WILLIAMSON:
20	Q I believe all the Level 3 people have
21	testified that they were willing and have made an
22	agreement that they would send transit traffic over
23	a separate Feature Group D trunk group to Qwest, or
24	possibly over a separate IXC to resolve the issue
25	with transit traffic. And so I am struck with the

need to add CIC code capability to Cross 7 if 1 there's no transit traffic that needs to see the 2 3 CIC code. Does that resolve the issue with the 4 Cross 7 billing? And it's not necessarily the system itself. 5 Α 6 It's the downstream system's work that needs to occur. So Cross 7 needs to identify the CIC code. 7 And in most cases, LECs or competitive local 8 9 exchange carriers, don't necessarily have a CIC 10 code. And so we have to find another method, and I 11 think typically it's like an operating company 12 number. And it's associated with an NPA-NXX of 13 that particular company. 14 So you have got two different types of 15 regimes that you fall under where local exchange 16 carriers are typically associated with the numbers 17 that they utilize in order to provide services to 18 their end users. And so the routing of that local traffic is based on NPA-NXX --19 20 So because the LECs are based -- provide 21 service in that manner, then the association of

those LECs are based on that number, or based on the OCN, operating company number. And whereon the Feature Group D side, or the long distance side those carriers, they don't have telephone numbers.

1 They just route long distance traffic. So they 2 don't necessarily assign telephone numbers. So 3 that's why we have come to know and identify them 4 with carrier identification code.

5 And so the systems are built differently 6 based on a LEC, and the telephone numbers it uses 7 versus the interexchange carrier and the carrier 8 identification code that it uses. And those enter 9 the systems, and are treated differently because of 10 the nature of the companies, local versus long 11 distance.

12 Q I am sorry. I am still confused. If 13 there's no transit traffic bound through Qwest's 14 tandem, which would carry a CIC code or should 15 carry a CIC code and the combination of the other 16 traffic, do you still need the ability to see a CIC 17 code in the Cross 7 system?

Well -- and it's not a matter of the CIC 18 Α code. It's a matter of the system's ability to 19 20 develop a record that would be able to share with 21 another party, or even develop that access record 22 within Qwest, as well as that these -- the piece 23 about the UNE-P or QPP portion where Qwest wholesale switching, those NPA-NXXs look like Qwest 24 NPA-NXXs. So, therefore, it's virtually impossible 25

to get around Qwest's switch, because Qwest's 1 switch does serve those customers. 2 3 In addition, the language that Level 3 has 4 proposed for the transit limitation essentially says that Level 3 will not send traffic that is 5 homed to Qwest switches. And when you look at a б tandem and end office subtending arrangement, the 7 industry, if you look into the LERG, the LERG uses 8 9 subtending arrangements, or homing arrangements as 10 far as telephone numbers that home off of Qwest 11 tandems, but are actually independent company type 12 numbers.

13 And so the interpretation of that is that 14 the NPA-NXXs could be sent to Qwest's tandem 15 without the information that is needed in order to 16 render the bill to that independent company, or 17 that other company. Does that make sense? 18 Well, I guess we will leave it at, you may 0 or may not receive a CIC code, or may or may not 19 20 need the capability of reading the CIC code in 21 Cross 7, depending on how the traffic is sent to 22 you? 23 And Cross 7 does not utilize a CIC code in А the manner like a Feature Group D trunk would use a 24

25 CIC code.

1	Q I understand. We will leave it at that.
2	Pages 5 and 6 of your reply testimony at the
3	bottom, you talk about the reduction of phantom
4	traffic in regards to routing over Feature Group D.
5	Can you explain how that would help with
б	tandem traffic? And realizing that in the phantom
7	traffic case that's gone on here at the Commission,
8	it's been stated that CIC codes aren't always sent
9	as they should be. In fact, you just stated that
10	again. If that's the case, how will routing over
11	Feature Group D actually help?
12	A Well, the phantom traffic is not necessarily
13	restricted just to calls and the signaling of
14	calls. Phantom traffic, as I understand phantom
15	traffic, is essentially the inability for a carrier
16	to appropriately bill for the traffic that it is
17	receiving. So it either doesn't receive the
18	signaling information, or it doesn't receive a
19	record. The record is what the industry has
20	developed as a method of exchanging the information
21	in order for carriers to compensate one another.
22	The signaling is just is information, and
23	the purpose of the information is essentially to
24	set up and tear down the calls that occur between
25	the two networks. So the main focus of phantom

traffic should be around obtaining the appropriate 1 2 records not necessarily the signaling information, 3 because certain signaling information, although 4 Qwest will pass on the signaling information it receives, there's certain types of signaling 5 б information that is only used for routing purposes. 7 Such as the transit network selection parameter in 8 the SS7 field is a parameter that tells the tandem 9 switch which interexchange carrier do I send this 10 call to. That's all part of the equal access 11 capabilities of our end office switches.

12 And so when that -- once that tandem 13 receives that transit network selection parameter, 14 the only purpose of the transit network selection 15 parameter is to point that call to the appropriate 16 interexchange carrier.

17 Once that transit network selection parameter, or once that carrier has been selected 18 and the call routed, there's no need for that 19 20 parameter. In fact, if you were to see that 21 parameter coming back from an interexchange 22 carrier, since it is a routing signaling parameter, 23 the tandem would essentially want to send it back 24 to that same interexchange carrier because it's receiving information that says send this traffic 25

1	to this particular interexchange carrier.
2	So ultimately, theoretically you would end
3	up with a loop where you would end up looping that
4	call back and forth to the interexchange carrier if
5	it were to be forwarded on. That's one of the
6	examples of the types quote, the types of
7	information that may not be forwarded on with a
8	call, but
9	JUDGE RENDAHL: With a what?
10	THE WITNESS: With a call, a particular
11	call. But the phantom traffic issue is more
12	appropriately framed around the records that are
13	exchanged between carriers, because there's always
14	an exception to the rule as far as the signaling
15	and how signaling flows through the network.
16	Although the majority of information does get
17	does flow from one carrier to another, there are
18	certain exceptions to that rule essentially.
19	Q BY MR. WILLIAMSON: I guess my question
20	and maybe you answered it and I missed it what
21	in Feature Group D would allow you to resolve
22	phantom traffic that you don't resolve with a LIS
23	trunk, and the information you get from signaling?
24	A What that resolves with Feature Group D,
25	that record is created at the switch. It's a

1	switch based recording. It's established when the
2	call is made into the network, and the process
3	flows such that those terminating carriers will
4	sever the record. With LIS type recording, that
5	the creation of that record does not happen.
6	Q Is that created at the tandem?
7	A For the Feature Group D?
8	Q Right.
9	A That's correct. That is created at the
10	tandem.
11	Q Okay.
12	A And it's let me kind of clarify. For
13	incoming traffic that is coming into the tandem and
14	terminating to an independent company, that traffic
15	is recorded at the tandem.
16	For traffic going in the other direction, so
17	if it was a call that originated with an
18	independent company through Qwest's tandem, that
19	recording would be created at the end office of the
20	independent. Same thing for a CLEC, such as Level
21	3. If they originated a presubscribed
22	interexchange call, it would come into Qwest's
23	tandem. Level 3 would provide that recording, and
24	then traffic coming into Qwest's tandem to Level 3
25	from an interexchange carrier, then that recording

would take place at the tandem. So it depends on 1 which direction the call flows. 2 3 0 Has Qwest then looked at asking all 4 carriers, all local carriers that they interconnect with to connect to Feature Group D to resolve the 5 issue? Other local carriers that you normally 6 connect with, other CLECs, other LECs? 7 Well, Qwest's contract provisions allow for 8 А 9 other carriers, if they so choose, they could opt 10 into either a Level 3 or our proposed Level 3 11 contract, or we currently have a contract with AT&T 12 that allows a CLEC to route both the local and 13 Feature Group D traffic over a Feature Group D 14 trunk. 15 So we haven't pushed it on carriers per se, 16 but it is available to them if they wish to opt 17 into those contracts. And I have one last one, hopefully a shorter 18 0 one than that one. 19 20 Given the cost that you have estimated to 21 add the systems to the LIS trunks for billing --22 and maybe we have asked this in another way --23 wouldn't it be possible to use factors cheaper 24 than, for billing purposes as Level 3 has suggested, other than to have to convert to Feature 25

1	Group D type signaling for LIS trunks?
2	A Well, I think Mr. Easton might be the better
3	one to address. He's pretty good on explaining
4	how why the factors are not as efficient and
5	accurate as the actual recordings that the Feature
6	Group D trunks would make.
7	MR. WILLIAMSON: Well, we will leave it at
8	that.
9	JUDGE RENDAHL: Are there any questions
10	based on our questions for the witness?
11	MR. CECIL: Just a couple, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE RENDAHL: Go ahead.
13	
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION
15	
16	BY MR. CECIL:
17	Q Mr. Linse, you mentioned the loop back
18	situation where you said a looped call happens when
19	multiple IXCs are involved in a call. Do you
20	recall that?
21	A That
22	Q When you were just talking to
23	Mr. Williamson
24	A That explanation was where theoretically, if
25	a TNS parameter was sent to Qwest from an

interexchange carrier, that theoretically the 1 2 switch would attempt to loop that back to that same 3 interexchange area. 4 That's called loop back, 1-o-o-p, b-a-c-k. Q That's how it's referred to in the industry? 5 6 A That's what I referred to it just now. I don't know if that's an industry use. 7 8 Q Well, an industry lawyer knew what you 9 meant. 10 JUDGE RENDAHL: And you used the term "ATNS"? 11 12 THE WITNESS: "TNS." 13 JUDGE RENDAHL: So "a TNS" parameter? 14 THE WITNESS: Transit network selection 15 parameter. 16 Q BY MR. CECIL: Do you know whether loop back 17 is reduced when there are fewer IXCs involved in the call? 18 A As I said, the number of interexchange 19 20 carriers involved in a call has no bearing on 21 whether or not the TNS parameter is provided to 22 Qwest over an interexchange carrier trunk, and is 23 only a theoretical concept if an interexchange carrier would send Qwest a TNS parameter. 24 Interexchange carriers do not send Qwest TNS 25

parameters. Local exchange carriers are the 1 2 carriers that send Qwest the TNS parameter. 3 Q So you are saying loop back is a theoretical 4 problem. It's not one that occurs right now on the network? 5 6 A Not based on the description that I am given. 7 Q So you don't know, outside of your 8 9 description, whether or not it occurs? 10 A There may be some other form of loop back 11 that you are maybe referring to that I am not --12 that I have not described. 13 MR. CECIL: No further questions. JUDGE RENDAHL: Are there any further 14 15 questions for Mr. Linse? 16 MR. DETHLEFS: No, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you very much, 18 Mr. Linse. Let's be off the record while we change 19 20 witnesses. 21 (Discussion off the record.) 22 JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's be back on the record. 23 We're going to start with the cross-examination of Mr. Brotherson. But before we do that, 24 25 Mr. Brotherson, could you please state your full

0801 1 name for the record. THE WITNESS: Larry Brotherson. 2 3 JUDGE RENDAHL: And raise your right hand, 4 please. 5 6 LARRY BROTHERSON, 7 produced as a witness in behalf of Qwest, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 8 9 follows: 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 12 JUDGE RENDAHL: Go ahead and lay a 13 foundation. 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. SMITH: 18 Mr. Brotherson, by whom are you employed? Q 19 A Qwest Corporation. 20 0 And in this case did you cause to be filed 21 two pieces of testimony, marked replacement direct 22 testimony, which I believe has been marked as 23 Exhibit 51 T, and reply testimony marked as 59 T? 24 And I will represent to you 51 T and 59 T are the 25 numbers that have been assigned to these.

1 I did. А 2 Q And in connection with the direct testimony, 3 and as a result of the technical conference, have 4 you also caused to have what I think are eight attached exhibits -- seven attached exhibits, I am 5 6 sorry, to the replacement direct testimony marked 7 52 through 58? A I am not sure I match up with your 8 9 numbering. When I filed them they were numbered 1 10 through 8, and so the 50 series is not --11 0 Maybe I could quickly identify them. 52 is 12 what was LBB 2. No. 53 is what was LBB 3, 54 is 13 what was LBB 4, 55 was LBB 5, 56 is LBB 6, 57 LBB 7, 58 LBB 8. 14 15 А All right. 16 0 Did you cause those to be filed as well? 17 А I did. 18 If I were to propound to you the questions Q set forth in your prefiled testimony, recognizing 19 20 that certain portions of those pieces of testimony 21 were struck yesterday, would your responses to the 22 remaining portions of your testimony be the same 23 today? They would. 24 А Do you have any updates or corrections that 25 0

1 you need to make to that testimony? A I do not. 2 MR. SMITH: With that, Your Honor, we would 3 4 offer, subject to cross-examination, the two pieces 5 of testimony, 51 T and 59 T, and then the attached 6 exhibits which are Exhibits 52 through 58, subject to cross-examination. 7 JUDGE RENDAHL: Is there any objection to 8 9 those exhibits being admitted? 10 MR. THAYER: No objections. JUDGE RENDAHL: So with that, what has been 11 12 marked 51 T through 59 T will be admitted. 13 (EXHIBIT RECEIVED.) JUDGE RENDAHL: Mr. Cecil, I have a question 14 15 for you about the cross-examination exhibits to 16 Mr. Linse. Did you intend to offer what has been 17 marked as 94 through 102, or are those withdrawn? 18 MR. CECIL: Those are withdrawn, Your Honor. JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you very much. Is 19 20 Mr. Brotherson available for cross? MR. SMITH: He is indeed. 21 22 23 24 25

0804 1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 3 BY MR. THAYER: 4 Good morning, Mr. Brotherson. How are you? Q Fine, Mr. Thayer. 5 А Here we are again. To start off, I note in 6 Q your credentials that you, at one point in your 7 life, were trained and act as a lawyer for US West, 8 9 and then Qwest Corporation; is that correct? 10 А That's correct. 11 0 However, your testimony does not -- is not 12 meant to reflect a legal opinion as to the issues 13 in this case, is it? 14 A Well, I am certainly not representing Qwest, 15 or speaking as an attorney. I am a manager for 16 Qwest. I manage a group of people. And I am not 17 rendering a legal opinion about the documents 18 themselves. But I certainly read the documents, and probably talk about what they say. 19 20 Q So --21 A But not as an attorney, no. 22 So your testimony really is, for want of a Q 23 better phrase, policy based as opposed to legally based --24 25 A That's correct --
0805	
1	JUDGE RENDAHL: And I will need to tell both
2	of you, please be careful not to talk over one
3	another. So please be aware of when the other
4	person is done.
5	MR. THAYER: Duly noted.
6	Q BY MR. THAYER: To start off, would you give
7	a bit of an explanation of QCC and QC, and how they
8	relate in this case?
9	A QC would be, for a simple description, would
10	be the regulated local telephone company. QCC
11	would be another subsidiary of Qwest excuse me.
12	And QC is a subsidiary of Qwest International, the
13	holding company traded on the stock market.
14	Another subsidiary is QCC. I have used the
15	acronym so long I'm not sure what that stands for.
16	But QCC would be the unregulated subsidiary that
17	interconnects with, or does business with the
18	regulated telephone company. And QCC could be, for
19	example, a CLEC or long distance company, or any
20	other unregulated ventures that it might be in.
21	Q And in QCC, and I think it was also
22	established today in discussing with Mr. Linse, but
23	QCC offers what is termed wholesale dial up,
24	correct?
25	A Correct.

And it does that as an ESP? 1 0 2 А Correct. 3 0 And it does that -- that is a competitive 4 product to Level 3's wholesale dial up, correct? QCC's product as an ESP buys services from a 5 Α regulated telephone company, or a local exchange б carrier. Level 3 -- I am not sure when you say as 7 the competition -- Level 3 offers services to 8 9 enhanced service providers. But it's offering, in 10 some instances, those services as a local exchange carrier. So Level 3, in certain capacities, is 11 12 both a local exchange carrier, and I am not sure if 13 they are also the ESP. 14 Q Maybe I can phrase this easier. QCC sells a 15 wholesale dial-up product to ISPs to allow end user 16 customers to dial that ISP. And Level 3 sells, to ISP customers, a wholesale dial-up product that 17 18 allows end users to dial up those ISP's, correct? 19 That statement is correct. А 20 And you were at the technical conference Q 21 that was held in Washington, weren't you? 22 А I was. 23 Q So would you agree with the statement that what QCC is doing for its ISP customers is 24 functionally similar to what Level 3 is doing, 25

0807 providing to its ISP customers? 1 2 А QCC offers services to ISPs as an enhanced 3 service provider, not as a local exchange carrier. 4 As I understand, Level 3 offers service to ISPs in its capacity as a local exchange carrier, not as an 5 б enhanced service provider. 7 So there are differences in the way the two companies offer their services. But to the ISP 8 9 they can buy from QCC through their wholesale dial 10 product, or they can buy from Level 3 their managed 11 modem service. 12 So to the ISP they appear similar, but QCC 13 is not a local exchange carrier selling to ISPs. 14 And if I understand Level 3, they are. 15 0 And that's why I put in the term 16 functionally similar. 17 А Correct. 18 So you would agree it's functionally 0 similar? 19 20 А Correct. 21 Throughout your testimony you spend a fair Q 22 amount of time on the concept of, or the necessity 23 of an ISP having a physical location in a local calling area in order for the carrier that serves 24 that ISP to receive compensation. Is that 25

1 accurate? 2 А That's correct. 3 0 And it is Qwest's view in this case that 4 that physical location is a critical component of the ISP in the local calling area for the CLEC or 5 б the carrier that provides the service to the ISP to receive compensation, correct? 7 To receive compensation for local calls, 8 Α 9 yes. 10 Q If you would turn to page 16 of your direct 11 replacement testimony marked as Exhibit 51 T. 12 А (Complies.) I am there. 13 Q And I believe at the top of the page you are 14 introducing, or at least proposing the specific 15 language in the agreement that is to define VNXX 16 traffic? 17 А Yes. 18 0 And you will note in the second line of that, I think this is consistent with what we just 19 20 discussed, it says that VNXX traffic -- and I will 21 paraphrase a bit -- is traffic that isn't 22 terminated to a CLEC's end user customer physically 23 located within the same Qwest local calling area as the originating caller, correct? 24

25 Correct. А

1	Q That phrase, physically located, is that
2	defined anywhere in the agreement?
3	A The term physically located, no, I don't
4	believe so.
5	Q So how are we to know what that means?
6	A I would say this is an agreement between two
7	companies. It's a contract, and I would say you
8	could look at the terms of the contract. They
9	would either be clear on their face, or if parties
10	dispute what they mean, a third party could tell us
11	both what physically located means.
12	My reading of physically located means that
13	there is a physical presence located in the local
14	calling area.
15	Q But you would agree that in this case what
16	constitutes physical location is has been and is
17	being vigorously debated, correct?
18	A I know we're vigorously debating whether or
19	not there needs to be a physical presence in the
20	local calling area. Debating whether or not
21	someone is physically present there, I am not sure
22	is the area of the dispute.
23	Q Well, assuming that is a big debate,
24	wouldn't it make sense to, in fact, define what
25	physically located means?

1	MR. SMITH: I object to the question. It
2	assumes a condition that Mr. Brotherson has denied
3	exists, which is that there is a big debate over
4	what a physical location is.
5	JUDGE RENDAHL: I understood the question to
6	be hypothetically stated, assuming there was. So
7	I will allow the question.
8	THE WITNESS: To the extent that there was a
9	dispute over what location meant, or what physical
10	location meant, it would kind of depend on the
11	nature of the dispute. But to the extent that
12	there was a dispute over what that meant, then the
13	parties could say it means "X". It means where the
14	call is handed off to the customer in their
15	possession, or whatever term you want to use.
16	That, then, becomes could become circular
17	to, what do you mean by the customer in the
18	location? You would have to almost know what the
19	nature of the dispute was to address it in more
20	detail if physical location did not satisfactorily
21	address it. But, yes, you could add more detail to
22	that term.
23	Q BY MR. THAYER: It is fair to say, though,
24	that Qwest has its position on what constitutes
25	physical location for an ESP, correct?

1 A Yes. Physically located in the local 2 calling area. 3 0 Yet the agreement doesn't define it? 4 А There is no definition for physically located in the agreement. 5 Let's return to QCC for a minute. Is it 6 0 Qwest's view that QCC is physically located in each 7 local calling area that it serves its ISP 8 9 customers? 10 А No. 11 Q So if that's the case, returning back to 12 this definition, QCC is providing VNXX service? 13 Α QCC would be purchasing a permitted tariff 14 service out of the local exchange tariffs as an 15 enhanced service provider. The reference to VNXX 16 traffic in this agreement with Level 3 would say 17 that -- as you are aware, there's a later disputed 18 section that says reciprocal compensation is not paid on VNXX traffic. 19 20 The categorization of VNXX traffic with 21 respect to QCC is not relevant in the sense that as 22 an enhanced service provider, no reciprocal compensation is paid to QCC. Whether they are in 23 the local exchange boundaries or they are outside 24 of the local exchange boundaries, they do not 25

receive reciprocal compensation as an enhanced 1 2 service provider. 3 Therefore, the term as used in this 4 contract, in other words, VNXX or local with respect to QCC, is not relevant. But you could 5 argue it's traffic that is where the customer is 6 not physically located. 7 Q Okay. And you brought up one, within your 8 answer, topic as to the receipt of the compensation 9 10 by QCC. At the risk of taxing our ability to 11 abstractly envision something, I would like you to 12 try and track the following call flow. 13 In local calling area A there is a CLEC 14 whose end user customer, the CLEC's customer, dials 15 up a QCC ISP customer. And that local calling area 16 A CLEC customer, that number is a Qwest number. Does Qwest, in that scenario, Qwest Corporation, 17 18 the carrier, receive reciprocal compensation? I think there was a piece missing from your 19 А 20 hypothetical. But if I understood it, the Qwest 21 QCC customer has a number in local calling area A. 22 And the CLEC customer is also in local calling area 23 A, and places a call to the QCC customer, who has a number in the local calling area A. And the 24 question was, does the reciprocal compensation 25

1 apply to that scenario?

2	Without splitting hairs about ISP bound
3	versus voice bound, one is reciprocal comp and one
4	is an ISP rate. But there would be a compensation
5	for a call from one company with a telephone number
6	associated with the local calling area A to the
7	other company associated with local calling area A.
8	Q So in that scenario, while QCC is receiving
9	interstate ISP bound compensation, I duly note how
10	careful we need to be with that phrase, Qwest
11	Corporation, the regulated carrier, does receive
12	reciprocal compensation?
13	A Yes.
14	MR. THAYER: Considering the hour, Your
15	Honor, this is probably a pretty good time to break
16	for lunch until I get to the next part.
17	JUDGE RENDAHL: With that, we will take our
18	lunch break and be back at 1:30. Off the record.
19	(Lunch recess taken.)
20	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's be back on the record
21	after our lunch break, and we're continuing with
22	the cross-examination of Mr. Brotherson.
23	Q BY MR. THAYER: Good afternoon,
24	
	Mr. Brotherson. If you could turn to page 76 of

1 is 51 T. 2 А (Complies.) 3 0 And I think it's at line 15, you cite the 4 proposed language that Qwest would like in the agreement as to the use of the local 5 interconnection trunks by CLECs, and the б certification that you would like CLECs to provide 7 as to the types of equipment of VOIP end users and 8 9 types of configurations. Do you see that? 10 А Yes. Does -- to your knowledge, does QCC provide 11 0 12 or require its customers to certify that they are 13 using certain types of VOIP equipment? 14 А I don't recall if they require -- QCC 15 requires certification. I know that -- I believe 16 they do, because I know unless a VOIP provider can 17 assure that the call originates on broadband, they terminate it through an IXC. Now, what that 18 assurance consists of, I don't know. 19 20 Q If I could turn your attention to discovery 21 response -- and I will use the, I believe Qwest way 22 of numbering this, 004S2. And I have copies, so 23 hopefully I will have to spin through this. JUDGE RENDAHL: This has been marked 24 25 already, or is this a new exhibit?

1	MR. THAYER: This is a new exhibit. What
2	counsel with Qwest and Level 3 had discussed over
3	lunch was we were going to agree that we will move
4	the discovery responses as a whole into the record.
5	JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay.
6	MR. THAYER: We could do that now, if that
7	would be easier for your numbering system.
8	JUDGE RENDAHL: No, we can do that at a
9	later point. I just wasn't sure, because there are
10	some already in the record and I wasn't sure if
11	that's what you were referring to, or if it was
12	something else.
13	MR. SMITH: Just for the record, I believe
14	the one that's been handed out is the first set,
15	question 4, supplemental response 2. Did
16	Mr. Brotherson get one?
17	MR. THAYER: I am sorry, Judge. And this is
18	Level 3's response to Qwest's questions or
19	Qwest's response to Level 3's questions. I believe
20	it's a Qwest response to Level 3's questions.
21	JUDGE RENDAHL: Off the record for a moment
22	so we can identify what we're doing here.
23	Off the record.
24	(Discussion off the record.)
25	JUDGE RENDAHL: Back on the record. While

we were off the record we determined that what has 1 been marked as 17 C and 18 C that were withdrawn 2 3 from the record yesterday, the parties agree those 4 should not be withdrawn but should be admitted. So what has been marked as Exhibit 17 C and 18 C would 5 be admitted. And with that, 17 C, 18 C, and 19 C б would include all of Level 3's responses to Qwest's 7 8 data requests in this proceeding; is that correct? 9 (EXHIBIT RECEIVED.) 10 MR. SMITH: That's correct. 11 JUDGE RENDAHL: So to make sure that we 12 include all of Qwest's responses to Level 3's data 13 requests, at least for purposes of including them 14 right now with Mr. Brotherson's testimony, we know 15 that what Mr. Thayer is asking Mr. Brotherson about 16 right now would be within the first set -- or 17 Qwest's responses to Level 3's first set of data 18 requests. Understanding this is a second supplemental response, what I am going to do is 19 mark as Exhibit 67 what would be Qwest's responses 20 21 to Level 3's first set of data requests, including 22 all supplemental responses. 23 And then to the extent that Qwest -whatever other sets of data requests there are, 24 hopefully would fit within what would be Exhibits

0816

1	68 through 70. Now, if not, we can move them
2	somewhere else, but I hope you all can let me know
3	where those would fit in, and then make sure you
4	send in an original or a copy plus three other
5	copies. Do you have those available now?
6	MR. THAYER: I have one original no,
7	Erik, we didn't.
8	MR. CECIL: No, we didn't.
9	JUDGE RENDAHL: Off the record again.
10	(Discussion off the record.)
11	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's go back on the record.
12	While we were off the record we determined
13	that Level 3 had propounded only one set of data
14	requests to Qwest during this proceeding here in
15	Washington. And so we will just designate as
16	Exhibit 67, Qwest's responses to Level 3's first
17	set of data requests, including all supplemental
18	responses.
19	And Mr. Thayer will be providing the
20	original set today, and sending Mr. Williamson,
21	do we need two or three copies? Two copies. If
22	you can mail those to the Commission's Records
23	Center, addressed to Carol Washburn, including the
24	docket number, they will know to route it to me and
25	Mr. Williamson.

1	Then while we were off the record we talked
2	about the Bench Request responses, which are
3	currently designated 121 through 124, understanding
4	there are two additional Bench Requests
5	actually, the Bench Request has been issued. It's
б	out electronically. And I would designate those as
7	125 and 126. The first would be Qwest's
8	response to Bench Request 4 would be 125. And
9	Level 3's response to Bench Request 5 would be 126.
10	Do any of the parties object to introducing
11	those into evidence?
12	MR. THAYER: No, Your Honor, Level 3
13	doesn't.
14	MR. SMITH: No.
15	JUDGE RENDAHL: What is marked as Exhibit
16	121 through what will be 126 will be admitted.
17	There's no objection.
18	(EXHIBIT RECEIVED.)
19	JUDGE RENDAHL: So once the last two are
20	received, they will be admitted into the record.
21	And when I receive them I will update the exhibit
22	list to reflect they have been received.
23	Are there any other issues we need to talk
24	about with the exhibit list at this point?
25	MR. SMITH: Just one. And that is when

Level 3 provides their copy of 67, if we could just receive a PDF of that, so -- obviously, we keep copies of our responses, but just so we are assured that we're both dealing with precisely the same documents.

JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay. Thanks for reminding 6 If you could provide a PDF copy to the 7 me. Commission Records Center, they keep electronic 8 9 copies of what has been filed. So unless there are 10 confidential documents, if there are any you will 11 have to separately designate it as confidential, 12 similar to how the other documents were handled. 13 With that, is there anything else we need to 14 talk about in terms of exhibits in the record 15 at this point? With that, let's go forward. What 16 we were talking about right now, and I believe --17 does the witness have a copy of this response to 18 the data request? 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 20 JUDGE RENDAHL: So this would be within 21 Exhibit 67. Go forward, Mr. Thayer. Thank you for 22 letting us handle the details. 23 BY MR. THAYER: Mr. Brotherson, if you could Q flip the page and look at Qwest's response to E 24 that appears right before the supplementary 25

0820 response dated 5/9/06. 1 2 А (Complies.) I see it. 3 0 And you are the respondent for this? 4 А Yes. You see this sentence that says, "Thus QCC 5 0 ESP does not track the location of its VOIP б customers' CPE." 7 MR. SMITH: There were only four acronyms in 8 9 that sentence. 10 THE WITNESS: I see that. 11 0 BY MR. THAYER: So my question is, my 12 question is, so QCC doesn't track its VOIP 13 customers' CPE, yet you would require Level 3 to 14 certify the types of equipment that our VOIP 15 customers are using? 16 А Not the location of your customers, but, 17 yes, to certify that it is consistent with the origination of VOIP as defined in the agreement; 18 that is to say, on broadband and not on the PSTN. 19 20 0 I guess if you don't know the location of 21 the equipment, how can you certify it? I mean, you 22 have to go to -- other than take their word for it, 23 which presents liability, I would have to -- you would have to, to certify something, go and see all 24 25 of this equipment?

1 Well, the intent of the language is to А require Level 3 to -- in signing up enhanced 2 3 service providers and in delivering VOIP traffic 4 for termination on the PSTN, to make the effort that the traffic being delivered to termination on 5 б the PSTN did not also originate on the PSTN on traditional telephones, which -- because, I think 7 8 we have agreed in the contract, that that type of a 9 call, a PSTN call to a PSTN -- that's public 10 switched telephone network -- is, in fact, a call 11 that is subject to access charges.

12 And the mere conversion in the middle of the 13 transmission into VOIP does not change the nature 14 of that call, that it's a traditional telephone 15 call for which access charges apply.

16 And, therefore, the contract is attempting to assure that the parties will represent, certify, 17 18 assure that their customers are only -- that they are only delivering for termination traffic that 19 20 they know qualifies for the ESP exemption. 21 So if I hear you correctly, this is really Q 22 to get the CLEC to get its customers to assure that 23 the equipment is correct; is that correct? That the VOIP --24

25

A It's not -- well, let me go back to the

1 language. (Reading document.) -- consistent with 2 the origination of VOIP as defined, yes. To assure 3 that it's originating on broadband in IP compatible 4 equipment.

5 Q So, again, I guess if the CLEC is to be the 6 certificator, if you will, how are they going to do 7 this without knowing where all the equipment is and 8 inspecting it?

9 I am not sure knowing where the equipment is А 10 located is critical, but I think the representation 11 that the traffic that is being delivered to 12 termination on the PSTN originated not on the PSTN, 13 but on IP compatible equipment. That is to say, 14 equipment consistent with this agreement would be a 15 representation that it qualifies for the ESP 16 exemption.

17 Q But short of going and physically inspecting 18 this equipment, the only thing you would have to go 19 on, correct, is the word of your customers,

20 if you will?

A Well, you would, I would assume in providing service to a VOIP provider, either through your tariff or your contract, depending upon the way you represent to offer service, require that your customers only deliver traffic to you that would

1	have originated in the appropriate manner.
2	And I think if your basis for representing
3	to Qwest that this is correct is based upon
4	enforceable obligations, that that may or may
5	not that would probably be a qualification.
6	Q So really what Qwest is really after or
7	you can say whether or not is that you want the
8	CLECs to gain the assurance from their customers
9	that they are using appropriate VOIP equipment, and
10	doing it per the terms of this agreement?
11	A That's one way of putting it. I would say I
12	would want assurances that the CLEC would police
13	the compliance with the industry requirements the
14	same as Qwest and other ILEC's police it, which is
15	to require that the traffic that is being delivered
16	to them either complies with the ESP exemption, or
17	if unsure, then hand it to an IXC for termination,
18	thereby paying access.
19	Q But it's different to say that the
20	customer's providing the assurance as opposed to
21	the CLEC. I mean, if the CLEC is certifying,
22	wouldn't Qwest look to the CLEC in the first
23	instance, if there was a problem to be liable for
24	it?
25	A Yes. Remember, the CLEC well, yes.

1 So in effect, we become sort of a quarantor 0 of our customers? 2 3 А No. The CLEC is terminating the traffic. 4 An end user who is placing the call is not a certified carrier recognized by the state of 5 б Washington, and is not a customer whether they are on a VOIP phone, or their own telephone, is not 7 8 terminating traffic per se. 9 It is the carrier that delivers the traffic 10 that is terminating traffic. And that terminating 11 traffic either is subject to access charges or is 12 subject to local charges, but if a call is 13 delivered to Qwest and was -- from AT&T that was 14 represented as local but, in fact, was a toll call, 15 we wouldn't look to the party that placed that 16 telephone call to make -- to make whole. We would 17 look to the carrier that delivered us the traffic or handed off the traffic to us. 18 Does Qwest provide such a certification to 19 0 20 its other carriers that it's interconnected with? 21 А I don't know if all the carriers and/or 22 CLECs that they interconnect with have that 23 requirement. But Qwest requests it of QC. When you say Qwest, Qwest requests that of QCC, and QCC 24 represents that the traffic either qualifies as an 25

1 enhanced service, or if unknown, hands it off on an IXC for termination. 2 Q No, my question really was, does Qwest 3 4 provide such a certification to other carriers? 5 Does it certify that all of the traffic that it's handing to anybody was either VOIP or not VOIP? 6 7 A Qwest as a local exchange carrier? Or as an IXC in any form. Does it certify 8 0 9 the kind of traffic that it's delivering to people? 10 A I think, as an IXC Qwest pays the access charges, and doesn't need to represent that it's 11 12 exempt. I think where traffic is exempt, if a 13 local exchange carrier would ask for a 14 representation of that, I am sure they would 15 present it. But I don't know if it's a contractual 16 requirement or not. 17 MR. THAYER: Thank you. I have no further 18 questions. 19 JUDGE RENDAHL: Is there any redirect for 20 the witness? 21 MR. SMITH: Just a couple, Your Honor. 22 23 24 25

0826 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 3 BY MR. SMITH: 4 I think earlier in his cross-examination of Q you, Mr. Brotherson, Mr. Thayer talked about the 5 6 language wherein Qwest defined VNXX and the use of the term physical location was discussed. Do you 7 recall that? 8 9 А I do. 10 0 Is physical location a disputed term in the 11 agreement? 12 А It's not a dispute that's been raised. 13 Q And are you aware of Level 3 having defined 14 the term? 15 А There's no proposed definition of physical 16 location, disputed or undisputed. 17 Let me ask you a couple of questions about 0 18 the certification issue that you just discussed. First of all, does Qwest Corporation offer VOIP? 19 20 А No. 21 It's the QCC that offers VOIP? Q 22 Enhanced service provider, yes. А 23 The second question, let's say, for example Q that Level 3 provides service to a VOIP provider, 24 such as Vonage or Skype. Does Qwest have a 25

1	business relationship with those companies such
2	that it would be able to go enforce well, let's
3	say, does Qwest have a business relationship with
4	those companies such that if indeed they were
5	sending traffic that truly was not VOIP, Qwest
6	would be able to go seek some sort of redress from
7	them?
8	A We would have no direct business
9	relationship with those entities if they are
10	connected to another company like Level 3, another
11	local company like Level 3.
12	Q And assuming it is Level 3, who is it that
13	Qwest has the business relationship with?
14	A It would be with Level 3, to the extent that
15	Level 3 hands off traffic to Qwest for termination.
16	Q And if what I am understanding is what you
17	are saying is that the certification would need to
18	come from the party with whom Qwest has the
19	business relationship?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And is Level 3, in that context, the company
22	that is sending traffic and characterizing it as
23	VOIP traffic?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And I guess the final question is, is that

1	the reason you believe Qwest believes that it is
2	Level 3 that should make the certification?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. SMITH: Thank you. That's all I have,
5	Your Honor.
6	JUDGE RENDAHL: Any recross based on that?
7	MR. THAYER: No.
8	
9	EXAMINATION
10	
11	BY JUDGE RENDAHL:
12	Q I have a few questions for you,
13	Mr. Brotherson, and being the last witness you have
14	had the benefit of hearing everybody else's
15	definitions or descriptions of the various terms.
16	Would you like me to read you the list of the
17	terms?
18	A I would like the list. I don't think I'll
19	go with the blanket "I agree with every one"
20	because I would like to comment on some of them.
21	Q The first is toll, then access, and the
22	difference between access and switched access, and
23	then differentiating the terms exchange, local
24	calling area, rate center, and wire center.
25	A Thank you.

1 So if you could tell me whether you -- if 0 you can remember the various witness's 2 3 descriptions, if you agree or disagree with those 4 descriptions. I have heard so many versions, and I would 5 Α start off by saying generally I would agree, I 6 think, in almost every witness's definitions. I 7 8 varied somewhat on one or another, but as a general 9 statement, I was in agreement. 10 My characterization of toll is that it is 11 the retail product that is sold to an end user. If 12 I would use myself as an example, if AT&T is my 13 long distance carrier, as a retail purchaser I pay 14 toll charges out of a tariff if it's a tariff 15 product. But I pay toll charges to my long 16 distance carrier. My long distance carrier would bill me 17 18 those. If my long distance carrier uses some or all of a local exchange carrier's network to 19 20 complete the call, they compensate the local 21 exchange carrier for the use of their network. And 22 the compensation to a local exchange carrier by an 23 interexchange carrier for the use of the local exchange carrier network is called access. I would 24

25 describe access as an umbrella term meaning any and

1 all.

2 When you see the term switched access, that 3 means that one of the pieces of the local network 4 that was utilized. One of the things that the 5 carrier used that was owned by the local exchange 6 carrier was a switch, and they purchased switching 7 as one of the pieces. They purchased switched 8 access.

9 In the Washington tariffs, state tariffs, 10 for example, you can turn to the access tariff 11 section, and you will see various descriptions of 12 different pieces of access. Another type of access 13 is called special access. That's a -- it's akin to 14 private line. And it's simply a direct wire from 15 the carrier's switch, the AT&T switch as an 16 example, long distance, directly to some business 17 building in Seattle or Olympia or wherever.

18 It will not go through any Qwest switch. It 19 will go directly from AT&T's building or their 20 switch to that end user. Carriers do that to avoid 21 paying switched access, and instead buy special 22 access.

23 So if you think of access as a general term, 24 we talk about access tariffs, it's a whole section 25 of the tariffs. And then switched access is a type

of access that involves LEC switching or CLEC 1 switching. Local calling area is the area that you 2 are entitled to call. And by area, I am talking 3 4 about the geographic area where the parties reside that you can reach by placing a local telephone 5 б call as part of your basic flat-rated service. Anything that goes beyond that local calling 7 area will be carried by a toll carrier, and a toll 8 9 charge to the end user would apply. And whoever 10 the toll carrier is normally would be paying access 11 for the utilization of some or all of the network. 12 Wire center, I think, has been covered. 13 Rate center, I think people have covered as well. 14 It's a V&H coordinate. The way I would explain it 15 is -- and we have private line tariffs, and LIS, 16 schedule A's, and everything else that talk about

18 where do you measure from Olympia to Seattle? Do you measure from the south end of Olympia to the 19 20 north end of the Seattle local calling area, or 21 from the south end of Seattle to the north end of 22 the Olympia local calling area? In fact, there's a 23 rate point, a V&H coordinate for all of those locations in the tariffs. And that's the measuring 24 point for distance sensitive pieces of the tariff. 25

50 miles or 75 miles, or whatever as a price point,

0831

1	So if I wanted to know how long was a
2	private line from here to Blaine, Washington, I
3	would look at the two rate centers, or the two V&H $$
4	coordinates and it should be an engineer, not
5	me, should be able to calculate that.
б	Q When you were answering some of Mr. Thayer's
7	questions, you were talking about QCC's services,
8	and to the extent they were providing a VNXX
9	service or not. And so there was some discussion
10	about that. Do you remember that?
11	A I do.
12	Q And I want to make sure I understood, in
13	your discussion about whether QCC would be paying
14	QC, either the ISP I guess it would be ISP would
15	be paying reciprocal comp, reciprocal compensation
16	to QC, you used the term whether it's within the
17	local exchange boundaries or not was the
18	determining point. Do you remember that?
19	A I remember discussions about all of that.
20	I'm not sure I remember it in the way you have just
21	described it.
22	Q But I remember, I wrote down that you used
23	the term local exchange boundary?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did you mean that to be the same as the

1 local calling area?

2 A Yes.

3 Q But is an exchange area always the same as a 4 local calling area?

I can give you an example. I'm not sure how 5 Α 6 I would answer your question, but I can give you an example where Verizon would have a local exchange, 7 8 Owest would have a local change. But those two 9 locals exchanges together might be one local 10 calling area. So you can have two companies, each 11 with a local exchange side by side, but one local 12 calling area.

13 Q Okay. I am just trying to make sure I 14 understand the language that is at issue in this 15 contract, in this arbitration dispute about a local 16 calling area, and the use of your words local 17 exchange to make sure I am understanding the issue. Yes. And I apologize if I was imprecise. 18 Α But I would say I meant local calling area when I 19 20 said the local exchange. There could theoretically 21 be a scenario where that would not necessarily 22 match up, but it was my intention in using that 23 term to describe a local calling area. I just wanted to clarify that to make sure I 24

Q I just wanted to clarify that to make sure Iunderstood.

1	And just a few other clarifying issues. If
2	you turn to page 12 of your replacement direct, and
3	that's on Exhibit 51 T. In lines 14 through 22 you
4	are talking about VNXX, and the definition or what
5	it is. And I am wondering if you can tell me how
6	local number portability fits into this, and
7	whether LNP, or local number portability, is an
8	exception for the rule you were talking about about
9	assigning numbers.
10	A I want to go back and confirm this, but my
11	recollection is that you cannot port a number from
12	one local calling area to another local calling
13	area. You can port the number from one provider of
14	local service in Olympia to another provider of
15	local service in Olympia, but it would still be
16	associated with the Olympia exchange. Setting the
17	VNXX issue aside, whether or not someone gave an
18	Olympia telephone number to someone outside of
19	Olympia, I would say with the exception of VNXX and
20	whether or not that's permissible, I would say
21	numbers are assigned to people in the local
22	exchange that the number is associated with.
23	Q So, for example, if I lived in Olympia and I
24	decided to switch from one carrier that I was using
25	to another, and I wasn't moving, I could retain the

1 same number?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Or if I move from one house to another in
4 Olympia, and didn't change carriers, I could keep
5 that same number as well?

6 You could keep that same number if you Α didn't change carriers. You could also move from 7 8 one house to another house, and at the same time 9 switch from Qwest to AT&T or MCI for your local 10 provider, and ask AT&T to now give you that -- say 11 I want to keep my old phone number, and through 12 number portability you could keep your old phone 13 number and still morph to a new carrier.

But if you move to Renton and said, I want to keep my old Olympia phone number, you should not be able to port, through number portability, a number, an Olympia number to a Renton address.

Q Okay. That's what I wanted to know. If you turn to page 15, I want to clarify my understanding of the term SPOP, or S-P-O-P, single point presence. Is that what it stands for?

22 A Yes.

Q And although I was involved with the SGAT
negotiations -- S-G-A-T -- I just need some clarity
on this. Is that term, or the product SPOP,

something that is Qwest's policy, or is it 1 2 something that the Commission has approved? And, 3 again, the SGAT process went on for a long time, 4 and it was awhile ago, and I just don't remember. Well, it is part of the standard available 5 А 6 terms, standard generally available terms. 7 Statement of --Q It is part of the statement of generally 8 Α available terms that was approved by the 9 10 Commission. So it's both Qwest's policy to offer 11 that to CLECs, and that term has been -- or the 12 terms and conditions associated with it are part of 13 the document that was approved by the Commission. 14 It was not -- we were not -- let me back up. 15 The CLECs argued, we want to be able to offer local 16 service in different communities, let's say 17 Olympia, without having to put a switch in every 18 community. We ought to be able to serve the various towns with one switch in Seattle. 19 20 Especially if you are only going to get 10 or 15 21 local customers in Olympia, it doesn't make much 22 sense to have to put a switch in every local 23 calling area. So they argued that they should be able to 24

25 serve these other locations from a single switch.

1	And Qwest agreed to that, and put that in the SGAT.
2	And the parties negotiated, slash, argued on the
3	terms and conditions of how it would be available.
4	But it consists of, you connect at a single point,
5	and then you buy a local interconnection service,
6	or LIS, that will transport you back and forth to
7	those various communities. So you could connect in
8	Seattle, and buy a LIS trunk down to Olympia to
9	exchange local Olympia traffic.
10	Q Okay. Thank you. If you will turn to page
11	74 of that same testimony, on line 21 at the
12	bottom, you use the term, optional parameter input.
13	What does that mean?
14	A I apologize, Your Honor. Page 74?
15	Q Yes. The question at the bottom
16	A I see it.
17	Q Do you see it?
18	A As Mr. Linse (reading document.) A
19	parameter, if you think of and I am referring to
20	Mr. Linse's testimony, so I don't want to
21	represent, nor would Mr. Linse let me if he were
22	here that I am a network engineer.
23	But I believe we have depicted and talked
24	about a signaling parameter as being a string of
25	codes. And I forget the acronym that Mr. Linse

used for one of those codes, TCN, or something, 1 2 this morning. There are also places in that string 3 of codes that are called optional parameters. That 4 is to say, it's a blank space that can be used for various purposes. 5 6 And I believe -- and I am certainly getting out to my edges of signaling here -- and I believe 7 Level 3 had a proposal that if we take one of these 8 optional parameters, one of these blank spaces, for 9 10 want of a better term, and populate it with a 11 certain indicator, that is a way that we can flag 12 or identify certain kinds of traffic. 13 0 Thank you. And then I have one last 14 question, and this is in your reply testimony which 15 is Exhibit 59 T, and it's on page 13. The very 16 bottom line, 25, there's a reference to the Central 17 Office Code Administration Guidelines. I don't 18 know if it's been referred to in testimony yet, I think so, as the COCAG, C-O-C-A-G. So my question 19 20 is, who issues these guidelines? 21 A It is an industry forum, and I am trying to 22 keep the various hierarchies -- there's the North 23 American Numbering Plan, and then there are quidelines associated with how those numbers are 24

25 distributed. And I don't know -- I believe the

guidelines fall under the North American Numbering 1 2 Plan. It, for years, was administered by the Bell 3 System when they were one entity. 4 Then as new competitors, like CLECs entered the market, there was issues over whether or not 5 б the Bell System should be managing a resource that the competitors needed as well, so it was handed 7 off to a third party. Lockheed Martin did it for 8 9 awhile, and I'm not sure who manages it now. 10 MR. CECIL: Who manages the numbering, 11 Larry? 12 JUDGE RENDAHL: My question is, and if one 13 of the attorneys knows this, for the purposes of 14 getting it into the record, who issues the COCAG? 15 MR. CECIL: Ordering and Billing Forum of 16 the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry 17 Solutions. 18 JUDGE RENDAHL: Speak into the mic, and say it slowly. 19 20 MR. CECIL: Ordering and Billing Forum of 21 the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry 22 Solutions. 23 MR. SMITH: I believe one of the cross exhibits, No. 66, Your Honor, is a set -- and I am 24

25 just looking at the front page, as Mr. Cecil says,

1	it's ATIS, Alliance for Telecommunication Industry
2	Solutions, which then says, "Sponsor of the
3	Industry Numbering Committee."
4	Now, I don't have any reason to disagree
5	with what he said, but this is what it at least
6	says on this document. And we would certainly not
7	object to this becoming part of the record. I
8	don't know that it's been offered.
9	JUDGE RENDAHL: I was going to ask that,
10	whether it's appropriate. Now, I don't have any
11	other questions, but before we go to
12	Mr. Williamson, I will turn to Mr. Thayer and
13	Mr. Cecil.
14	Is your intent to offer into admission what
15	has been marked as Exhibits 60 through 66, or any
16	parts of those?
17	MR. THAYER: No. Actually, no.
18	JUDGE RENDAHL: Would there be any objection
19	to admitting into the record what is marked as 66,
20	which is the COCAG we're talking about?
21	MR. THAYER: Not from Level 3.
22	JUDGE RENDAHL: Any objection from Qwest?
23	MR. SMITH: No.
24	JUDGE RENDAHL: So I will denote as
25	withdrawn, Exhibits 60 through 65, and we will
1	admit 66. And even though it is not yet received,
----	---
2	is there any objection to admitting in the Qwest
3	response to Level 3's first set of data requests?
4	MR. SMITH: (Shakes head.)
5	JUDGE RENDAHL: So what has been marked as
6	66 and what will become 67 will be admitted into
7	the record.
8	(EXHIBIT RECEIVED.)
9	JUDGE RENDAHL: I don't have any further
10	questions. Mr. Williamson, do you have any
11	questions for Mr. Brotherson?
12	
13	EXAMINATION
14	
15	BY MR. WILLIAMSON:
16	Q Yes, I do. Mr. Brotherson, on your
17	replacement direct testimony, 51 T, page 57 at line
18	17, you explain the proposed language for the
19	definition of VOIP from Qwest.
20	A I apologize. Could you repeat that
21	reference?
22	Q It's page 57, starting line 17, and through
23	top of the next page.
24	A Yes, I have it.
25	Q And there you say that the definition should

be that VOIP is only -- can only be a call that is 1 originated in IP, not terminated in IP? 2 3 A For purposes of this contract where we use 4 the term VOIP traffic in the contract, that would be correct. Because if it's terminating to someone 5 б on broadband, it's not going through the public 7 network. It's going through the internet, and then 8 over someone's broadband to their equipment. 9 Q Here's where I am confused. If I originate 10 a call to you, who happen to have a Vonage service, 11 and I originate on the PSTN but terminate to you on 12 Vonage, which would be a termination on the IP 13 network, my understanding of the FCC's definition 14 is that that is a VOIP call? 15 А Right. May be under the FCC's definition. 16 It is not a type of call under this agreement, that is to say, for the exchange of traffic that is 17 18 relevant, because it would be treated as a PSTN 19 call. 20 I quess I am still confused. In, I think Q 21 it's Mr. Easton's testimony, attachments to 22 Mr. Easton's testimony for the agreements between 23 Bell South, SBC, Verizon, and Level 3, all three of those companies agree that VOIP can be originated 24 or terminated at IP. And Qwest says no. So I am 25

1	just confused as to why?
2	A I am not sure I would say that it can't be
3	originated or terminated. I would say in terms of
4	describing compensable traffic in an
5	interconnection agreement, that the traffic that
6	was being described was limited to traffic
7	originating in IP and terminating in TDM as a
8	terminating charge.
9	Q And I guess I will try one more time,
10	because this is where I am confused
11	A And I want to close it, too.
12	Q If I originate from a Qwest line to a VOIP
13	carrier that uses Level 3, so I originate on the
14	PSTN and the call is routed via your network to the
15	Level 3 network and completes over IP, to Qwest
16	that's not a VOIP call, or that traffic cannot be
17	carried to Level 3 through this agreement?
18	A The you can't pick up a telephone and
19	place a call directly to the internet, if you were.
20	You dial, in fact, a telephone number assigned to
21	someone who is, in fact, connected to the public
22	switch network as a customer. It may be that
23	Vonage or Skype has connected to the PSTN as a
24	customer in some location, and been assigned
25	telephone numbers.

1	And once you arrive at their equipment and
2	they receive that call, they may turn it into IP
3	packets, and send it out over the internet. But
4	your call was to a PSTN telephone number. So if I
5	sit here and I dial a here in Olympia, and I
6	dial a Seattle telephone number, the call will be
7	routed through switches over the PSTN and
8	terminated to whoever is providing local service to
9	the customer of that telephone number. I am trying
10	to think of a telephone number, and I am drawing a
11	blank
12	Q 206-345
13	A So if I sit here in Olympia and dial
14	206-345, the call would be routed from the Qwest
15	telephone in this room to a switch. It would then
16	be routed up to Seattle, and in Seattle the call
17	would be delivered to whoever the local subscriber
18	is of telephone number 206-345.
19	It is only after the call is delivered to
20	the subscriber of that PSTN service that that
21	entity would then take that traffic, convert it
22	into IP packets, and send it out over the internet.
23	And, in fact, it might, once converted into
24	IP packets it might, once converted into IP
25	packets, travel over the internet and ring on

1 somebody's IP equipment, let's say wherever they happen to be located and plugged into the internet. 2 3 But the first leg of that call was not a 4 call to the internet. It was a call to a telephone number on the PSTN. 5 I understand how the VOIP call works. I am 6 Q only confused -- and you may not be able to answer 7 it, but I am only confused that three of the other 8 9 ILECs in agreements have differed from Qwest. And 10 I was curious as to why Qwest would choose that 11 particular response, as opposed to what the others 12 are? 13 А And I think it's because we treat that call 14 as a PSTN call, and it only becomes a VOIP call 15 once it arrives at Vonage and they send it on from 16 there. 17 0 Okay. 18 JUDGE RENDAHL: With that, are there any other questions for the witness? 19 20 MR. SMITH: None from Qwest. 21 MR. THAYER: None from Level 3. 22 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Brotherson. 23 You may be excused. There's one more housekeeping matter before 24

25 we leave, and that is I would like to know if

there's any objection to admitting into the record 1 2 what has been marked as Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, which 3 would be the Qwest draft interconnection agreement 4 and the Level 3 draft interconnection agreement and disputed issues list. 5 6 MR. SMITH: I think the answer from Qwest is no, although at the beginning we had the issue 7 about the template. And I believe the parties are 8 9 committed to trying to work that out to where 10 ultimately 1 and 2 might be replaced by something. 11 JUDGE RENDAHL: Right. Or we could 12 designate another one as 4, which would be the 13 agreed-to version. 14 MR. SMITH: I think that would be a good 15 idea. 16 JUDGE RENDAHL: So we will leave a place 17 holder there before Mr. Wilson's exhibits. So is 18 there any objection to admitting in what has been marked as 1, 2, and 3? 19 20 MR. THAYER: No objections from Level 3. 21 (EXHIBIT RECEIVED.) 22 JUDGE RENDAHL: And you will have to remind 23 me what you all had agreed to in terms of trying to resolve the final contract. Was that prior to the 24 briefs being filed, or what was that agreement? 25

1	MR. CECIL: We said we were going to do that
2	within the next week, I believe, because we can't
3	get to briefing unless we know what contract this
4	language is going to sit in.
5	JUDGE RENDAHL: Is that Qwest's
6	understanding?
7	MR. DETHLEFS: That kind of arrangement will
8	work. Next week is pretty tough for both Mr. Smith
9	and myself, so if we can have a few extra days
10	beyond the end of next week, that would help.
11	JUDGE RENDAHL: Let's set a deadline.
12	MR. DETHLEFS: And we need to wait for
13	transcripts before we can do the briefs.
14	MR. SMITH: I think we already do have a
15	briefing schedule.
16	JUDGE RENDAHL: We do have a briefing
17	schedule. The briefs are due on December 1st, so
18	that gives you plenty of time. But if you you
19	can either set a date of well, Friday the 10th
20	is a holiday, so we could do it Thursday, or Monday
21	the 13th. Thursday the 9th or Monday the 13th is
22	the contract deadline.
23	MR. THAYER: Thursday the 9th works for us.
24	MR. DETHLEFS: That's fine with us, too.
25	JUDGE RENDAHL: So Thursday the 9th is the

1	deadline for submitting your agreed-to contract to
2	us. And if you could do that in the same format
3	that you did before, which is a Word document and
4	PDF file, that would be very helpful.
5	With that, is there anything else we need to
6	do on the record?
7	All right. Thank you very much. This
8	hearing is adjourned, and we're off the record.
9	ENDING TIME: 2:30 p.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	