Docket Nos. UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894 (Consolidated) - Vol. III

WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities

July 7, 2021



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Page 38	Page 40
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)) Complainant,) Docket Nos.) UE-200900 v.) UG-200901) UE-200894 AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a) AVISTA UTILITIES,)) Respondent.) EVIDENTIARY HEARING, VOLUME III Pages 38 - 247 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Taken Remotely Via Teams Videoconference DATE: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 REPORTED BY: Ronald L. Cook CCR, CRR, RDR, FAPR WA CCR #2523; CA CSR #13928	FOR AVISTA: A PPEARANCES CONTINUED FOR AVISTA: A POBOX 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: SIMON FFITCH 10 321 High School Road Northeast Suite D3, #383 11 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 206.669.8197 simon@fitichlaw.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR AWEC: CORINNE O. MILINOVICH Davison Van Cleve, PC 1750 Southwest Harbor Way Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201 503.241.7242 com@dvclaw.com (Via Teams videoconference) FOR INLAND EMPIRE PAPER COMPANY: TYLER PEPPLE Davison Van Cleve, PC 1750 Southwest Harbor Way Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201 503.241.7242 24 tp@dvclaw.com (Via Teams videoconference)
1 APPEARANCES 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 4 ANDREW J. O'CONNELL (Via Teams videoconference) 5 COMMISSIONERS: 7 DAVID W. DANNER, Chair ANN RENDAHL, Commissioner 8 JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner (Via Teams videoconference) 9 10 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: 11 JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI JEFF ROBERSON 12 NASH I. CALLAGHAN HARRY FUKANO 13 JOE M. DALLAS DANIEL J. TEIMOURI 14 Assistant Attomeys General PO Box 47250 15 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186 16 jennifer.cameron-rulkowski@utc.wa.gov jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov harry.fukano@utc.wa.gov harry.fukano@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov 19 joe.dallas@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov clan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov Soldalsa@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov Soldalsa@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov Soldalsa@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri@utc.wa.gov dan.teimouri.gutc.wa.gov dan.te	Page 41 APPEARANCES CONTINUED FOR THE SIERRA CLUB: JESSICA YARNALL LOARIE 2101 WEBSTER STREET Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612 jessica.yarnall@sierraclub.org (Via Teams videoconference) ****** ***** ***** ***** 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 (Pages 38 to 41)

	<u> </u>	
	Page 42	Page 44
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	1 No other participant or observer should
2	WITNESS	2 appear on video.
3 4	WITNESS PAGE HEATHER ROSENTRATER	3 Let's take short appearances for the
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 100	4 representatives of the parties, and we'll start with
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 128	5 Avista.
6	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 179	6 MR. MEYER: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.
7 8	LARRY LA BOLLE	7 David Meyer, for Avista.
0	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 100 Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 128	8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
9	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 179	9 And for staff.
10	JOSHUA DILUCIANO	10 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer
11	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 100	11 Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General,
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 128 Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 179	appearing on behalf of staff. And with me are Jeff
13	ELIZABETH ANDREWS	Roberson, Nash Callaghan, Harry Fukano, Joe Dallas,
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 183	Dan Teimouri, all Assistant Attorneys General.
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 203	15 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
15	Recross-Examination by Ms. Suetake 206	And appearing for Public Counsel.
16	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Meyer 230	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. My
17		name is Nina Suetake. I am an Assistant Attorney
18		19 General for the Public Counsel unit.
19		20 And I'm here as solo practitioner in
20 21		21 this. Sorry.
22		JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.
23		23 And for the Alliance of Western Energy
24		24 Consumers. 25 MS. MILINOVICH: Good morning. Your
25		MS. MILINOVICH: Good morning, Your
	Page 43	Page 45
1	WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021	1 Honor. Corinne Milinovich, from the law firm Davison
2	9:54 A.M.	2 Van Cleve, on behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy
3	000	3 Consumers.
4	PROCEEDINGS	4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
5		5 And for Inland Empire Paper.
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record.	6 MR. PEPPLE: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	Good morning. It is Wednesday, July 7,	7 Tyler Pepple, appearing on behalf of Inland Empire
8	2021. The time is approximately 9:55 a.m.	8 Paper.
9	My name is Andrew O'Connell. I am an	9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
10	administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities	10 And appearing on behalf of The Energy
11	and Transportation Commission, and I'll be presiding	11 Project.
12	in this matter, along with the Commissioners, who will	MR. FFITCH: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	join us via video in a moment.	13 Simon ffitch, appearing for The Energy Project.
14	We are here today for an evidentiary and	14 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
15	settlement hearing in consolidated Dockets UE-200900,	15 And for the Sierra Club.
16	UG-200901, and UE-200894, which is Avista's electric	16 MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Good morning, Your
17	and natural gas general rate case, and also it's a	Honor. Jessica Yarnall Loarie, senior attorney,
18	county petition to recover costs associated with its	appearing on behalf of the Sierra Club.
19	wildfire resiliency plan.	19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
20	We're using videoconferencing software	20 I want to turn to exhibits. The parties
21	for today's hearing. The software makes it possible	have stipulated to the admission of all prefiled
22	to see video of myself and the Commissioners during	testimony exhibits, including all recently revised
	the hearing and allows us to see video of the	23 testimony exhibits, except for the cross exhibits
23		
24	witnesses while they testify and the parties'	intended for Commission staff witness Jordan by Public

Page 46 Page 48 1 ELJ-11X through ELJ-15X. 1 case and file them with the Commission by next Friday, 2 2 I will reserve ruling on their admission July 16? 3 into evidence until we get to Staff's witness Jordan, 3 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, I believe that 4 4 and Public Counsel will have the opportunity to lay would be enough time. 5 5 the foundation and establish the relevance of those JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Then I will 6 exhibits, and may ask at that time to have them 6 designate this request for those public comments as 7 7 Bench Request No. 3, or BR-3, and the response from admitted to the record. 8 I also have two modifications to note for 8 Public Counsel will be due to be filed by Friday, 9 9 the record. First, the Alliance of Western Energy July 16, 2021. 10 10 Consumers, or AWEC, has filed a letter to the docket I also have several additional bench 11 at my request explaining that it wishes to strike a 11 requests -- four, in fact -- that I want to present 12 portion of its own testimony from its witness Mullins, 12 and discuss due dates with the parties before we talk 13 and that is Exhibit BGM-1T and Section 4 from Page 25, 13 about the schedule for today's hearing. I will issue Line 13. to Page 27. Line 14. 14 a notice after this hearing formalizing these 14 15 I want to confirm now with Ms. Milinovich 15 requests. 16 that this is still AWEC's request. Is that correct? 16 First, the Bench Request 4 is directed to 17 MS. MILINOVICH: Yes, Your Honor, that's 17 Public Counsel. Will you please file with the 18 18 Commission updated revenue requirement models and correct. 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. That 19 associated exhibits from your witness Crane. 20 20 I understand from our group e-mail testimony is struck, as requested. 21 Second, I am rejecting four cross 21 discussion that those impacts will be known -- or will 22 exhibits intended by the Sierra Club for 22 not be known until after your cross-examination at 23 cross-examination of Avista witness Thackston. The 23 this hearing. I would like to ask if you would be 24 rejection should not affect Sierra Club's intended 24 able to make those updates and file them by July 16. 25 cross-examination because these exhibits are 25 the same date as we just set for the filing of the Page 47 Page 49 1 duplicates of testimony and exhibits already filed by 1 public comments. 2 2 Thackston on behalf of Avista. MS. SUETAKE: Yes, that date will be 3 3 The exhibits I'm rejecting have been fine. 4 identified on the exhibit list as JRT-31X, and that is 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will set that 5 5 a duplicate of Exhibit JRT-1T -date, then, as well. 6 And as I go through this, Ms. Yarnall 6 Next, Bench Request No. 5 is directed to 7 Loarie, correct me if I am mistaken that they are 7 AWEC. In order to memorialize the updated revenue 8 duplicates. 8 requirement models submitted in response to my request 9 9 The second of the four exhibits is related to the testimony and adjustments I already 10 Exhibit JRT-32X, and that is a duplicate of 10 mentioned, we think those models should be received Exhibit JRT-12T; the third is Exhibit JRT-33X, which 11 11 into evidence as the response to a bench request. 12 is a duplicate of Exhibit JRT-10; and JRT-34CX, which 12 Doing so will also provide all parties the opportunity to object to those revenue requirement models. 13 is a duplicate of JRT-13C. 13 14 I would just ask that during 14 The models have already been submitted so cross-examination, Ms. Yarnall Loarie, if you would 15 15 I consider the bench request to have already been please instead refer to the original versions of those 16 responded to by AWEC, but will require any objection 16 17 exhibits instead of the cross exhibits, please. 17 to the revenue requirement models to be filed by 18 MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Yes, Your Honor. 18 Monday, July 12, 2021, which is five days after today, 19 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: So with those which is consistent with our rules regarding 20 exceptions, the two that I just have mentioned and the 20 objections to bench request responses. 21 cross exhibits intended for staff witness Jordan, the 21 Bench Requests No. 6 and 7 are directed 22 testimony exhibits are admitted as stipulated. 22 to Avista. For Bench Request No. 6, the Commission is 23 Okay, I want to turn to public comments. 23 aware of Avista's recent filing in Docket U-210254 24 Ms. Suetake, would Public Counsel have enough time to 24 regarding its wildfire resiliency plan. The 25 25 compile any public comments received regarding this Commission wants this information to be included in

Page 50 Page 52 1 we will start with Public Counsel's witnesses and 1 these dockets because we find it is relevant for our 2 2 understanding of the issues here. So will you please their testimony, and then followed by staff's witness 3 3 file in this case the same cover letter and 2020 and that cross-examination. 4 4 wildfire resiliency plan that you filed with the MR. MEYER: I have a point of 5 5 Commission in Docket U-210254 on July 1st, 2020, and clarification, if I might. So after the three-person 6 please do it by July 14th, 2021. 6 panel, the Rosentrater, DiLuciano and La Bolle, our 7 7 MR. MEYER: That can be done. Thank you. remaining witnesses in the order that we intended to 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. 8 present them were Andrews, then Mr. Thackston, and 9 And last, Bench Request No. 7 regards AMI 9 then we have four other witnesses that in your 10 10 costs. We want to see the updated actual AMI cost correspondence yesterday you indicated you might have 11 data through July of this year. That is still about 11 questions for: Mr. Thies, Mr. Miller, Mr. Kensok and 12 three weeks away, so I want to ask, Mr. Meyer, when a 12 Mr. Howell. So that was the order that we were 13 13 realistic deadline would be for Avista to submit that intending to present the case. Does that work for the actual AMI cost data through July. Would August 13 as 14 14 bench? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. That is also the 15 a due date, which is approximately two weeks after the 15 16 end of the month -- would that provide enough time for 16 order that we had anticipated and expected, so it's 17 Avista to prepare that data? 17 good that we're on the same page. 18 MR. MEYER: Let me have just a moment, 18 MR. MEYER: Very good. 19 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And as I mentioned in please. 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. 20 our brief e-mail discussion, if in the course of the 21 21 (Discussion off the record.) hearing it becomes apparent that we've gotten the 22 MR. MEYER: We can do that, Your Honor. 22 information that we need, it may turn out that we 23 23 Thank you. don't have questions for some of the later witnesses. 24 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Then 24 MR. MEYER: Understood. Thank you. 25 I will set August 13th as the due date for that AMI 2.5 JUDGE O'CONNELL: We will -- as we go Page 51 Page 53 1 1 along we will update you with whether they can be cost statement. 2 2 excused, but we need them to be on standby at least Okay. Let's talk about today's hearing 3 3 and the schedule. for now. 4 First, the Commissioners and I will have 4 Okay. And after we've heard from all the 5 5 our video on the entire hearing, and we ask that only witnesses, we'll hear any last comments from the 6 those witnesses who are part of a panel or who are 6 parties and address any outstanding procedural 7 testifying on their own turn on their cameras while 7 8 testifying. Attorneys should turn on their video when 8 So as I mentioned before the hearing, we 9 9 they want to speak or otherwise be identified by the have a hard stop today at 4:00 p.m., and so I do think 10 bench. Please keep your microphones muted, witnesses 10 that our hearing will need to resume on Friday at 9:30 and attorneys, at all times until you want to speak. 11 in the morning, but we'll see how quickly the hearing 11 12 We will start with the settlement panel, 12 moves along. 13 with witnesses from the settling parties, and also 13 Are there any questions? MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, our Public Counsel's witness Dahl. All witnesses should 14 14 15 please have their video turned on during the panel. 15 cross-examination estimates have changed. Would you 16 16 Next, we'll have a panel regarding the like to hear about that at this time? 17 17 special contract between Inland Empire and Avista, JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, please. 18 18 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Staff is waiving with witnesses Bonfield and Rasler. We'll then hear 19 from the remaining company witnesses, taking them one 19 its cross of witness Andrews from Avista and witness 20 20 Thackston from Avista. 21 Well, I apologize. Not one at a time. 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 22 We'll start with a panel of Rosentrater, DiLuciano and 22 Are there any other updates to cross 23 La Bolle. Then after we complete testimony from 23 estimates or any other questions procedurally before 24 Avista's witnesses, either this afternoon or if it 24 we get started with the settlement panel? 25 25 MS. SUETAKE: Yes, Your Honor. This is spills over into Friday, depending on the time of day,

	Page 54		Page 56
1	Nina Suetake.	1	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. You
2	I believe I'm going to have to update my	2	may put your hands down.
3	time estimate for Ms. Jordan from one hour to two	3	Now, let's have each of the witnesses
4	hours. I was a little I was a little too	4	introduce themselves for the record, spelling their
5	optimistic initially.	5	last name, beginning with Avista's witness.
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	6	MR. EHRBAR: Yes. Good morning. This is
7	MS. YARNALL LOARIE: And, Your Honor,	7	Patrick Ehrbar. Last name is E-h-r-b-a-r.
8	Sierra Club also actually intends to waive our cross	8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
9	of witness Thackston.	9	And for staff.
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	10	MR. BALL: Good morning. This Jason
11	Is there with staff and Sierra Club	11	Ball, last name B-a-I-I.
12	waiving cross-examination of Thackston, does that only	12	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
13	leave Public Counsel as far as parties'	13	And for the Alliance of Western Energy
14	cross-examination of Thackston?	14	Consumers.
15	MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, Public Counsel	15	MR. MULLINS: Good morning. This is Brad
16	didn't request cross.	16	Mullins, last name M-u-l-l-i-n-s.
17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: My apologies.	17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
18	Is there with those waiving from staff	18	And for Inland Empire Paper.
19	and Sierra Club, is there any I do not believe,	19	MR. KAUFMAN: Good morning. This is
20	then, that there's any cross-examination intended for	20	Lance Kaufman, L-a-n-c-e, K-a-u-f-m-a-n.
21	Mr. Thackston. Is that correct?	21	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
22	Okay. Hearing no objection, I think	22	For The Energy Project.
23	that's correct.	23	MR. COLLINS: Good morning. Shawn
24	The Commissioners, we still have bench	24	Collins, director of The Energy Project, last name
25	questions for Thackston, so he will need to remain	25	spelled C-o-l-l-i-n-s.
	Page 55		
	rage 33		Page 57
1		1	
1 2	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please	1 2	Page 57 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club.
	ready to testify.	l	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.
2	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please	2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club.
2	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone,	2 3	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my
2 3 4	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone.	2 3 4	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the
2 3 4 5	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and	2 3 4 5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems
2 3 4 5 6	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join	2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us.	2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their video and let's have our settlement panel turn on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound. I experienced similar technical issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their video and let's have our settlement panel turn on their video.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound. I experienced similar technical issues and had to restart my computer prior to the beginning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their video and let's have our settlement panel turn on their video. Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound. I experienced similar technical issues and had to restart my computer prior to the beginning of this hearing, so I am quite sympathetic for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their video and let's have our settlement panel turn on their video. Okay. Thank you. And to all the settlement panel witnesses and Public Counsel witness Dahl, will you please raise your right hand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound. I experienced similar technical issues and had to restart my computer prior to the beginning of this hearing, so I am quite sympathetic for the frustration that can come with having those technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ready to testify. Okay. If you are not speaking, please mute your microphones, and if you're on the telephone, please mute your telephone. With that, I will ask Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas to join us. I see that the chair has turned on his video, so has Commissioner Balasbas, and as soon as Commissioner Rendahl joins us, we will get started with the settlement panel. MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me? Andrew, I'm here. My camera is on. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Excellent. I can see you now. I think it's one of those things where the number of people I can see on my screen is limited, but I see and hear you now. Thank you, Commissioner. Let's have the attorneys turn off their video and let's have our settlement panel turn on their video. Okay. Thank you. And to all the settlement panel witnesses and Public Counsel witness Dahl, will you please raise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. And for the Sierra Club. MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, my witness this is Jessica Yarnall Loarie, for the Sierra Club. He said he might be having some problems with audio and may need to call back in. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Right. Okay. Well, let's give Mr. Piedfort a moment to see if he can clear that up. Let's move on to the witness for Public Counsel. MR. DAHL: Yes, this is Corey Dahl, for Public Counsel, first name C-o-r-e-y, last name Dahl, D-a-h-l. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. So right now we are just waiting on Sierra Club's witness to resolve certain technical issues he's having with the sound. I experienced similar technical issues and had to restart my computer prior to the beginning of this hearing, so I am quite sympathetic for the frustration that can come with having those technical issues.

Page 58 Page 60 1 if it would just be faster for Mr. Piedfort to call 1 Mr. Piedfort, are you on? 2 2 in, to not delay the hearing at the same time. Would JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Yarnall Loarie, that be acceptable? 3 3 could you please identify for our staff the phone JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Please have 4 number that he is calling in from? It may be an issue 4 5 5 of his telephone being muted from our end, and I want Mr. Piedfort call in. The call-in information is in 6 the public notice, and I would appreciate if, while he 6 to make sure that we can make sure that that phone 7 7 is appearing via telephone, if he would continue to number is unmuted. 8 try and resolve the computer issue or restart it or 8 And I think he needs to unmute his 9 whatever he may need to do. 9 telephone by pressing star 6, or he could try that. 10 MR. PIEDFORT: Your Honor, can you hear MR. MEYER: This is David Meyer. Might I 10 11 take a moment while we're waiting and -- good morning. 11 me at this time? 12 We discussed this with the judge before we went on the 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. 13 record, but just so you know, for any questioning you 13 MR. PIEDFORT: Great. My apologies for have as Commissioners of the joint testimony in 14 14 the snafus. Appreciate it. support of the partial settlement, it would appear JUDGE O'CONNELL: That's all right. 15 15 that the entirety of that testimony was marked 16 16 So the witness before the Sierra Club for confidential, when, in fact, only two pages have 17 17 the settlement panel, if you would please identify 18 confidential information. And those are Pages 32 and 18 yourself and spell your last name for the record. 19 33. So everything else is fair game, if you will, for 19 MR. PIEDFORT: Sure. My name is Jesse 20 public discussion, except for the shaded numbers in 20 Piedfort. My last name is spelled P-i-e-d-f-o-r-t. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 21 Pages 32 and 33. 21 22 And we've agreed to resubmit that exhibit 22 So with that, we're ready to begin the 23 with only those pages identified as confidential. 23 Commissioners' questions for the settlement panel. I And the same --24 24 will turn it over to the Commissioners. 25 Go ahead. Sorry. 25 MS. RENDAHL: Judge O'Connell, did you Page 59 Page 61 1 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: No, go ahead, swear in the witnesses? 2 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. They've all been Mr. Meyer. 3 3 Thank you for remembering. I wanted to sworn in. memorialize this on the record, so please go ahead 4 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 4 I guess I will start. Good morning, 5 with the other exhibit for Mr. Bonfield and 5 6 6 Mr. Rasler. everyone. 7 MR. MEYER: Surely. 7 I have a question related to the 8 8 time-of-use pilots. The settlement provides that The exhibits for Bonfield and Rasler, 9 Avista will develop, in consultation with 9 that's marked SJB-KR-1CT. There are -- seven pages, 10 in fact, had confidential information shaded, but the 10 stakeholders, an opt-in time-of-use -- opt-in time-of-use pilots and peak time rebate pilots for 11 entire exhibit was -- the joint testimony was marked 11 general service and residential customers. So if 12 as confidential. We will resubmit that in the same 12 13 fashion, just identifying those pages. 13 these are pilots, won't they, by necessity, be opt in, 14 or how does the opt-in feature function in these 14 And I think that as you work through and pilots? look at that testimony, you can identify the shaded 15 15 numbers, if you will, and you can work your way around 16 16 That's a question for anyone who is that. So hopefully that helps with any Commissioner 17 willing to testify to that. 17 18 MR. EHRBAR: Sure. This is Pat Ehrbar. 18 examination. 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you, 19 I'll take a stab and then probably defer 20 Mr. Meyer. 20 to Mr. Ball. 21 Ms. Yarnall Loarie, is your witness on 21 For this -- yes, I think we're going 22 the telephone? 22 to -- first and foremost, we want to learn from what 23 MS. YARNALL LOARIE: Your Honor, I 23 the other utilities are doing, and I know staff and 24 24 PacifiCorp and a lot of parties to this case are believe he is. 25 actively working on these things. So we'll learn a 25 Jesse, can you speak up?

Page 62

lot from what happens in those dockets, but I think you're right that a pilot would, by necessity, be an opt in, not an opt out. So I think we'd see anything as a voluntary opt-in type program.

But I'll defer to Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: This is Jason Ball.

That's correct. I think the reason why we memorialized that in the settlement stipulation was just to make it abundantly clear that we were not agreeing to a program that was going to be opt out.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Commissioner Rendahl, you're muted, and we can't hear you at the moment.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ RENDAHL: Oh, such a classic moment. Okay.

So I guess why not test both the opt in and opt out to see how they perform? In terms of customer uptake.

MR. BALL: Opt-out programs are extremely difficult to test in a pilot capacity because, as you -- as you rightly pointed out, pilot programs are designed to find willing participants. The design of the pilot could include elements -- and should honestly include elements of participants who are a part of a pilot but are not actually receiving the pilot's rates. It's kind of like a control group.

these kinds of price signals.

The only way -- in my mind, the only way to really get a truly representative sample that could identify for you the nature of an opt-out program would be to expand the pilot to a broad enough range that you had participants who wouldn't normally be characterized as the most willing, which, generally speaking, goes far beyond the scale and scope of what constitutes the normal pilot. Those are very, very large programs and would make up a sizable portion of the ratepayer population.

Page 64

So here --

Sorry. Go ahead.

MR. DANNER: But couldn't they be scoped geographically or, you know, with a sample of the population? Isn't there a way to design it?

MR. BALL: Yes, that's completely possible and feasible. However, introducing geographical limitations introduces a new set of variables that have to be controlled for, and so what we're trying to do here is recognize that Avista's service territory is not necessarily homogeneous, and we need participants from across the service territory to be able to provide us with a truly representative sample of their entire ratepayer population.

Page 63

You could characterize them as opt in versus opt out. However, in terms of testing the actual pilot's effectiveness for creating an entire program that is an opt-out program, I think the only way to do that would be to do it on a much larger scale than the parties were anticipating in starting these pilot programs.

MS. RENDAHL: Does anyone else want to weigh in on that?

Okay.

MR. DANNER: Commissioner, can I just follow up? I just want to make sure I'm understanding, because when you have somebody opting in, that basically means they're aware of differential rates, where you have folks who are basically not opting in less aware of those differential rates, and -- I mean, how is it that the pilot will be helpful in terms of learning the behavior of the people who are going to be most affected by the time-of-use program?

MR. BALL: So this is kind of a chicken-and-egg problem with pilots, right? Pilots are -- by their very nature, are going to attract individuals who were probably already the most willing to engage in these kinds of programs and respond to

Page 65

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for

that.

Mr. Dahl, you were the -- you were, in your testimony, suggesting an opt out. I was wondering, was this -- what were you thinking in terms of the pilot?

MR. DAHL: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner Danner.

This is Corey Dahl, for the court reporter.

You know, following along with Jason's comments, I think he's right on. You know, we obviously have constraints with any sort of pilot with the population that we're dealing with, but in this particular case another reason why we thought it would be important for an opt-out model, especially in the case of time of use, is we know that -- or we can make a reasonable assumption that there is a certain amount of customers who cannot shift their load, due to whatever reasons, whether that's, you know, their work schedules, and we don't want those customers to be unnecessarily harmed by the design of the pilot, because we do really want to understand how and when customers are impacted by this sort of program.

So we just don't want to be in a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 66

situation where there are customers who just don't have discretionary load, for whatever reason, and in particular customers who might be part of a low-income or vulnerable population, we don't want them to be harmed in this pilot. And that's really an equity

And I will also note, and it's abundantly clear on the record, that we did not join the settlement, so I just want to make that abundantly

concern. So that's very important for us.

Ultimately we do recommend that the Commission adopt the settlement, we're comfortable with that, but we did not join the settlement due to the IEP contract. But that's obviously outside the scope of the pilots here.

MR. DANNER: Understood.

MS. RENDAHL: Chair Danner, I have a couple questions related to that. Do you have more? MR. DANNER: No. I'll turn it over to

you. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

So I'll just note that the settlement does include provisions that the pricing pilot and the monitoring and evaluation plan have to include equity measures and measure impact to low-income and

more information, if you've had some discussions about it, about what equity measures you're anticipating in the monitoring and evaluation plan and how those measures would relate to the customer benefit indicators that Avista has to propose in its upcoming CEIP, Clean Energy Implementation Plan?

Page 68

MR. EHRBAR: Maybe I'll take a stab and then defer to Jason.

I don't know if the staff has more thoughts in working with the other utilities. We have not broached that subject or gone down that road yet. Having reached this agreement and now being here today, we have not contemplated that issue vet, but I'm guessing staff probably has, so I'll defer to Jason.

MR. BALL: No. we haven't. So it's not so much that we haven't talked or worked on equity measures before; it's more that in building the settlement, we were focused on building a process by which we could then develop those equity measures. And that's what the settlement really represents, is the process to get them put together.

When we worked in a similar process with one of our other utilities, it took multiple hour-long meetings -- hours-long meetings, with a lot of various

Page 67

vulnerable populations. But in addition to vulnerable populations, CETA also includes highly impacted communities, so what does the monitoring and evaluation plan -- why does it include vulnerable populations and not highly impacted communities?

MR. BALL: This is Jason, with the Commission staff.

I think that was an oversight in language. I doubt very much that the parties intended to exclude highly impacted communities. I certainly didn't. I think we just used "vulnerable populations" as a catchall term when we shouldn't have.

But I don't want to speak for the other parties.

MR. EHRBAR: I'll agree with Jason. I think it's just language. So we'd be open to having that added in.

MS. RENDAHL: Are there any objections to adding that in from any member of the settlement

21 MR. MULLINS: Not from AWEC. 22 MR. KAUFMAN: None from IEP. 23 MR. COLLINS: None.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Great.

I'd like us -- can you all provide some

Page 69

participants, who were all very, very helpful, but it took a lot of meetings to really pull those together and develop those kinds of measures, and the parties here -- in the settlement negotiations for this were focused on just building that process for those meetings to occur.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So Chair Danner and Commissioner Balasbas, I don't have any other questions on the pricing pilots. Do you all have questions you want to

MR. DANNER: I just have one point of clarification. Looking at the settlement, I know there was also -- when you were talking about --

See if I can find it, but it was the use of the term -- there it is -- marginalized communities. You also talked about low-income, vulnerable and marginalized populations.

I just want to be clear that in every respect here we are talking about low-income and vulnerable populations and highly impacted communities. So every reference to that, is that -are we going to assume the language that is in CETA?

MR. BALL: Yes, I believe that's a

correct assumption.

	Page 70		Page 72
1	MR. DANNER: All right.	1	October 1, '21, through September 30 of '22.
2	MR. EHRBAR: I concur.	2	MS. RENDAHL: But wouldn't the rate
3	MR. DANNER: Thank you.	3	effective year be after the rates are in effect on
4	MS. RENDAHL: Well, I have a few	4	October 2021, so the pro forma period would be before
5	questions about the power supply update to the	5	that rate effective year?
6	settlement.	6	MR. EHRBAR: No. The pro forma period
7	In the settlement, at Paragraph 9, the	7	would be the rate effective year.
8	settlement provides that the update will add, quote,	8	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
9	"all incremental contracts with less than one year	9	But you're saying that it includes any
10	affecting the pro forma period," unquote. So how	10	contracts that are in effect that are in effect
11	there is no definition of "pro forma period" or "the	11	during that period, October 2020 to October 2021,
12	pro forma period" in the settlement. Can you explain	12	correct?
13	how you used the term "pro forma period"? What does	13	MR. EHRBAR: It would be any contracts
14	it mean and when does that period end?	14	that we enter into that affect October 2021 through
15	MR. EHRBAR: Yes. Again, this is Pat,	15	September of 2022. So that are known and entered into
16	with Avista.	16	for the rate effective period.
17	So the pro forma period, as I understand	17	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
18 19	it, and speaking for the parties I think we're all in unison here. Pro forma period would be the	18 19	I heard what you said earlier
20	·	20	differently, for some reason, so that clarifies. I
21	October 1st, 2021, through September 30, 2022, period, and so it would be any incremental I'll call them	21	heard you say October 2020 to October 2021, hence the confusion.
22	hedges, new contracts, short-term contracts that we've	22	MR. EHRBAR: Sorry if I did.
23	entered into since the filing of the case. But that	23	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
24	will be in effect during that period.	24	So then for rates so other power
25	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	25	costs, not contracts that are entered into for
23	WO. NENDAME. Okay.	23	costs, not contracts that are entered into for
	Page 71		Page 73
1	But you're going to be making the power	1	example, the recent heat wave, are the are any of
1 2	But you're going to be making the power cost update on August 1st, correct?	1 2	example, the recent heat wave, are the are any of the power costs reflecting market purchases up through
			example, the recent heat wave, are the are any of the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost
2	cost update on August 1st, correct?	2	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through
2	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct.	2 3	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost
2 3 4	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any	2 3 4	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update?
2 3 4 5	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that	2 3 4 5	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No.
2 3 4 5 6	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st,	2 3 4 5 6	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make
2 3 4 5 6 7	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting?	2 3 4 5 6 7	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct? Not for the whole case? In terms of what a pro forma	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement. Are there any other parties that wish to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct? Not for the whole case? In terms of what a pro forma period is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement. Are there any other parties that wish to weigh in on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct? Not for the whole case? In terms of what a pro forma period is. MR. EHRBAR: I I'm not sure I see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement. Are there any other parties that wish to weigh in on that? And I just have one more question on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct? Not for the whole case? In terms of what a pro forma period is. MR. EHRBAR: I I'm not sure I see the differential. So the pro forma period for this would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement. Are there any other parties that wish to weigh in on that? And I just have one more question on the settlement, but if my colleagues wish to ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cost update on August 1st, correct? MR. EHRBAR: Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So if there are any settlements that I mean, sorry, any contracts that are entered into that go through that October 1st, 2021, period, is that what you're reflecting? MR. EHRBAR: So it would be any power supply contracts that we've entered into that would be in effect during that period, and so any contracts entered into after August 1st, of course, would just flow through normal power supply costs and functioning of the ERM, but anything prior to that would be included. Does that help? You're back on mute. Sorry. MS. RENDAHL: Thanks. And I'm looking at my notes here to see if I had anything that you didn't already cover. Okay. So when you use that term, you're just applying it for this settlement term, correct? Not for the whole case? In terms of what a pro forma period is. MR. EHRBAR: I I'm not sure I see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the power costs reflecting market purchases up through August 1st going to be included in the power cost update? MR. EHRBAR: No. Let me think about this just to make sure. I'm playing my inner Clint Kalich here. No, any of those would be power spike costs in the current period and just flow through our current mechanism against a current baseline, but October 1st of '21 a new baseline would go into effect that would include the information included in the 60-day update. So I think the short answer is no. Anything that just happened in the recent heat wave is just actuals in this current period, not in the pro forma period. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. That helps. That helps clarify how that term is used in the settlement. Are there any other parties that wish to weigh in on that? And I just have one more question on the

Page 74 Page 76 1 All right. 1 access to the information to see all of it without 2 2 Well, this relates to the EIM necessarily crossing the boundaries between utilities. 3 3 collaborative that's referenced in the settlement. And that is an extremely difficult process to get 4 4 worked out. I'm assuming that the EIM collaborative will just 5 5 involve Avista and the parties to this proceeding but So we're looking into it, we're trying to not other companies, PSE and PacifiCorp; is that 6 6 see if it is possible, but we haven't come to a 7 7 correct? solution yet. 8 MR. EHRBAR: I'll take the first stab. 8 MS. RENDAHL: So at a minimum this would 9 This is Pat. 9 involve looking at Avista's modeling and how the EIM 10 I think our understanding -- I think our 10 operates, and just focus on Avista's process, 11 probably desire would actually be to have a 11 hopefully taking into account how other companies are 12 collaborative among all the utilities. I think 12 calculating these cost benefits, but at a minimum it 13 there's a lot of -- the issue related to EIM benefits would be Avista, and potentially more? 13 is one that affects, obviously, Puget, PAC and Avista, 14 MR. BALL: Yes. Staff is always 14 and so a common methodology among the three utilities, 15 15 interested in finding alignment between the utilities 16 what I think would be preferential -- I know we can 16 wherever it's possible, and, as Pat and Lance both 17 probably learn a lot from the other utilities that 17 already discussed, this is one area where there can be 18 have been operating in the EIM much longer than us, so 18 quite a bit of alignment in a lot of the underlying 19 I see it as broader, if that can be pulled off. 19 models and math but not necessarily in inputs. 20 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. I wasn't sure by the 20 We're just trying to figure out the 21 process level of how that can happen. wording in the settlement. 21 22 22 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Go ahead. 23 23 MR. KAUFMAN: PSE is also having a Any other comments on that? 24 similar process with an EIM collaborative, and they're 24 Okav. I don't have any other questions 25 proceeding under the assumption that it's a single 25 for the settlement panel. Thank you very much. Page 75 Page 77 1 utility process, but I'm sure there's a path forward 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Are there any other that could combine them. 2 questions for the settlement panel from Chair Danner 2 3 3 MS. RENDAHL: I guess for staff on that, or Commissioner Balasbas? has staff discussed with the representatives of the 4 MR. DANNER: Yes, judge, I have one 4 5 5 other utilities any interest in having a joint auestion. 6 collaborative on the EIM modeling and EIM benefits? 6 In Paragraph 14 there's a provision in 7 MR. BALL: We have, and, as Lance pointed 7 the settlement that talks about renewables to benefit 8 out, PSE has already begun examining it through their 8 low income, and it states, "Avista continues to commit own separate process. The -to discuss renewable programs for low-income customers 9 9 10 Excuse me one second. 10 in collaboration with its Energy Advisory" -- "Energy 11 Assistance Advisory Group." Then it says, "If a 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 MR. BALL: Sorry about the background 12 viable project is identified, Avista will propose such 13 13 projects to the Commission for approval." noise. 14 I'm wondering, who is the -- who is going 14 We also had several internal 15 to identify that project? Is that kind of -- is that 15 conversations as well as conversations with other 16 something that is for the utility to do? Is that 16 utility stakeholders to try and figure out the best approach to this. We didn't want to delay the PSE 17 something for the stakeholders in that group? How do 17 collaborative from starting while we had these 18 you envision that work? 18 19 19 discussions. And I'll throw it out to anyone. 20 Right now our biggest concern, that we 20 MR. EHRBAR: Just seeing if Mr. Collins 21 have not figured out an answer to, is how to handle 21 wanted to go first. I can take a quick stab and then 22 confidential information, because power supplies by 22 do my best to defer to Shawn. 23 their very nature has a lot of confidential 23 My understanding is that, you know, as 24 information that is utility specific, and there needs 24 with everything, we're, I think, very collaborative, 25 25 to be a way for staff and the stakeholders that need and that's one group that is a very collaborative

Page 78 Page 80 1 group. So I would think that any project that's 1 We will return at 10:55 a.m., and I would ask that 2 identified, whether originally identified by Avista or 2 Avista's witness, Shawn Bonfield, and Inland Empire's 3 by some other party that's brought to that group, 3 witness, Kevin Rasler, be ready to testify when we 4 4 would be, in my words, blessed for further processing come back at 10:55 a.m. 5 going forward under a contract or whatever it might 5 So until then we will be off the record. look like for those renewables in a collaborative 6 6 Thank you. 7 7 manner (Short recess.) 8 So I'll defer to Shawn. 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be back on the 9 9 MR. COLLINS: Yeah -- thank you, Pat. record. 10 10 In terms of identifying a project, you Our court reporter, do I have you back as 11 know, we don't currently have anything in the works. 11 well? 12 However, the way that this has transpired in other 12 THE REPORTER: Yes. service territories, we've worked with agencies, with JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 13 13 various community groups and tribes, to identify areas 14 14 Let's be back on the record. 15 where there is an interest at the community level in a 15 I see that we have Mr. Bonfield. Is 16 project as well as a project that fits the -- the 16 Mr. Rasler able to turn on his video? MR. RASLER: Yes. Can you see me and 17 desired outcomes in terms of delivering benefits 17 18 directly to low-income households. 18 hear me, Judge? 19 So I expect that the way that we would be 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you very 20 able to move this forward, as Pat mentioned, to work 20 much. through on the advisory group, to work with agencies, I'd like to ask Mr. Bonfield, Mr. Rasler, 21 21 22 communities, organizations, to identify some potential 22 will you please raise your right hand. 23 projects that will be able to deliver those benefits 23 (Panel sworn) 24 directly, and then to work with the company to figure 24 JUDGE O'CONNELL: You can put down your 25 out how to move that forward and to bring before the 25 hands. Page 79 Page 81 1 Commission. 1 Okay. Let's have each of the witnesses 2 2 introduce themselves for the record, spelling their MR. DANNER: All right. 3 3 last name, beginning with Avista. Ultimately it says that it's Avista that 4 would bring the -- propose the projects to the 4 MR. BONFIELD: Good morning. Shawn 5 Commission, so if there is a disagreement and it's 5 Bonfield, for utilities. My last name is spelled 6 6 less than collaborative, then I assume that it's B-o-n-f-i-e-l-d. 7 basically up to the utility. 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And for Inland Empire 8 MR. COLLINS: Yeah, I certainly, you 8 Paper. 9 know, don't envision that being the case, but, yeah, 9 MR. RASLER: Kevin Rasler, R-a-s-l-e-r. 10 it designates the utility as the party to bring it 10 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. before the Commission, correct. 11 11 I will turn it over now to the 12 MR. DANNER: I just want to make sure 12 Commissioners to ask questions regarding the special contract. 13 13 that you're fine with that. MR. COLLINS: Yes. I appreciate that. 14 14 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. I guess I'll go first. 15 15 Thank you. 16 16 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. In your joint testimony -- good morning, 17 Judge, I don't have any other questions. 17 Mr. Rasler and Mr. Bonfield. In your joint testimony 18 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you, Chair you discuss the provision for Inland Empire Paper to 18 19 Danner. 19 receive capacity payments during the post-commitment 20 Okay. I would like to thank the 20 period, and it was my understanding from the testimony 21 settlement panel, all the witnesses from the parties, 21 that this was a -- that this was going to be based on 22 and Public Counsel's witness Dahl, thank you for your 22 the avoided cost of capacity that was in Avista's IRP. 23 testimony this morning. It is very appreciated. 23 Is that your understanding? 24 At this point we're going to take a short 24 MR. BONFIELD: I will answer that 25 midmorning break, a short 10-minute midmorning break. 25 question. Good morning, Chair Danner.

Page 82

The capacity payments for the post-commitment period was really based off of the need from our most recently filed integrated resource plan. We have a capacity need that really begins in 2026, and we included in our preferred resource strategy a commercial industrial command response program from a large customer, similar to IEP. So that's why the capacity payment does begin in the post-commitment period.

In terms of the value of that, we assigned a value because the capacity value identified in the IRP -- we included a value in the integrated resource plan of \$50 per kilowatt year for 25 megawatts of demand response out -- beginning in 2027 in the IRP.

MR. DANNER: Okay. Thank you.
So this capacity value is going to stay
at this amount even if there are changes to the
avoided cost of capacity in future IRPs? Is that
my -- am I understanding that correctly?

MR. BONFIELD: You are understanding that correctly.

MR. DANNER: So what's the benefit of having a static capacity value for the term of the contract, as opposed to having it change with the

opportunity to speak before you today.

With respect to the value of the demand response, Shawn covered it pretty well. Part of what Inland Empire Paper Company was interested in was, you know, some assurity of what the value of this overall contract program would be. In our business environment, you know, having some confidence in what -- you know, what the value for our demand response services would be was a key element for us, and that was the figure that both parties focused around at the end of the negotiation, was the IRP value.

Page 84

Page 85

MR. DANNER: But if that IRP value changes, you're not -- or the avoiding costs go up or down, you're not worried about overpaying or underpaying?

MR. RASLER: You know, with any agreement, you know, that's a potential risk, and if it's -- you know, if it's -- if we end up being undervalued, you know, based on what the market may be in the future, that -- you know, that was a risk we were willing to take for, you know, some price assurity going forward.

So, you know, that was one of the -- you know, one of the risk points that we found acceptable

Page 83

changes in avoided costs that we might see from IRP to IRP?

MR. BONFIELD: It was important for us to set a price now for what we can rely on in the future. And I will refer to Mr. Rasler momentarily and let him speak to IEP's perspective on that.

But for Avista, we saw this contract, and it's a 10-year term, and it has potential for renewal terms in the future, and in order to rely on the resource from a planning standpoint, we needed a firm commitment for demand response program. And my understanding, and Mr. Rasler can better speak to this, is IEP also needed price certainty for what they can count on in the future.

So in order for this to be an actual resource from a planning perspective, it was important to have that certainty in the static price set throughout. It's allowed us to know what our customers would pay in the future when that time period comes, and then it provides that certainty to IEP.

And I return to Mr. Rasler to add to that if he has anything.

MR. RASLER: Thanks, Shawn, and good morning, Commissioners, and thank you for the

for us.

MR. BONFIELD: And I'll add for Avista. We make decisions based on information that we know today. We don't know what will happen with prices in the future, but what we know today is what our integrated resource plan shows, and at the price that we agreed to for the demand response program, it was within a reasonable range. And you're right, prices will go up or down in the future; it's bound to happen. But we know that it is a fair price for the demand response program that we will receive and our customers will benefit from in the long term.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. And if this contract is approved and the

parties agree to continue the agreement after 10 years, is there anything that is going to push you to review the value of the avoided costs there? Is there anything that's going to open this up?

MR. BONFIELD: There is. And so the price for the post-commitment period is really set for that five-year term. If we move forward with a renewal term, which are four years, we will reevaluate both the economic bypass revenue requirement and the value of demand response at that time, and so it's not permanently set going forward, it's really set for

Page 86

that first post-commitment period, with further evaluation prior to the end of that second five-year term of the contract.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very

MR. BALASBAS: All right. Good morning, Mr. Bonfield and Mr. Rasler.

I do have one question regarding, on the special contract, how will IEP's share the cost of decommissioning and remediation at Colstrip be collected?

MR. BONFIELD: IEP, in the special contract -- it's important to recognize that it is tied to Schedule 25. IEP is a Schedule 25 customer today. Their rates for special contract will be based on Schedule 25. They'll continue to pay all of the tariff riders they are assigned or assessed today, and they will be responsible for paying any costs of tariff riders that are assessed in the future that are approved by the Commission.

There may be a tariff rider related to remediation costs in the future or whatever form that may take. Whether it's through general rate case proceeding or the other mechanism, IEP will still continue to pay their share of the costs as they would

preferred resource strategy in our most recently filed IRP on April 1st does include 25 megawatts of demand response beginning in 2027. We have been in discussions with IEP for a very long time related to a demand response program, and so special contract or not, we would have found ourselves at some point in

the future likely having a demand response program for

Page 88

Page 89

our large commercial industrial customers.

The special contract now provides that today for the resource we can rely on from a capacity standpoint looking forward.

MS. RENDAHL: So it's -- this provision of demand response in the special contract is the same length and certainty as the capacity resources you determined you needed in your 2021 IRP starting in 2026?

Or 2027.

MR. BONFIELD: We weren't certain on what that problem would look like out that far in the future. We knew that we likely would have a demand response tariff or program at some point, and we have the need from other customers as well.

Because of the length of the contract and the post-commitment period at five years, with potential four-year renewal terms, we can then rely on

Page 87

if they were a Schedule 25 customer.

MR. RASLER: And that was a key part of the framework for the contract, was that IEP would basically continue to be a mirror of Schedule 25, so that all of those sorts of, you know, items that come up, including energy efficiency riders and those types of things, we would continue to contribute to those and follow along with the rest of the overall rate class.

MR. BALASBAS: All right. Thank you very much.

MS. RENDAHL: I have a couple more questions related to the demand response capacity payment.

And so during the post-commitment period, IEP under the settlement is going to receive -- and the special contract is -- will receive capacity payments, and this is from your testimony, SJB-KR-1CT, at Page 15, quote, "because Avista avoids the need to procure incremental capacity in the amount of the demand response IEP has committed to provide," and you've just said that this is related to the IRP provision of demand response for industrial commercial customers, correct, Mr. Bonfield?

MR. BONFIELD: That's correct. Our

that as a resource going forward.

Today we know that we will, if approved, be guaranteed to have demand response program for five years beginning November of 2026. Beyond that, because we, today, can't be for certain that the renewal terms may happen or not, what we do know is that we will have that resource for the full five years.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And so --

I'm sorry. Mr. Rasler, did you have anything you wanted to add?

MR. RASLER: No. You know, I think Shawn summarized it pretty well.

I think part of the focus on the contract length was this is an opportunity to establish this contract and the demand response program, and so that's why, you know, we've executed this now, and, as he said, something that's been in discussion between IEP and Avista for quite some time. You know, it comes up every year at least once and maybe a couple of times as to, you know, what the opportunities were, and this really gave us a chance to sit down and come up with what, you know, the contract offering today

13 (Pages 86 to 89)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 90

And in the one sense that it's -- Avista still at this time has capacity to meet its peak demand, the length of the contract runs beyond what the IRP predicts is when they will require additional demand and gives us some runway into that period,

then, with renewal opportunities, potentially make 7 adjustments to what the future brings. 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. So the special contract does provide for a -- IEP can terminate the special contract without cause with one year's notice and return to Schedule 25, but if IEP terminates the special contract with one-year notice. Avista is going to lose this capacity provided by demand response.

The contract also provides for an early termination fee. Would those costs that IEP would have to pay for termination include the replacement of the capacity or the incremental cost, or is that calculation of those -- the termination fee and the costs still subject to discussion?

MR. RASLER: From IEP's perspective, you know, what those costs would be would be determined in front of the UTC Commission, you know, if they were to

As far as, you know, the risk of IEP

customers, as opposed to future generation.

So I -- if I didn't make sense, please ask me for clarification.

MS. RENDAHL: No, that made sense. Thank you.

Page 92

Page 93

Mr. Bonfield, did you want to add anything?

MR. BONFIELD: I'll just add that I think you're reading that correctly. If we incur additional costs as a consequence of that termination, and that could be the replacement of the capacity we are relying on, then we would likely seek payment for that increased cost as a result of that termination.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

I guess just at the -- the last question I'd have on this topic would be: So during the precommitment period under the special contract, IEP is paid the difference between the market price of curtailed energy and the special contract rate for curtailed energy when it is curtailed. Do I have that correct?

MR. BONFIELD: That's correct. IEP would receive 90 percent of the value of the delta between those two figures that you just referenced, with Avista and our customers receiving the other

Page 91

terminating, you know, I believe that to be a very low probability in the first place. The reason it's in the contract is, you know, the paper world is a fairly dynamic business, and to remain competitive in it, you know, we've made, you know, numerous changes to our product portfolio and how this mill operates over years, and there is, you know, always uncertainty in the future.

And, you know, I guess -- you know, probably the greatest risk to IEP's participation in this contract is the mechanism that provides the demand response, and that is this thermomechanical pulping equipment we have that what is what we take offline to provide the -- you know, the power relief under the contract.

And if for any reason our process required us to put that process aside, it basically would provide Avista with that capacity, because that -- you know, that particular equipment line would not be consuming electricity in the first place.

So under the guise of the program itself, you know, you could make a semantical argument that, you know, the demand program isn't in existence under that scenario, but the power that is provided by the demand response is available to Avista and its

10 percent.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And so can you just describe why you believe that that divvying up, in a sense, between the customers and the benefit of the curtailment between Avista ratepayers and IEP is in the public interest.

MR. BONFIELD: Sure. So from our standpoint, during that period it's really a voluntary program that IEP can commit to. It's not something that they are required to meet a certain number of events per year. It's a market-driven economic decision that they have to make if they commit to or not.

And so we recognize that because it's an economic decision that does provide benefit to both parties, that IEP should receive a substantial share of that value. We reserved and agreed to Avista and our customers receiving 10 percent because it provides an incentive for us to call on IEP. There is, you know, the labor side and the employee side to call on IEP. It may not be substantial, but there is, you know, an incentive for us to call on IEP when needed when we see an opportunity present.

And I'll defer to Mr. Rasler to add on. MR. RASLER: Yeah. And from IEP's side,

14 (Pages 90 to 93)

Page 94 Page 96 1 the payment structure in the precommitment period is 1 then I'm going to ask that Avista witnesses 2 2 incentive, you know, for us to, you know, start Rosentrater, La Bolle and DiLuciano turn on their 3 participating in this program right away. 3 video when they can. 4 It also -- you know, in the fact that, 4 We will start with cross-examination of 5 5 you know, we're still working under the umbrella of the witnesses. 6 Avista's capacity -- you know, capabilities right now, 6 I see that Public Counsel has identified. 7 7 it gives us the opportunity to kind of, if you will, between the three witnesses, approximately an hour and 8 get the kinks out of the process. 8 a half of cross, and we will see how much of that we 9 We had an opportunity Monday of last 9 get through before we take our lunch break for the 10 10 week, during our wonderful heat wave, to test this 11 out. And a little bit of subtle irony. Avista and 11 So, Mr. Meyer, if you would, please turn 12 IEP signed the contract before you Thursday morning, 12 on your video, as well as have your witnesses and Thursday afternoon Avista's power group called and 13 Rosentrater, La Bolle and DiLuciano. 13 said, "Hey, would you guys be interested in giving us 14 MR. MEYER: Thank you. Your Honor. 14 a hand?" 15 They're assembling as we speak. Lots of books and 15 16 And we said, you know, "This is 16 papers being shuffled. 17 fortuitous timing." You know, "We'd like to do that." 17 MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, while we're 18 And so, as things worked out, we did shut 18 waiting for the witnesses to assemble, I had 19 our TMP system down on Monday afternoon to provide 19 structured my cross for witnesses DiLuciano and 20 some capacity relief for Avista, and, interestingly 20 La Bolle and then Rosentrater and La Bolle. Do I just 21 enough -- you know, you're always looking for bugs in 21 give my questions to all three, with the idea that 22 the system. So that machinery had a failed bearing 22 they might overlap? 23 that developed at midnight on Saturday night, and it 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Do you expect there to 24 took us 24 hours to repair the equipment, and we got 24 be overlap of your questions? 25 it up and running with just a few hours to replenish 25 MS. SUETAKE: It's possible because of Page 95 Page 97 1 our pulp inventory so we could keep the rest of the 1 how some of the data requests were addressed, and the 2 mill going, but it was just a fantastic opportunity to 2 distinction between their direct and rebuttal 3 3 really get a realtime understanding of how we need to testimony. 4 be prepared to work out the fundamental challenges of 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I think it might be 5 operating our business and being able to respond to 5 valuable to have them all three appearing at the same 6 Avista, especially in the post-commitment period, when 6 time, but I think that you should be allowed to ask 7 it is a requirement and is going to be even more 7 your questions either directing to Rosentrater and 8 import to Avista's overall customer base. 8 La Bolle or La Bolle and DiLuciano as you need to. 9 And if there is some overlap where perhaps DiLuciano 9 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you very much. I 10 appreciate both of your comments on these questions. 10 can add something or Rosentrater can add something, I And I have no further questions on the special 11 hope it would be easier and more efficient if we could 11 12 contract. 12 just get that when you're asking for it, instead of 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Chair Danner or 13 having to go back over it again. Commissioner Balasbas, do you have anything else you'd MS. SUETAKE: That would be my 14 14 15 15 preference. I guess that's what I'm really looking like to ask. MR. DANNER: No, I don't. Thank you. 16 for, just to make this as easy as possible. 16 17 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, let's do that. 17 MR. BALASBAS: I do not. 18 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 18 MS. SUETAKE: Okay. MR. MEYER: All right. The witnesses are 19 Well, then, I'd like to excuse 19 20 Mr. Bonfield and Mr. Rasler. Thank you for your 20 assembled and ready to be sworn. 21 testimony. 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I see three 22 MR. RASLER: Thank you for the 22 witnesses. Okay. 23 opportunity today. 23 Rosentrater, La Bolle, DiLuciano, will 24 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. 24 you please raise your right hand. 25 So go ahead and take a little breath, and 25 (Panel sworn)

	Page 98		Page 100
1	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. You	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	can put your hands down.	2	BY MS. SUETAKE:
3	Mr. Meyer, I'm going to turn it over to	3	Q. I'll start with Ms. Rosentrater and
4	you to introduce your witnesses.	4	Mr. La Bolle.
5	MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor.	5	Is it correct that you both sponsored
6	I'll start with you, Ms. Rosentrater.	6	rebuttal testimony on the cost effectiveness of
7	For the record, please state your name and your	7	Avista's standby system?
8	position with the company.	8	A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, it is correct.
9	MS. ROSENTRATER: Heather Rosentrater,	9	A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes.
10	senior vice president of energy delivery and shared	10	Q. And do you have a copy of the
11	services.	11	cross-examination exhibits in front of you?
12	MR. MEYER: Is that coming through	12	A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
13	faintly or is that loud enough for you?	13	A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes.
14	JUDGE O'CONNELL: I think we can hear	14	Q. Could you please turn to
15	Rosentrater just fine.	15	cross-examination Exhibit HR/LL-3X. It's Avista's
16	The court reporter, are you okay.	16	response to Public Counsel's Data Request No. 320.
17	THE REPORTER: Your Honor, I'm not sure	17	And then do you have that in front of
18	it came out quite clearly. If she can repeat that,	18	you?
19	that would be great.	19	A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): I do.
20	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Go ahead.	20	Q. Great.
21	MS. ROSENTRATER: Heather Rosentrater,	21	Are you both familiar with this data
22	senior vice president of energy delivery and shared	22	request and attachments?
23	services.	23	A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
24	MR. MEYER: Thank you.	24	A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes.
25	Mr. La Bolle.	25	Q. Would you agree that this data request
	Wil. Ed Boilo.		a. Would you agree that the data request
	Page 99		Page 101
1	Page 99 MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry	1	Page 101 asks for the data and calculations underlying the
1 2	-	1 2	
	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry		asks for the data and calculations underlying the
2	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability,	2	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy
2	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning.	2 3	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed
2 3 4	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh	2 3 4	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system?
2 3 4 5	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical	2 3 4 5	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering.	2 3 4 5 6	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their	2 3 4 5 6 7	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need to stop between the witnesses, that is fine with me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you. And the resulting benefit from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need to stop between the witnesses, that is fine with me. I will ask the questions directed at particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you. And the resulting benefit from the customer energy efficiency, is it correct that that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need to stop between the witnesses, that is fine with me. I will ask the questions directed at particular witnesses but, again, step in if, you know, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you. And the resulting benefit from the customer energy efficiency, is it correct that that's an annual financial savings to the customer in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need to stop between the witnesses, that is fine with me. I will ask the questions directed at particular witnesses but, again, step in if, you know, a particular witness is better suited to answer the question. ///	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you. And the resulting benefit from the customer energy efficiency, is it correct that that's an annual financial savings to the customer in the form of lower bills?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LA BOLLE: Good morning. I'm Larry La Bolle, manager of electric service reliability, strategy and planning. MR. DILUCIANO: My name is Josh DiLuciano, and I'm the director of electrical engineering. MR. MEYER: And with that, their testimony by stipulation has been admitted, and they are ready for cross. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Suetake, I invite you to turn on your video, and you may proceed. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, and good morning. I have questions that I have planned for Mr. DiLuciano, Mr. La Bolle, and Ms. Rosentrater. I understand that there is some potential overlap between the three of you, so at any time if you need to stop between the witnesses, that is fine with me. I will ask the questions directed at particular witnesses but, again, step in if, you know, a particular witness is better suited to answer the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asks for the data and calculations underlying the energy and cost savings from customer energy efficiency and behavior energy efficiency attributed to Avista's AMI system? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. Q. Could you turn to your answer under Subpart (a) of customer energy efficiency. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Is that the screen image or still in the narrative? Q. Still in the narrative. Thank you for the clarification. Is it correct that you used an energy cost of 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour to derive the benefit from customer energy efficiency? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It is yes, that is correct, it's that melded rate of 0.0849. Q. Okay. Thank you. And the resulting benefit from the customer energy efficiency, is it correct that that's an annual financial savings to the customer in the form of lower bills? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Which number are you

Page 102 Page 104 Q. Would you agree that this is a 1 of customer energy efficiency benefit equates to. 1 presentation from Avista that it gave at a public 2 Does it equate to annual financial savings for the 2 3 meeting on its 2021 IRP? 3 customer? 4 4 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): It does appear to be Α. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's not the annual 5 5 financial -- or that is -- yeah, that is the so. ves. Could you please turn to Page 10 of this 6 initial --6 Q. 7 7 Let me take that back. presentation. 8 That is the net present value of the 8 (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): I'm there. Α. 9 life-cycle benefits achieved through AMI through 9 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. 10 customer energy efficiency. So that is the net 10 Q. This was a presentation to the public, 11 present value of the life-cycle benefits. So over 15 11 and if you can look at the pie chart on the top left, that says "Electric" under "What is your energy rate?" 12 12 vears. Do you see that? 13 Q. So when you figure out the net present 13 value of that benefit, is that a net present value of Do you see the pie chart that I'm 14 14 the financial savings from customers? 15 15 referring to? (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, that's correct. 16 16 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Nina, could you give Α. 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. 17 me the page number again? I'm sorry. Q. No problem. That's Page 10. 18 And could you now please turn to the next 18 19 paragraph below, the behavioral energy efficiency. 19 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. I was trying 20 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. 20 to see where it said "This is your energy" --Q. Now, I understand that this is not an Q. And then is it correct that you used an 21 21 22 energy cost of 7.8 cents per kilowatt hour to 22 exhibit prepared by you, but as a general rule, when calculate the benefit from behavioral energy 23 I'm looking at the energy rate, that price per 23 kilowatt hour, would you agree that it is a 24 efficiency? 24 25 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is correct. 25 combination of all of these pieces of this pie, so Page 103 Page 105 1 1 transmission, distribution, common and production? Q. Okay. And, again, is the resulting net present 2 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, that's correct. 2 A. value of the behavioral energy efficiency also a 3 Q. Okay. 3 savings to the customer in the form of lower bills? 4 Do you know -- would you happen to know 4 which of these components, if any, of the cost of 5 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. Yes, that's 5 6 correct. It's at the bottom of Part 3 of that answer 6 energy are included in the energy efficiency avoided 7 10.772 million. 7 cost calculation? 8 8 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I know that those Q. Okay. I see that. Thank you. 9 9 Is the price per kilowatt hour to the are, but I know that there are several additional 10 customer the same as the avoided cost per kilowatt 10 costs included in the avoided cost as well. hour used in energy efficiency program benefit cost 11 Q. Okay. That's fine. 11 12 analysis or in the IRP? 12 Let's move on to cross-examination 13 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm not sure how 13 Exhibit HR/LL-4X. those would relate. We did not compare those two 14 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. 14 And this is the Avista response to Public costs, but I would submit that it's a -- we would be 15 15 Counsel Data Request No. 321, with attachment. open to having a discussion about what is the most 16 16 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm sorry. Are you appropriate cost to use going forward. 17 17 18 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 waiting for us to respond? 19 Now, could we turn to cross-examination 19 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): We're there. 20 Exhibit HR/LL-5X. That's the Avista 2021 integrated 20 Q. Sorry. I was just giving you a moment to 21 resource plan. 21 find it. 22 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. 22 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Sorry about that. A. 23 And are you familiar with this data 23 No problem. It's hard when we can't see Q. 24 request for this exhibit? 24 each other. 25 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. 25 Are you familiar with this data request

Page 106 Page 108 and attachment? bottom \$67.92. 1 1 2 2 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. The difference is the distribution A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. 3 3 premium charge that's added to that, I believe to And would you agree that this data Q. 4 yield the \$68.05 avoided cost per megawatt hour that 4 request asks for the data and calculations underlying 5 5 was used in the calculations. the energy and cost savings from conservation voltage Q. Thank you for that clarification. 6 6 7 7 reduction attributed to Avista's AMI system? Now I will turn to my questions prepared 8 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Counsel. 8 for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. 9 9 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Ms. Suetake, if you "Attributed to" --10 10 MS. SUETAKE: Avista's AMI system. don't mind just for a moment, I wanted to note that in 11 Advance metering infrastructure system. 11 Avista's 2021 integrated resource plan, the avoided 12 MR. LA BOLLE: Yes. 12 cost of energy achieved through energy conservation JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me pause for just a 13 savings is \$105.83 per megawatt hour, so at some point 13 moment. I'm hearing a lot of background noise that 14 here we will be updating the financials for CVR 14 sounds like a semi-truck trailer. If you are on the reflecting that 54 percent higher avoided cost than 15 15 the current benefits are based on. Thank you. 16 telephone, will you please mute. Thank you. 16 Q. To follow up, that information is not 17 Ms. Suetake, please continue. 17 18 MR. LA BOLLE: Would you please restate 18 currently in the record; is that correct? 19 the question so we have it in mind? 19 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm looking at --20 MS. SUETAKE: Sorry. Yes, I can. One 20 Nina, I'm looking at my lawyer. 21 MR. MEYER: It is now. 21 second. 22 I believe that's the seaplane that was 22 MS. SUETAKE: I'd have to object to the 23 23 taking off next to my apartment. admission of that as record evidence in this Q. Would you agree that this data request 24 24 proceeding, given we have no actual testimony to that 25 asks for the data and calculations underlying the 25 effect except what the witness is stating right now. Page 107 Page 109 1 energy and cost savings from the conservation voltage 1 It's neither in Avista's original filing nor in any 2 reduction attributed to Avista's AMI system? 2 current updates. 3 3 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is correct. MR. MEYER: Your Honor, if that were the Could you please turn to Page 3 of the 4 test, nothing of substance could be said in response 4 exhibit. 5 5 to cross-examination unless it had been previously 6 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm there. 6 said in the prefiled materials, and that's, of course, 7 In the top left corner, where it says 7 an absurd result. That's the -- that's the risk, 8 "Awarded Cost Calculation," there's an item labeled 8 that's the gamble you take if you ask for additional "Energy Price." Do you see that? 9 9 information or if it's provided. 10 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Labeled what? 10 MS. SUETAKE: But is Avista's current Q. "Energy Price." 11 business case based upon that number? 11 12 (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. Yes. 44.63. 12 MR. MEYER: No. Avista --A. 13 Q. Yes. 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's wait right there. Is it correct that \$44.63 for 14 14 Let me stop you right there. megawatt-hour energy price was used to calculate the 15 15 I want to make sure I understand what conservation voltage reduction benefits from the AMI 16 16 number Mr. La Bolle just quoted for me. Is that a system? 17 17 number that you're saying Avista is going to update 18 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That was one of the 18 the CVR to? 19 component costs that was included in the avoided cost 19 MR. LA BOLLE: Yes, that's correct. I'm 20 calculation used for this benefit. 20 just noting that the \$68.05 cost has been out of date 21 Q. Could you explain what the other 21 for some time. We will be updating the AMI benefits 22 component costs were? 22 workbook and the overall project benefits based on the 23 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): They are listed in --23 most recent avoided cost calculation. 24 almost in their entirety in the table down below, the 24 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So that it's 25 25 2014 through 2033 levelized costs, showing at the Avista's intent to update it, but it hasn't been

	Page 110		Page 112
1	updated yet, and it's not part of the rate request	1	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, please
2	that Avista has made in this case, correct? It's just	2	give the Commissioners and myself a moment a pull that
3	information you're offering that it will be updated in	3	up.
4	the future?	4	MS. SUETAKE: No problem.
5	MR. LA BOLLE: That is correct.	5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay, I think that
6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, I want to	6	we're all there. Thank you.
7	clarify. Do you still have an objection?	7	MS. SUETAKE: Okay.
8	MS. SUETAKE: I guess I just have one	8	Q. This is Avista's response to Public
9	follow-up question.	9	Counsel Data Request 367. Are you familiar with this
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Go ahead.	10	data request?
11	But before we go on, Mr. La Bolle, you	11	A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
12	can refer to the counselors asking you questions by	12	A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.
13	their last name or you can refer to them as the	13	Q. Under Subpart C for the questions, would
14	counselor.	14	you agree that Public Counsel asked Avista to identify
15	So go ahead. Ms. Suetake.	15	any U.S. utility of which Avista is aware which uses
16	MR. LA BOLLE: Thank you.	16	economic end-of-life age to determine that equipment
17	Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: Mr. La Bolle, at what	17	currently operating safely and reliable reliably
18	point do you expect to update Avista's AMI business	18	should be replaced?
19	case?	19	Would you agree that that's what we asked
20		20	Vouid you agree that that's what we asked
21	A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Sometime after this proceeding is over. In particular, when we, you know,	21	A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): That's
22		22	
23	begin doing some of the more formal reporting of the	23	` <i>'</i> -
24	measures that we're going to be reporting out on, and	24	documentation from any industry." Is that
24 25	energy efficiency savings is one of those. Q. So that would be after this proceeding is	25	Oh, C. Okay. Yes. Q. And would you agree that your answer to C
23	Q. So that would be after this proceeding is	25	Q. And would you agree that your answer to C
	Page 111		Page 113
1		1	
1 2	over; am I correct?	1 2	Page 113 points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B?
	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine.		points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B?
2	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you.	2	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is
2	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions	2 3	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis
2 3 4	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle.	2 3 4	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C,
2 3 4 5	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead.	2 3 4 5	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A.
2 3 4 5 6	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A.
2 3 4 5 6 7	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored	2 3 4 5 6 7	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that in this in this particular answer, but we have in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that in this in this particular answer, but we have in several other data requests, which are not cross
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Oh. Sure. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that in this in this particular answer, but we have in several other data requests, which are not cross exhibits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Oh. Sure. Yes. A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that in this in this particular answer, but we have in several other data requests, which are not cross exhibits. Q. Does Avista know of any utilities using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	over; am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That would be fine. Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to shift to my questions prepared for Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. Q. Is it correct that you both sponsored rebuttal testimony on capital investments made in Avista's electric system? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct. Q. And is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I couldn't hear that very well. I'm sorry. Q. Sure. I can repeat it. Is it correct that as part of your rebuttal testimony, you discussed Avista's life-cycle cost analysis? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Oh. Sure. Yes. A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	points to the answer to A, which subsequently points to the answer to B? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): What I would say is that answer C provides our example of the analysis that we do that comports with the request in Part C, and also points back to Part A. Q. I am looking at Page 4 of the exhibit. It says, "Answer C. Please see company's response to Part A." A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right. Q. And then Part A says, "Please see our response to Part B." Am I correct? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yeah. Yes, Part A points to Part B. Q. Okay. And then in answer B, could you please point out to where in the response Avista provides the identity of a U.S. utility as requested in Question C. A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We have not done that in this in this particular answer, but we have in several other data requests, which are not cross exhibits.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 114 1 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): We know specifically 2 that Portland General Electric uses the Availability 3 Workbench applications like the company does, but we 4 haven't made a survey of U.S. utilities to try to find 5 out which are using these analyses and which are not. 6 I would add, though, that utilities make 7 economic end-of-life decisions every single day, 8 whether you have Availability Workbench software or 9 not. 10 Q. Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

19

20

21

22

25

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): When you don't let a power transformer run to failure, you are --

Q. Sir, thank you.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): -- making that decision.

Q. Sir, please limit --

Or, Mr. Meyer, I would ask that you ask your witnesses to just simply answer the question. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me step in,

Mr. Meyer, Ms. Suetake.

Mr. La Bolle, you get to answer Ms. Suetake's questions fully to the best of your ability. However, please answer the question that she asks you. If it requires a yes-or-no answer, say yes or no, and then after providing that, you can explain.

Q. Okay.

Would you agree that the bullet points in this box describe three different ways that the Institute for Asset Management has stated that the end of life of an asset can be determined?

Page 116

Page 117

(BY MR. LA BOLLE): I would agree.

Of these three ways in this box -- of the bullet points, which of the descriptions in the box most closely matches Avista's approach to replacing equipment?

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm sorry. Most closely matches Avista's what?

Q. Approach to asset management.

(BY MR. LA BOLLE): Well, we practice all three forms of replacement, except -- I'm sorry -- the first two forms of replacement, not the bottom one. We do not apply the bottom one.

But the form of replacement or the means of the life-cycle cost analysis that has been used in this case is the middle bullet, the economic end of life.

Q. Thank you.

Can we now turn to Public Counsel's Cross Exhibit JDLL6X.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay, I'm there.

Page 115

Ms. Suetake, we are familiar with the topic and your question, and if the answer goes beyond what is relevant to the question, we're going to know that. However, if it does continue, I will entertain an objection from you as to narrative and unresponsive.

So with that, let's go forward with the next question.

> MR. LA BOLLE: Your Honor, thank you. MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit JDLL4X.
- (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, I'm there. A.
- This is Avista's response to Public

Counsel Data Request 341.

- A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with this data request?
- 17 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, I am. Thank 18 you.

A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.

- Q. Now, do you see -- could you please turn to Page 2 of this exhibit.
- A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay.
- Q. Do you see that box at the top of Page 2, 23 24 which states --
 - A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That's correct.

This is Avista's response to Public Counsel Data Request 361.

Now, are you familiar with this data request?

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.

Q. Now, in this data request Public Counsel asked Avista about the terms failure in service and functional failure use of classified types of equipment failures. Can you please explain what Avista means by functional failure as it relates to its equipment?

(BY MR. LA BOLLE): Functional failure of equipment means that there are identifying characteristics determined through inspection that tell us, through the analysis that we've performed in the company, that that piece of equipment no longer meets the service standards that we require of equipment in service because it's at end of life and is much more likely to fail in service.

So functional failure is like a set of tires worn down to the wear bar. You know that it's time to replace those because there's much greater likelihood of failure. That's an example.

In our Public Counsel meeting, online meeting, that we had on June 7th, we also talked about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 118

not just out of respect for each other but also because the court reporter is only able to record one person talking at a time.

tested by inspection and found to lack the strength required to remain in service. Even though it's still standing up and for the moment is still holding the wire, we all agreed that that is a functional failure because it no longer has the strength to withstand the service requirements.

the example of a wood pole that has been drilled and

So Ms. Suetake is going to ask a question, and when she's done asking the question, Mr. La Bolle, you can respond, and, Ms. Suetake, when he's done, you can ask the next one.

Page 120

Page 121

Q. Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's go back to Ms. Suetake, your most recent question.

If you could please turn to that list in the questions, so Item B, where it says, "Equipment was damaged by lightning, weather, animal or third party." Do you see that?

MS. SUETAKE: Yes.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I do.

11 Q. To clarify, when outages such as damages, 12 lightning -- damaged by lightning or weather -- when those are added to the equipment failure rates, do the 13 failure rates go up or do they go down? Meaning is 14 there a higher failure rate once you add these into 15 16 the total failure rate?

Q. Is it correct that Avista classified these reasons for failure as, quote, "failed in service"?

> A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm sorry but the question doesn't make sense to me.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Right, equipment that is removed from service because it has been damaged is a failure in service, because it -- because it represents a failure when it was in service at that particular location, that particular circumstance.

What we're trying to do with the failure data is accurately describe the failure characteristics of assets in service in our system, and since those assets --

Q. Sorry. I can't see when you're speaking.

Okay. Q.

It doesn't always show up on Teams. I apologize.

Can you tell me whether or not equipment that is classified -- that have failed due to lightning, weather, animal or third-party reasons are

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Oh.

Page 119

included in equipment failure rates?

Q. Yeah.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, they are. They're included in failure analysis.

MR. MEYER: Just so -- this is David Meyer. I think she's just asking directionally, is it up or down.

MS. SUETAKE: Yes. MR. MEYER: That's all.

By including outages caused by equipment failure rates, what happens to those equipment failure

A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): I'll answer that one.

Sorry. Let me rephrase that.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Well, the earlier things fail, the lower the average age would be. Is that what you mean?

By including outages caused by lightning, weather, animal or third-party reasons in your failure rates, what happens to those equipment failure rates? As compared to if you did not include lightning, weather, animal or third-party.

Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: Yes.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Well, what happens when we include those in our failure rates is that the failure data then accurately depicts how equipment lasts in our system.

A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): I would say that because the denominators stay the same, same over assets, flat, but now we're including more asset failures, the number would probably -- a rate would go down, so we would show the assets could fail sooner by including the full picture of what's going on in the system.

Q. Does it make the failure rates -- does it make --

Q. So the end-of-life age of that asset.

Sorry. Did you want to continue?

A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes.

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Sorry. No. JUDGE O'CONNELL: This is Judge

Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

O'Connell. It is difficult. We have three witnesses on at a time. It is cross-examination. We all need to be mindful that we don't speak over one another,

I apologize if the question wasn't clear. And then can we next turn to

Exhibit JDLL8X.

21 (Pages 118 to 121)

Page 122 Page 124 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Okay. 1 Would you agree that that's a quote from 1 2 Q. Are you familiar with this exhibit? 2 your testimony? A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. 3 A. (No audible response.) 3 Q. Is it -- is my understanding correct, Q. In this data request Public Counsel 4 4 prefaced the question stating, "Avista considers then, that Avista applies the 80 percent loading 5 5 substation equipment to be overloaded and in need of a standard to its substations because there are 6 6 7 7 capacity upgrade once actual loads reach 80% of rating customers that are not fully backed up by a 8 capacity." 8 neighboring substation? 9 Do you see that? 9 A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): No. Avista uses the 10 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. 10 80 percent loading factor as one of the many 11 Q. Is it correct that Portland General 11 considerations when we're evaluating substation 12 Electric is the only utility of which Avista is aware 12 capacity. So our radial substation, we could be which practices the 80 percent loading standard? 13 13 looking at 80 percent capacity and have other A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is accurate, to considerations, commercial load growth, other changes 14 14 15 my understanding. 15 in the environment that we'd have to consider. So 16 Q. Okay. 16 80 percent is one of our indicators to start 17 And is it correct that Portland General 17 evaluating if it needs replacement, whether it's 18 Electric applies this standard to a single equipment 18 radial or in an urban or suburban environment. 19 type, which it says power transformers? 19 Q. And then how does the factor of whether 20 A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes, based on what 20 or not it has an adjacent substation play into that? 21 A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): So if it had an we have stated there. 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. 22 22 adjacent substation to it and we had load growth, we 23 23 And then finally, can we turn to would evaluate can we serve customers in a way that --24 cross-examination Exhibit JDLL9X. 24 from adjacent loads or not. So the adjacency helps us 25 Not finally. I have more after this. 25 determine how much capacity we need in a region, and Page 123 Page 125 1 Sorry to get your hopes up. 1 if there's adjacent forces, we can do something This is Avista's response to Public 2 different sometimes. 2 3 Counsel Data Request 364. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Do you have this data request in front of 4 In response to this data request, you 5 provided a spreadsheet for each substation; is that 5 you? 6 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. 6 correct? 7 Q. At the -- in this question Public Counsel 7 Α. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): That's correct. 8 quotes Avista's rebuttal testimony, which states, 8 Q. If you can turn to Page 4 of this 9 9 "Some of our substations are on radial transmission, exhibit. 10 and in addition there are no interconnected adjacent 10 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Okay. A. Which of the columns would indicate which substations that can pick up the customers in the 11 11 12 event of an outage." 12 substations are interconnected to an adjacent Do you see that? 13 13 substation? Is that the one that's labeled 14 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Could you repeat 14 "Substation Ties"? where that's at again? 15 15 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): That's correct. Q. Sure. I can point to you in your actual 16 MS. RENDAHL: Ms. Suetake and Judge 16 testimony, if you would prefer. I was trying to go a O'Connell, are we looking at JPLLL9X? 17 17 18 bit quicker but --18 MS. SUETAKE: Correct. 19 A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Just at the top, 19 MS. RENDAHL: Mine has three pages. It 20 right? So it's not --20 21 Q. Yes. 21 MS. SUETAKE: Do you --22 And we were quoting your testimony -- in 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Commissioner, my 23 an effort to move faster, I was trying to just point 23 exhibit is five pages. 24 to the data request rather than trudge through your 24 In the very first page of the exhibit 25 rebuttal testimony. 25 you're seeing, Commissioner, does it indicate what

Page 126 Page 128 1 request number you're looking at? 1 Your Honor, with that, that is all my questions for this panel. 2 MS. RENDAHL: It says "Public Counsel 2 354." 3 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 3 Mr. Meyer, I'd like to turn back to you 4 4 I'll look on the online version. So 5 5 for redirect, if you would like. thank you. 6 6 MR. MEYER: Yes, I would. I just have MS. SUETAKE: I apologize if there's any 7 7 confusion. just a few areas to touch on. 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's wait a moment. 8 I'll put my screen on, if that's all 9 9 Ms. Suetake. I want to make sure that we can all see right. I'm not blocking anyone else, am I? 10 this table that you're asking about. 10 JUDGE O'CONNELL: No. Thank you for 11 Commissioner, there is, in the exhibit 11 turning it on. 12 list, a way for you to connect to the 9X. 12 13 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you for your 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION patience. I'm there. BY MR. MEYER: 14 14 15 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please go ahead, 15 Q. So there was some discussion of the 16 16 80 percent loading factor, the use of that, and I Ms. Suetake. believe that you mentioned that Portland General was 17 17 MS. SUETAKE: Thank you. 18 Q. Looking at the table starting on Page 4 18 an example of a sister utility that used it; is that 19 of this exhibit, could you indicate which column 19 correct, Mr. La Bolle? 20 indicates which substations are interconnected to an 20 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is correct. adjacent substation. Is that the column labeled Q. But did the company otherwise survey the 21 21 22 "Substation Ties"? 22 industry at large to determine if others use that? 23 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Correct. 23 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): No, we have not. We 24 And is it correct that if a particular 24 have not. 25 substation is not interconnected to any adjacent 25 Q. All right. Page 127 Page 129 1 substation, this column says "None"? 1 And similarly with respect to this 2 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): That is correct. 2 60-year cycle on wood poles -- you were just asked 3 3 about that. Has the company surveyed the industry at Q. And is it correct that the last column 4 large to determine whether other utilities use the 4 lists the customer accounts on each of these substations? 5 same cycle? 5 6 (BY MR. DILUCIANO): That's correct. 6 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): No, we have not. 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. 7 Q. Okay. 8 And last but not least, if we could turn 8 And the use of this 80 percent loading factor, did that come into play during the recent 9 to Exhibit JDLL10X. 9 10 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I'm there. 10 spell of hot weather? Q. Are you familiar with this exhibit? A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): I believe 11 11 12 A. (BY MR. DILUCIANO): Yes. 12 Ms. Rosentrater would be best able to respond to that. 13 Q. Now, is it correct that in this 13 Thank you. exhibit -- or in this data request Public Counsel Q. So the more precise question is: How 14 14 15 does that relate, then, to redundancy of substations? 15 asked Avista to identify any U.S. utility of which 16 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): So the most recent 16 Avista is aware which reviewed every heater once every 60 years for equipment replacement at installation 17 17 events that we had last week tested our system, as everyone is familiar with at this point, and, as has 18 opportunities? 18 19 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes, that's correct. 19 been stated, we do, especially for our circuits that 20 Q. Could you point to where in this response 20 are in our urban/suburban areas that have those 21 Avista made this identification. 21 adjacent circuits to be able to transfer load to --22 A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Avista did not 22 during outages, we have a feeder philosophy of 23 identify any because we're not aware of any that uses 23 actually 66 percent and a transformer substation 24 that 60-year cycle interval. 24 philosophy of 80 percent to allow that capacity when 25 25 Q. Okay. Thank you. you have outages on adjacent feeders or substations to

Page 130

be able to pick up that load by switching.

And during our most recent heat event last week, all of that additional capacity that was built in through that design was quickly and unexpectedly used up based on the extreme temperatures, and really three transformers had a alarm because they were at their capacity, without having -- switching from adjacent feeders. So just alone with the load that they had, they were being loaded above their capacity.

- Q. So is that an example of the company not having sufficient redundancy to avoid, in those circumstances, an outage?
- A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Unfortunately, that's what we saw in the situations last week.
 - Q. All right.

Now, I'd like to, Mr. La Bolle, turn back to the questioning on Exhibit -- Cross Exhibit JDLL9X, and refer to the bottom of Page 2 of that, please.

- A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes. I'm there.
- Q. Are you there?
- A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): Yes.
- Q. So in that exhibit there is a -- a table of sorts at the very bottom indicating that percentagewise there are various levels of

 $\label{eq:page_132} \mbox{Page } 132$ I'd like to start with some questions for

Ms. Rosentrater, but, Ms. Rosentrater, if you'd like to defer to Mr. DiLuciano or Mr. La Bolle, please feel free as I go through these.

So following up on the recent heat event,
Avista reported that several of these transformers and
feeder lines were proactively shut down and that led
to the rolling outages for some customers, and some of
these customers were impacted by multiple outages in a
day, as I understand. So for those transformers and
feeder lines that were mostly impacted and were shut
down, has the company previously experienced issues
during high load or extreme temperature events with
those particular transformers and feeder lines?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Those are exactly the questions we've been following up on, and I have actually a list in front of me from the previous three years, including 2018, 2019, 2020, and then this peak and what each of those transformers, and we -- so we had -- we had four transformers that we had these -- what we called protected outages on them, and one of those transformers, on Tuesday we found out that it was a CT or current transformer setting error that caused the issue, so we were able to fix that in real time and had no longer issues on that transformer. So

Page 131

interconnection between substations, correct?

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is correct on our system.

Q. And does that show that there are only 55 percent of substations that are fully interconnected?

A. (BY MR. LA BOLLE): That is correct.
MR. MEYER: All right. That's all I
have. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, I don't expect that the redirect would have raised any further cross, but I'd like to ask if there is a follow-up within that narrow scope of what Mr. Meyer asked.

MS. SUETAKE: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.

We do have bench guestions from the

Commissioners for these three witnesses. I believe that we can have -- go ahead and have those questions now, and when we conclude with the bench questions we can break for lunch.

So I guess at this point I'm going to turn it over to the Commissioners to ask Rosentrater, La Bolle and DiLuciano questions. If you would like to go ahead, Commissioners.

MR. BALASBAS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 133

it left us with three transformers that truly were hitting their capacity.

And then we had two feeders that were specifically constrained due to the temperature, and so we created a list of those -- the 2018, 2019 and 2020, and including this last week's peak event, and what the percent loading was during the peak of each of those years.

And I can also share that we -- as we've shared, we did experience a new historic system peak this last week, and our previous historic system peak was in 2018, in August, with a temperature of 105, so a somewhat similar temperature.

We measure our temperature based on one of our substations that's called Beacon. The experience last week was 106 degrees at Beacon on Monday, 108 degrees at Beacon on Tuesday, and 106 degrees at Beacon on Wednesday. So what was actually seen from a temperature perspective was higher, but not extremely higher than what we experienced in 2018 from a temperature perspective.

And the peak loading that we actually saw for those devices was some in the 66 percent loaded -- that was our Northeast transformer, one of the three transformers. The second transformer was a sunset

2.5

Page 134

transformer; the previous peak in 2018 was 77.5 percent loaded. And the Waikiki transformer in 2018 during that peak was 86 percent loaded.

And for the -- other than sunset -- it saw an 83 percent peak loading last year. Those were the -- sorry. The Northeast saw a 78.5 peak loading last year as well.

So for those transformers, what we saw this peak event last week were all 90 to 95 to 99 percent, so a significant jump in capacity compared to what we've ever seen historically even with similar temperatures.

The feeders, it was a 10th and Stewart feeder that's down in Lewiston and a Francis and Cedar feeder. Those are the two feeders that experienced issues.

And during that 2018 peak 10th and Stewart saw 78 percent loading compared to its capacity, and Francis and Cedar saw 79 percent loading compared to its capacity. Again, that was our peak in 2018.

In 2020 we saw 85 percent at 10th and Stewart and 80 percent at Francis and Cedar. And I think some of that information is reflective of the change in usage, the profile change of our feeders Page 136

- have it high urgency with next steps. We discussed near-term steps that we needed to take to prepare if
- near-term steps that we needed to take to prepare if this were to happen in two weeks from now, how would
- this were to happen in two weeks from now, how would we be prepared from an operational perspective, what
- we be prepared from an operational perspective, wha
 additional tools do we have to support those areas
- from additional switching to potential -- well, I'll
- 7 talk about some of the others, so switching being one
- 8 of the big ones, switching to continue to analyze
- 9 the -- what we learned from this last week, the AMI
- data has helped us significantly, even during the
 event last week, to minimize the number of customers

that we saw out each day.Like I said, all thre

Like I said, all three days had very similar temperatures, and we were able to reduce each day the number of outages to Wednesday, when we still had 106 degrees, to zero customers that saw outages in Washington, those protective outages.

So we had a meeting yesterday that talked about, again, these near-term actions that we need to take, midterm actions that we need to take, so for next summer, how can we be better prepared for things like -- we have some large batteries on order for other projects that could be redirected to these projects -- to these areas, if necessary, as well as we have a mobile substation that can be utilized as

Page 135

based on COVID and the impact of customers working from home.

We historically see our peaks pre-COVID on -- during the week on our more commercial business feeders; however, what we saw this last week was primarily in our residential neighborhoods. And as I shared, we saw unforeseen jumps in those -- the result of that peak loading on each of those transformers and feeders. We were --

I'll end there for your question. Thank you.

MR. BALASBAS: All right. Thank you, Ms. Rosentrater.

I guess I'd like to follow up on that -and thank you for sharing that information there. I'd like to follow up and ask, so specifically for those transformers and the feeder lines that you were just discussing, what is Avista's plan to mitigate future outages during high load or extreme temperature events?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, thank you for that question as well.

We had a specific meeting yesterday with my director team of engineering and operations and system operations to have that very discussion and Page 137

well, and we did utilize it during the events lastweek to support our sunset transformer.

And then we also discussed the longer-term options beyond the summer of 2022, and by experiencing the temperatures that we saw and the load growth that we saw last week, that will likely pull forward many of the projects that we already had in our five-year capital budget to -- to focus on these areas that we saw had challenges last week.

So we have significant -- from an operational and engineering and project perspective, significant work going on. We also have work going on related to heat events.

We've never experienced outages due to a heat event before related to protective outages. Yes, we've had some equipment fail, such as fuses that melt in the heat, but never had protective outages such as these, and so we were caught flatfooted in terms of being prepared from a customer perspective. But we learned quickly, we put a process together by Tuesday to do our best to proactively communicate to customers, and those are the kinds of things we would put into what we're calling a heat event plan, and we would have, again, operational customer and communications components of that plan, with the goal

Page 138

to have a draft of that plan by the end of next week for us to be able to utilize if we were to see this kind of situation in our forecast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also -- the third component of what we discussed yesterday was a reevaluation of our planning criteria. Historically we've utilized our peak loads and our peak temperatures from history, to then apply growth from knowledge about customer growth and commercial growth in different areas, to be able to forecast and apply what new capacity may be needed in areas. We are recognizing that we need to look at all of our planning criteria assumptions.

We have temperatures that we use for our summer peaks in terms of ambient temperature assumptions of 104 degrees. We believe that those likely need to be increased. That was one of the challenges we experienced again last week, was the unexpected load, specifically on certain feeders, as well as when you go above that 104 degrees, you are reducing your capacity of equipment with every degree above that.

And so we saw a significant increase in load; at the same time we were experiencing a reduction in the capacity of our equipment, which compounded to create the issue that we saw.

you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And let me turn to the court reporter.

Did you also lose audio?

THE REPORTER: Yes.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Let me ask, Ms. Rosentrater, that you restart your answer. Thank

No, we cannot hear you.

That sounds like it's working.

MR. MEYER: This is David Meyer. Can

anyone hear me?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, we can hear you.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Okav.

MR. MEYER: The least important person. 14 15 Now let's try the most important person.

16 JUDGE O'CONNELL: We can hear -- we can

17 hear you now, Ms. Rosentrater.

MS. ROSENTRATER: You can hear me now? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you.

20 MS. ROSENTRATER: Okay. Thank you.

So to answer your question, Commissioner, 21

22 thank you. I've been -- we've been categorizing 23

our -- how we respond in these situations, and it 24 overlaps with all of our other work in terms of

25 near-term planning and long-term planning, and the

Page 139

So, again, that third component of the planning to reduce this chance in the future is around reviewing all of our planning assumptions, and that will be a longer process to review those assumptions, and then once those are agreed upon, to apply those to our system, which will also take some time to determine what other constraints do we see when we apply those updated planning criteria to the system.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you for that.

So you touched on this a little bit, so my last question here -- then I will turn to my colleagues to see if they have additional questions, but my last question here is: If you're going to create what you refer to as a heat event plan, how is that connected with the rest of the plans and how does that all fit within the grid modernization piece that is in this case and that we're considering here? How does this all tie together with the other prioritization and investments that the company makes?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Right. I've been categorizing it into two categories: the near-term --(Audio lost.)

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me pause for everyone.

Ms. Rosentrater, I lost my audio from

Page 141

Page 140

heat event plan would be near-term planning, where we have different tools -- limited -- more limited tools

3 in terms of being able to mitigate any constraints

4 that we might be seeing, and it's much more focused on

community and customer communications as a key

component and focus of those kinds of plans for

near-term planning.

I would say that the grid modernization and the other programs that have been discussed would be in the category of being impacted by the long-term planning, and the updated planning assumptions -planning criteria assumptions would be what could potentially impact and modify, and I would say likely pull forward, potentially, some of these projects that have been identified in our broader capital transmission and distribution work.

Josh would like to add something.

MR. DILUCIANO: Yeah. So I -- just real quickly, so I think about it the same way as Heather's perspective. The playbook or the plan that Heather is talking about, a lot of that is a tactic, so unfortunately if we do get in that situation, before we can mitigate system issues, best to have a strong response and we can handle it -- deal with it quickly and minimize impact to customers and communicate.

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 142 1 And then we have a lot of the work we 2 have to do between now and then. There will hopefully 3 never be an event where the temperatures get to the 4 extent we can't serve those loads. 5 And in this rate case things like grid 6 modernization and substation rebuild are examples of 7 projects that have directly reduced risk on most of 8 our systems for our customers. 9 So those projects that we have in the 10 rate case are examples of work we've done historically 11 that keep us meeting our planning criteria when we 12

> MR. BALASBAS: Thank you. That's all I have, and I will turn to my colleagues if they have other questions.

have known events.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RENDAHL: Counsel, I guess --Ms. Rosentrater, thank you. That was very helpful information.

I wonder if I can ask a bench request to have you submit that information you were referring to in terms of the loading of the different years, of 2018 and 2020 and 2021.

Now, is that -- so that would be that bench request, but just -- is it just for those circuits and feeders that were affected, the

reasonable? 1

2 MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, that works for us. 3 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will set that 4 date as July 14th.

Page 144

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Great.

So just to follow up a bit, 7 Ms. Rosentrater, on Commissioner Balasbas's questions.

8 Your initial testimony references and includes as 9 exhibits the company's electric distribution 10 infrastructure plan in HLR-2, the electric

11 transmission infrastructure plan in HLR-6, and the 12 electric substation infrastructure plan in HLR-7, 13 correct?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, I believe so. 14 15 Yes.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And so were the feeders -- I think you were just talking about this, but were the feeders and the transformers that were overheating and overloaded during the recent heat event parts of the distribution system that are covered by the substation plan or individual transformers at the distribution level covered in the distribution infrastructure plan?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, that's a great question. Because we did have more -- we did have

Page 143

transformer and feeders, but not for the system?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Right. That's what we've pulled together, recognizing those are the only areas that we did execute the protective outages -protective plus preempted outages on those devices.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

So, Judge O'Connell, I don't know what bench request number that would be -- I think maybe 3 -- but that would be for the specific information that Ms. Rosentrater discussed on the record today.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. We're going to number that Bench Request 8, requesting the different years -- the loading from different years on the transformers and the feeders where there were the protective outages just last week, please.

And I will include that in my list of bench requests that I will send out to the parties at the ends of today's hearing.

MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.

MR. MEYER: When would you like that response by?

When would you like --

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, I heard you, Mr. Meyer. I was taking a moment to think about that.

Would next Wednesday, July 14th, be

Page 145

some issues with transformers downstream, but that was much -- much smaller issues. We -- the transformers that were impacted with these protective outages would be covered by the substation plan. They are located in the substation. And the feeders would be covered in the distribution plan.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. I'm just writing that down.

So given that the distribution infrastructure plan includes the company's grid modernization program, are the feeders at issue -- and I think you just talked about this but I just need a little bit more information. How does the grid modernization plan that's in the distribution plan include these? Because there's so many different plans, I'm trying to figure out how they work together.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Right. So the grid modernization program is holistically the asset management program, and so we coordinate between primarily growth-based needs across the system with the asset management asset condition needs that we identify across the system.

So where we can, we get both done at the same time, and so the Francis and Cedar 12F1 is a good

Page 146

example of that. We -- that's one of the feeders that we experienced challenges with last week, and it's also part of our grid modernization program. So we're continuing forward with a project on that as our midterm solution to that -- the issue on that feeder.

And so it would be not the near term this summer solution, but by next summer that project should alleviate the overloading issue that we experienced as well as several other asset condition types of issues that are on that feeder.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

So I assume that you are -- in your review of what happened during the heat event, that you're going to be including -- or doing a review of all the distribution feeders and circuits and transformers to see the loading that occurred during this event?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, absolutely. So we -- we have near-term work going on to look at that loading, and really, again, these are the areas of biggest issue based on what we experienced last week, but we are looking beyond just these areas. And we looked beyond these areas last week as well as we were operating through it, to help identify areas that were at higher risk even though they weren't imminent, and

how to apply that into our long-term planning so the --

I'm sorry, Commissioner. What was the second part of what you were asking? I remember the weather part, and then --

MS. RENDAHL: Obviously, weather is more top of mind, but I think you had also mentioned the impact of post-COVID what the demand -- what the demand on each circuit and feeder is going to look like, you know, going forward.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Thank you. Yes.

And that's, again, where AMI will be significantly beneficial to us updating our models, because we have granular information from all of our Washington circuits and transformers to be able to update the load profile that we could expect, and so some different things that are included in our planning models above and beyond just the ambient temperature that we should be looking at, what equipment rating we should be using.

We also have a diversity factor that we use. We assume, as all utilities do, that not everyone is using everything in their house at the exact same time, and so there's a diversity factor that is assumed when we're designing our system, and

Page 147

we had those on a map that we showed to customers that those were areas that we were monitoring in addition to these areas.

And then, as I mentioned, the updated planning criteria after we go through that process would be applied over the entire system, and likely additional projects will be identified once applying the updated planning criteria. That would be beyond these areas as well.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And so the updating those criteria includes evaluating the impact of climate change and warming, obviously, which you've described in your response to Commissioner Balasbas. So what models are you looking to look for future weather and also, in addition, given COVID impacts, are you looking at how you look at customer demand differently post-COVID? So would those both be elements of your criteria?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. Absolutely. I would say to be determined in terms of what model. That's the work that needs to be done, is identifying are there others that are industry leaders in terms of identifying not just looking at historic but looking forward and recognizing that we're seeing more extreme weather events and more frequent weather events and

Page 149

Page 148

that assumption for the diversity factor was tested as well, because normally we have AC units that are cycling and so not all of them are on at the same time, and with this extreme temperature and having high nighttime temperatures as well, there were so many different aspects that created the perfect storm last week, and so reassessing what that diversity factor will be, and then as you note with our customers using energy differently at different times of day than we've historically experienced due to COVID, and likely beyond COVID. We know that the workforce likely will be more flexible and hybrid, and so we can't use our same assumptions from history in terms of what the load profile will be on each of our circuits going forward.

So we had the opportunity to learn a huge amount last week, and we will be taking that information, using our AMI data we have, what -- we model our distribution system with a system called Synergy, and so we can load in our AMI data from last week into our Synergy model to understand what additional constraints we might see as we continue to see new customer growth and potential increases in existing customer usage as well.

MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.

	Page 150		Page 152
1	So, Judge O'Connell, I have several other	1	WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021
2	questions for Ms. Rosentrater, and there are other	2	1:32 P.M.
3	questions, I believe, that we might have for	3	000
4	Mr. DiLuciano and Mr. La Bolle. I wonder, do you want	4	
5	to break now for lunch or do you want to keep going	5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be back on the
6	through with these witnesses?	6	record.
7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: It is time for our	7	Welcome back. We've just had our lunch
8	lunch break. I didn't want to go beyond 12:30. And	8	break. The time is approximately 1:30 p.m., and we
9	seeing that there are going to be several more	9	are going to continue with our witness panel from
10	questions for these witnesses, I'm not sure how long	10	Avista of Rosentrater, DiLuciano and La Bolle.
11	it's going to last.	11	I will turn it back over to the
12	If it were I think it's going to be	12	Commissioners to continue our questions.
13	more than just five minutes, so that being the case, I	13	MS. RENDAHL: Unless my colleagues have
14	would if it is okay with the Commissioners, I would	14	questions, I'll continue.
15	prefer to have our break now, bring back the panel,	15	Okay. So thank you for the responses,
16	and then we can finish with the panel after lunch.	16	Ms. Rosentrater, to the questions about the recent
17	MR. DANNER: Judge, there appears to be a	17	heat event. I know it's somewhat tangential to the
18	polka festival in the park across the street, which is	18	case, but it's also relevant to the various plans that
19	making it hard for me to hear, so I think this would	19	the company has included.
20	be a great time to break, because I think that they	20	And just going back to those
21	will be done dancing at one o'clock.	21	infrastructure plans, so the electric distribution
22	MS. RENDAHL: Too bad we're not there; we	22	infrastructure plan, which is HLR-2, it references a
23	could all join in.	23	number of different programs: the company's
24	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Let's reconvene	24	distribution grid modernization program, a transformer
25	in an hour, at 1:30 p.m. We'll take our lunch break	25	change-out program, the wood pole management program,
	Page 151		Page 153
1	now. And we'll ask that this panel of three witnesses	1	AMI, vegetation management, and the wildfire
2	come back and be prepared to answer a couple more	2	resiliency program, among other programs, correct?
3	questions.	3	MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes.
4	Thank you all, and we'll be off the	4	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
5	record until 1:30. Thank you.	l -	And the transparies is a law infragth path and place
6	(A luncheon recess was taken at	5	And the transmission infrastructure plan
	(A luncheon recess was taken at	6	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same
7	12:32 p.m.)	6 7	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also
7 8	·	6	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which
8 9	12:32 p.m.)	6 7	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct?
8	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think
8 9 10 11	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work.
8 9 10 11 12	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
8 9 10 11 12 13	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference
8 9 10 11 12 13	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"? MS. ROSENTRATER: Sorry. I'm accessing
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"? MS. ROSENTRATER: Sorry. I'm accessing it right now.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"? MS. ROSENTRATER: Sorry. I'm accessing it right now. MS. RENDAHL: That was HLR-7 at Page 20.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"? MS. ROSENTRATER: Sorry. I'm accessing it right now. MS. RENDAHL: That was HLR-7 at Page 20. MS. ROSENTRATER: Okay.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12:32 p.m.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's in HLR-6 includes some of the same distribution-level substation projects that are also included in the substation infrastructure plan, which is in HLR-7, correct? MS. ROSENTRATER: They would I think include the transmission portions of that work. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. So, in fact, the plans cross-reference each other, and I'll give you some page references, but in the in the case of the substation rebuild program, which is on Page 20 of HLR-7, would you agree that it says, "Please note the capital allocated for this program is shared between transmission substations and distribution. The transmission creates and manages the program"? MS. ROSENTRATER: Sorry. I'm accessing it right now. MS. RENDAHL: That was HLR-7 at Page 20.

Page 154

Page 156

Page 157

that question.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. You're a panel. Either one of you. Please go ahead.

MR. DILUCIANO: So with that particular business case, what we're trying to describe is if we're going to rebuild a substation, it's going to take substation work, transmission work and distribution work to be successful. So the substation engineering department manages the specific business case, and then it works with the adjacent -- and even protection department to help fulfill that role.

So we're going to need new transmission come-ins and we're going to need new distribution go-out-ofs when we do that work. So that's what we're trying to explain there.

That business case -- the substation rebuild business case will include substation distribution and transmission work under that one business case.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

So in that particular case, where is the capital budgeting occurring?

MR. DILUCIANO: It would be in our substation rebuild business case, and so we would have -- at that level, that work, when we do our

knowledge of the transmission integration, and then my transmission minor rebuild or major rebuild won't have any of those costs included in that work. They're separated at the business case level. The plans may address it and talk about the work that's getting done around that investment.

An example is my sub -- or my transmission engineering manager I believe currently has around \$40 million in investment he's doing this year. However, for his business cases, it's probably more like 17 that he's accounting for. He's just doing work for other business cases throughout the company.

That didn't help.

MS. ROSENTRATER: It looks like you're on mute.

MS. RENDAHL: Thank you.

So it is hard to track, I guess, from the planning level, then to the program level, and then talking about -- so the wildfire resiliency plan is in -- or the wildfire resiliency program is within the distribution infrastructure plan, but is that a planning, then, for the wildfire plan, which is separate, for which the company is seeking recovery for in this proceeding?

Page 155

planning for that work, we meet monthly and biannually and we go through and we talk those elements of those projects to make sure we're accounting for the work that's going to get done.

MS. RENDAHL: But when you include for the capital projects, it appears to be appearing across several different plants, so my question is: Are you spreading the cost or is that the same cost that's appearing across each of those plans?

How do we know that we're not recovering in multiple different ways? That's the basic gist of my question. Given that --

I mean, this isn't the only instance, either, and I'll go into that later.

MR. DILUCIANO: Right. So the way I'm hearing that question, you know, if you're talking about investment in those plans, and you talk about in the substation, then you talk about the investment in distribution, you talk about it in transmission, are we triple counting the work that was getting done.

So the way the plans are laid out, the plans, from my perspective, are high-level goals and describing how we approach our system, but individual business cases don't double count. So my substation rebuild business case won't have -- will have the

So how do we map out --

And then there's various impacts for the resiliency plan or the wildfire plan that pop up in the different infrastructure plans across the board. And so, again, there's got to be a way to track this pretty clearly, because the way it's explained in the plans is somewhat confusing, at least from my perspective.

So how do we track this? How do we make sure there's no double, triple recovery here?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yeah, I think that's really helpful feedback in terms of how we share our information. The plans are an attempt -- because there aren't clear lines between transmission substation and distribution, and so the plans are an attempt to characterize, you know, and acknowledge the overlap between them, but from a financial charging perspective, that's something that I believe Liz Andrews could help clarify in her part of the hearing, to help understand how we actually -- through the business cases, as Josh mentioned, how we actually ensure that there's no way that we are double or triple counting the actual work that is being completed that the plans are attempting to describe.

MS. RENDAHL: So the plans that are

30 (Pages 154 to 157)

Page 158 Page 160 1 can help just a little bit. The capital budget 1 attached to your testimony, Ms. Rosentrater, are the 2 2 high-level planning, and then the capital budgeting is committee approves the business cases, as has been 3 done separately, so that you can track by which budget 3 discussed, and it's the business cases that are request or project these things are falling under. 4 4 presented in this case for recovery. Those high-level 5 5 MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. infrastructure plans, quite ironically, were designed 6 MS. RENDAHL: Sorry for the 6 to try to provide folks a better idea of the different 7 7 emergencies -- the emergency noises. factors that are driving the need for investment and 8 MS. ROSENTRATER: No problem. 8 how some of these investments overlap. But they don't 9 9 have anything to do with accounting for projects. Yeah, so we have a capital planning group 10 10 that is made up of our directors across the company, The capital budget committee's approval 11 and that group isn't planning to these high levels, 11 of business cases is just reflected in those they are planning to the business case requests that 12 12 infrastructure plans in the ways that those costs can come in, and they're allocating money to the business 13 13 overlap. cases based on the -- based on the business case that 14 14 MR. DANNER: Okay. 15 is made, and that's how the projects get approved, and 15 I think you were very successful in showing us that they overlapped. So we'll talk to 16 then they are charged appropriately and with controls 16 Ms. Andrews. Thank you. 17 in place to ensure that we aren't double counting any 17 18 of the costs of the project. 18 MR. BALASBAS: Just to clarify one --19 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 19 just on that point, though. Mr. La Bolle and 20 20 Ms. Rosentrater, what I -- and Mr. DiLuciano. You all So you're suggesting we talk to Ms. Andrews about that particular how the accounting 21 referenced this. What I'm hearing all of you say is 21 what is being presented in this case are requests for 22 22 is done? MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. She should be 23 recovery of individual business cases that the company 23 24 able to help with that. 24 has spent money on and is now seeking recovery of, but 25 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 25 that all of those business cases, effectively they Page 159 Page 161 1 So I don't know if my colleagues have 1 roll up into these plans, and then the plans kind of further questions on this point. 2 just describe really what -- I mean, what's happening, 2 3 3 MR. DANNER: I just want to state that I really, in different categories of things, but really had exactly the same concerns that Commissioner 4 we have to look at the business cases and the merits 4 5 5 Rendahl raised, because I looked at this. Yes, of those as we consider whether to approve those. 6 everything was overlapping, and, you know, you say, 6 MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, that's 7 Okay, here's the wildlife -- or the wildfire plan and 7 characterized very well. 8 it's got these components, and you look over here, 8 Just to maybe give a little bit more 9 9 it's grid hardening, and, you know, it's -- my first explanation on the infrastructure plan, for an attempt 10 question was: Okay. What's getting assigned to what? 10 to -- if you've only read the substation one, you Are things getting assigned to more than one category? 11 11 would have the holistic understanding of even the 12 Is there a potential for double or triple or quadruple 12 transmission and feeder aspects of the substation; if 13 recovery? 13 you just read the transmission, you would understand 14 What you're saying is, no, there isn't, 14 the substation aspect. So I can -- it's good -but we need to talk to Ms. Andrews: is that -- that is 15 again, it's good feedback that it can feel like we're 15 16 16 duplicating the way that it's put together. MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. Again --17 17 Thank you. MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 18 MR. DANNER: Okay. 18 19 But in your view the record this case is 19 So I don't know if my colleagues have clear. We should be able to glean from the record 20 20 questions on other topics for this panel. 21 that there's no double or triple or quadruple 21 I can keep going. 22 accounting? 22 Just interrupt me if you have a question. 23 MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. 23 So, Mr. DiLuciano, I have some questions 24 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 24 for you about AMI, and in particular your initial MR. LA BOLLE: Mr. Chairman, I think I 25 25 testimony, Exhibit JDD-1T, at Page 4. So do you have

	Page 162		Page 164
-			
1	that in front of you?	1	mobile to collect that information instead of building
2	MR. DILUCIANO: Give me one moment.	2	a network. It's more challenging in the gas-only
3	MS. RENDAHL: Yes.	3	areas because we don't own the electric
4	MR. DILUCIANO: Okay. I believe I'm	4	infrastructure, and we leverage the electric
5	there.	5	infrastructure in our electric areas to install the
6	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	6	communication devices, and so in the gas-only areas,
7	And so you may not actually need the	7	it can be have more components, a higher cost to be
8	testimony for this, but I just wanted to give you a	8	able to install, and we had challenges with the
9	reference for where this was. So on Page 5 I'm	9	hardware and software from Itron to install in the
10	sorry Page 4, Line 7, through Page 5, Line 5, you	10	gas-only areas, install the network, and so we made
11	testified that the AMA AMI project is largely	11	the decision as a company to move forward with
12	completed, about 98 percent, and that only portions of	12	those some 17,000 meters that were in the gas-only
13	natural gas metering is still being installed. So can	13	areas, to upgrade the modules and to collect the
14	you provide an update on how complete the AMI rollout	14	information via mobile, to be a cost-effective
15	is for both electric and natural gas at this point?	15	solution for our customers and minimize the risks
16	MR. DILUCIANO: Commissioner, Heather	16	associated with the software and hardware changes.
17	actually has that prepared, so if it's all right, can	17	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
18	she answer? I don't have that data in front of me.	18	And on the again, whoever wishes to
19	MS. RENDAHL: Absolutely. You're a	19	answer this question. In Mr. DiLuciano's initial
20	panel.	20	testimony at Page 7 this is JDD-1T, at Page 7,
21	MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. I have so we	21	Line 13, through Page 8 at Line 10, you reference
22	have on the non-gas-only areas, we have 99.9	22	Avista's AMI opt-out tariff pilot, which was effective
23	percent complete. I think there's about 300 meters of	23	on August 2nd, 2018, and that you're tracking costs
24	the over 400,000 meters that still need to be	24	for manually reading meters for opt-out customers. Do
25	installed, and those are in the fringe areas, where	25	you have a number of how many Avista customers are
	Page 163		Page 165
1	it's either challenging access or challenging network.	1	currently participating in the AMI opt-out by gas and
2	And then on the gas meters, I just I	2	electric customers?
3	thought I	3	MR. DILUCIANO: We didn't track it by gas
4	Can you give me just one moment to give	4	and electric; we just have a total opt-out number.
5	you the updated information on that?	5	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
6	MS. RENDAHL: Yes.	6	I'm wondering if it would be good, Judge
7	MS. ROSENTRATER: Thank you.	7	O'Connell, to ask a bench request for those for the
8	If it would be better	8	total number and then the breakout of, I guess,
9	MR. DILUCIANO: So	9	electric, which would include those that were gas
10	MS. ROSENTRATER: Go ahead.	10	customers, and then the gas-only.
11	MR. DILUCIANO: I have it.	11	MR. DILUCIANO: So our numbers right
12	So we're 79 percent complete. We've	12	now and just so you know, I'm looking at the bench
13	installed 15,000 of our gas modules, and we have 3,900	13	request (inaudible). So right now we have 2,357
14	left to go.	14	customers who have completed the opt-out process, and
15	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	15	there's five that are pending that are in the
16	And	16	process of application and evaluation.
17	MR. DANNER: How many left to go?	17	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
18	MR. DILUCIANO: 3,900.	18	And do you currently have a cost that
19	MS. RENDAHL: And at one point you had	19	you've identified, now that you've been operating
20	there's reference in the someone's testimony	20	under the opt-out, what the annual costs are for
21	sorry, I don't have that about not pursuing all of	21	reading per meter? Or do you have an overall cost?
22	the natural gas metering. Is that still the case?	22	MS. ROSENTRATER: We don't have that at
23	MR. DILUCIANO: That is correct.	23	hand, but it's something we could get.
24	MS. ROSENTRATER: That is what is	24	MS. RENDAHL: We'll add that to the bench
25	reflected in the non-gas-only areas, that we are doing	25	request, so that will be Part B, I guess, of the bench
			-

Page 166 Page 168 1 1 areas, and that you have plans for the realization of request. 2 2 those benefits. At this point are those still just JUDGE O'CONNELL: We will number that as 3 3 estimations, or have you begun quantifying the Bench Request 9, and the request is for how many 4 4 Avista customers in total are opting out of the AMI benefits of AMI? 5 5 program, and then specifically the breakdown of how MS. ROSENTRATER: We have -- a lot of the 6 many are in gas-only and how many are in the electric 6 benefits are already being achieved, and many of the 7 7 and gas areas. benefits have been achieved as soon as that individual 8 Then the Part B will be what are Avista's 8 meter was installed, which started several years ago, 9 9 so we've been accumulating many of the benefits along annual costs for manually reading those meters. 10 MS. RENDAHL: What the breakdown of 10 the way from the meter reading costs to things like 11 basically a cost per meter, cost per customer, I 11 even budget alerts. We started last year with those 12 believe, who is in the opt-out. 12 meters that had been installed. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 13 13 So we've -- we've incorporated the MS. RENDAHL: I defer to my colleagues if 14 activities to achieve the benefits as we've gone along 14 15 they have questions they wish to ask. 15 the way, and we are updating the benefit results based 16 MR. LA BOLLE: Your Honor, may I add a 16 on actual to date as we've updated the business case. 17 comment that's pertinent to one of the questions that 17 And, Larry, do you have anything to add 18 Commissioner Rendahl just asked? 18 to that? 19 MS. RENDAHL: Well, if you have data 19 MR. DANNER: So what -- I guess I 20 that -- I mean, it can be reported in the bench 20 haven't -- I'm not seeing the data. I'm seeing the request, but if you have data now. 21 21 estimates. 22 MR. LA BOLLE: I have better data now. 22 It sounds like you say you have 23 23 quantified the benefits. I would like to see, for The natural gas meter modules that we 24 just reported out on the percent installed, those are 24 example -- we -- you know, we have identified in other 25 the natural gas meter modules in our non-AMI areas. 25 rate cases certain benefits, like, you know, Page 167 Page 169 1 The natural gas meter modules -- and this is where we 1 time-of-use rates, realtime energy use, feedback for 2 would need to provide you the final percentage, but 2 customers, behavior-based programs, data 3 3 should be nearly all installed. disaggregation, great interact with efficient 4 So we reported just on the non-AMI 4 buildings, and Volt/VAR optimization of others. 5 5 Is this something that you've broken down natural gas meter modules as being 77 percent 6 6 complete, of whatever that value was. into those areas? Is there some -- something that 7 MS. RENDAHL: And maybe you can clarify 7 would be a concise explanation of those benefits that 8 in the bench request which ones are non-AMI and which 8 we could get our hands on? 9 9 ones are the AMR. MS. ROSENTRATER: So we have a chart 10 MR. LA BOLLE: Yes. Yeah, thank you. 10 specifically in the JDD-2R, which includes the actual MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 11 11 report, that includes those specific use cases and our 12 Can you add that to the bench request, 12 analysis of some of the -- the projections are the net 13 Judge O'Connell? For the number of -- it's the number 13 present value for the life of the project in terms of of gas -- you know, where they are on the gas-only 14 14 the benefits, but where we have already started 15 meter completion, which are AMI and which are AMR. 15 achieving benefits, those actual -- that actual 16 MS. ROSENTRATER: Right. So we see the 16 information has been included and then extrapolated 17 project as materially complete. You know, overall --17 for the future lifetime net present value of the 18 we have over 99 percent of the meters installed of the 18 benefits. 19 overall project. 19 So you can see on Page -- it's Page 4 of 20 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you. 20 the report that's in that testimony, and you can see 21 MR. DANNER: I just have a follow-up on 21 the table --22 that. 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please --23 I don't have citations to point to, but 23 Ms. Rosentrater, please go slower. I'm having trouble 24 it's my sense that throughout the testimony you talk 24 keeping up. 25 25 about projections of financial benefits in multiple MS. ROSENTRATER: Thank you.

Page 170

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 172

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I have JDD-2R, and I am turning to Page -- what is numbered as Page 4 within the exhibit.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.

So -- I see that as Page 12 of 107. Or

it would be Page 11 of 106.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DANNER: So those are the Avista analysis numbers of the six items that I just mentioned.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Right. The net present value of the life-cycle value of those numbers.

And, as I mentioned, so things like the behavior-based program, we have budget alerts that we've already executed on last year. We've rolled that out to customers to enable them to enter in a planned budget for a month and be able to get e-mail or text alerts if they are trending over that budget, and even this -- we are planning on reminding customers of that tool as we have experienced this heat wave over the last couple weeks, so we'll be sending out an e-mail later this week to remind customers of these kinds of tools that we have that are enabled by AMI, so that they can look at the charts that are -- to that realtime energy use

that -- and, yes, we absolutely are continuing to look for new use cases, and I will say last week we found several new use cases that weren't noted in the quantified or the nonquantified benefits, and so they will definitely be added as we update this.

And those -- again, those use cases were -- we were able to, you know, minimize outages, both the number of customers that were impacted and the -- that we -- being able to actually reduce the outage that was experienced on Wednesday, so we were able to reduce the number from Monday to Tuesday by using the AMI data from Monday to do switching and minimize customers that were out on Tuesday, and then we continued to fine-tune the system from Tuesday and Wednesday by both doing feeder switching, so from -when you have that refined data, you can truly identify smaller groups of customers that you can move from one feeder to another feeder. The adjacent feeder might just be slightly less loaded, and because we have that really refined AMI data, we can have that fine-tuning of the system to move just even a small group of customers over to another adjacent feeder to minimize the other feeder.

So those are -- also feeder balancing, so each --

Page 171

feedback for customers.

We have charts that are available that show that near realtime information to customers so that they don't have to wait until the end of the month and then receive the bill to know how this heat wave has impacted their bill, but they can go in real time and determine if they can, you know, modify their behavior based on what we're sending them as a forecasted bill for the month based on the heat wave we've experienced.

So we have examples in each one of those use cases that you noted, Chairman Danner, and then later in the report we have more details related to what's behind those numbers and the programs associated with each of those use cases.

MR. DANNER: So really what I'm interested in is you've got these numbers, and you do state in the report on Page 9 -- you say that new areas of benefit are the result of continuing efforts to capture greater customer value, so I assume that you are still looking for ways that you can get benefits out of AMI.

How do you plan on doing that, tracking your success, and then letting us know?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Absolutely. I know

Page 173

Sorry. I'll slow down. I get a little excited when I talk about these things.

Feeder balancing is each feeder has three phases, a feeder -- an A phase, a B phase, and a C phase, and many customers -- our residential customers are only connected to one of those phases.

And so extreme heat, you may find that A phase has more customers that have air-conditioning on it than B or C. And so during a normal day you may be will very well balanced between phases A, B and C, but when you have these extreme temperatures you find that A phase actually may be more overloaded than B or C phase.

And, again, the AMI data is what helps us to identify those issues, identify which customers, small groups of customers that we can move from one phase to another phase to balance that feeder, to reduce the probability that it would overload, and we got through -- again, like I said on Wednesday, with those fine-tuning with our engineers, you know, real time looking at that data, making those switching notices for operations employees to go out, and by Wednesday, with the fine-tuned information, we were able to, you know, eliminate all of our Washington protective outages that we experienced on Wednesday.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 174

And, as I mentioned, that benefit was not something we would have thought of and we didn't include in our existing business case.

I would also say things that -- the grid-interactive efficient building category, there is some value associated with that, but we hope that at some point you can come visit our ecodistrict and our Catalyst building here in the South Landing area. It's absolutely incredible in terms of the -- the ability that that building with the thermal storage it has, with the battery storage it will have, with the solar panels that it has, with the -- with the electrical system that is centralized, so we truly have the ability to take information from the grid, take information from the supply side, and work -partner with that building and all of the incredible resources that that building and then the future buildings will have. Actually, there's two existing buildinas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So those future buildings will enable us to learn a huge amount, of which we can apply to other buildings in the future, and I would say that that's an area that is -- is underrepresented, is a conservative number, based on the opportunity and the capabilities that we believe are available by breaking

several user groups that we connect with both from the technical side and from the operational side, and business use case benefits side as well, to ensure that we are sharing those lessons learned and best practices between all the utilities.

Page 176

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you, Judge. I don't have any further questions.

MS. RENDAHL: I guess I just have one last few questions related to AMI, and that relates to the customer benefit provisions of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which I know you're familiar with.

Can you explain how Avista is looking to its investment in AMI to explore -- or achieve these customer benefit mandates? Is this a new use case that you're looking to at this point, and can you describe what you're doing if you are?

MS. ROSENTRATER: I'd say we're at the very beginning of understanding how AMI can support the Clean Energy Transformation Act. We do have a well-defined group internal to Avista who is working on the multiple areas associated with that, and I'm involved in that. We have our director of R&D and grid innovations that's involved in that as well, to ensure that we are taking advantage of our metering systems and the capabilities that we have, as well as

Page 175

down those historic barriers between the building operator and the grid operator.

And, again, what we experienced last week was just another strong point of how important those kinds of resources and that kind of partnership with our customers is going to be to us into the future.

MR. DANNER: Well, that's an interesting story.

I assume you're not the only utility with advanced meter infrastructure, and I just wonder what kind of sharing of best practices you're doing so that when others are discovering benefits and you're discovering benefits, that that's getting shared.

I mean, I really -- I have an interest in making sure that we are continually looking to maximize the benefits of AMI, and it sounds like you are too. But I just want to make sure that that's a process and we don't need to have a heat wave to discover those benefits but that we're actually deliberately going out and fine-tuning.

MS. ROSENTRATER: Absolutely. As you can imagine, our metering partners, such as Itron, also have a very strong interest in finding additional use cases and ensuring that utilities are making the most of the metering systems, and so they actually sponsor

Page 177

all of the grid-edge innovation work that we've been doing as part of the Clean Energy Fund projects in the past, and even going back to our DOE, American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and the foundation that we've built.

So the grid components that we have as well as our AMI system that is basically materially complete will be very involved in identifying how they can support the goals of the CETA program. But I would say it's early in that process.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And is Avista considering or envisioning that it will work with its equity advisory group in exploring the customer benefits from AMI for vulnerable populations and highly impacted communities?

MS. ROSENTRATER: Yes, I can say that we will ensure that happens.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

I have no further questions. Thank you. MS. ROSENTRATER: Thank you. MR. MEYER: Your Honor, this is --I'm sorry. Are the bench questions --

24 Commissioner questions finished? I don't mean to interrupt.

35 (Pages 174 to 177)

Page 178 Page 180 1 MS. RENDAHL: Yes, I'm done. 1 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Thank you. 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, I do believe 2 MS. RENDAHL: Just to follow-up quickly, that the Commissioners' questions are done for this 3 can you give the definition of the acronym ERTs? 3 4 MS. ROSENTRATER: Encoded receiver 4 5 5 MR. MEYER: May I just follow up with transmitter. 6 just a very brief line of inquiry? 6 MR. DILUCIANO: It's an encoder receiver 7 7 I don't want to leave a lingering transmitter. It's an older meter, that just sends the 8 impression about the percentage of completion of gas 8 data out. There's no two-way communications. 9 AMI, and I know there's a bench request, but I just 9 So we -- when we say mobile, that means 10 10 want to dispel any notion that the figure of we drive a van once a month through those 11 79 percent is -- that does not represent the 11 neighborhoods, and it picks up a read to do the 12 79 percent of the universe of gas meters that will 12 billing from. have AMI installed. That is nearly complete --MS. RENDAHL: Right. I just want it on 13 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, I would -the record what an ERT was. Thank you. 14 14 15 I'd like to interrupt you. I would like some clarity 15 MR. DILUCIANO: Oh. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you, 16 on that, actually, as it was confusing to me when we 16 17 through it. So, yes, if you would like to pose a 17 Ms. Rosentrater, Mr. La Bolle, Mr. DiLuciano. Thank 18 question or two to your witnesses to clarify that 18 you for your testimony. You'll be excused, and we are 19 information and what exactly it refers to, that would 19 going to shift to Avista's witness Andrews. 20 be very useful. So please go ahead. 20 Now, Commissioners, before you came into MR. MEYER: Thank you for the the hearing, staff indicated that they are waiving 21 21 22 22 their cross of Andrews, and that means that the opportunity. 23 cross-examination will begin with Public Counsel's 23 /// 24 111 24 cross-examination. 25 111 25 So I'd like to ask if, Mr. Meyer, you can Page 179 Page 181 1 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 turn on your video and if your witness Andrews can 2 BY MR. MEYER: 2 turn on hers. I will swear in Ms. Andrews and then I 3 3 Q. So, Ms. Rosentrater, you said that, with will turn it over to you, Mr. Meyer, to introduce your respect to the universe of gas-only meters, that the 4 4 witness. company elected not to install AMI on approximately 5 5 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 17,000 of those gas-only meters and instead install 6 6 Just a moment. 7 ERTs: is that correct? 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. I was 8 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes. 8 deliberately trying to speak slowly to give some time Q. And so those 17,000 meters are no longer 9 for everyone to shuffle around. I understand that we 9 10 meant for AMI insulation, correct? 10 need to be conscious of these things. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Correct. 11 MR. MEYER: Sure. 11 And we have about 3,900 ERTs left to 12 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And what I'm finding is 13 install on that universe of 17,000 non-AMI meters, 13 that whenever someone is speaking on their video, when they're speaking quickly, I tend to get more correct? 14 14 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Yes, that's 15 electronic interference, and just a reminder to 15 16 everyone. If you speak more slowly, even a little 16 correct. Q. And we are not asking for recovery of any 17 technical or electronic interference, I can and I'm 17 sure the court reporter can understand what you're ERT insulation or material costs, are we? 18 18 19 19 Α. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): Correct. saying even if there's a slight disturbance. 20 Q. And lastly, so just looking at those gas 20 MR. MEYER: Thank you. 21 meters that are meant for AMI, what percentage are in 21 Okay. We are ready. 22 service used and useful and providing benefits? 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 23 A. (BY MS. ROSENTRATER): 99 percent. 23 Do I still have -- it's probably 24 99.9 percent. 24 something with my settings, but I've lost two of the 25 25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Commissioners.

	Page 182		Page 184
1	Okay. Here we all are. Thank you.	1	in your revised version. So
2	So, Ms. Andrews, will you please raise	2	A. Yes.
3	your right hand.	3	Q. Looking at Table 12, the updated
4	(Witness sworn)	4	insurance expense, is it correct that this table shows
5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.	5	updated costs for directors and officers liability
6	You can put your hand down.	6	insurance?
7	Mr. Meyer.	7	A. It does.
8	MR. MEYER: Yes.	8	Q. And is it correct that the directors and
9	For the record, would you please state	9	officers liability insurance costs increased from
10	your name and your position with the company.	10	894,646 in 2020 to 1,029,578 in 2021?
11	MS. ANDREWS: Yes. It's Elizabeth	11	A. The could you repeat the 2019 level of
12	Andrews, and I am the senior manager of revenue	12	costs?
13	_	13	
14	requirements at Avista. MR. MEYER: And with that, she is	14	Q. I am looking at the 894,000 in December of 2020.
15		15	
16	available for cross-examination. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	16	A. Oh. Okay. I'm sorry. I was looking at the test period level that's in our case, so, of
		17	•
17 18	Mr. Meyer, you can turn off your video unless you need to jump in to be heard.	18	course, we're pro forming from that level. I'm sorry.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Yes, I see in 2020 894, correct.
19	I'd like to ask Ms. Suetake thank you	19	Q. Okay.
20	for turning on your video. I will turn it over to you	20	And then an increase to about
21	now, Ms. Suetake, to conduct cross.	21 22	1.03 million in 2021; is that correct?
22	MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Judge O'Connell.		A. Yes, based on invoices received and
23		23	prepaid in the beginning of 2021.
24		24	Q. Okay.
25	///	25	I was actually going to ask you about
	Page 183		Page 185
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	those invoices. Is it correct that those invoices
2	BY MS. SUETAKE:	2	were received in April 2021?
3	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Andrews. I can't see	3	A. Yes.
4	on you my screen but	4	Q. Okay.
5	A. Good afternoon.	5	And then could you tell me what the
6	Q I will direct my questions to you.	6	coverage period reflected in that invoice. Is it
7	Before I start, do you have a copy of	7	January to April, March to April?
8	your rebuttal testimony in front of you?	8	A. I think it is it's either I believe
9	A. (No audible response.)	9	it's January the invoice period, we typically get
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: I'm sorry.	10	those in
11	Ms. Andrews, we could not hear you. Perhaps the	11	Let me think about this.
12	microphone needs to be closer to you when you answer	12	You're right. So if we received them, I
13	the questions.	13	believe they are April 1st through March of the
14	Can you please repeat your answer to the	14	following year.
15	last question?	15	Q. Okay. Thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: I do. Can you hear that?	16	A. Whereas the all of the others, because
17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you.	17	we typically get them in December, and those are the
18	Q. BY MS. SUETAKE: I would like to ask you	18	ones we updated earlier on, is because those I believe
19	a few questions about insurance expenses, which is	19	are basically the annual, where D&O insurance is
20	your adjustment 3.07. Could you please turn to	20	received more like the end of March, and so they're
21	Page 38 in the rebuttal testimony, which is EMA-16.	21	prepaid at that point.
22	A. Yes, I am there.	22	Q. Thank you. That was really helpful.
	Q. Now, I'm aware that this is one of the	23	Now, can we turn to Page 48 of your
23			,
23 24	pages that you revised, but my questions will be	24	rebuttal testimony.
		24 25	rebuttal testimony. A. And I would like to just note that on our

Page 186

rebuttal, I realize that we had filed the D&O insurance after the parties had, so we had updated and lowered our numbers slightly for -- related to those D&O insurance. And so on our rebuttal numbers, they include all updated invoices received and prepaid to

that point. So . . .

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Now, on Page 48, referring to injuries and damages. Are you there?

A. Yes.

- Q. Referring to the sections beginning on Line 5, you discuss Ms. Crane's injuries and damages adjustment. Is it your understanding that Ms. Crane is recommending a five-year average in this case instead of a six-year average used by Avista?
 - A. Yes, I do understand that.
- Q. And is it correct that Avista has been using a six-year average since 1988?

A. Yes, since the Commission approved that secure averaging, we have been using that methodology since that time.

Q. Okay.

And is it correct that that six-year average was established in response to a 1985 gas explosion?

Page 188

Page 189

So going back to something you just said, is it correct that since the six-year average has been adopted, other extraordinary events, such as the ice storm that you mentioned and the fire storm included in your testimony, have been excluded from that six-year average and dealt with separately?

A. Yes, because those -- I see those as -- you know, as you mentioned, there's been very view. Ice storm and fire storm are the only ones that I can recall that were ever excluded as being significant or extraordinary and that were dealt with outside of the six-year rolling average, and typically they were dealt with as a separate amortization.

Q. Okay.

Given that the six-year average was established originally to deal with an extraordinary event and that extraordinary events are now dealt with outside of the six-year average adjustment, has the company ever considered proposing a different methodology in determining injuries and damages adjustment?

A. Well, the way I look at injury and damages is I think you can have value that can vary over time, and so in particular what we're talking about here is a \$295,000 item that you would

Page 187

A. I believe so, yes. I do explain that in my testimony.

Q. And then on Page 49 of your rebuttal testimony, on Lines 6 and 7 --

A. Yes.

Q. Is it correct that that methodology was established to deal with an extraordinary event?

A. I believe that the six-year average became a recommendation by either staff or the company to begin using a secure average because those types of things can happen, and as I believe I mentioned in this case, I don't see this particular item that Public Counsel is excluding to, one, being material, and -- but it's -- to me, the way the injury and damages adjustment has worked for years has allowed the company to recover its costs but over a six-year period.

And so, therefore, if you do have any major events, we have had instances like ice storm, for example, where that might have been pulled out to -- because it was large and dealt with differently.

But I don't see that as the opportunity here. I see this as more of a smaller item.

Anyway, I'll leave it at that.

Q. Okay.

consider -- the Public Counsel is considering extraordinary.

I don't think that this particular item fits in the same category as ice storm or fire storm. I think what injury and damages does by using a secure average is it allows it to somewhat normalize these costs that typically, by doing a six-year average, have not been -- does this materially change the result, to be honest, one way or another.

As you mentioned with this adjustment, it's a -- the impact we're talking about here is reducing electric expense by \$28,000.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let me stop you both for one moment.

Ms. Andrews, when you're being asked a question, please respond with a direct answer, and then you can explain. What I'm hearing a lot of is the explanation, which you are allowed to give, but I would appreciate an answer directly to the question that was being asked.

And, as I recall, Ms. Suetake, you were asking if Avista had considered something, and we've heard the explanation of why you're qualifying that, Ms. Andrews, but, Ms. Suetake, would you please ask the question one more time, and so we can just have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 190

the direct answer, and then we already have the

explanation.

MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.

- To repeat myself, given that the six-year average was established to deal with an extraordinary event, and that now extraordinary events are dealt with outside of the six-year average, has the company considered proposing a different methodology for determining injuries and damages?
- A. No, the company has not considered -- it has not deemed it necessary at this point in time.
 - Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have you tracked -- has Avista tracked actual injuries and damages expenses compared to the six-year average recovery and rates to determine whether the company has under-recovered or over-recovered?

A. No, we -- no, we have not. I mean, you can see every -- each case, the six-year period of time in secure costs, and from what I have seen from case to case, I haven't seen a material change from year to year of what those costs would be. So I would -- I would assume that over the six-year time it would ebb and flow, and more than likely it would normalize, and we have probably recovered our costs,

A. Yes, for our executives, there is both a short-term incentive plan, which has two different portions; it's very different than our non-officer compensation or incentive plan. So they're made up typically of about 40 percent of our short-term that's typically recovered by customers and the other 60 percent as a short-term plan which is excluded from recovering from customers. And then we also have a long-term incentive plan for officers that are fully excluded from recovery from customers.

Page 192

Page 193

That was my next question, actually. So then turning to the short-term incentive plan, is it correct that the short-term incentive plan for officers applies to about 13 individuals in Avista?

A. Yes.

- Q. And are these basically the same individuals that comprise the company's executive compensation plan, which is adjustment 3.05?
- Yes. A.
- Could you please turn to Page 51 in your 21 rebuttal testimony.
 - A. I'm there.
 - On Line 1 you state, "Incentive compensation is as transparent as base salary for at

Page 191

but I wouldn't expect that we have either under -significantly under- or over-recovered our costs.

Q. Okay.

Can we turn back to Page 48 in your rebuttal testimony. At Lines 18 through 21.

- Yes, I'm there. Α.
- Q. Okav.

You discussed here the reserve method of counting that was also adopted in 1988. Do you see that?

- A.
- On Line 21. Okay.

Do the expenses that are contained in your six-year average represent additions to the reserve or actual payouts for injuries and damages?

- A. Those are actual payouts to injury and damages. So what we're recovering is an average of the actual expense or actual payout.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. That's very helpful. I would like to discuss generally now -switch to incentive compensation.

With regards to executives, is it correct that there are two programs, the short-term incentive compensation plan and the long-term incentive compensation plan?

least our executive group, given that it is probably disclosed in annual practice statements and it is reviewed via the shareholders' standard proposals."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. First, does the proxy statement specify the incentive compensation for the entire executive group -- by that I mean all 13 individuals -- or just the main executive officers? Named executive officers.
 - I'm sorry. Was there a question there?
- Yes. Does the proxy statement specify the incentive compensation for all 13 officers that were discussed previously, or is it just including information on the named executive officers?
- So the proxy group does discuss separately for the named executive officers; however, the compensation or the incentive compensation for the CEO is very defined. The other named executive officers, the incentive plan for those named executive officers are the same for all executive officers. The rest of them other than the CEO.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Do you have a copy of Exhibit EMA-13X Revised in front of you? That's the 2021 process

39 (Pages 190 to 193)

Page 194 Page 196 1 statement and notice of annual meeting of 1 meant to be reflective of all the officers. 2 2 shareholders. Q. Okay. A. Yes. I do have it. 3 Can we look at the last method at the 3 4 Q. Okav. 4 bottom of this page above the tables. It says, "These Are you familiar with this exhibit? 5 5 charts below illustrate the relationship between our A. Yes, I am. 2020 financial performance target and our actual 6 6 Q. Would you agree that this is a recent 7 7 performance." proxy statement for Avista such as you referred to in 8 8 Do you see that? 9 your testimony? 9 A. I do. 10 10 Yes, it is, Q. And is it correct that the tracks at the 11 Could you please turn to Page 34 of the 11 bottom of this page show consolidated earnings per 12 exhibit, which is Page 29 of the actual proxy 12 share and cost per customer as performance targets? statement. 13 13 A. Yes. Is it correct that cost per customer is 14 A. Yes, I'm there. 14 Q. 15 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, can you 15 considered a financial performance target, at least 16 slow down for just a moment? 16 for the purposes of this proxy statement? 17 MS. SUETAKE: Yes. 17 A. The cost per customer -- I think, you JUDGE O'CONNELL: This was a recently 18 18 know, we have lots of financial performance areas 19 updated exhibit. I want to make sure the 19 within the compensation, and most of those you can see 20 20 are separated between the different components of what Commissioners are familiar with how to get their hands 21 21 on it. is considered for the incentive plan in the proxy 22 You can access this through the exhibit 22 group, and I can -- what I mean by that is I can 23 direct you to the very next page, Page 30, on the 23 list, Commissioners. 24 And we're looking at EMA-13XR. 24 bottom, or Page 35, where it talks about the 25 Okay. Thank you. 25 various -- it breaks apart the various components that Page 195 Page 197 1 And what page are we on, Ms. Suetake? 1 is considered in the incentive plan. 2 MS. SUETAKE: We're on Page 34 of 69. 2 So, for example, the bottom level, what 3 It's in the top right-hand corner. 3 we're specifically talking about here is the CEO only, 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 4 and, as I mentioned, the incentive plan is different 5 5 for the CEOs as it is to all other officers. But as Please go ahead. MS. RENDAHL: Just to clarify, my exhibit 6 6 regards to our CEO, his base salary is the first blue 7 says 34 of 76. 7 line, and it talks about the target versus what was 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, Commissioner, 8 realized. The next is the 2020 annual incentive, and 9 that's what I wanted to point out, that this exhibit 9 then it talks about the different stock units and 10 was recently updated from the 2020 to the 2021 10 performance stock and things like that. version. So it's no longer 76 pages but 69 pages. 11 Within the 750,000 listed there as the 11 12 Let's just take a breath and let us get 12 2020 annual incentive, it is broken apart between what 13 caught up to speed on where we're at in this exhibit. 13 is more financial and earnings per share. That is 14 Okay. Please go ahead, Ms. Suetake. 14 separated from -- there's components in there that MS. SUETAKE: Okav. Thank you. 15 have been separated from what we consider and what we 15 Q. We're on Page 34 of this exhibit. Do you 16 16 recover from customers has to do with cost per see the compensation and discussion analysis? 17 17 customer, customer satisfaction, reliability, that 18 18 Α. Yes. I do. sort of thing. 19 Q. According to the first line of this page, 19 And so, for example, that particular 20 the information that is provided is information on the 20 portion, just to lay this out for what's recovered 21 named executive officers; is that correct? 21 from customers, for our CEO, for example, only seven 22 Yes, it does specifically list those, 22 percent of that 750,000 is included in rates today. 23 because that's typically what the proxy statement is 23 So we already do as a company a very thorough analysis 24 about. Although I will admit that during this they do 24 and separation between what is charged to customers 25 25 a pay on say approval of compensation, and that is and what is charged to -- below the line or recovered

Page 198 Page 200 1 1 three years of compensation for the named executive from shareholders only. Q. Following on that actual topic, is it 2 2 officers? correct that the company has excluded -- if we can go 3 3 A. Yes. it is. back up to, sorry, the Page 34, where it says 4 And while Mr. Vermillion's 2020 4 Q. "Consolidated Earnings Per Share" on the left-hand 5 compensation was listed as approximately 1.35 million 5 table. Is it correct that the company has excluded on the previous page we looked at, is it correct that 6 6 7 7 costs related to the consolidated earnings per share his compensation is listed at over 5 million on this 8 from its revenue requirement claim in this case? 8 table? 9 9 Α. Yes, it has. A. Yes. That is because it considers things 10 Q. Okay. 10 like your change in your pension, nonqualified 11 But the company didn't exclude 11 deferred compensation, things that aren't really 12 performance targets related to cost per customer, 12 necessarily cash payments, and so that's why the total correct? compensation is a little bit misleading of what actual 13 13 A. To the extent they are specific to the salaries and benefits or whatever that he would have 14 14 15 cost per customer only in reaching that goal, they are 15 received in the year. 16 included. 16 So along that line of guestioning -- or along that line, is the change in pension and 17 Q. Okav. 17 18 And then looking at this chart, is it 18 nonqualified deferred compensation earnings listed on 19 correct that according to this chart the company did 19 this table excluded from the compensation for 20 not meet its cost per customer target for the most 20 Mr. Vermillion listed on the previous page? recent fiscal year? 21 21 Yes, I believe that's true. 22 A. For this particular year, I believe that 22 And then do you know what the other 23 differences are between the five million on this page 23 this was for -- this one in particular is for 2020 24 that was paid in 20 -- that was paid out in 2021. We 24 and the 1.35 million listed on the previous pages? 25 would have recorded it in 2020. So the results of 25 A. Let's see. You can sort of make it out. Page 199 Page 201 1 this particular incentive plan would not be what is 1 You can see the 737 from his salary, you can see the sitting in our 2019 test year. 2 2 184 from the nonequity incentive plan compensation, 3 Q. Okay. 3 and then there's a breakdown of the RSUs, which are If we can go back to that chart on 4 just values, which is a part of -- I believe that's a 4 Page 35, the chief executive officer 2020 target 5 part of the stock awards line portions of it. 5 6 versus real life. 6 Q. So looking at all those components, if I 7 So just to clarify, this is a comparison 7 basically just add up the base salary plus the stock 8 of the CEO's 2020 target comparison -- compensation, 8 awards and the nonequity incentive compensation from sorry, versus real-life compensation, correct? 9 9 the --10 Correct. 10 A. Portions of that -- the stock awards -- I A. Q. And the CEO is Mr. Vermillion; is that 11 think it's -- the stock awards that are -- right 11 correct? 12 12 terminology -- that have -- that are available. 13 13 So he receives -- he received -- annually A. Correct. Q. For 2020, his target compensation was 14 he would receive stock awards, but some of them would 14 2.25 -- or 2.25 million but his actual compensation 15 not become available for him to cash out, for example, 15 was 1.35 million, correct? 16 16 until a certain period of time. 17 17 A. That's correct. Q. Okav. If we go down to Page 50 of this same 18 So you wouldn't just add all of these 18 Q. exhibit -minus the change in pension --19 19 20 50. Five zero. 20 21 And then go to --21 So let's say Mr. Vermillion -- you know, 22 Are you there, Ms. Andrews? 22 the five million is in here now, so he got -- certain 23 A. Yes, I'm there. 23 stock awards he received this year, that let's say he 24 Q. Okay. 24 were to leave at the end of the year, some of those 25 25 Is it correct this table shows the last stock awards would not come to fruition for him.

Page 202 Page 204 1 Q. Okay. 1 or operating measures, can you give me a couple 2 examples there? 2 So on this table there's six different individuals listed. Do you see that? 3 3 A. Yes. So for our cost-per-customer A. I do. 4 4 measure that we have, it is based on certain 5 5 Q. Is it correct that all six of these expectations of where we expect our expenses to be, 6 individuals are participants in the short-term 6 and we set certain targets that if we exceed those 7 7 executive incentive award plans? targets -- and what I mean by that is we -- our cost 8 8 per customer is less than we anticipate it to be, then 9 Q. And then to change the subject, could we 9 there is a savings to the company, and those are turn to your rebuttal -- back to your rebuttal 10 10 shared with the -- with -- or shared as part of the 11 testimony. Page 53. 11 incentive plan. 12 A. Page 53. I'm there. 12 Q. So that would -- but that savings to the Q. Okay. 13 company would provide a direct benefit to customers 13 And would you -- is it correct that through keeping rates lower than they otherwise would 14 14 these -- the questions and answers on this page refer have been, correct? 15 15 to benchmarking studies used in setting base salaries 16 16 A. That's correct. and incentive compensation? 17 17 Q. So are these operating measures or 18 A. Yes. 18 metrics designed to reflect direct benefits to 19 Regarding those benchmarking studies, are 19 customers? 20 you aware of any situation at Avista where an 20 A. Yes, they are. employee's salary was lowered because their salary was 21 Q. Okay. 21 22 above the median or means of a comparison group of 22 And we don't need to argue about whether 23 23 the financial metrics provide a direct or indirect companies? benefit. I'm just talking here about the cost per 24 A. I don't study those reports over the last 24 2.5 two decades so I don't know that I could answer that 2.5 customer. Understand? Page 203 Page 205 1 Yes. I do. 1 question for you. A. MS. SUETAKE: Okay. Thank you. That's 2 MR. MEYER: Okay. That's all I have. 2 3 3 all my questions. Thank you. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Suetake, do you 4 Mr. Meyer, if you would please turn your 5 5 have anything you wanted to follow up on within that 6 video back on. If you would like to redirect. 6 narrow scope? 7 And, Ms. Suetake, you can turn yours off 7 MS. SUETAKE: It's actually just a 8 for the moment, unless you need to be heard. 8 clarification of something Ms. Andrews earlier said 9 9 about the chart in the rebuttal, and this might go to 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 a revised exhibit. I just want to make sure I have 11 the correct number. 11 BY MR. MEYER: 12 Q. There was some discussion, Ms. Andrews, 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Now, is this the chart about the cost per customer metric. Do you recall 13 13 on Page 34 of 69? that exchange? 14 MS. SUETAKE: No. Sorry. This is in 14 15 Ms. Andrews' rebuttal testimony, Table 12. I just 15 A. Yes. Q. Okay. wanted to make sure I understood something that I 16 16 And is there a distinction between 17 17 thought I heard. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you direct --18 financial performance metrics and operating metrics? 18 19 A. Yes. 19 you said it's my rebuttal testimony, Table 12? 20 Q. Can you give me an example of a financial 20 MS. SUETAKE: Table 12 on Page 38. 21 performance metric. 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, are you 22 A. I'm trying to recall all of the pieces, 22 raising your hand? 23 but earnings per share, for example, would be an 23 THE WITNESS: I believe what you mean is 24 24 obvious one. it's related to insurance. 25 25 Q. And contrary-wise, the operating metrics MS. SUETAKE: Yes, insurance. Sorry.

Page 206 Page 208 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 1 It's not specifically about a recross; it's just 1 2 2 making sure I understood whether or not a number was MR. BALASBAS: So I'd like to start with 3 3 a follow-up question during the last part of the revised. 4 discussion and questions we were having with 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 Ms. Rosentrater, Mr. DiLuciano, and Mr. La Bolle on 5 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. Ms. Suetake, go 6 ahead. I want to hear if this is -- I want to hear 6 the accounting of the business case spending. whether this is admissible, so go ahead. 7 7 So -- then obviously they deferred that 8 MS. SUETAKE: Lunderstand. 8 question to you, so I'd like to -- I'd like to ask 9 9 again, am I understanding correctly that what Avista 10 10 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** is presenting for recovery in this case are for the 11 BY MS. SUETAKE: 11 business cases for each of those projects? 12 Q. Ms. Andrews, I might have misheard this, 12 So first, is that correct? but in the line for directors and officers liability THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 13 13 for the -- are now posted for December 2021, that 14 MR. BALASBAS: Okay. 14 1.029 million --15 15 So then for all of the different plans and descriptions of other pieces that the other 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. Has that number been revised again or is witnesses had described, those business cases, if I 17 17 that just -- that's the most updated number we have? 18 18 understand correctly, effectively roll up and are kind 19 A. So that is -- when I did my rebuttal 19 of described in those plans in more general terms; is 20 testimony, I was able to incorporate the most recent 20 that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. D&O insurance invoices that we had received. That is 21 21 a part of that number. 22 22 MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you. 23 I do have a couple of questions on 23 Of course, we do reduce that by wildfire, but before I do that, I'll turn to my 24 10 percent, which is the line in that table that 24 25 reduces basically D&O insurance. The 1.029 gets 25 colleagues to see if they have any follow-up on that Page 207 Page 209 1 further reduced by 10 percent to meet the requirements 1 specific accounting piece. of Docket UE-090134 for electric, gets reduced by 2 2 THE WITNESS: Because I'd be happy to 3 10 percent there, if you see that. 3 provide some clarification if you like. 4 Q. Yes, I do. Okay. 4 MR. BALASBAS: Sure. I will give you So this is the most updated number? 5 5 that opportunity to go ahead and do that. 6 A. Yes. it is. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 MS. SUETAKE: Okay. Thank you. That's 7 So those investment plans that are 8 8 included in Ms. Rosentrater's testimony obviously are all the clarification I needed. 9 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. high-level -- like distribution, transmission -- or 10 Mr. Meyer, let me ask if you have recross 10 distribution plans or substation infrastructure plans. on that clarification of that number. 11 11 They're meant to be an overview across the company. 12 I'm sorry. Redirect. 12 What we include in this case and we talk 13 MR. MEYER: No, I do not. 13 about in each of our capital witnesses or the 14 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 14 witnesses that have capital additions, is we included Then we're going to turn to questions the business cases for each of our investments. And 15 15 from the bench that the Commissioners have prepared 16 for the most part 95 percent of our investments -- or 16 17 17 for Ms. Andrews. our business cases have one expenditure requisition, 18 Ms. Suetake, you can go ahead and turn 18 is what we call, that helps it break down these costs. 19 off your video. 19 And it's really -- our first level of accounting-wise 20 I will turn it over now to the 20 is the ER number, expenditure requisition number, and 21 Commissioners for bench questions of Ms. Andrews. 21 for the most part, as I mentioned, many of them have 22 Commissioner Balasbas, go ahead. 22 one ER, and we will have -- they may be specifically a 23 MR. BALASBAS: Thank you, Judge 23 substation ER, a transmission ER, you know, a 24 O'Connell. 24 distribution ER, that sort of thing. 25 25 We do have occurrences -- a really good Good afternoon, Ms. Andrews.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 210

example is our Saddle Mountain project, which is one

2 specific project. It might have a few ERs because it 3 might have -- it would have a breakdown of some

4 substation costs, it would have some transmission

5 costs, its would have some communication costs. It's

still broken down so that as we work through that

7 specific project, those costs are separately 8

1

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

identified and recorded on our accounting system so

9 that there is no way to double-count or triple-count.

10 It's fully tracked within our system at -- whether it 11 be the expenditure level, or if we need further

> detail, we also have what's called a budget item that allows us to track at whatever level we need to, but then can roll up to an ER, for example, to know exactly what our costs are. So there is no

> > MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you. I

opportunity to double-count any of those costs.

appreciate that clarification. So I'd like to turn now for a few questions on the wildfire expenses, and I'd like to start with question of what wildfire capital and operating expenses are included in the test year?

THE WITNESS: Okay. So for our test vear, being 2019, for the wildfire resiliency plan, we did not -- you know, we were beginning to do the plan

THE WITNESS: Yes, and I've got actually a great table -- at least I think it is -- on Page 130

Page 212

of EMA-6T. In order to try to provide some clarity around the wildfire expense --

And I'll give you a chance to get there; I just will set it up.

I provided this table to provide some clarity because I could understand the confusion that that was there. So if you look at Table No. 32 on Page 130 of my EMA-6T --

I'll let you tell me when all three of you are there.

MR. BALASBAS: I'm looking at it right now.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Perfect.

So what I've done there is I've tried to separate the cost between normal operating vegetation management, and while I will say that obviously our wildfire expenses are not all risk tree, there are some other costs, but for the most part it is around this wild risk -- this wild fire risk tree vegetation management.

Our normal operating veg management that was included in our test year, as you can see on that Table 32, shows that there's about \$6.4 million. We

Page 211

in 2019, as I think we've said either at the workshops or recently, that, you know, we've been working on that plan for a few years -- for a few years before we filed it in middle of last year. 2019 was our historical test year, so we did not have any wildfire capital or wildfire -- what is designated as wildfire expense in our test year.

We separately track our wildfire expenses from anything else, so I know that there has been some confusion, I think by staff, regarding, okay, we've had vegetation management, for example, and we've obviously had that for years, and I have a table in my testimony that shows what those wildfires -- or, excuse me, those vegetation management expenses have been, but we separately track those by a separate code that we know exactly how much is wildfire and exactly how much that existing vegetation management cost is.

Does that answer your question? MR. BALASBAS: I think it does, but let me clarify.

So what I'm hearing you say is in the 2019 test year, any of those expenses, such as vegetation management, for example, are not -- are not tied to the wildfire plan but are considered -- are they considered business as usual expenses?

Page 213

have since revised that going forward to be 6.1 million. And you can see that that is the plan going forward. That's going to be our ongoing normal maintenance.

Beginning in 2020 -- and bear in mind, there is only, you know, five or six months from when the plan started, that's the reason why for the smaller million and a half dollars there for 2020, we had specifically identified and tracked wildfire risk tree cost of 1.5 starting in 2020.

And then going forward, you can see what the plan is, and these are Washington's share of those costs -- of our overall costs for this plan.

And as we go through time, you can see what -- how it grows in '21 and '22, and, of course, as I explained on my test -- my testimony, I actually used a pro rata amount to come up with a rate period. So I have three months of the 2020 -- '21, and I have a nine month of 2022, that come up with that rate

But I recognized that in -- because my test period level for the ongoing vegetation management was 6.4, but yet we only expect it to be 6.1, I actually reduced the portion that we included for wildfire expense by Washington's share, which was

Page 214

184,000, so I basically eliminated any duplication, to be sure. And then that's how we ended up with -- we basically have in our case 6.1 million of normal operating vegetation management and 4.025 for -- specifically associated with wildfire, and for the most part it's the risk tree vegetation management.

2.5

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. And thank you for pointing me back to that table after I had initially read it.

So you touched on this in your explanation just a minute ago about the six months of spending in 2020 on the wildfire expenses. So am I correct in assuming that the company is stating that it has no wildfire capital or operating expenses in the first half of 2020?

THE WITNESS: Not that we had designated as wildfire, no.

No, we -- no. I'll just say, no, we do not, because in that first six months we were still operating our vegetation management, we had not ramped up any of the capital additions in 2020, and we had not started the risk tree vegetation management, those expenses, until the second half of 2020.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. All right. Now, I'd like to ask just a general

pressure to do more, because what's going to be really important is getting that -- the risk tree area and the vegetation management is a very important part of our plan, and we need to move from the five-year that we do now of getting through our system -- there's areas we need to get through -- get to now, especially those risk areas.

And so we absolutely will ramp those costs up, but at some point we expect that those costs would start to decline. And so in my mind it is just as important to have this balancing account not only to protect the company but, more importantly, to protect your customers, because you can see, the last several years of that -- of our plan those costs are going to go down, and so if we don't hit -- if we don't have a balancing account -- a two-way balancing account, then there's -- in my mind there's absolutely going to be a point in time where we are over-collecting vegetation management from our customers, and so this is a way of protecting it.

So whether you want to call it a tracker or however, I mean, it certainly is a form of tracker. I just didn't see it as a full mechanism, so that's why we had proposed just a balancing account that we could track the costs and then update that level in

Page 215

question about the two-way balancing account, and then I will defer to my colleagues for other questions here.

So my main question here is: Why a two-way balancing account? Or is it the same as proposing a tracker fore those expenses?

THE WITNESS: It could. You could consider it, basically. But I'm going to take this in two parts: one, why a two-way balancing account. And so I want to take you to Page 134 of my testimony, and there is an Illustration No. 2, that basically shows our expectation of our expenses, and so when I thought about the level of expenses to build in this -- into this case and what our expectation is over the 10-year plan, and I was also taking into consideration the fact that we would -- we would be looking -- we could be looking at multiyear rate plans and things like that

So the way I look at this is when you look at this chart you can see that we begin to ramp up for the next two or three years the vegetation management expenses, and I know that you're going to talk to Mr. Howell, possibly, later on, and what he would -- he would say that these level of expenses we absolutely do expect. In fact, we think we will have

Page 217

Page 216

the future, in any case, and guarantee that customers pay no more, no less than our actual costs.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay.

So just two more questions related to this and then I will defer to my colleagues for others.

So would this balancing account include just operating expenses or both operating and capital expenses for the wildfire --

THE WITNESS: This balancing is only for expenses only. All that we have included in this case is an assumption that we would pro form in capital additions in each future general rate case, but the expenses, it's harder -- kind of harder to do that.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

And then related to that, did Avista consider -- or has Avista considered another -- a different proceeding other than a general rate case to true up these expenses? Both maybe on -- like on the expense side.

A. We have not. That typically has not been -- we don't -- we don't typically have a lot of deferral mechanisms and trackers that we -- Avista trues up. Obviously there's other avenues, like some

Page 218

form of CRM mechanism or something like that, that could track both capital and expense, and the company is certainly not opposed to that.

I know I talked briefly about the CRMs in my testimony because they were brought up by other parties, and we would welcome that, obviously. I think that with wildfire, my only request is that if we do go down that avenue, that's different from the CRM that's in place today for the AldylA mechanism, for example. That was something that was mainly just capital. There really wasn't this enhanced O&M side to that particular project, where in this case wildfires is just as much an expense issue as it is a capital issue.

And I can say I think wildfire, as an example, the increase in wildfire expense above our current level, for example, of veg management, is like a 68 percent growth in expense. So we see that as material, and material enough to do something about. And so whether that be a deferral mechanism, a balancing account, or some other form of capital and expense tracker, we obviously are open to that, and we are open to any changes annually, reporting, whatever the Commission decides is appropriate.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you. That's

Page 220

that does most of the insurance and works with others in the company. And they were having ongoing discussions with our insurance companies and policy providers, and concerns over wildfire risks across the United States, that obviously there was an expectation that those were going to impact our premiums.

And, in fact, the initial numbers that were given to us as possibilities, I didn't even go that far when we pro formed it in our case. So we were pleased to see that our company was able to work with those insurance companies and make changes.

I'm not the insurance -- I'm not the person in our company that has those conversations so I don't know how much more clarity I can provide you, but I can assure you there are conversations going on to see, you know, can we do different layers, can we -- you know, what should our deductible be. All of those things are happening to try to keep those expenses lower, and we saw that with the actual invoices that were received. So the original discussions and concerns and things that we were hearing, saying, This is what you guys could be seeing coming your way, they managed to work those down and even get them lower than what we had pro formed in the case, which were lower than numbers we had originally

Page 219

Page 221

all I have for now.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. DANNER: So, Ms. Andrews, can you tell me, what is the company doing to keep insurance rates down -- insurance costs down? I mean, are you -- have you presented the wildlife mitigation plan to insurers? What conversations are ongoing? What hope do we have in this area?

THE WITNESS: Well, and, you know, this kind of leads to -- in a way this leads to the level of insurance that we filed on our direct. In our direct case we significantly -- we overstated what insurance was that we expected to have, and most of it was around wildfire. And part of that was because there were (inaudible) --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You cut out and I missed something. You said, "And part of that was because there were."

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure so I'll just start over, if that's okay. Not all the way to the beginning but start over a little bit.

When we first filed our direct case, I admit that we overstated those wildfire expenses, and that was because of conversations with our risk -- our risk -- our director of risk in this company around --

been given.

So I know that, you know -unfortunately, when it comes to insurance, and
especially property insurance and those kinds of
things, there's outside sources or outside impacts
that are having, obviously, an impact on our insurance
premiums directly. Even if many of those fires or
many of those other lawsuits or things that are
happening across the United States to put pressure on
insurance premiums aren't caused by us, we're still
going to feel some of that pain. But we do work very
closely with our insurance providers to see what can
we do to reduce those expenses.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Now I want to ask you a question about
the union wages. You testified in your rebuttal that
the three percent union increased its expenses to be
approved in the fourth quarter of '21, and considering
the known and measurable standard, what certainty can
you assure that that increase is going to remain at
three percent and not be lowered or raised or delayed?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I would love to give more certainty. I can tell you they are still working through those negotiations, and there is an expectation that fourth quarter it would go for

46 (Pages 218 to 221)

Docket Nos. UE-200900, UG-200901, and UE-200894 (Consolidated) - Vol. III - 7/7/2021 Page 222 Page 224 ratification. I am -- I know that I'll -- the labor --And the -- the only certainty I can give the amount of labor that we have pro formed into this you is that typically they -- the increases have been case is conservative and understated what we will similar to -- very similar to and are -- and I -- I actually see during the rate effective period. know I have to be careful because of confidentiality. MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. I'm not a part of those conversations, but I can say MS. RENDAHL: Ms. Andrews, I have a few typically they have been similar increases to -questions for you. between nonunion and union, and I know we even I guess first, do you know if the responded -- you know, when it comes to ratification company -- are you aware if the company is planning to of those contracts, in the past 10 years -- so just file a rate case in the fall of 2022? providing some history, because I don't know what's THE WITNESS: I don't know the exact

in the future?

have been retroactive to when that contract expired.

So, for example, the last contract
expired I believe at the end of February of 2021, and
so even though we have not agreed to any labor change
at this point in time, that -- if history is any
indication, that if a contract is approved, they would
more than likely make it retroactive to March of 2021.

going to happen, but in the past 10 years, when we

after the expiration of those previous contracts, they

have had contract negotiations that have finalized

MR. DANNER: So I'm just trying to figure out how to go with this, because you say you don't know what's going to happen and we have a known measurable standard. How does this not knowing fit

timing of our -- when our filings would be. The fall of 2022 seems probably a little far out there because that obviously is the end of the rate effective period.

So I -- my assumption would be that we would file some -- a general rate case sometime in earlier of 2022.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And that rate case, under the new law, will have to include multiyear rate plans, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

And so for the wildfire tracker covering a 10-year period, that would seem to be somewhat

Page 223

into that standard? I'm just trying to figure out how we need to deal with this, and I will welcome your thoughts on it.

THE WITNESS: Well, I definitely understand your dilemma, and we knew it would be a dilemma when we were building it in. We had hoped that we would have those contract negotiations completed by now, but unfortunately I cannot tell you at this time that that has occurred.

Although it is certainly something that we would be happy to track, and if there's any differences from what we collect from customers, we can return it.

MR. DANNER: I guess a follow-up to that is about offsetting factors. Has the company considered offsetting the costs of the wage adjustment?

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you be more -- I'm not sure I'm following your question.

MR. DANNER: Well --

THE WITNESS: Well, maybe this might help, that, you know, what we built into this case was basically labor assumptions through March of '21, whereas the rate period that will be in effect is going to go from October of 2021 through 2022.

awkward, then, covering over into a multiyear rate plan. How do you reconcile the request for the balancing account with looking at multiyear rate plans

Page 225

THE WITNESS: Well, if -- hopefully I'm understanding your question correctly, but the balancing account will only be expenses, and so the balancing account, whatever we build into this case, or let's say we build into the next case, we will build a level of expense expected, you know, maybe for the first year, and even if we do a multiyear plan where maybe it revised that level, we would always be able to, for the balancing account, say what is the base in the particular rate year.

So let's say you had -- let's say rate year one had \$5 million of expense and -- I don't know yet how we will manage rate year two, but let's just say it was some kind of a growth factor, escalation or something, and so that would grow three percent. We could certainly look at the expenses in the base year, we could escalate that by three percent, for example, to say that's your new base, and then you could track the difference. Or we could always leave the base alone and then just track the difference up or down based on that base. And so I don't see it as really

47 (Pages 222 to 225)

Page 226

being an issue for expenses.

When it comes to capital, we -- capital, we will pro form in that first -- in that next rate case, we would pro form in the capital additions that have not already been included in this case.

So, for example, we only pro formed wildfire capital through December of 2021. So when we come in for a new case, we would pro form in -- whatever was not approved by this Commission, we would build in 2022 capital and so on, and because we would be pro forming those capital costs, I don't see it as being any different than any other pro forma capital or provisional capital, or whatever we include in future cases, I don't see it as being any different.

If you were to do a tracker, I would assume it would be -- it could be similar to like AldylA, for example. We have a CRM or AldylA, or there is a mechanism available. But back when that mechanism first came to fruition, the company said, We believe it's a part of our normal operating procedures. We didn't ask for the mechanism. And at that particular time we had also had a -- an attrition study that started with balances and escalated out, and I do recall -- and now the -- which -- the Commissioner is escaping me, that basically -- and it

exception to that is the Colstrip, and for reasons -Colstrip, we actually went out to the rate effective
period because of the short-life nature of that asset
having to be included in rates by 2025. So we kind of
took it as a special animal.

Page 228

When it comes to expenses, for the most part with expenses, if you look at some of the other things we've done with labor, property tax, insurance, ISIT, we built in expenses that truly were known immeasurables. They may not have been when we direct filed, but the expectation was that by the time we were at rebuttal those costs would be known and measurable.

With wildfire, because of the growth in those expenses and the importance of the wildfire plan, and just the whole approach to this plan and what we know that we need to do, we tried to set it as a rate period. So there really isn't other costs that we went to the rate period other than wildfire.

But that's also in part why we thought a balancing account would be useful, because it would eliminate any concern that because those expenses aren't known and measurable today and they could vary, that balancing account would protect customers by insuring that if we don't spend what we say we're

Page 227

wasn't one of you. One of the Commissioners saying, you know, you guys are in for a multiyear plan here. Don't even think of coming in because you've got an escalation.

So I know there was an issue back then. And so we don't have that particular mechanism. We just pro formed it in as a part of our normal general rate case.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

Just -- in terms of the company's pro forma proposals in this case, I guess in particular about wildfire, you've based them on various timelines, including actual and projected costs. So did the company consider proposals for pro forma capital and expense adjustments using the same timeline, such as everything from August 2020 to September 2021, or all of 2021 for both adjustments?

THE WITNESS: Well, you know, I look at capital and expenses somewhat differently.

With capital, we try to look at a way to pro forming capital that would be acceptable to the parties. As you know, we pro formed in 2020 capital, but we only asked for four specific other projects, one of them being wildfire. And for the most part we only included capital through 2021. The only

Page 229

going to say -- or we don't spend what we say we are going to spend, that it would be a part of that balancing account that would get deferred.

Does that answer your question?

Ann looks like she's frozen to me. I don't know how she looks to the rest of you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: This is Judge

O'Connell. Yes. I'm also seeing that --

Let's just give one moment to make sure that she heard your answers. It might be something where she can hear the answer but can't --

THE WITNESS: When she comes back, can you just tell her, if she didn't hear it, it was a great answer?

MR. DANNER: She will have access to the transcript, I'm sure.

THE WITNESS: It was worth a shot.
MR. DANNER: She'll be right back.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's just pause.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay. Can you hear me now?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. DANNER: Yes, we can.

MS. RENDAHL: I was just texting that I didn't have anything further, but it looks like you've gone ahead, so that's just fine.

48 (Pages 226 to 229)

Page 230 Page 232 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: We haven't moved 1 expenses. 2 2 beyond. We just wanted to make sure that you had MR. MEYER: Very good. Thank you. 3 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 3 heard Ms. Andrews. 4 We have no further questions for 4 MS. RENDAHL: I did. I was trying to 5 Ms. Andrews, so, Ms. Andrews, thank you for your 5 talk, and obviously the system was disconnecting me. testimony. You're excused. Thank you. 6 So sorry about that. 6 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 8 Well, I guess no more questions, so thank 8 And I just want to say it was very nice 9 you, Ms. Andrews, for your testimony. 9 seeing all of your faces for a change. 10 10 Mr. Meyer, has anything that we brought Have a good day. 11 up sparked the need to clarify? 11 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Meyer, I think we 12 MR. MEYER: It has. I'm going to keep 12 have time for one more Avista witness that we have 13 this really short. 13 bench auestions for. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Go ahead. 14 I would like to pass along to you that we 14 do not have any bench questions for your witness 15 15 MR. MEYER: Thank you. Thackston, and as staff and Sierra Club have waived 16 16 17 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 their cross, Thackston can be excused. 18 BY MR. MEYER: 18 MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you very 19 Q. Commissioner Balasbas asked about 19 much. 20 wildfire, call it tracking mechanisms or what have 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. you, or deferral mechanisms. So my question of this 21 With that, I'd like to move on to the 21 witness is: Has, in fact, the company put before you 22 22 next witness, and I think that's Mark Thies. a wildfire deferral mechanism for your consideration? 23 23 If we could please have him come testify 24 A. Yes. Yes. vou're right. I keep 24 on camera. 25 forgetting that that's been pulled into the case, that 25 We'll take a little breath, and this will Page 231 Page 233 1 the company has proposed to defer the wildfire 1 be our last witness for the day, and then we will pick 2 expenses only -- it does not include capital -- for 2 up on Friday morning. 3 3 the period January 1 through September 30th of 2021. MR. MEYER: Very good. Thank you. 4 And the expectation there is to allow us to recover 4 So calling to the stand Mr. Mark Thies. 5 those important expenses during the period before new 5 I'll give him just a moment to collect his materials. 6 rates go into effect. It allows us to recover those 6 MR. THIES: Can you hear me? 7 costs. 7 MR. MEYER: Are you hearing Mr. --8 We have already absorbed any 2020 level 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes. of expense, but this would allow us to recover at 9 9 MR. THIES: Okay. Thank you. 10 least the nine months of those wildfire expenses that 10 MR. MEYER: Okay. So I'll get off the without this deferral mechanism we would have no other screen in a second, but for the -- have you been 11 11 12 chance at recovering. 12 sworn? 13 Are those type of expenses materially 13 MR. THIES: No. different in character or kind from 2020 wildfire 14 JUDGE O'CONNELL: No. Let's go ahead and 14 15 expenses? 15 do that now. 16 A. No. And they would even more so be 16 Mr. Thies, will you please raise your 17 associated with a wildfire risk tree, that's where a 17 right hand. 18 lot of those costs and stuff should be coming from, 18 (Witness sworn) 19 but, yes, they are consistent with the types of 19 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. 20 expenses that we spent for wildfire the first six 20 MR. MEYER: For the record, please state 21 months of the year. 21 your name and your position with this employer. Q. And is it your understanding that staff 22 22 THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Thies, and 23 has not objected to 2020 wildfire expenses? 23 I'll spell it because it's different. T-h-i-e-s. I'm 24 A. Right. My understanding is that that is 24 the executive vice president and CFO and treasurer of 25 what the staff has proposed, is 2020 level of 25 Avista.

	Page 234		Page 236
1	MR. MEYER: All right. Thank you.	1	ratepayers that fund these requests, correct?
2	He's available for any questioning.	2	THE WITNESS: Yes, when it gets into rate
3	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you,	3	base, yes, it is paid for through rates. As it gets
4	Mr. Meyer. I will turn it over directly to the	4	into used and useful and into rate base.
5	Commissioners.	5	MS. RENDAHL: So turning to your initial
6	MS. RENDAHL: Good afternoon, Mr. Thies.	6	testimony, that's MTT-1T. Do you have that in front
7	How are you?	7	of you?
8	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon,	8	THE WITNESS: I do have to find it.
9	Commissioner. Great.	9	MS. RENDAHL: No problem.
10	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	10	THE WITNESS: I am there.
11	I just have a I think we just have a	11	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.
12	couple questions for you, and I'll start.	12	So if you would look at Page 36, starting
13	Do you have your rebuttal testimony in	13	at Line 12, and going onto the
14	front of you?	14	THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm on Page 36.
15	THE WITNESS: I do.	15	And I don't hear the Commissioner
16	MS. RENDAHL: Okay.	16	anymore. I believe she may be frozen.
17	On Page 3, starting at Line 19, you state	17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes.
18	that the level of capital investment in recent years	18	Commissioner Rendahl, we lost you for a
19	has been driven primarily by the business need to fund	19	moment.
20	a greater portion of the departmental requests for new	20	MS. RENDAHL: Can you hear me now?
21	investments that in the past were unfunded or	21	JUDGE O'CONNELL: We did not hear any of
22	underfunded, correct?	22	the question, but you are back, and we can hear you.
23	THE WITNESS: What lines, again? I'm	23	MS. RENDAHL: I have a bad network
24	sorry, Commissioner.	24	quality so maybe I will defer to one of my colleagues
25	MS. RENDAHL: Starting at Line 19, at	25	to ask this question.
	Page 235		Page 237
1	Page 235 least on my	1	Page 237 MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to
1 2		1 2	
	least on my		MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one.
2	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm	2	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one?
2	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there.	2	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one.
2 3 4	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or	2 3 4	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for
2 3 4 5	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests?	2 3 4 5	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your
2 3 4 5 6	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or	2 3 4 5 6	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for
2 3 4 5 6 7	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues? THE WITNESS: There it doesn't mean that there will not be any need to mitigate some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks associated with either funding or not funding that capital. That's done at the capital planning group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues? THE WITNESS: There it doesn't mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks associated with either funding or not funding that capital. That's done at the capital planning group and then brought up to the officers and ultimately the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues? THE WITNESS: There it doesn't mean that there will not be any need to mitigate some of that, but it is a lesser the faster the acceleration of all of those benefits hurts the credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks associated with either funding or not funding that capital. That's done at the capital planning group and then brought up to the officers and ultimately the finance committee and board of directors for approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues? THE WITNESS: There it doesn't mean that there will not be any need to mitigate some of that, but it is a lesser the faster the acceleration of all of those benefits hurts the credit metrics to where our credit metrics could fall below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	least on my THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. I'm there. MS. RENDAHL: You got it? Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes. Correct. MS. RENDAHL: So who is it that funds or pays for these departmental requests? THE WITNESS: The company does. The company funds the capital, that goes through the capital planning group. So our capital requests come in from the departments, and there's a flowchart in my, I think, direct testimony that describes the process, but then it goes to the capital planning group to prioritize the capital, and that's where some some of the costs, as we constrain the capital to \$405 million, or have for the last several years you know, certain cost requests come in above that, and we have to prioritize what makes the most sense to fund based on the business needs and risks associated with either funding or not funding that capital. That's done at the capital planning group and then brought up to the officers and ultimately the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. DANNER: Mr. Balasbas, do you want to take this one? MR. BALASBAS: Sure, I can take this one. Good afternoon, Mr. Thies. And so to clarify this question, in your direct testimony you had discussed the need for mitigating cash-flow impacts and for credit metrics for returning the tax benefits related to IDD No. 5 and then meters costing less than \$200. Do you remember that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BALASBAS: All right. So I'd like to ask you a question. Why do you believe that amortizing the return of those tax benefits over only over two years is necessary to mitigate cash flow and credit metrics in this case, but if there are any remaining amounts, amortizing it over 10 years will not lead to any need to mitigate cash flow or credit metric issues? THE WITNESS: There it doesn't mean that there will not be any need to mitigate some of that, but it is a lesser the faster the acceleration of all of those benefits hurts the credit

Page 238

result in a possibility of a downgrade. So keeping it to two years, as we recommend in this case or suggest in this case, we believe we can point to the rate agencies and suggest it's a short-term thing to get our customers through.

And remember, when we started this we were in the middle of COVID, and trying to offset the negative impacts of what's out there for COVID to offset the impacts to the customers' bills, and then, you know, when that -- when that comes back, we would be back to earning our normal cash flows, and the rating agencies could look through the short-term impact and say, Here's how we're going to rate you, and we believe that we would not be impacted negatively from a ratings perspective.

The longer that went and the greater amount -- you know, we tried to constrain it to an amount of two years, it was longer, and we did all of those in a short period of time. We believe we ran the risk of having a potential downgrade from the rating agencies.

I can't say absolutely that they would do that. That's up to them. They have to run their metrics. But we ran our metrics, and we also consulted with outside banks to determine what their

qualify that, I guess.

Yes, we do believe in the interim, with respect to this case, we felt it made sense to offset for a period of time. We suggested two years. That's our recommendation. The Commission can determine what they want to do with that, but this is the recommendation that we -- that we've put forth in our case, that we believe makes sense.

The acceleration of that faster and to the full extent earlier does put at risk those credit metrics, but the longer period of that does not. We were trying to balance, again, the cost to the customer but then also the credit metrics of the company. So that's why we suggested what we did.

And the 10 years, again, if the Commission wanted to have a different outcome, we believe that was reasonable and would not impact negatively enough our metrics that would cause us to have a downgrade.

likelihood or are we talking about a slim chance?

MR. BALASBAS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. DANNER: So my only follow-up to that
is, you know, we talked about the possibility of a
downgrade, and I know you've talked with outside folks
and done your own metrics. Are we talking about a

Page 239

thoughts were, and we believe the way we filed it makes the most sense for, A, the benefit of the customers, to offset the cash impacts in the near term, but also protects the company from a negative downgrade, which we believe would negatively affect the customers.

MR. BALASBAS: Okay.

I'd like to just touch on that just a bit more, because to me -- and maybe I'm -- maybe I'm not fully understanding, but it seems like a counterintuitive argument, because -- I can understand the potential credit metric impacts and obviously cash-flow impacts if these benefits are returned over a very short period of time. I understand that issue there.

I guess what I am trying to -- what I'm trying to grapple with is are you saying that it's okay to do it for a short period of time solely for purposes of zeroing out any potential rate impact to the customers, but you're saying that it's just -- that that should only be a temporary thing, and that the Commission should consider longer amortization of these benefits as maybe a general -- as a general consideration?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and then let me

Page 241

Page 240

Because I hear this get bandied about, you know, fear of a downgrade. What is the -- what is the likelihood?

THE WITNESS: The likelihood -- I can't give, you know -- the rating agencies are going to do that. I don't have a percentage that I could give you a likelihood.

We were on the bottom edge when we -when we read our rating agency reports, our -- I use
funds from operations, FFO to debt -- comparisons are
on the lower end of their metrics for keeping our
current rating. So if we go below that and amortize
into -- effectively what that means is we reduce our
cash flow. To cause that metric to fall below, we
would have a stronger possibility of getting a
downgrade because we would fall below that metric.

If we don't, and we return to those higher levels, then I would say it's a very slim chance of getting a downgrade. But if we had an acceleration of these -- for example, an acceleration of these tax benefits, the entire -- a hundred percent of the total amount -- and Ms. Andrews can have the amount; I don't have the amount right in front of me -- over the two-year period or three-year period, when we ran the math we had a very high risk of a

Page 242 Page 244 1 1 in the morning, and we will start off with the rest of downgrade because we would have fallen below that 2 2 threshold. the list of witnesses: Joseph Miller, David Howell, 3 3 Now, that doesn't mean other things can't and James Kensok. 4 change, but that's why we recommended it the way we 4 MR. MEYER: Yes. 5 did, and is it a high chance of a downgrade if we 5 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is there anything else, accelerate it too fast and all of it? I believe it 6 6 Counselors, that we should talk about before we break 7 would be a higher chance. I can't give you a 7 for the day? 8 percentage, Commissioner -- or Chair. 8 MR. MEYER: Nothing -- nothing from 9 Though we did -- we did look at that as a 9 Avista, other than just to note that this is our first 10 10 much higher chance of getting a downgrade than the way opportunity to try a case virtually like this, and but 11 we suggested it. We believe that this is, again, 11 for Commissioner Rendahl's poor connections toward the 12 trying to balance the benefit to the customers and not 12 end of the day, I thought it went remarkably well, at 13 risking the company's credit rating, which, in fact, 13 least from our perspective. So thank you for if we got a downgrade would hurt the customer in our 14 14 arranging it. cost of debt. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Good. 15 15 16 But I don't -- I don't know -- I can't 16 Ms. Suetake? 17 say there's a 70 percent chance we'd get a downgrade 17 MS. SUETAKE: I have one last question. 18 or a 50 percent chance we'd get a downgrade. I don't 18 About the exhibits and updates that we were going to 19 know. 19 file for either the bench requests, do you also want 20 Based on the metrics, if we fall below 20 us to update the joint issues metrics with the 21 that -- those thresholds, it's a very high likelihood 21 finalized numbers? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, please. That will 22 that we run that risk. And that's where we're trying 22 23 to balance where we don't do that. 23 be very helpful to have that updated. 24 The specific number of that, I couldn't 24 MS. SUETAKE: Okay. Thank you. 25 tell you what number that is. We could run math for 25 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. Page 243 Page 245 1 you and get back to you if that's a request. 1 Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski. MR. DANNER: It's not a request. Thank 2 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Nothing from 2 3 3 you. staff. Thank you. 4 4 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okav. MR. DANNER: I have no further questions, 5 5 I'm seeing nothing more, I'm hearing 6 Judge. 6 nothing more. 7 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okav. 7 I do want to provide a heads-up for order 8 And Commissioner Rendahl does not have 8 of witnesses once we come back on Friday. I would 9 9 any further questions, either. She's communicated to prefer to have the bulk of cross-examination earlier 10 me that she can see and hear, but being able to ask 10 in the day rather than at the end, so -- I know I had questions and I think upload them through her 11 11 mentioned that we would have Public Counsel witnesses 12 connection is not possible at this time. 12 before staff's witness. It's my preference that we 13 Commissioner Balasbas, do you have 13 have staff witness Jordan go before those other 14 anything else for Mr. Thies? 14 witnesses, due to the amount of cross that's expected. 15 MR. BALASBAS: I do not. 15 So I wanted to give that heads-up and the expectation 16 to the parties. 16 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So, Mr. Thies -- well, before I let you 17 17 Okay. I've heard nothing else, so -go, Mr. Meyer, is there anything that came up that 18 Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, I see you. Would 18 19 warrants clarification? 19 you like to be heard? 20 MR. MEYER: There is not. Thank you. 20 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: No. Thank you, 21 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. 21 Your Honor. Duly noted. 22 Well, given our -- where we are at the 22 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. 23 time of day and the network connection issues we're 23 Mr. Thies, you are excused, and we will 24 experiencing, I think this is an appropriate time to 24 be --25 25 stop for the day. We will pick up on Friday at 9:30 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

```
Page 246
                   JUDGE O'CONNELL: -- off record, and we
  1
  2
         will return on Friday at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.
                  MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
  3
                  MR. DANNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
  4
  5
                   (The proceedings were adjourned at
  6
                   3:37 p.m.)
  7
                           ---0---
  8
  9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                         Page 247
 1
                CERTIFICATE
 3
       STATE OF WASHINGTON )
      ) ss.
COUNTY OF KING
 4
 6
            I, Ronald L. Cook, Certified Court
       Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified
 7
       Realtime Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
       do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were
 8
       taken stenographically by me and transcribed under my
 9
            I further certify that the proceedings as
10
      transcribed are a full, true and correct transcript,
       including all objections, motions and exceptions of
11
       counsel, made and taken.
12
            I further certify that I am neither
       attorney for nor a relative or employee of any of the
13
       parties to the action; further, that I am not a
       relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14
       employed by the parties hereto, nor financially
       interested in its outcome.
15
16
17
18
                   RONALD L. COOK, CCR, RDR, CRR
19
                  State of Washington CCR #2523
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

	208:6 209:1 210:8	addition 67:1	239:5	allocating 158:13
A a.m 43:2,8 80:1,4	accounting-wise	123:10 147:2,16	afternoon 51:24	allow 129:24 231:4
246:2	209:19	additional 48:10	94:13,19 183:3,5	231:9
ability 114:23	accounts 127:4	90:4 92:9 105:9	207:25 208:1	allowed 83:18 97:6
174:10,14	accumulating	109:8 130:3 136:5	234:6,8 237:4	187:15 189:18
able 48:24 64:24	168:9	136:6 139:12	age 112:16 121:10	allows 43:23 189:6
78:20,23 80:16	accurate 122:14	147:7 149:22	121:20	210:13 231:6
95:5 120:2 129:12	accurately 119:16	175:23	agencies 78:13,21	AMA 162:11
129:21 130:1	120:20	additions 191:14	238:4,12,21 241:5	ambient 138:14
132:24 136:14	achieve 168:14	209:14 214:21	agency 241:9	148:18
138:2,9 141:3	176:13	217:13 226:4	ago 168:8 214:11	American 177:3
148:15 158:24	achieved 102:9	address 53:6 156:5	agree 67:15 85:15	AMI 50:9,10,14,25
159:20 164:8	108:12 168:6,7	addressed 97:1	100:25 104:1,24	101:4 102:9 106:7
170:17 172:7,9,11	achieving 169:15	adjacency 124:24	106:4,24 112:14	106:10 107:2,16
173:24 206:20	acknowledge	adjacent 123:10	112:19,25 116:2,6	109:21 110:18
220:10 225:13	157:16	124:20,22,24	124:1 153:16	136:9 148:12
243:10	acronym 180:3	125:1,12 126:21	194:7	149:18,20 153:1
absolutely 146:18	Act 176:11,19	126:25 129:21,25	agreed 58:22 85:7	161:24 162:11,14
147:19 162:19	177:4	130:8 154:10	93:17 118:5 139:5	164:22 165:1
171:25 172:1	action 247:13	172:18,22	222:18	166:4 167:15
174:9 175:21	actions 136:19,20	adjourned 246:5	agreeing 62:10	168:4 170:24
215:25 216:8,17	actively 61:25	adjustment 183:20	agreement 68:12	171:22 172:12,20
238:22	activities 168:14	186:13 187:15	84:18 85:15	173:14 175:16
absorbed 231:8	actual 50:10,14	188:18,21 189:10	ahead 58:25 59:1,4	176:9,13,18 177:7
absurd 109:7	63:3 83:15 108:24	192:19 223:17	64:13 73:25 74:22	177:14 178:9,13
abundantly 62:9	122:7 123:16	adjustments 49:9	95:25 98:20	179:5,10,21
66:7,9	157:23 168:16	90:7 227:15,17	110:10,15 111:6	amortization
AC 149:2	169:10,15,15	administrative	126:15 131:18,24	188:13 239:22
accelerate 242:6	190:14 191:15,16	38:13 39:3 43:10	154:3 163:10	amortize 241:12
acceleration 237:23	191:18,18 194:12	admissible 206:7	178:20 195:5,14	amortizing 237:14
240:9 241:20,20	196:6 198:2	admission 45:21	206:6,7 207:18,22	237:17
acceptable 58:3	199:15 200:13	46:2 108:23	209:5 229:25	amount 65:18
84:25 227:21	217:2 220:19	admit 195:24	230:14 233:14	82:18 87:20
access 76:1 163:1	227:13	219:23	air-conditioning	149:17 174:21
194:22 229:15	actuals 73:16	admitted 46:7	173:8	213:17 224:2
accessing 153:21	add 70:8 83:22	47:22 99:8	alarm 130:7	238:17,18 241:22
account 76:11	85:2 89:12 92:6,8	adopt 66:12	AldylA 218:9	241:23,23 245:14
215:1,5,9 216:11	93:24 97:10,10	adopted 188:3	226:17,17	amounts 237:17
216:16,17,24	114:6 120:15	191:9	alerts 168:11	AMR 167:9,15
217:7 218:21	141:17 165:24	Advance 106:11	170:14,18	analyses 114:5
225:3,7,8,13	166:16 167:12	advanced 175:10	alignment 76:15,18	analysis 103:12
228:21,24 229:3	168:17 201:7,18	advantage 176:24	alleviate 146:8	111:15,21 113:4
accounting 155:3	added 67:17 108:3	advisory 77:10,11	Alliance 44:23 45:2	116:19 117:15
156:11 158:21	120:13 172:5	78:21 177:13	46:9 56:13	119:3 169:12
159:22 160:9	adding 67:19	affect 46:24 72:14	allocated 153:17	170:9 195:17

	1	ı	1	1
197:23	anymore 236:16	approved 85:14	assembled 97:20	44:11,18 45:17
analyze 136:8	Anyway 187:24	86:20 89:2 158:15	assembling 96:15	247:12,13
Andrew 38:13 39:4	apart 196:25	186:19 221:18	assessed 86:17,19	attorneys 39:14
43:9 55:12	197:12	222:20 226:9	asset 116:4,5,13	43:25 44:14 51:8
Andrews 42:13	apartment 106:23	approves 160:2	121:15,20 145:19	51:11 55:18
52:8 53:19 157:19	apologies 54:17	approximately 43:8	145:22,22 146:9	attract 63:23
158:21 159:15	60:13	50:15 96:7 152:8	228:3	attributed 101:3
160:17 180:19,22	apologize 51:21	179:5 200:5	assets 120:21,22	106:7,9 107:2
181:1,2 182:2,11	120:24 121:23	April 88:2 185:2,7	121:15,17	attrition 226:22
182:12 183:3,11	126:6	185:7,13	assigned 82:11	audible 122:3
189:15,24 199:22	apparent 52:21	area 76:17 174:8,23	86:17 159:10,11	183:9
203:12 205:8	appear 44:2 58:15	216:2 219:8	Assistance 77:11	audio 57:6 139:22
206:12 207:17,21	104:4	areas 78:14 128:7	Assistant 39:14	139:25 140:3
207:25 219:3	appearances 44:3	129:20 136:5,24	44:11,14,18	August 50:14,25
224:6 230:3,9	appearing 44:12,16	137:9 138:9,11	associated 43:18	71:2,11 73:3
232:5,5 241:22	45:7,10,13,18	143:4 146:20,22	48:19 164:16	133:12 164:23
Andrews' 205:15	58:7 97:5 155:6,9	146:23,24 147:2,3	171:15 174:6	227:16
animal 118:11,25	appears 150:17	147:9 162:22,25	176:21 214:5	Availability 114:2
119:10,13 228:5	155:6	163:25 164:3,5,6	231:17 235:20	114:8
Ann 39:7 229:5	application 165:16	164:10,13 166:7	assume 69:23 79:6	available 91:25
annual 101:21	applications 114:3	166:25 168:1	146:12 148:22	171:2 174:25
102:2,4 165:20	applied 147:6	169:6 171:19	171:20 175:9	182:15 201:12,15
166:9 185:19	applies 122:18	176:21 196:18	190:23 226:16	226:18 234:2
193:2 194:1 197:8	124:5 192:14	216:6,7	assumed 148:25	avenue 39:22 218:8
197:12	apply 116:17 138:7	argue 204:22	assuming 74:4	avenues 217:25
annually 201:13	138:10 139:5,8	argument 91:22	214:13	average 121:10
218:23	148:1 174:21	239:11	assumption 65:18	186:14,15,18,24
answer 73:14 75:21	applying 71:20	arranging 244:14	69:25 74:25 149:1	187:8,10 188:2,6
81:24 99:22 101:7	147:7	aside 91:17	217:12 224:16	188:12,15,18
103:6 112:25	appreciate 58:6	asked 112:14,19	assumptions	189:6,7 190:5,7
113:1,2,4,8,16,20	60:14 79:14 95:10	117:7 127:15	138:12,15 139:3,4	190:15 191:14,17
114:18,21,23,24	189:19 210:18	129:2 131:13	141:11,12 149:13	averaging 186:20
115:2 121:7 140:6	214:8	166:18 189:15,20	223:23	Avista 38:7,8 40:3
140:21 151:2	appreciated 79:23	227:23 230:19	assure 220:15	40:4 44:5,7 46:23
153:25 162:18	approach 75:17	asking 97:12	221:20	47:2 49:22 50:13
164:19 183:12,14	116:9,13 155:23	110:12 120:5	assurity 84:5,23	50:17 51:17 53:19
189:16,19 190:1	228:16	121:3 126:10	attached 158:1	53:20 61:9 68:5
202:25 211:18	appropriate 103:17	148:4 179:17	attachment 105:16	70:16 74:5,14
229:4,11,14	218:24 243:24	189:22	106:1	76:13 77:8,12
answers 202:15	appropriately	asks 101:1 106:5,25	attachments	78:2 79:3 81:3
229:10	158:16	114:24	100:22	83:7 85:2 87:19
anticipate 204:8	approval 77:13	aspect 161:14	attempt 157:13,16	89:20 90:1,13
anticipated 52:16	160:10 195:25	aspects 149:6	161:9	91:18,25 92:25
anticipating 63:6	235:24	161:12	attempting 157:24	93:6,17 94:11,20
68:2	approve 161:5	assemble 96:18	attorney 39:22	95:6 96:1 103:20
	•	•	•	•

104:2 105:15	63:16 112:15	55:9 69:9 77:3	201:7 206:25	49:15,20,21,22
109:12,17 110:2	122:12 127:16,23	86:6 87:10 95:14	214:1,3 215:8,11	50:9 51:10 52:14
112:14,15 113:17	183:23 202:20	95:17 131:25	223:23 226:25	54:24 131:16,19
113:23 117:7,10	224:9	135:12 139:9	batteries 136:22	142:19,24 143:8
118:14 122:5,12	AWEC 40:14 46:10	142:13 147:14	battery 174:11	143:12,17 165:7
124:5,9 127:15,16	49:7,16 67:21	160:18 207:22,23	Beacon 133:15,16	165:12,24,25
127:21,22 132:6	AWEC's 46:16	208:2,14,22 209:4	133:17,18	166:3,20 167:8,12
152:10 164:25	awkward 225:1	210:17 211:19	bear 213:5	177:23 178:9
166:4 170:8		212:13 214:7,24	bearing 94:22	207:16,21 232:13
176:12,20 177:12	B	217:3,15 218:25	beginning 56:5	232:15 244:19
182:13 186:15,17	B 113:2,12,14,16	230:19 237:1,3,12	57:20 81:3 82:14	benchmarking
189:22 190:13	118:10 165:25	239:7 240:20	88:3 89:4 176:18	202:16,19
192:15 194:8	166:8 173:4,9,10	243:13,15	184:23 186:11	beneficial 148:13
202:20 208:9	173:12	Balasbas's 144:7	210:25 213:5	benefit 68:4 77:7
217:17,18,24	B-a-l-l 56:11	Ball 56:10,11 61:20	219:21	82:23 85:12 93:5
232:12 233:25	B-o-n-f-i-e-l-d 81:6	62:5,6,6,18 63:21	begins 82:4	93:15 101:15,19
244:9	back 57:6 71:15	64:17 67:6 68:16	begun 75:8 168:3	102:1,14,23
Avista's 43:16	80:4,8,10,14	69:24 75:7,12	behalf 44:12 45:2,7	103:11 107:20
49:23 51:24 56:5	97:13 102:7 113:6	76:14	45:10,18 47:2	168:15 171:19
64:21 76:9,10	120:8 128:4	bandied 241:1	behavior 63:18	174:1 176:10,14
80:2 81:22 94:6	130:17 150:15	banks 238:25	101:3 171:8	204:13,24 239:2
94:13 95:8 100:7	151:2 152:5,7,11	bar 117:21	behavior-based	242:12
100:15 101:4	152:20 177:3	barriers 175:1	169:2 170:14	benefits 74:13 75:6
106:7,10 107:2	188:1 191:4 198:4	base 95:8 192:25	behavioral 102:19	76:12 78:17,23
108:11 109:1,10	199:4 202:10	197:6 201:7	102:23 103:3	102:9,11 107:16
109:25 110:18	203:6 214:8	202:16 225:14,20	believe 48:3 54:2	108:16 109:21,22
111:10,14,20	226:18 227:5	225:22,23,25	54:19 59:24 69:24	167:25 168:2,4,6
112:8 115:13	229:12,18 236:22	236:3,4	91:1 93:4 106:22	168:7,9,14,23,25
116:9,12 117:1	238:10,11 243:1	based 81:21 82:2	108:3 128:17	169:7,14,15,18
123:2,8 135:18	245:8	84:20 85:3 86:15	129:11 131:17	171:22 172:4
164:22 166:8	backed 124:7	108:16 109:11,22	138:15 144:14	175:12,13,16,19
180:19	background 75:12	122:20 130:5	150:3 156:8	176:3 177:14
avoid 130:12	106:14	133:14 135:1	157:18 162:4	179:22 200:14
avoided 81:22	bad 150:22 236:23	146:21 158:14,14	166:12 174:25	204:18 237:8,15
82:19 83:1 85:17	Bainbridge 40:11	168:15 171:8,9	178:2 185:8,13,18	237:23 239:13,23
103:10 105:6,10	balance 173:17	174:24 184:22	187:1,8,11 198:22	241:21
107:19 108:4,11	240:12 242:12,23	204:4 225:25	200:21 201:4	best 75:16 77:22
108:15 109:23	balanced 173:10	227:12 235:19	205:23 222:17	114:22 129:12
avoiding 84:14	balances 226:23	242:20	226:20 236:16	137:21 141:23
avoids 87:19	balancing 172:24	baseline 73:10,11	237:14 238:3,14	175:11 176:4
award 202:7	173:3 215:1,5,9	basic 155:11	238:19 239:1,5	better 83:12 99:22
Awarded 107:8	216:11,16,16,24	basically 63:14,15	240:2,8,17 242:6	136:21 160:6
awards 201:5,8,10	217:7,10 218:21	79:7 87:4 91:17	242:11	163:8 166:22
201:11,14,23,25	225:3,7,8,13	166:11 177:7	bench 43:25 48:7	beyond 64:8 89:4
aware 49:23 63:14	228:21,24 229:3	185:19 192:17	48:10,16 49:6,11	90:3 115:2 137:4
	Balasbas 39:8 55:6			
	1	ı	1	1

				_
146:22,23 147:8	119:2,14,21 120:6	brief 52:20 178:6	bypass 85:23	91:18 92:11 94:6
148:18 149:11	120:17,25 121:9	briefly 218:4		94:20 122:7,8
150:8 230:2	122:1,10,14	bring 78:25 79:4,10	C	124:12,13,25
BGM-1T 46:13	126:23 127:10,19	150:15	C 39:1 40:1,1 41:1	129:24 130:3,7,10
biannually 155:1	127:22 128:19,20	brings 90:7	41:1 43:4 112:13	133:2 134:10,19
big 136:8	128:23 129:6,11	broached 68:11	112:24,25 113:4,5	134:20 138:10,20
biggest 75:20	130:17,20,22	broad 64:5	113:8,18 173:4,9	138:24
146:21	131:2,7,23 132:3	broader 74:19	173:10,12 247:1,1	capital 111:9 137:8
bill 171:5,6,9	150:4 152:10	141:15	C-o-l-l-i-n-s 56:25	141:15 153:17
billing 180:12	159:25 160:19	broken 169:5	C-o-r-e-y 57:13	154:22 155:6
bills 101:22 103:4	166:16,22 167:10	197:12 210:6	CA 38:24	158:2,9 160:1,10
238:9	180:17 208:5	brought 78:3 218:5	calculate 102:23	209:13,14 210:21
bit 76:18 94:11	Bonfield 51:18 59:5	230:10 235:23	107:15	211:6 214:14,21
123:18 139:10	59:8 80:2,15,21	budget 137:8 158:3	calculating 76:12	217:8,12 218:2,11
144:6 145:13	81:4,5,17,24	160:1,10 168:11	calculation 90:19	218:14,21 226:2,2
160:1 161:8	82:21 83:3 85:2	170:14,17,18	105:7 107:8,20	226:4,7,10,11,12
200:13 219:21	85:19 86:7,12	210:12	109:23	226:13 227:15,19
239:8	87:24,25 88:18	budgeting 154:22	calculations 101:1	227:20,21,22,25
blessed 78:4	92:6,8,22 93:7	158:2	106:5,25 108:5	231:2 234:18
blocking 128:9	95:20	bugs 94:21	California 41:5	235:9,10,10,13,14
blue 197:6	books 96:15	build 215:13 225:8	call 57:6 58:1,5	235:15,21,22
board 157:4 235:24	bottom 103:6 108:1	225:9,10 226:10	70:21 93:19,20,22	capture 171:20
Bolle 42:7 51:23	116:16,17 130:19	building 68:18,19	209:18 216:21	careful 222:5
52:6 96:2,13,20	130:24 196:4,11	69:5 164:1 174:5	230:20	case 43:17 48:1
96:20 97:8,8,23	196:24 197:2	174:8,10,16,17	call-in 58:5	50:3 52:13 61:24
98:25 99:1,2,16	241:8	175:1 223:6	Callaghan 39:12	65:15,17 70:23
100:4,8,12,23	bound 85:9	buildings 169:4	44:13	71:21 79:9 86:23
101:5,9,16,23	boundaries 76:2	174:18,19,20,22	called 94:13 132:21	109:11 110:2,19
102:4,16,20,25	box 39:14 40:5	built 130:4 177:5	133:15 149:19	116:20 139:17
103:5,13,22,25	115:23 116:3,7,8	223:22 228:9	210:12	142:5,10 150:13
104:4,9,16,19	BR-3 48:7	bulk 245:9	calling 60:4 137:23	152:18 153:15
105:2,8,14,17,22	Brad 56:15	bullet 116:2,8,20	233:4	154:5,10,16,17,19
106:3,12,18 107:3	break 79:25,25	business 84:6 91:4	camera 55:13	154:21,24 155:25
107:6,10,12,18,23	96:9 131:20 150:5	95:5 109:11	232:24	156:4 158:12,14
108:8,9,19 109:16	150:8,15,20,25	110:18 135:4	cameras 51:7	159:19 160:4,22
109:19 110:5,11	152:8 209:18	154:5,9,16,17,19	Cameron-Rulko	163:22 168:16
110:16,17,20	244:6	154:24 155:24,25	39:11 44:10,11	174:3 176:3,14
111:2,5,12,16,22	breakdown 166:5	156:4,10,12	53:14,18 245:1,2	184:16 186:14
111:25 112:11,22	166:10 201:3	157:21 158:12,13	245:18,20	187:12 190:19,21
113:3,10,13,19	210:3	158:14 160:2,3,11	capabilities 94:6	190:21 198:8
114:1,11,14,21	breaking 174:25	160:23,25 161:4	174:25 176:25	208:6,10 209:12
115:9,12,15,17,22	breakout 165:8	168:16 174:3	capacity 62:19	214:3 215:14
115:25 116:6,11	breaks 196:25	176:3 208:6,11,17	81:19,22 82:1,4,8	217:1,11,13,19
116:14,25 117:5	breath 95:25	209:15,17 211:25	82:11,17,19,24	218:12 219:12,22
117:12 118:13,17	195:12 232:25	234:19 235:19	87:13,17,20 88:10	220:9,25 223:22
			88:14 90:2,14,18	

				. 1 age 202
224:3,10,17,20	CEOs 197:5	characteristics	classic 62:13	40:18
225:8,9 226:4,5,8	certain 57:17 65:18	117:14 120:21	classified 117:8	combination
227:8,11 230:25	88:18 89:5 93:10	characterize 63:1	118:14,24	104:25
237:16 238:2,3	138:18 168:25	157:16	Clean 68:6 176:10	combine 75:2
240:3,8 244:10	201:16,22 204:4,6	characterized 64:7	176:19 177:2	come 57:22 76:6
cases 155:24	235:17	161:7	clear 57:9 62:9 66:8	80:4 87:5 89:23
156:10,12 157:21	certainly 67:10	charge 108:3	66:10 69:19	129:9 151:2
158:14 160:2,3,11	79:8 216:22 218:3	charged 158:16	121:23 157:14	158:13 174:7
160:23,25 161:4	223:10 225:20	197:24,25	159:20	201:25 213:17,19
168:25 169:11	certainty 83:13,17	charging 157:17	clearly 98:18 157:6	226:8 232:23
171:12,15 172:2,3	83:20 88:14	chart 104:11,14	Cleve 40:15,21 45:2	235:10,17 245:8
171:12,13 172:2,3	221:19,23 222:2	169:9 198:18,19	climate 147:12	come-ins 154:13
208:11,17 209:15	Certified 247:6,6	199:4 205:9,12	Clint 73:7	comes 83:20 89:21
209:17 226:14	certify 247:7,9,12	215:20	closely 116:9,12	221:3 222:9 226:2
cash 200:12 201:15	CETA 67:2 69:23	charts 170:25	221:12	228:6 229:12
237:16,19 238:11	177:9	171:2 196:5	closer 183:12	238:10
239:3 241:14	CFO 233:24	chicken-and-egg	Club 41:3 45:15,18	comfortable 66:12
cash-flow 237:7	chair 39:7 55:5,8	63:22	46:22 54:8,11,19	coming 98:12
239:13	66:17 69:8 77:2	chief 199:5	57:2,5,25 60:16	220:23 227:3
Catalyst 174:8	79:18 81:25 95:13	circuit 148:9	232:16	231:18
catchall 67:12	242:8	circuits 129:19,21	Club's 46:24 57:17	command 82:6
categories 139:21	Chairman 159:25	142:25 146:15	code 211:15	comment 166:17
161:3	171:12	142.25 140.15	collaboration 77:10	comments 47:23,25
categorizing	challenges 95:4	circumstance	collaborative 74:3	48:6 49:1 53:5
139:21 140:22	137:9 138:17	118:21	74:4,12,24 75:6	65:12 76:23 95:10
category 141:10	146:2 164:8	circumstances	75:18 77:24,25	commercial 82:6
159:11 174:5	challenging 163:1,1	130:13	78:6 79:6	87:23 88:8 124:14
189:4	164:2	citations 167:23	colleagues 73:24	135:4 138:9
caught 137:18	chance 89:23 139:2	claim 198:8	139:12 142:14	Commission 38:2,4
195:13	212:5 231:12	clarification 52:5	159:12 142:14	39:10 43:11 45:24
cause 90:11 240:18	240:25 241:19	69:13 92:3 101:12	161:19 166:14	48:1,18 49:22,25
241:14	240.23 241.19	108:6 121:22	208:25 215:2	50:5 66:12 67:7
caused 119:5,9	change 82:25	205:8 207:8,11	217:5 236:24	77:13 79:1,5,11
132:24 221:10	134:25,25 147:12	209:3 210:18	collect 164:1,13	86:20 90:23
CCR 38:23,24	189:8 190:21	217:16 243:19	223:12 233:5	186:19 218:24
247:18,19	200:10,17 201:19	clarifies 72:19	collected 86:11	226:9 239:22
Cedar 134:14,19,23	202:9 222:18	clarify 73:19 110:7	Collins 56:23,24	240:5,16
145:25	232:9 242:4	120:11 157:19	67:23 77:20 78:9	Commissioner 39:7
CEIP 68:6	change-out 152:25	160:18 167:7	79:8,14	39:8 55:6,6,9,10
centralized 174:13	changed 53:15	178:18 195:6		, , ,
centralized 1/4:13	changed 53:15 changes 82:18 83:1	178:18 195:0	Colstrip 86:10 228:1,2	55:17 59:17 62:11 63:11 65:7 69:8
102:22	84:14 91:5 124:14	230:11 237:5	column 126:19,21	77:3 95:14 125:22
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CEO 193:19,22	164:16 218:23	clarity 178:15	127:1,3	125:25 126:11
197:3,6,21 199:11	220:11	212:3,8 220:14 class 87:9	columns 125:11 com@dvclaw.com	140:21 144:7
CEO's 199:8	character 231:14	CIASS 01.9	come aveiaw.com	147:14 148:3

159:4 162:16	company 40:20	complete 51:23	212:8	consultation 61:9
166:18 177:24	51:19 78:24 84:4	162:14,23 163:12	connect 126:12	consulted 238:25
195:8 207:22	98:8 114:3 117:16	167:6,17 177:8	176:1	Consumers 44:24
226:25 230:19	128:21 129:3	178:13	connected 139:15	45:3 46:10 56:14
234:9,24 236:15	130:11 132:12	completed 157:24	173:6	consuming 91:20
236:18 242:8	139:19 152:19	162:12 165:14	connection 243:12	contained 191:13
243:8,13 244:11	156:13,24 158:10	223:8	243:23	contemplated
Commissioners	160:23 164:11	completely 64:17	connections 244:11	68:13
39:6 43:12,22	179:5 182:10	completion 167:15	conscious 181:10	continually 175:15
51:4 54:24 58:14	187:9,16 188:19	178:8	consequence 92:10	continue 58:7
60:24 81:12 83:25	190:7,10,16	component 107:19	conservation 106:6	85:15 86:16,25
112:2 131:17,22	197:23 198:3,6,11	107:22 138:4	107:1,16 108:12	87:4,7 106:17
131:24 150:14	198:19 204:9,13	139:1 141:6	conservative	115:4 119:20
152:12 180:20	209:11 214:13	components 105:5	174:24 224:3	136:8 149:22
181:25 194:20,23	216:12 218:2	137:25 159:8	consider 49:15	152:9,12,14
207:16,21 227:1	219:4,25 220:2,10	164:7 177:6	124:15 161:5	continued 172:14
234:5	220:13 223:15	196:20,25 197:14	189:1 197:15	continues 77:8
Commissioners'	224:9,9 226:19	201:6	215:8 217:18	continuing 146:4
60:23 178:3	227:14 230:22	comports 113:5	227:14 239:22	171:19 172:1
commit 77:8 93:9	231:1 235:8,9	compounded	consideration	contract 51:17
93:12	239:4 240:14	138:25	215:15 230:23	66:14 78:5 81:13
commitment 83:11	company's 113:8	comprise 192:18	239:24	82:25 83:7 84:6
committed 87:21	144:9 145:10	computer 57:20	considerations	85:14 86:3,9,13
committee 160:2	152:23 192:18	58:8	124:11,14	86:15 87:3,17
235:24	227:10 242:13	concern 66:6 75:20	considered 188:19	88:5,9,13,23
committee's 160:10	compare 103:14	228:22	189:22 190:8,10	89:15,17,24 90:3
common 74:15	compared 119:12	concerns 159:4	196:15,21 197:1	90:9,10,13,15
105:1	134:10,18,20	220:4,21	211:24,25 217:18	91:3,11,15 92:17
communicate	190:14	concise 169:7	223:16	92:19 94:12 95:12
137:21 141:25	comparison 199:7	conclude 131:19	considering 139:17	222:13,15,16,20
communicated	199:8 202:22	concur 70:2	177:12 189:1	223:7
243:9	comparisons	condition 145:22	221:18	contracts 70:9,22
communication	241:10	146:9	considers 122:5	70:22 71:5,9,10
164:6 210:5	compensation	conduct 182:21	200:9	72:10,13,25
communications	191:21,24,25	confidence 84:7	consistent 49:19	222:10,14
137:25 141:5	192:4,19,25 193:7	confidential 58:17	231:19	contrary-wise
180:8	193:13,18,18	58:18,23 59:10,12	consolidated 43:15	203:25
communities 67:3	195:17,25 196:19	75:22,23	196:11 198:5,7	contribute 87:7
67:5,10 69:17,22	199:8,9,14,15	confidentiality	constitutes 64:9	control 62:25
78:22 177:16	200:1,5,7,11,13	222:5	constrain 235:15	controlled 64:20
community 78:14	200:18,19 201:2,8	confirm 46:15	238:17	controls 158:16
78:15 141:5	202:17	confusing 157:7	constrained 133:4	conversations
companies 74:6	competitive 91:4	178:16	constraints 65:13	75:15,15 219:7,24
76:11 202:23	compile 47:25	confusion 72:21	139:7 141:3	220:13,15 222:6
220:3,11	Complainant 38:5	126:7 211:10	149:22	Cook 38:23 247:6
L				

	İ	İ	İ	
247:18	correctly 82:20,22	212:20 213:13,13	covering 224:24	crossing 76:2
coordinate 145:20	92:9 208:9,18	216:9,9,14,25	225:1	CRR 38:23 247:18
copy 100:10 183:7	225:6	217:2 219:5	COVID 135:1	CSR 38:24
193:24	correspondence	223:16 226:11	147:16 149:11,11	CT 132:23
Corey 57:12 65:9	52:10	227:14 228:12,18	238:7,8	current 73:9,10,10
Corinne 40:15 45:1	cost 50:10,14 51:1	231:7,18 235:15	Crane 48:19	73:16 108:16
corner 107:7 195:3	71:2 73:3 76:12	counsel 39:20	186:13	109:2,10 132:23
Corporation 38:7	81:22 82:19 86:9	44:16,19 45:25	Crane's 186:12	218:17 241:12
40:4	90:18 92:13 100:6	46:4 47:24 48:8	create 138:25	currently 78:11
correct 46:16,18	101:2,14 102:22	48:17 54:13,15	139:14	108:18 112:17
47:7 54:21,23	103:10,11,17	55:23 57:11,13	created 133:5	156:8 165:1,18
62:7 69:25 71:2,3	105:5,7,10 106:6	96:6 105:16 106:8	149:6	237:25
71:20 72:12 74:7	107:1,8,19 108:4	112:9,14 115:14	creates 153:20	curtailed 92:19,20
79:11 87:24,25	108:12,15 109:20	117:2,6,24 122:4	creating 63:3	92:20
92:21,22 100:5,8	109:23 111:15,21	123:3,7 126:2	credit 237:7,16,19	curtailment 93:5
101:13,17,20	116:19 155:8,8	127:14 142:16	237:23,24 239:12	customer 62:17
102:16,21,25	164:7 165:18,21	187:13 189:1	240:10,13 242:13	68:4 82:7 86:14
103:6 105:2 107:3	166:11,11 196:12	245:11 247:11,13	criteria 138:6,12	87:1 95:8 101:2,8
107:14 108:18	196:14,17 197:16	Counsel's 51:14	139:8 141:12	101:15,20,21
109:19 110:2,5	198:12,15,20	52:1 79:22 100:16	142:11 147:5,8,11	102:1,3,10 103:4
111:1,8,12,13,19	203:13 204:7,24	116:23 180:23	147:18	103:10 127:4
113:12 115:25	211:17 212:17	counselor 110:14	CRM 218:1,9	137:19,24 138:8
118:14 122:11,17	213:10 235:17	counselors 110:12	226:17	141:5 147:17
124:4 125:6,7,15	240:12 242:15	244:6	CRMs 218:4	149:23,24 166:11
125:18 126:23,24	cost-effective	count 83:14 155:24	cross 45:23,25	171:20 176:10,14
127:2,3,6,13,19	164:14	counterintuitive	46:21 47:17,21	177:14 196:12,14
128:19,20 131:1,2	cost-per-customer	239:11	53:19,22 54:8,16	196:17 197:17,17
131:7 144:13	204:3	counting 155:20	96:8,19 99:9	198:12,15,20
153:2,9 163:23	costing 237:9	157:23 158:17	113:21 116:23	203:13 204:8,25
179:7,10,11,14,16	costs 43:18 50:10	191:9	130:18 131:12	240:13 242:14
179:19 184:4,8,18	71:12 72:25 73:2	county 43:18 247:4	180:22 182:21	customers 61:12
184:21 185:1	73:9 83:1 84:14	couple 66:18 87:12	232:17 245:14	65:19,21,24 66:1
186:17,23 187:6	85:17 86:18,22,25	89:21 151:2	cross-examination	66:3 77:9 83:19
188:2 191:22	90:16,20,22 92:10	170:21 204:1	42:5,8,11,14	85:12 87:24 88:8
192:13 195:21	103:15 105:10	208:23 234:12	46:23,25 47:15	88:22 92:1,25
196:10,14 198:3,6	107:19,22,25	course 52:20 71:11	48:22 52:3 53:15	93:5,18 102:15
198:13,19 199:9	156:3 158:18	109:6 184:17	54:12,14,20 96:4	123:11 124:7,23
199:10,12,13,16	160:12 164:23	206:23 213:15	100:1,11,15	132:8,9 135:1
199:17,25 200:6	165:20 166:9	court 65:9 80:10	103:19 105:12	136:11,16 137:22
202:5,14 204:15	168:10 179:18	98:16 120:2 140:2	109:5 119:24	141:25 142:8
204:16 205:11	184:5,9,12 187:16	181:18 247:6	122:24 180:23,24	147:1 149:9
208:12,20,21	189:7 190:20,22	cover 50:3 71:18	182:15 183:1	164:15,24,25
214:13 224:21	190:25 191:2	coverage 185:6	245:9	165:2,10,14 166:4
234:22 235:5	198:7 209:18	covered 84:3	cross-reference	169:2 170:16,20
236:1 247:10	210:4,5,5,7,15,16	144:21,23 145:4,5	153:13	170:23 171:1,3
L				

172 0 12 17 22	02.16.22.04.12	000 1 040 00 05	120 15 10	160 5 204 10
172:8,13,17,22	82:16,23 84:13	233:1 243:23,25	138:15,19	160:5 204:18
173:5,5,8,15,16	85:13 86:4 95:13	244:7,12 245:10	delay 58:2 75:17	designing 148:25
175:6 192:6,8,10	95:16 150:17	days 49:18 136:13	delayed 221:21	desire 74:11
197:16,21,24	159:3,18,24	deadline 50:13	deliberately 175:20	desired 78:17
204:13,19 216:13	160:14 163:17	deal 141:24 187:7	181:8	detail 210:12
216:20 217:1	167:21 168:19	188:16 190:5	deliver 78:23	details 171:13
223:12 228:24	170:8 171:12,16	223:2	delivering 78:17	determine 112:16
238:5 239:3,6,20	175:7 176:6 219:3	dealing 65:14	delivery 98:10,22	124:25 128:22
242:12	221:14 222:22	dealt 187:21 188:6	delta 92:23	129:4 139:7 171:7
customers' 238:9	223:14,20 224:5	188:11,13,17	demand 82:14	190:15 238:25
cut 219:16	229:15,18,22	190:6	83:11 84:2,8 85:7	240:5
CVR 108:14	237:1 240:21	debt 241:10 242:15	85:11,24 87:13,21	determined 88:15
109:18	243:2,5 246:4	decades 202:25	87:23 88:2,5,7,13	90:22 116:5
cycle 127:24 129:2	data 50:11,14,17	December 184:13	88:20 89:3,17	117:14 147:20
129:5	97:1 100:16,21,25	185:17 206:14	90:3,5,14 91:12	determining
cycling 149:3	101:1 103:23	226:7	91:23,25 147:17	188:20 190:9
	105:16,25 106:4,5	decides 218:24	148:8,9	develop 61:9 68:20
D	106:24,25 112:9	decision 93:12,15	denominators	69:3
D 40:1 41:1 43:4	112:10 113:21	114:15 164:11	121:14	developed 94:23
D-a-h-l 57:14	115:14,16 117:2,3	decisions 85:3	department 154:9	devices 133:23
D&O 185:19 186:1	117:6 119:16	114:7	154:11	143:5 164:6
186:4 206:21,25	120:20 122:4	decline 216:10	departmental	difference 92:18
d/b/a 38:7	123:3,4,24 125:4	decommissioning	234:20 235:7	108:2 225:23,24
D3 40:10	127:14 136:10	86:10	departments	differences 200:23
Dahl 51:14 55:23	149:18,20 162:18	deductible 220:17	235:11	223:12
Dahl 51:14 55:23 57:12,12,13 65:3	149:18,20 162:18 166:19,21,22			
	149:18,20 162:18 166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2	deductible 220:17 deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5	depending 51:25	223:12 different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2
57:12,12,13 65:3	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5		different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20	deemed 190:11	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13 Danner 39:7 55:5 63:11 64:14 65:1	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1 day 51:25 96:10	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11 180:3	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6 designated 211:6	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24 differently 72:19
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13 Danner 39:7 55:5 63:11 64:14 65:1 65:8 66:16,17,19	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1 day 51:25 96:10 114:7 132:10	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11 180:3 degree 138:20	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6 designated 211:6 214:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24 differently 72:19 147:17 149:9
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13 Danner 39:7 55:5 63:11 64:14 65:1 65:8 66:16,17,19 69:8,12 70:1,3	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1 day 51:25 96:10 114:7 132:10 136:12,15 149:10	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11 180:3 degree 138:20 degrees 133:16,17	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6 designated 211:6 214:16 designates 79:10	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24 differently 72:19 147:17 149:9 187:21 227:19
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13 Danner 39:7 55:5 63:11 64:14 65:1 65:8 66:16,17,19	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1 day 51:25 96:10 114:7 132:10	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11 180:3 degree 138:20	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6 designated 211:6 214:16	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24 differently 72:19 147:17 149:9
57:12,12,13 65:3 65:7,9 79:22 Dallas 39:13 44:13 damaged 118:11,18 120:12 damages 120:11 186:9,12 187:15 188:20,23 189:5 190:9,14 191:15 191:17 Dan 44:14 dan.teimouri@u 39:18 dancing 150:21 DANIEL 39:13 Danner 39:7 55:5 63:11 64:14 65:1 65:8 66:16,17,19 69:8,12 70:1,3 77:2,4 79:2,12,16	166:19,21,22 168:20 169:2 172:12,16,20 173:14,21 180:8 date 38:22 48:25 49:2,5 50:15,25 109:20 144:4 168:16 dates 48:12 David 39:7 40:4 44:7 58:10 121:2 140:10 244:2 david.meyer@av 40:6 Davison 40:15,21 45:1 day 51:25 96:10 114:7 132:10 136:12,15 149:10	deemed 190:11 defer 61:19 62:5 68:8,14 77:22 78:8 93:24 132:3 166:14 215:2 217:5 231:1 236:24 deferral 217:24 218:20 230:21,23 231:11 deferred 200:11,18 208:7 229:3 defined 193:19 definitely 172:5 223:4 definition 70:11 180:3 degree 138:20 degrees 133:16,17	depending 51:25 depicts 119:16 derive 101:14 describe 93:3 116:3 120:20 154:5 157:24 161:2 176:16 described 147:13 208:17,19 describes 235:12 describing 155:23 descriptions 116:8 208:16 design 62:21 64:16 65:22 130:4 designate 48:6 designated 211:6 214:16 designates 79:10	different 116:3 125:2 138:9 141:2 142:21 143:12,13 145:15 148:17 149:6,9 152:23 155:7,11 157:4 160:6 161:3 188:19 190:8 192:2,3 196:20 197:4,9 202:2 208:15 217:19 218:8 220:16 226:12,14 231:14 233:23 240:16 differential 63:14 63:16 71:24 differently 72:19 147:17 149:9 187:21 227:19

119:23	169:3	diversity 148:21,24	dynamic 91:4	efforts 171:19
dilemma 223:5,6	disagreement 79:5	149:1,7		Ehrbar 56:6,7
DiLuciano 42:10	disclosed 193:2	divvying 93:4	<u>E</u>	61:18,18 67:15
51:22 52:6 96:2	disconnecting	docket 38:5 46:10	E 39:1,1 40:1,1,1	68:7 70:2,15 71:3
96:13,19 97:8,9	230:5	49:23 50:5 207:2	41:1,1,1 43:4,4	71:8,23 72:6,13
97:23 99:4,5,16	discover 175:19	dockets 43:15 50:1	247:1,1	72:22 73:5 74:8
108:8 111:5,11,23	discovering 175:12	62:1	E-h-r-b-a-r 56:7	77:20
112:12,21 115:19	175:13	documentation	e-mail 48:20 52:20	EIM 74:2,4,13,18
121:7,13,21	discretionary 66:2	112:23	170:17,22	74:24 75:6,6 76:9
122:20 123:6,14	discuss 48:12 77:9	DOE 177:3	earlier 72:18 121:9	either 51:24 97:7
123:19 124:3,9,21	81:18 186:12	doing 49:12 61:23	185:18 205:8	154:3 155:14
125:7,10,15 127:2	191:20 193:16	110:22 146:14	224:18 240:10	163:1 185:8 187:9
127:6,12 131:23	discussed 58:12	156:9,12 163:25	245:9	191:1 211:1
132:3 141:18	75:4 76:17 111:14	171:23 172:15	early 90:15 177:10	235:20 243:9
150:4 152:10	111:20 136:1	175:11 176:16	earning 238:11	244:19
153:25 154:4,23	137:3 138:5 141:9	177:2 189:7 219:4	earnings 196:11	elected 179:5
155:15 160:20	143:10 160:3	dollars 213:8	197:13 198:5,7	electric 43:16 99:2
161:23 162:2,4,16	191:8 193:14	double 155:24	200:18 203:23	104:12 111:10
163:9,11,18,23	237:6	157:10,22 158:17	easier 97:11	114:2 122:12,18
165:3,11 180:6,15	discussing 135:18	159:12,21	easy 97:16	144:9,10,12
180:17 208:5	183:25	double-count 210:9	ebb 190:24	152:21 162:15
DiLuciano's 164:19	discussion 48:21	210:16	ecodistrict 174:7	164:3,4,5 165:2,4
Diplomate 247:6	50:21 52:20 58:20	doubt 67:9	economic 85:23	165:9 166:6
direct 97:2 183:6	75:11 89:19 90:20	downgrade 238:1	93:11,15 112:16	189:12 207:2
189:16 190:1	103:16 128:15	238:20 239:5	113:24 114:7	electrical 99:5
196:23 204:13,18	135:25 195:17	240:19,23 241:2	116:20	174:13
204:23 205:18	203:12 208:4	241:16,19 242:1,5	edge 241:8	electricity 91:20
219:11,12,22	discussions 68:1	242:10,14,17,18	effect 70:24 71:10	electronic 181:15
228:10 235:12	75:19 88:4 220:3	downstream 145:1	72:3,10,10 73:11	181:17
237:6	220:21	draft 138:1	108:25 223:24	element 84:9
directed 48:16 49:6	dispel 178:10	drilled 118:1	231:6	elements 62:22,23
49:21 99:20	distinction 97:2	drive 180:10	effective 71:25 72:3	147:18 155:2
directing 97:7	203:17	driven 234:19	72:5,7,16 164:22	eliminate 173:24
direction 247:8	distribution 105:1	driving 160:7	224:4,14 228:2	228:22
directionally 121:3	108:2 141:16	due 48:8,12 50:15	effectively 160:25	eliminated 214:1
directly 78:18,24	144:9,20,22,23	50:25 65:19 66:13	208:18 241:13	Elizabeth 42:13
142:7 189:19	145:6,9,14 146:15	118:24 133:4	effectiveness 63:3	182:11
221:7 234:4	149:19 152:21,24	137:14 149:10	100:6	ELJ-11X 46:1
director 56:24 99:5	153:19 154:8,13	245:14	efficiency 87:6	ELJ-15X 46:1
135:24 176:22	154:18 155:19	Duly 245:21	101:3,3,8,15,20	EMA-13X 193:24
219:25	156:22 157:15	duplicate 47:5,10	102:1,10,19,24	EMA-13XR 194:24
directors 158:10	209:9,10,24	47:12,13	103:3,11 105:6	EMA-16 183:21
184:5,8 206:13	distribution-level	duplicates 47:1,8	110:24	EMA-6T 212:3,10
235:24	153:7	duplicating 161:16	efficient 97:11	emergencies 158:7
disaggregation	disturbance 181:19	duplication 214:1	169:3 174:5	emergency 158:7
			effort 123:23	G - V
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

	<u> </u>			l
Empire 40:20 45:5	enter 72:14 170:16	established 186:24	128:18 130:11	104:22 105:13
45:7 51:17 56:18	entered 70:23 71:6	187:7 188:16	146:1 156:7	107:5 111:24
81:7,18 84:4	71:9,11 72:15,25	190:5	168:24 187:20	113:7 115:11,21
Empire's 80:2	entertain 115:4	estimate 54:3	197:2,19,21	116:24 121:25
employed 247:14	entire 51:5 59:11	101:25	201:15 203:20,23	122:2,24 125:9,23
employee 93:20	63:3 64:25 147:6	estimates 53:15,23	210:1,14 211:11	125:24 126:11,19
247:12,13	193:7 241:21	168:21	211:23 218:10,16	127:9,11,14
employee's 202:21	entirety 58:16	estimations 168:3	218:17 222:16	130:18,18,23
employees 173:22	107:24	evaluate 124:23	225:21 226:6,17	161:25 170:3
employer 233:21	environment 84:7	evaluating 124:11	241:20	193:24 194:5,12
enable 170:16	124:15,18	124:17 147:12	examples 142:6,10	194:19,22 195:6,9
174:20	envision 77:18 79:9	evaluation 66:24	171:11 204:2	195:13,16 199:19
enabled 170:24	envisioning 177:12	67:4 68:3 86:2	exceed 204:6	205:10
Encoded 180:4	equate 102:2	165:16	Excellent 55:14	exhibits 45:20,22
encoder 180:6	equates 102:1	event 123:12 130:2	exception 228:1	45:23,23,25 46:6
end-of-life 112:16	equipment 91:13	132:5 133:6 134:9	exceptions 47:20	46:22,25 47:1,3,9
113:24 114:7	91:19 94:24	136:11 137:15,23	247:10	47:17,17,21,22
121:20	112:16 113:25	139:14 141:1	exchange 203:14	48:19 59:8 100:11
ended 214:2	116:10 117:9,11	142:3 144:20	excited 173:2	113:22 144:9
ends 143:18	117:13,16,18	146:13,17 152:17	exclude 67:10	244:18
energy 40:8 44:23	118:10,17,23	187:7 188:17	198:11	existence 91:23
45:2,10,13 46:9	119:1,5,6,11,16	190:6	excluded 188:5,10	existing 149:24
56:13,22,24 68:6	120:13 122:6,18	events 93:11	192:7,10 198:3,6	174:3,18 211:17
77:10,10 87:6	127:17 137:16	129:17 132:13	200:19	expand 64:5
92:19,20 98:10,22	138:20,24 148:20	135:20 137:1,13	excluding 187:13	expect 78:19 96:23
101:2,2,3,8,13,15	equity 66:5,24 68:2	142:12 147:25,25	excuse 75:10 95:19	110:18 131:11
101:20 102:1,10	68:17,20 177:13	187:19 188:3,17	211:14	148:16 191:1
102:19,22,23	ER 209:20,22,23,23	190:6	excused 53:2	204:5 213:23
103:3,11 104:12	209:24 210:14	evidence 46:3	180:18 232:6,17	215:25 216:9
104:20,23 105:6,6	ERM 71:13	49:11 108:23	245:23	expectation 215:12
106:6 107:1,9,11	error 132:23	evidentiary 38:11	execute 143:4	215:14 220:5
107:15 108:12,12	ERs 210:2	43:14	executed 89:18	221:25 228:11
110:24 149:9	ERT 179:18 180:14	exact 148:24	170:15	231:4 245:15
169:1 170:25	ERTs 179:7,12	224:11	executive 192:18	expectations 204:5
176:10,19 177:2	180:3	exactly 132:15	193:1,7,9,9,15,17	expected 52:16
engage 63:25	escalate 225:21	159:4 178:19	193:19,20,21	219:13 225:10
engineering 99:6	escalated 226:23	210:15 211:16,16	195:21 199:5	245:14
135:24 137:11	escalation 225:18	examination 42:1,5	200:1 202:7	expenditure 209:17
154:9 156:8	227:4	42:6,8,9,11,12,14	233:24	209:20 210:11
engineers 173:20	escaping 226:25	42:15 59:18	executives 191:22	expense 184:4
enhanced 218:11	especially 65:16	128:13 179:1	192:1	189:12 191:18
ensure 157:22	95:6 129:19 216:6	203:10 230:17	exhibit 46:13 47:4	211:7 212:4
158:17 176:3,24	221:4	examining 75:8	47:5,10,11,11,12	213:25 217:21
177:18	establish 46:5	example 73:1 113:4	58:22 59:5,11	218:2,13,16,18,22
ensuring 175:24	89:16	117:23 118:1	100:15 103:20,24	225:10,16 227:15
	1		1	1

	•			
231:9	extraordinary	fallen 242:1	FFO 241:10	finished 177:24
expenses 183:19	187:7 188:3,11,16	falling 158:4	figure 75:16 76:20	fire 188:4,9 189:4
190:14 191:13	188:17 189:2	familiar 100:21	78:24 84:10	212:21
204:5 210:20,22	190:5,6	103:23 105:25	102:13 145:16	fires 221:7
211:8,14,22,25	extrapolated	112:9 115:1,16	178:10 222:22	firm 45:1 83:10
212:19 214:12,14	169:16	117:3 122:2	223:1	first 46:9 48:16
214:23 215:6,12	extreme 130:5	127:11 129:18	figured 75:21	51:4 57:13 61:22
215:13,22,24	132:13 135:19	176:11 194:5,20	figures 92:24	74:8 77:21 81:15
217:8,9,11,14,20	147:24 149:4	fantastic 95:2	file 48:1,17,24 50:3	86:1 91:2,20
219:23 220:19	173:7,11	FAPR 38:23	224:10,17 244:19	116:16 125:24
221:13,17 225:7	extremely 62:18	far 54:13 64:8	filed 46:10 47:1	159:9 193:6
225:20 226:1	76:3 133:20	88:19 90:25 220:9	48:8 49:17 50:4	195:19 197:6
227:19 228:6,7,9		224:13	82:3 88:1 186:1	208:12 209:19
228:15,22 231:2,5	F	fashion 59:13	211:4 219:11,22	214:15,19 219:22
231:10,13,15,20	F 247:1	fast 242:6	228:11 239:1	224:8 225:11
231:23 232:1	faces 232:9	faster 58:1 123:23	filing 48:25 49:23	226:3,19 231:20
experience 133:10	fact 48:11 58:17	237:22 240:9	70:23 109:1	244:9
133:16	59:10 94:4 153:13	fear 241:2	filings 224:12	fiscal 198:21
experienced 57:19	215:16,25 220:7	feasible 64:18	final 167:2	fit 139:16 222:25
132:12 133:21	230:22 242:13	feature 61:14	finalized 222:13	fits 78:16 189:4
134:15 137:14	factor 124:10,19	February 222:17	244:21	five 49:18 88:24
138:17 146:2,9,21	128:16 129:9	fee 90:16,19	finally 122:23,25	89:3,7 125:23
149:10 170:20	148:21,24 149:1,8	feedback 157:12	finance 235:24	150:13 165:15
171:10 172:10	225:18	161:15 169:1	financial 101:21	199:20 200:23
173:25 175:3	factors 160:7	171:1	102:2,5,15 157:17	201:22 213:6
experiencing 137:5	223:15	feeder 129:22 132:7	167:25 196:6,15	five-year 85:21
138:23 243:24	fail 117:19 121:10	132:11,14 134:14	196:18 197:13	86:2 137:8 186:14
expiration 222:14	121:17 137:16	134:15 135:17	203:18,20 204:23	216:4
expired 222:15,17	failed 94:22 118:15	146:5,10 148:9	financially 247:14	fix 132:24
explain 70:12	118:24	161:12 172:15,18	financials 108:14	flat 121:15
107:21 114:25	failure 114:12	172:18,19,22,23	find 50:1 62:21	flatfooted 137:18
117:9 154:15	117:7,8,10,12,20	172:24 173:3,3,4	69:15 105:21	flexible 149:12
176:12 187:1	117:23 118:5,15	173:17	114:4 173:7,11	flow 71:12 73:9
189:17	118:19,20 119:1,3	feeders 129:25	236:8	190:24 237:16,19
explained 157:6	119:6,6,10,11,15	130:8 133:3	finding 76:15	241:14
213:16	119:16,18 120:13	134:13,15,25	175:23 181:12	flowchart 235:11
explaining 46:11	120:14,15,16,19	135:5,9 138:18	fine 49:3 79:13	flows 238:11
explanation 161:9	120:20	142:25 143:1,14	98:15 99:19	focus 76:10 89:15
169:7 189:18,23	failures 117:9	144:17,18 145:5	105:11 111:2	137:8 141:6
190:2 214:11	121:16	145:11 146:1,15	229:25	focused 68:19 69:5
explore 176:13	faintly 98:13	feel 132:3 161:15	fine-tune 172:14	84:10 141:4
exploring 177:14	fair 58:19 85:10	221:11	fine-tuned 173:23	folks 63:15 160:6
explosion 186:25	fairly 91:3	felt 240:3	fine-tuning 172:21	240:23
extent 142:4 198:14	fall 224:10,12	festival 150:18	173:20 175:20	follow 63:12 87:8
240:10	237:24 241:14,16	ffitch 40:9 45:12,13	finish 150:16	108:17 135:14,16
	242:20			
		<u> </u>	1	ı

			Ī	1
144:6 178:5 205:5	118:2 132:22	fundamental 95:4	239:23,23	163:14,17 169:23
follow-up 110:9	172:2	funding 235:20,20	General's 39:22	171:6 173:22
131:12 167:21	foundation 46:5	funds 235:6,9	generally 64:7	178:20 195:5,14
180:2 208:3,25	177:4	241:10	191:20	198:3 199:4,18,21
223:14 240:21	four 46:21 47:9	further 42:6,9,12	generation 92:1	205:9 206:5,7
followed 52:2	48:11 52:9 85:22	42:15 78:4 86:1	geographical 64:19	207:18,22 209:5
following 65:11	132:20 227:23	95:11 131:11	geographically	213:14 216:15
132:5,16 185:14	four-year 88:25	159:2 176:7	64:15	218:8 220:8
198:2 223:19	fourth 221:18,25	177:20 179:1	getting 155:20	221:25 222:23
forces 125:1	framework 87:3	207:1 210:11	156:5 159:10,11	223:25 230:14
fore 215:6	Francis 134:14,19	229:24 230:17	175:13 216:2,5	231:6 233:14
forecast 138:3,10	134:23 145:25	232:4 243:5,9	241:15,19 242:10	241:12 243:18
forecasted 171:9	free 132:4	247:9,12,13	gist 155:11	245:13
foregoing 247:7	frequent 147:25	fuses 137:16	give 57:8 96:21	go-out-ofs 154:14
foremost 61:22	Friday 48:1,8 51:25	future 82:19 83:4,9	104:16 112:2	goal 137:25 198:15
forgetting 230:25	53:10 233:2	83:14,19 84:21	153:14 161:8	goals 155:22 177:9
form 86:22 101:22	243:25 245:8	85:5,9 86:19,22	162:2,8 163:4,4	goes 64:8 115:2
103:4 116:18	246:2	88:7,20 90:7 91:8	180:3 181:8	235:9,13
216:22 217:12	fringe 162:25	92:1 110:4 135:18	189:18 203:20	going 54:2 61:21
218:1,21 226:3,4	front 90:23 100:11	139:2 147:15	204:1 209:4 212:5	62:10 63:19,23
226:8	100:17 123:4	169:17 174:17,20	221:22 222:2	69:23 71:1 73:3
forma 70:10,11,12	132:17 162:1,18	174:22 175:6	229:9 233:5 241:5	77:14 78:5 79:24
70:13,17,19 71:21	183:8 193:25	217:1,13 225:4	241:6 242:7	81:21 82:17 84:23
71:24 72:4,6	234:14 236:6	226:14	245:15	85:16,18,25 87:16
73:17 226:12	241:23		given 108:24 145:9	89:1 90:13 95:2,7
227:11,15	frozen 229:5	G	147:16 155:12	96:1 98:3 103:17
formal 110:22	236:16	G 43:4	188:15 190:4	109:17 110:23
formalizing 48:14	fruition 201:25	gamble 109:8	193:1 220:8 221:1	115:3 120:4
formed 220:9,24	226:19	game 58:19	243:22	121:18 131:21
224:2 226:6 227:7	frustration 57:22	gas 43:17 162:13	gives 90:5 94:7	137:12,12 139:13
227:22	Fukano 39:12	162:15 163:2,13	giving 94:14 105:20	143:11 146:14,19
forming 184:17	44:13	163:22 165:1,3,9	glean 159:20	148:9,10 149:15
226:11 227:21	fulfill 154:11	166:7,23,25 167:1	go 47:6 52:25 58:25	150:5,9,11,12
forms 116:15,16	full 89:7 121:18	167:5,14 178:8,12	59:1,4 64:13 71:6	152:9,20 154:6,6
forth 240:7	216:23 240:10	179:20 186:24	73:11,25 74:22	154:12,13 155:4
fortuitous 94:17	247:10	gas-only 164:2,6,10	77:21 81:15 84:14	161:21 175:6,20
forward 75:1 78:5	fully 114:22 124:7	164:12 165:10	85:9 95:25 97:13	177:3 180:19
78:20,25 84:23	131:5 192:9	166:6 167:14	98:20 110:10,11	184:25 188:1
85:21,25 88:11	210:10 239:10	179:4,6	110:15 111:6	207:15 213:1,3,3
89:1 103:17 115:7	function 61:14	general 39:14 43:17	115:7 120:8,14,14	213:11 215:8,22
137:7 141:14	functional 117:8,10	44:11,14,19 61:12	121:16 123:17	216:1,15,18 220:6
146:4 147:24	117:12,20 118:5	86:23 104:22	126:15 131:18,24	220:15 221:11,20
148:10 149:15	functioning 71:12	114:2 122:11,17	132:4 138:19	222:12,24 223:25
164:11 213:1,3,11	fund 177:2 234:19	128:17 208:19	147:5 150:8 154:3	229:1,2 230:12
found 84:25 88:6	235:19 236:1	214:25 217:13,19	155:2,14 163:10	236:13 238:13
		224:17 227:8		
	-	-	•	•

19.21 19.21 19.22 19.23 19.2	241.5 244.10	176.1	110.11 14 177 10	144.20 146 12	L:44: 122.2
456,12,16 52:17 52:18 56:6,10,15 56:19,23 58:11 61:5 81:4,16,25 161:14,15 165:6 183:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 45:29 208:2 208:1 48:3 208:1 45:29 208:1 41:20 208:6 1:5 62:15 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:2 208:1 41:20 208:6 1:5 62:15 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:2 208:4 209:1 21 45:21 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:1 208:8 209:2 209:1 208:8 209:1 209:8 209:1 209:8 209:1 209:8 209:1 209:8 209:1 209:8 209:1 20	241:5 244:18	176:1	119:11,14 177:18	144:20 146:13	hitting 133:2
52:18 56:6,10,15 56:19,23 58:11 56:19,23 58:14 56:19,23 58:14 56:19,23 58:14 56:19,23 58:14 57:18 146:25 183:34 86:6 99:1 99:13 145:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 208:2 237:4 244:15 graphe 239:17 great 60:1 36:224 165:8,25 168:19 177:10 108:18:19 178:10 100:18:18 178:10 100:20 178:10 100:20 178:10 100:20 178:10 100:20 178:10 100:20 178:10 100:20 178:10 100	S				· -
56:19,23 58:11 61:5 81:4,16,25 81:42 48:66 99:1 99:13 145:25 161:14,15 165:6 1145:12 gurantee 217:1 guarantee 217:1 guarantee 217:1 guarantee 217:1 gurantee 18:3 232:2,10 233:1 232:2,10 233:1 233:1 233:1 233:1 233:1	, , ,	C	_ :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
61:5 81:4,16,25 83:24 86:6 99:1 99:13 145:25 161:14,15 165:6 183:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 232:2,10 233:3 234:6,8 237:4 244:15 gramular 148:14 grample 239:17 grapple 239:17 grapple 239:17 graft 60:13 67:24 98:19 100:20 144:5,24 150:20 169:3 21:2: 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 229:14 234:9 greater 117:25 grapple 130:6 greatest 91:10 graft 139:6 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 145:28 146:2 176:23 177:6 graid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 grayle 323:14 113:61 96:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 2176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 2176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 2176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 2176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 220:22 235:10,14 235:22 220:22 235:10,14 235:22 220:22 235:10,14 220:22 235:10,14 235:22 220:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:20		· ·	· ·		
83:24 86:6 99:1 99:13 145:25 161:14 15 165:6 183:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 208:2 208:31:2 208:3 8 208:6 1:5 62:15 232:2,10 233:3 234:6,8 237:4 244:15 244:15 250tten 52:21 251:18 218:1 59:19 221:1 59:19 110.8 221:1 41:20 221:1 46:24 240:1 222:4 8 227:1 1 222:4 8 227:1 1 222:4 224:9 224:8 227:1 1 222:4 224:9 227:2 224:8 227:1 2 227:2 227:2 227:2 227:2 228:2 227:1 2 227:2 228:2 227:2 228:2 228:2 238:1 4 248:1 42:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 153:2 149:15 205:22 233:17 208:2 14:20 229:1 238:16 229:1 238:10 229:1 238:16 229:1 238:10 22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,			
99:13 145:25 161:14,15 165:6 161:14,15 165:6 163:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:2,10 233:3 guess 61:5 62:15 232:2,10 233:3 guess 61:5 62:15 244:15 gotten 52:21 131:21 135:14 142:16 156:18 165:8,25 168:19 grapple 239:17 great 60:13 67:24 98:19 100:20 224:8 227:11 144:5,24 150:20 169:3 212:2 229:14 234:9 greater 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 greates 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:20 317:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 prid-interactive 174:5 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 pappen 76:21 85:4 hapfware 164:9,16 hardware 164:9,16 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 heads-up 245:7,15 helph 71:14 146:24 holistically 145:19 home 153:2 homogeneus 157:19,20 158:24 hary 39:12 44:13 helps 73:10 124:13 harry-fukano@u 154:11 156:14 harry 39:12 44:13 helps 73:10 14:24 help 71:14 14:24 holistically 145:19 home 153:2 helpd 136:10 helpd 136:10 helpd 136:10 helpd 136:18 help 73:14 14:21 histic 19:11 holi 22:322 homest 189:9 hone 157: 14,14 harry 39:12 44:13 harry-fukano@u 154:11 146:24 hards 33:1,	, , ,				
161:14,15 165:6 183:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 232:2,10 233:3 234:6,8 237:4 244:15 gotten 52:21 granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 98:19 100:20 144:5,24 150:20 29:14 234:9 greater 117:22 29:14 234:9 greater 117:20 238:16 greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 176:62 237:24 176			S		, ,
183:3,5 207:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:1 209:25 208:21 209:25 208:21 209:25 208:21 209:25 208:2 2		O			C
208:1 209:25 232:2,10 233:3 234:6,8 237:4 244:15 244:16 244:17 244:17 244:17 244:18 244:17 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:29 244:23 24h 244:18 244:18 244:18 244:19 244:18 244:19 244:18 244:19 244:18 244:19 244:19 244:19 244:18 244:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:13 245:19 244:1	,		,		
232:2,10 233:3 234:6,8 237:4 244:15 gotten 52:21 granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 great 60:13 67:24 98:19 100:20 144:5,24 150:20 169:3 212:2 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 171:20 234:20 greate 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 146:13 115:14 140:12 14:14:14:15 140:10 140:14:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C		O	· ·
234:6,8 237:4 244:15 gotten 52:21 granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 great 60:13 67:24 98:19 100:20 169:3 212:2 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 238:16 greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 178:23 182:36 grid-dege 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 178:23 182:36 grid-dege 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 178:32 194:13 155:19 198:14 255:22 176:22 177:22 176:22 177:22 176:22 177:22 176:23 177:6 165:23 182:36 205:22 233:17 handle 75:21 141:24 185:22 191:19 45:6,12,17 46:17 111:16 140:8,11 140:12,16,17,18 140:12,18,123 140:12,18,123 140:12,18,	208:1 209:25	C	Harry 39:12 44:13	help 71:14 146:24	home 135:2
244:15 gotten 52:21 granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 great 60:13 67:24 98:19 100:20 144:5,24 150:20 144:5,24 150:20 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 grapple 339:16 granular 148:14 guise 91:21 gus 94:14 220:22 235:16 grade 139:16 141:8 145:18 146:3 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 193:1,8,16 196:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:23 177:6 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 193:1,8,16 196:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:22 177:23 177:6 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:24 160:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:22 235:25 10,14 235:24 150:24 146:23 135:14 146:13 150:25 146:25 164:20 146:13 150:24 146:13 150:14 140:12 140:14 160:22 140:14 140:14 160:14 140:1	232:2,10 233:3	guess 61:5 62:15	harry.fukano@u	154:11 156:14	homogeneous
grate	234:6,8 237:4	75:3 81:15 91:9	39:17	157:19,20 158:24	64:22
granular 148:14 grapple 239:17 great 60:13 67:24 great 60:13 67:24 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:8 223:14 176:9 176:9 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:14 176:9 176:14 176:15 176:23 177:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 176:5 group 48:20 62:25 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 235:10,14 235:22 236:10,14 236:10 246:17 260:18 26:14 26:17 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14 26:14	244:15	92:15 97:15 110:8	heads-up 245:7,15	160:1 223:22	honest 189:9
grapple 239:17 165:8,25 168:19 165:8,25 168:19 165:8,25 168:19 165:8,25 168:19 176:8 223:14 111:16 140:8,11 142:18 157:12 45:6,12,17 46:17 98:19 100:20 224:8 227:11 140:12,16,17,18 148:522 191:19 45:6,12,17 46:17 47:18 48:3 50:22 53:14,25 54:7,15 helps 59:17 73:19 57:324 59:23 53:14,25 54:7,15 53:14,25 54:7,15 helps 59:17 73:19 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 57:324 59:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 49:3 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 48:3 50:22 47:18 49:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:23 60:10 81:15 96:14 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24 47:18 49:24	gotten 52:21	131:21 135:14	hear 51:18 53:5,16	helped 136:10	
great 60:13 67:24 176:8 223:14 111:16 140:8,11 185:22 191:19 47:18 48:3 50:22 98:19 100:20 224:8 227:11 140:12,16,17,18 185:22 191:19 47:18 48:3 50:22 169:3 212:2 240:1 206:6, 6 229:11,13 150:19 183:11,16 belps 59:17 73:19 57:3,24 59:23 greater 117:22 gussing 68:14 229:20 233:6 173:14 209:18 60:10 81:15 96:14 greater 117:22 gusy 94:14 220:22 241:1 243:10 heerto 247:14 Hey 94:14 128:1 131:14,25 greatest 91:10 H half 96:8 213:8 214:15,23 203:8 205:17 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:10 166:16 177:22 174:14 175:2 p4:15 97:24 165:23 182:3,6 205:22 233:17 48:14,23 51:2,5 16gh-level 155:22 169:19:31:14 160:14 143:8 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 166:16 177:22 176:11 142:22 225:5 169:11 174:6 169:21 177:15 160:10 142:2 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:19:31:14 169:22 169:19:31:14 <t< td=""><td>granular 148:14</td><td>142:16 156:18</td><td>55:12,17 60:10</td><td>helpful 63:18 69:1</td><td>Honor 44:6,17 45:1</td></t<>	granular 148:14	142:16 156:18	55:12,17 60:10	helpful 63:18 69:1	Honor 44:6,17 45:1
98:19 100:20 144:5,24 150:20 169:3 212:2 229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 171:20 234:20 238:16 graiter 191:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 158:10 196:10 140:12,16,17,18 150:19 183:11,16 2244:23 150:19 183:11,16 229:20 233:6 129:20 233:6 229:10 230:3 150:14 124:24 173:14 209:18 173:14 224 173:14 224 173:14 242 173:19 124:24 173:14 224 173:14 224 173:14 224:25 173:14 224:25 173:14 224:25 173:14 224:25 173:14 224:24 173:14 224:24 173:14 224:24 173:14 224:24 173:14 223:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 209:18 173:14 224 173:14 224:24 173:14 224:24 173:14 224:24 173:14 223:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 224 173:14 223:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:19 124:24 173:14 224 173:14 24:24 173:14 223:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:19 124:24 173:14 224 173:14 224 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:19 124:24 173:14 224 173:14 224 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:14 223:13 173:14 223 173:14 233:13 173:14 209:18 173:14 223 173:14 23:10 173:14 223 173:14 233:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:13 173:14 203:	grapple 239:17	165:8,25 168:19	62:12 80:18 98:14	142:18 157:12	45:6,12,17 46:17
144:5,24 150:20	great 60:13 67:24	176:8 223:14	111:16 140:8,11	185:22 191:19	47:18 48:3 50:22
169:3 212:2 229:14 234:9 guessing 68:14 229:20 233:6 173:14 209:18 96:17 98:5,17 109:3 115:9,10 128:1 131:14,25 166:16 177:22 241:1 243:10 128:1 131:14,25 166:16 177:22 241:1 243:10 128:1 131:14,25 166:16 177:22 142:24 149:5 158:11 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:23 149:5 158:7 149:5 158:11 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:23 149:5 158:14 149:5 158:11 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:10 149:23 149:5 158:14 149:5 158:11 149:10 149	98:19 100:20	224:8 227:11	140:12,16,17,18	244:23	53:14,25 54:7,15
229:14 234:9 greater 117:22 171:20 234:20 238:16 greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 198:18 194:20 178:3,21 94:13 198:18 194:20 178:3,21 94:13 198:18 194:20 178:3,21 94:13 198:18,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 249:20 233:6 229:20 233:6 236:15,20,21,22 241:1 243:10 heard 53:4 72:18 hereto 247:14 Hey 94:14 high 40:10 132:13 135:19 136:1 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:15 242:5,21 149:15 97:24 140:24 140:25 143:15,21,23 48:13 149:15 180:25 146:13 173:14 209:18 hereto 247:14 Hey 94:14 high 40:10 132:13 135:19 136:1 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 140:2 242:5,21 140:4 209:18 hereto 247:14 Hey 94:14 high 40:10 132:13 135:19 136:1 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 140:2 242:5,21 140:4 209:18 hereto 247:14 Hey 94:14 high 40:10 132:13 135:19 136:1 149:5 158:11	144:5,24 150:20	230:8 239:16	150:19 183:11,16	helps 59:17 73:19	57:3,24 59:23
greater 117:22 guise 91:21 guise 91:22 guise 9	169:3 212:2	240:1	206:6,6 229:11,13	73:19 124:24	60:10 81:15 96:14
T171:20 234:20	229:14 234:9	guessing 68:14	229:20 233:6	173:14 209:18	96:17 98:5,17
238:16 greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 Ample of 33:4 72:18 high 40:10 132:13 166:16 177:22 181:5 190:3 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 219:8 hope 23:6 hoped 223:6 hoped 223:6 hoped 223:6 hopefully 59:17 76:11 142:2 225:5 hopes 123:1 hot 129:10 hour 54:3 96:7 101:14 102:22 103:3 13:10,11 147:23 175:1 hot 129:10 hour 54:3 96:7 101:14 102:22 103:3 13:6 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 hopes 42:24 hopes 42:25 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 house 148:23 house 148:23 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 house 148:23 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hopes 123:1 hopes 123:1 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 house 148:23 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 hour-long 68:25 hour-long	greater 117:22	guise 91:21	236:15,20,21,22	hereto 247:14	109:3 115:9,10
greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 H 135:19 136:1 149:5 158:11 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 245:21 246:3,4 hope 97:11 174:6 199:8 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:1 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:1 149:6 15	171:20 234:20	guys 94:14 220:22	241:1 243:10	Hey 94:14	128:1 131:14,25
greatest 91:10 grid 139:16 141:8 H 182:18 189:23 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:11 149:5 158:1 149:6 148:25 149:6 149:5 158:11	238:16	227:2	heard 53:4 72:18	high 40:10 132:13	166:16 177:22
Indit 139.10 141.8 Indif 96:8 213:8 203:8 205:17 241:25 242:5,21 hope 97:11 174:6 142:5 145:10,13 145:18 146:3 214:15,23 229:10 230:3 241:25 242:5,21 hope 97:11 174:6 152:24 159:9 174:14 175:2 176:23 177:6 294:15 97:24 165:23 182:3,6 245:17,19 158:2 160:4 209:9 hope 223:6 174:5 174:5 174:5 205:22 233:17 48:14,23 51:2,5 241:18 242:7,10 hope 123:1 174:5 177:11,17,25 78:1 178:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 176:20 177:	greatest 91:10		72:20 143:23	135:19 136:1	181:5 190:3
214:15,23	grid 139:16 141:8		182:18 189:23	149:5 158:11	245:21 246:3,4
152:24 159:9 hand 55:24 80:22 94:15 97:24 245:17,19 158:2 160:4 209:9 hoped 223:6 hopefully 59:17 hopefully 59:17 76:11 142:2 225:5 hopefully 59:17 76:11	142:5 145:10,13		203:8 205:17	241:25 242:5,21	hope 97:11 174:6
174:14 175:2 94:15 97:24 hearing 38:11 higher 108:15 hopefully 59:17 176:23 177:6 205:22 233:17 48:14,23 51:2,5 146:25 164:7 hopes 123:1 grid-interactive 174:5 hands 56:2 80:25 52:21 53:8,10,11 highly 67:2,5,10 hour 54:3 96:7 98:2 169:8 194:20 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 103:9,11 104:24 78:3,21 94:13 85:10 89:6 105:4 160:21 180:21 historical 211:5 hour 54:3 96:7 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour 54:4 94:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 222:12,24 happened 73:15 146:13 history 138:7 hours 54:4 94:24 146:13 146:13 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25	145:18 146:3	*	229:10 230:3	high-level 155:22	219:8
176:23 177:6 grid-edge 177:1 grid-interactive 174:5 group 48:20 62:25 77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 165:23 182:3,6 205:22 233:17 handle 75:21 141:24 hands 56:2 80:25 98:2 169:8 194:20 happen 76:21 85:4 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 165:23 182:3,6 205:22 233:17 handle 75:21 141:24 54:14,23 51:2,5 52:21 53:8,10,11 54:22 57:21 58:2 106:14 143:18 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 160:21 180:21 147:23 175:1 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 happened 73:15 146:13 120:15 133:20,20 146:25 164:7 241:18 242:7,10 hour 54:3 96:7 101:14 102:22 historic 133:10,11 147:23 175:1 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 hours 54:4 94:24 94:25 hours-long 68:25 hours-long 68:25 house 148:23	152:24 159:9		245:17,19	158:2 160:4 209:9	hoped 223:6
176:23 177:6 165:23 182:3,6 43:15,21,23 48:13 120:15 133:20,20 76:11 142:2 225:5 grid-edge 177:1 48:14,23 51:2,5 146:25 164:7 hopes 123:1 prid-interactive 174:5 52:21 53:8,10,11 241:18 242:7,10 hot 129:10 proup 48:20 62:25 165:23 80:25 166:14 143:18 69:21 177:15 101:14 102:22 178:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 155:16 157:19 160:21 180:21 147:23 175:1 103:9,11 104:24 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 189:17 211:21 135:3 138:6 142:10 149:10 hours 54:4 94:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 146:13 130:2 132:5 142:10 149:10 hours-long 68:25 146:13 130:2 132:5 130:2 132:5 hours-long 68:25	174:14 175:2	94:15 97:24	hearing 38:11	higher 108:15	hopefully 59:17
grid-edge 177:1 205:22 233:17 48:14,23 51:2,5 146:25 164:7 hopes 123:1 grid-interactive 174:5 52:21 53:8,10,11 241:18 242:7,10 hot 129:10 group 48:20 62:25 hands 56:2 80:25 106:14 143:18 69:21 177:15 101:14 102:22 77:11,17,25 78:1 158:9,11 172:22 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 103:9,11 104:24 158:9,11 172:22 85:10 89:6 105:4 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 241:18 242:7,10 hour 54:3 96:7 106:14 143:18 69:21 177:15 101:14 102:22 103:9,11 104:24 155:16 157:19 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historically 134:11 hours 54:4 94:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 happened 73:15 heat 73:1,15 94:10 142:10 149:10 hours-long 68:25 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 house 148:23		165:23 182:3,6	C	O	
grid-interactive handle 75:21 52:21 53:8,10,11 241:18 242:7,10 hot 129:10 174:5 141:24 54:22 57:21 58:2 highly 67:2,5,10 hour 54:3 96:7 27:11,17,25 78:1 98:2 169:8 194:20 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 103:9,11 104:24 158:9,11 172:22 85:10 89:6 105:4 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 245:5 historically 134:11 hours 54:3 96:7 103:9,11 104:24 103:9,11 104:24 103:9,11 104:24 103:9,11 104:24 103:9,11 104:24 158:9,11 172:22 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 245:5 historically 134:11 hours 54:4 94:24 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25					
174:5 141:24 54:22 57:21 58:2 highly 67:2,5,10 hour 54:3 96:7 group 48:20 62:25 141:24 54:22 57:21 58:2 highly 67:2,5,10 hour 54:3 96:7 77:11,17,25 78:1 98:2 169:8 194:20 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 103:9,11 104:24 78:3,21 94:13 85:10 89:6 105:4 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historically 134:11 hours 54:3 96:7 108:4,13 150:25 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 108:4,13 150:25 hours 54:3 96:7 hour-long 68:24 109:21 177:15 101:14 102:22 109:21 177:15 historical 211:5 hours 54:3 96:7 109:21 177:15 108:4,13 150:25 109:21 177:15 hour-long 68:24 120:22 233:7 135:3 138:6 142:10 149:10 140:13 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25	C C	handle 75:21	, , ,		_
group 48:20 62:25 hands 56:2 80:25 106:14 143:18 69:21 177:15 101:14 102:22 77:11,17,25 78:1 98:2 169:8 194:20 155:16 157:19 historic 133:10,11 103:9,11 104:24 78:3,21 94:13 85:10 89:6 105:4 160:21 180:21 147:23 175:1 108:4,13 150:25 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 245:5 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 220:22 235:10,14 136:3 187:11 245:5 135:3 138:6 94:25 146:13 130:2 132:5 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25	C		, ,		
77:11,17,25 78:1 78:3,21 94:13 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 98:2 169:8 194:20 happen 76:21 85:4 160:21 180:21 189:17 211:21 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:2 169:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:24 198:4,13 150:25 199:8 194:25 199:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:8 2 169:8 194:24 199:18 194:24 199:		hands 56:2 80:25		0 0	
78:3,21 94:13 happen 76:21 85:4 160:21 180:21 147:23 175:1 108:4,13 150:25 158:9,11 172:22 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 245:5 135:3 138:6 94:25 202:22 235:10,14 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:25	_	98:2 169:8 194:20			
158:9,11 172:22 85:10 89:6 105:4 189:17 211:21 historical 211:5 hour-long 68:24 176:20 177:13 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historically 134:11 hours 54:4 94:24 193:1,8,16 196:22 222:12,24 245:5 135:3 138:6 94:25 202:22 235:10,14 happened 73:15 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours-long 68:24	' '	happen 76:21 85:4		,	*
176:20 177:13 193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22 136:3 187:11 220:22 233:7 historically 134:11 245:5 heat 73:1,15 94:10 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 hours 54:4 94:24 94:25 hours-long 68:25 house 148:23	·	85:10 89:6 105:4			*
193:1,8,16 196:22 202:22 235:10,14 235:22	,	136:3 187:11			8
202:22 235:10,14 happened 73:15 heat 73:1,15 94:10 142:10 149:10 hours-long 68:25 136:22 history 138:7 house 148:23		222:12,24			
235:22 146:13 130:2 132:5 history 138:7 house 148:23	' '	happened 73:15			
130.2 132.3 Install 130.7 Install 130.2	,		,		C
				•	
172:17 173:16 220:18 221:9 139:14 141:1 hit 216:15 Howell 52:12	_			,	
happens 62:1 119:6 happens 62:1 119:6	1,2.1, 1,3.10	happens 62:1 119:6	107.11 111.1	210.10	113 (
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

215:23 244:2	Illustration 215:11	119:12,15 143:16	INDEX 42:1	161:24 164:19
HR/LL-3X 100:15	image 101:10	145:15 153:11	indicate 125:11,25	220:7 236:5
HR/LL-4X 105:13	imagine 175:22	154:17 155:5	126:19	initially 54:5 214:9
HR/LL-5X 103:20	immeasurables	165:9 174:3 186:5	indicated 52:10	injuries 186:8,12
huge 149:16 174:21	228:10	209:12 217:7	180:21	188:20 190:9,14
hundred 241:21	imminent 146:25	224:21 226:13	indicates 126:20	191:15
hurt 242:14	impact 66:25 135:1	231:2	indicating 130:24	injury 187:14
hurts 237:23	141:13,25 147:12	included 49:25	indication 222:20	188:22 189:5
hybrid 149:12	148:8 189:11	71:14 73:3,12	indicators 68:5	191:16
	220:6 221:6	82:5,12 105:6,10	124:16	Inland 40:20 45:5,7
I	238:13 239:19	107:19 119:1,3	indirect 204:23	51:17 56:18 80:2
ice 187:19 188:3,9	240:17	148:17 152:19	individual 144:22	81:7,18 84:4
189:4	impacted 65:24	153:8 156:3	155:23 160:23	inner 73:7
IDD 237:8	67:2,5,10 69:21	169:16 188:4	168:7	innovation 177:1
idea 96:21 160:6	132:9,11 141:10	197:22 198:16	individuals 63:24	innovations 176:23
identification	145:3 171:6 172:8	209:8,14 210:22	192:15,18 193:8	inputs 76:19
127:21	177:15 238:14	212:24 213:24	202:3,6	inquiry 178:6
identified 45:25	impacts 48:21	217:11 226:5	industrial 82:6	inspection 117:14
47:4 51:9 58:23	147:16 157:2	227:25 228:4	87:23 88:8	118:2
77:12 78:2,2	221:5 237:7 238:8	includes 67:2 72:9	industry 112:23	install 164:5,8,9,10
82:11 96:6 141:15	238:9 239:3,12,13	144:8 145:10	128:22 129:3	179:5,6,13
147:7 165:19	Implementation	147:12 153:6	147:22	installation 127:17
168:24 210:8	68:6	169:10,11	information 49:25	installed 162:13,25
213:9	import 95:8	including 45:22	52:22 58:5,18	163:13 166:24
identify 59:15 60:3	importance 228:15	87:6 119:5,9	59:10 68:1 73:12	167:3,18 168:8,12
60:17 64:4 77:15	important 65:16	121:15,18 132:18	75:22,24 76:1	178:13
78:14,22 112:14	66:6 83:3,16	133:6 146:14	85:3 108:17 109:9	instance 155:13
127:15,23 145:23	86:13 140:14,15	193:14 227:13	110:3 134:24	instances 187:19
146:24 172:17	175:4 216:2,3,11	247:10	135:15 142:18,20	Institute 116:4
173:15,15	231:5	income 77:8	143:9 145:13	insulation 179:10
identifying 59:13	importantly 216:12	incorporate 206:20	148:14 149:18	179:18
78:10 117:13	impression 178:8	incorporated	157:13 163:5	insurance 183:19
147:21,23 177:8	inaudible 165:13	168:13	164:1,14 169:16	184:4,6,9 185:19
identity 113:18	219:15	increase 138:22	171:3 173:23	186:2,4 205:24,25
IEP 66:14 67:22	incentive 93:19,22	184:20 218:16	174:14,15 178:19	206:21,25 219:4,5
82:7 83:13,21	94:2 191:21,23,24	221:20	193:15 195:20,20	219:11,13 220:1,3
86:12,14,24 87:3	192:2,4,9,13,14	increased 92:13	infrastructure	220:11,12 221:3,4
87:16,21 88:4	192:24 193:7,13	138:16 184:9	106:11 144:10,11	221:6,10,12 228:8
89:20 90:10,12,16	193:18,20 196:21	221:17	144:12,23 145:10	insurers 219:7
90:25 92:17,22	197:1,4,8,12	increases 149:23	152:21,22 153:5,8	insuring 228:25
93:6,9,16,19,21	199:1 201:2,8	222:3,7	156:22 157:4	integrated 82:3,12
93:22 94:12	202:7,17 204:11	incredible 174:9,16	160:5,12 161:9	85:6 103:20
IEP's 83:6 86:9	include 62:22,23	incremental 70:9	164:4,5 175:10	108:11
90:21 91:10 93:25	66:23,24 67:4	70:21 87:20 90:18	209:10	integration 156:1
III 38:11	73:12 88:2 90:17	incur 92:9	initial 102:6 144:8	intended 45:24
illustrate 196:5				
	1	ı	ı	1

46:22,24 47:21	177:8	JDLL8X 121:25	53:17,21 54:6,10	152:1
52:7 54:20 67:9	ironically 160:5	JDLL9X 121:23 JDLL9X 122:24	54:17 55:14 56:1	jump 134:10
	irony 94:11	130:18		182:18
intending 52:13	IRP 81:22 82:12,15	Jeff 39:11 44:12	56:8,12,17,21	
intends 54:8	,		57:1,7,15 58:4,12	jumps 135:7
intent 109:25	83:1,2 84:11,13	jeff.roberson@ut	59:1,19 60:2,12	June 117:25
interact 169:3	87:22 88:2,15	39:16	60:15,21,25 61:2	justify 113:24
interconnected	90:4 103:12 104:3	Jennifer 39:11	62:11 77:1,4	K
123:10 125:12	IRPs 82:19	44:10	79:17,18 80:8,13	K-a-u-f-m-a-n
126:20,25 131:6	ISIT 228:9	jennifer.cameron	80:18,19,24 81:7	56:20
interconnection	Island 40:11	39:16	81:10 95:13,18,24	Kalich 73:7
131:1	issue 48:13 58:8	Jesse 59:25 60:19	96:23 97:4,17,21	Kaufman 56:19,20
interest 75:5 78:15	60:4 68:13 74:13	Jessica 41:4 45:17	98:1,14,20 99:10	67:22 74:23
93:6 175:14,23	132:24 138:25	57:4,25	106:13 109:13,24	
interested 76:15	145:11 146:5,8,21	jessica.yarnall@s	110:6,10 111:6	keep 51:10 95:1 142:11 150:5
84:4 94:14 171:17	218:13,14 226:1	41:6	112:1,5 114:19	161:21 219:4
247:14	227:5 239:14	Joe 39:13 44:13	119:22,22 125:16	
interesting 175:7	issues 50:2 57:18	joe.dallas@utc.w	125:22 126:8,15	220:18 230:12,24
interestingly 94:20	57:19,23 132:12	39:18	128:3,10 131:10	keeping 169:24 204:14 238:1
interference 181:15	132:25 134:16	join 43:13 55:6	131:15 139:23	
181:17	141:23 145:1,2	66:8,13 150:23	140:5,12,16,19	241:11 Vargaly 52:11
interim 240:2	146:10 173:15	joins 55:10	143:7,11,23 144:3	Kensok 52:11
internal 75:14	237:19 243:23	joint 58:14 59:11	150:1,7,17,24	244:3 V 80:2 81:0
176:20	244:20	75:5 81:16,17	152:5 165:6 166:2	Kevin 80:3 81:9
interrupt 161:22	item 107:8 118:10	244:20	166:13 167:13	key 84:9 87:2 141:5
177:25 178:15	187:12,23 188:25	Jordan 45:24 46:3	169:22 170:1,5	kilowatt 82:13
interval 127:24	189:3 210:12	47:21 54:3 245:13	176:7 178:2,14	101:14 102:22
introduce 56:4 81:2	items 87:5 170:9	Joseph 244:2	180:16 181:7,12	103:9,10 104:24
98:4 181:3	Itron 164:9 175:22	Josh 99:4 141:17	181:22 182:5,16	kind 62:25 63:21
introduces 64:19	J	157:21	182:22 183:10,17	77:15 94:7 138:3
introducing 64:18		JOSHUA 42:10	189:13 194:15,18	161:1 175:5,11
inventory 95:1	J 38:13 39:4,13	JPLLL9X 125:17	195:4,8 203:4	208:18 217:14
investment 155:17	James 244:3	JRT-10 47:12	205:4,12,21 206:5	219:10 225:18
155:18 156:6,9	January 185:7,9	JRT-12T 47:11	207:9,14,23 229:7	228:4 231:14
160:7 176:13	231:3	JRT-13C 47:13	229:7,19 230:1,7	kinds 63:25 64:1
209:7 234:18	Jason 56:10 62:6	JRT-1T 47:5	230:14 232:3,11	69:3 137:22 141:6
investments 111:9	67:6,15 68:8,15	JRT-31X 47:4	232:20 233:8,14	170:23 175:5
139:19 160:8	Jason's 65:11	JRT-32X 47:10	233:19 234:3	221:4
209:15,16 234:21	JAY 39:8	JRT-33X 47:11	236:17,21 243:6,7	KING 247:4
invite 99:11	JD/LL-3X 111:24	JRT-34CX 47:12	243:16,21 244:5	kinks 94:8
invoice 185:6,9	JDD-1T 161:25	judge 38:13 39:3	244:15,22,25	knew 88:20 223:5
invoices 184:22	164:20	43:6,10 44:8,15	245:4,22 246:1	know 58:13 61:23
185:1,1 186:5	JDD-2R 169:10	44:22 45:4,9,14	July 38:22 43:1,7	64:15 65:11,12,17
206:21 220:20	170:1	45:19 46:19 47:19	48:2,9,24 49:18	65:20 68:9 69:13
involve 74:5 76:9	JDLL10X 127:9	48:5 49:4 50:8,20	50:5,6,11,14	74:16 77:23 78:11
involved 176:22,23	JDLL4X 115:11	50:24 52:15,19,25	143:25 144:4	79:9 83:18 84:5,7
	JDLL6X 116:24			84:8,17,18,19,20
	•	•	•	

	1			
84:21,22,24,25	L 38:23 247:6,18	76:16	120:8 126:8	186:12 191:12
85:3,4,5,10 87:5	L-a-n-c-e 56:20	Landing 174:8	140:15 150:24	192:24 195:19
89:2,6,13,18,20	La 42:7 51:23 52:6	language 67:9,16	152:5 195:12	197:7,25 200:16
89:22,24 90:22,23	96:2,13,20,20	69:23	200:25 201:21,23	200:17 201:5
90:25 91:1,3,5,5,7	97:8,8,23 98:25	large 64:10 82:7	225:9,15,15,17	206:13,24 234:17
91:9,9,14,19,22	99:1,2,16 100:4,8	88:8 128:22 129:4	229:9,19 233:14	234:25 236:13
91:23 93:20,22	100:12,23 101:5,9	136:22 187:21	letter 46:10 50:3	lines 132:7,11,14
94:2,2,4,5,6,16,17	101:16,23 102:4	largely 162:11	letting 171:24	135:17 157:14
94:21 99:21 105:4	102:16,20,25	larger 63:5	level 76:21 78:15	187:4 191:5
105:4,8,9 110:21	103:5,13,22,25	Larry 42:7 99:1	144:22 154:25	234:23
113:23 114:1	104:4,9,16,19	168:17	156:4,19,19	lingering 178:7
115:3 117:21	105:2,8,14,17,22	lastly 179:20	184:11,16,17	list 47:4 118:9
143:7 148:10	106:3,12,18 107:3	lasts 119:17	197:2 209:19	126:12 132:17
149:11 152:17	107:6,10,12,18,23	law 38:13 39:3	210:11,13 213:22	133:5 143:16
155:10,16 157:16	108:8,9,19 109:16	43:10 45:1 224:20	215:13,24 216:25	194:23 195:22
159:1,6,9 161:19	109:19 110:5,11	lawsuits 221:8	218:17 219:10	244:2
165:12 167:14,17	110:16,17,20	lawyer 108:20	225:10,12 231:8	listed 107:23
168:24,25 171:5,7	111:2,5,12,16,22	lay 46:4 197:20	231:25 234:18	197:11 200:5,7,18
171:24,25 172:7	111:25 112:11,22	layers 220:16	237:25	200:20,24 202:3
171.24,23 172.7	113:3,10,13,19	lead 237:18	levelized 107:25	lists 127:4
178:9 188:8	113.3,10,13,19	leaders 147:22	levels 130:25	little 54:4,4 94:11
	, , ,		158:11 241:18	95:25 139:10
196:18 200:22	115:9,12,15,17,22	leads 219:10,10		
201:21 202:25	115:25 116:6,11	learn 61:22,25	leverage 164:4	145:13 160:1
209:23 210:14,25	116:14,25 117:5	74:17 149:16	Lewiston 134:14	161:8 173:1
211:2,9,16 213:6	117:12 118:13,17	174:21	liability 184:5,9	181:16 200:13
215:22 218:4	119:2,14,21 120:6	learned 136:9	206:13	219:21 224:13
219:9 220:14,16	120:17,25 121:9	137:20 176:4	life 116:5,21 117:18	232:25
220:17 221:2,2	122:1,10,14	learning 63:18	169:13 199:6	Liz 157:18
222:5,8,9,11,24	126:23 127:10,19	leave 54:13 178:7	life-cycle 102:9,11	load 65:19 66:2
223:22 224:1,8,11	127:22 128:19,20	187:24 201:24	111:14,20 116:19	124:14,22 129:21
225:10,16 227:2,5	128:23 129:6,11	225:23	170:12	130:1,9 132:13
227:18,22 228:17	130:17,20,22	led 132:7	lifetime 169:17	135:19 137:5
229:6 235:17	131:2,7,23 132:3	left 104:11 107:7	lightning 118:11,25	138:18,23 148:16
238:10,17 240:22	150:4 152:10	133:1 163:14,17	119:9,12 120:12	149:14,20
240:23 241:2,5	159:25 160:19	179:12	120:12	loaded 130:10
242:16,19 245:10	166:16,22 167:10	left-hand 198:5	likelihood 117:23	133:23 134:2,3
knowing 222:25	180:17 208:5	length 88:14,23	240:25 241:3,4,7	172:19
knowledge 138:8	labeled 107:8,10	89:16 90:3	242:21	loading 122:13
156:1	125:13 126:21	lesser 237:22	limit 114:16	124:5,10 128:16
known 48:21,22	labor 93:20 222:18	lessons 176:4	limitations 64:19	129:8 133:7,22
72:15 113:25	223:23 224:1,2	let's 43:6 44:3 51:2	limited 55:16 141:2	134:5,6,18,19
142:12 221:19	228:8	55:18,19 56:3	141:2	135:8 142:21
222:24 228:9,12	lack 118:2	57:8,10 80:8,14	line 46:14,14 91:19	143:13 146:16,20
228:23	laid 155:21	81:1 97:17 105:12	162:10,10 164:21	loads 122:7 124:24
	Lance 56:20 75:7	109:13 115:7	164:21 178:6	138:6 142:4

		1		I
Loarie 41:4 45:16	215:16,17 225:3	152:25 153:1	177:24 190:18	member 67:19
45:17 47:7,15,18	looks 156:15 229:5	211:11,14,17,23	193:8 196:22	memorialize 49:7
54:7 57:3,4,24,25	229:6,24	212:18,22,23	204:7 205:23	59:4
59:21,23 60:2	lose 90:13 140:3	213:23 214:4,6,20	216:22 219:5	memorialized 62:8
located 145:4	lost 139:22,25	214:22 215:22	237:20 242:3	mentioned 47:20
location 118:21	181:24 236:18	216:3,19 218:17	Meaning 120:14	49:10 52:19 53:8
long 85:12 88:4	lot 61:24 62:1	manager 99:2	means 63:14	78:20 128:17
150:10	68:25 69:2 74:13	156:8 182:12	116:18 117:10,13	147:4 148:7
long-term 140:25	74:17 75:23 76:18	manages 153:20	180:9,22 202:22	157:21 170:10,13
141:10 148:1	106:14 141:21	154:9	241:13	174:1 187:11
191:24 192:9	142:1 168:5	mandates 176:14	meant 179:10,21	188:4,8 189:10
longer 74:18	189:17 217:23	manner 78:7	196:1 209:11	197:4 209:21
117:16 118:6	231:18	manually 164:24	measurable 221:19	245:11
132:25 139:4	lots 96:15 196:18	166:9	222:25 228:13,23	merits 161:4
179:9 195:11	loud 98:13	map 147:1 157:1	measure 66:25	meter 165:21
238:16,18 239:22	love 221:22	March 185:7,13,20	133:14 204:4	166:11,23,25
240:11	low 77:8 91:1	222:21 223:23	measures 66:25	167:1,5,15 168:8
longer-term 137:4	low-income 66:3,25	marginalized 69:16	68:2,4,18,20 69:3	168:10 175:10
look 59:15 78:6	69:17,20 77:9	69:18	110:23 204:1,17	180:7
88:19 104:11	78:18	Mark 232:22 233:4	mechanism 73:10	metering 106:11
126:4 138:11	lower 101:22 103:4	233:22	86:24 91:11	162:13 163:22
146:19 147:15,17	121:10 204:14	marked 58:16 59:9	216:23 218:1,9,20	175:22,25 176:24
148:9 159:8 161:4	220:19,24,25	59:11	226:18,19,21	meters 162:23,24
170:24 172:1	241:11	market 73:2 84:20	227:7 230:23	163:2 164:12,24
188:22 196:3	lowered 186:3	92:18	231:11	166:9 167:18
212:9 215:19,20	202:21 221:21	market-driven	mechanisms	168:12 178:12
225:20 227:18,20	lunch 96:9 131:20	93:11	217:24 230:20,21	179:4,6,9,13,21
228:7 236:12	150:5,8,16,25	matches 116:9,12	median 202:22	237:9
238:12 242:9	152:7	material 179:18	meet 90:2 93:10	method 191:8
looked 146:23	luncheon 151:6	183:25 187:13	155:1 198:20	196:3
159:5 200:6		190:21 218:19,19	207:1	methodology 74:15
looking 69:13	M	materially 167:17	meeting 104:3	186:20 187:6
71:17 76:5,9	M 39:13	177:7 189:8	117:24,25 135:23	188:20 190:8
88:11 94:21 97:15	M-u-l-l-i-n-s 56:16	231:13	136:18 142:11	metric 203:13,21
104:23 108:19,20	machinery 94:22	materials 109:6	194:1	237:19 239:12
113:7 124:13	main 193:9 215:4	233:5	meetings 68:25,25	241:14,16
125:17 126:1,18	maintenance 213:4	math 76:19 241:25	69:2,6	metrics 203:18,18
146:22 147:15,16	major 156:2 187:19	242:25	meets 117:17	203:25 204:18,23
147:23,23 148:19	making 71:1	matter 43:12	megawatt 108:4,13	237:7,16,24,24
165:12 171:21	114:14 150:19	matters 53:7	megawatt-hour	238:24,24 240:11
173:21 175:15	173:21 175:15,24	maximize 175:16	107:15	240:13,18,24
176:12,15 179:20	206:2	mean 63:17 70:14	megawatts 82:14	241:11 242:20
184:3,13,15	manage 225:17	71:5 121:11	88:2	244:20
194:24 198:18	managed 220:23	155:13 161:2	melded 101:17	Meyer 40:4 42:5,6
201:6 212:13	management 116:4	166:20 175:14	melt 137:16	42:8,9,11,12,14
	116:13 145:20,22			
	I	<u> </u>	I	ı

42.15 44.67.50.7	225.16		56.15 16 67:01	100.11 227.15
42:15 44:6,7 50:7	235:16	moment 43:13	56:15,16 67:21	190:11 237:15
50:12,18,22 52:4	mind 64:2 106:19	50:18 57:8 58:11	multiple 68:24	necessity 61:13
52:18,24 58:10,10	108:10 148:7	62:12,13 105:20	132:9 155:11	62:2
59:2,7,20 96:11	213:5 216:10,17	106:14 108:10	167:25 176:21	need 52:22 53:2,10
96:14 97:19 98:3	mindful 119:25	112:2 118:4 126:8	multiyear 215:17	54:25 57:6 58:9
98:5,12,24 99:7	Mine 125:19	143:24 162:2	224:21 225:1,3,11	64:23 75:25 82:3
108:21 109:3,12	minimize 136:11	163:4 181:6	227:2	82:4 87:19 88:22
114:17,20 121:2,3	141:25 164:15	189:14 194:16	mute 55:3,4 71:15	95:3 97:8 99:18
121:6 128:4,6,14	172:7,13,23	203:8 229:9 233:5	106:16 156:16	119:24 122:6
131:8,13 140:10	minimum 76:8,12	236:19	muted 51:10 60:5	124:25 136:19,20
140:10,14 143:20	minor 156:2	momentarily 83:5	62:12	138:11,16 145:12
143:24 177:22	minus 201:19	Monday 49:18 94:9		154:12,13 159:15
178:2,5,14,21	minute 214:11	94:19 133:17	N	160:7 162:7,24
179:2 180:25	minutes 150:13	172:11,12	N 39:1 40:1,1,1	167:2 175:18
181:3,5,11,20	mirror 87:4	money 158:13	41:1,1,1 43:4	181:10 182:18
182:7,8,14,17	misheard 206:12	160:24	name 43:9 44:18	203:8 204:22
203:5,11 205:2,21	misleading 200:13	monitoring 66:24	56:5,7,11,16,24	210:11,13 216:4,6
207:10,13 230:10	missed 219:17	67:3 68:3 147:2	57:13,13 60:18,19	223:2 228:17
230:12,15,18	mistaken 47:7	month 50:16	60:20 81:3,5 98:7	230:11 234:19
232:2,11,18 233:3	mitigate 135:18	170:17 171:5,9	99:4 110:13	237:6,18,21
233:7,10,20 234:1	141:3,23 237:16	180:10 213:19	182:10 233:21,22	needed 83:10,13
234:4 243:18,20	237:18,21	monthly 155:1	named 193:9,15,17	88:15 93:22 136:2
244:4,8	mitigating 237:7	months 213:6,18	193:19,20 195:21	138:10 207:8
microphone 183:12	mitigation 219:6	214:11,19 231:10	200:1	needs 60:8 75:24
microphones 51:10	mobile 136:25	231:21	narrative 101:10	124:17 145:21,22
55:3	164:1,14 180:9	morning 43:7	101:11 115:5	147:21 183:12
middle 116:20	model 65:16 147:20	44:25 45:6,12,16	narrow 131:13	235:19
211:4 238:7	149:19,21	53:11 56:6,10,15	205:6	negative 238:8
midmorning 79:25	modeling 75:6 76:9	56:19,23 58:11	Nash 39:12 44:13	239:4
79:25	models 48:18 49:8	61:5 79:23 81:4	nash.callaghan@	negatively 238:15
midnight 94:23	49:10,13,14,17	81:16,25 83:25	39:17	239:5 240:18
midterm 136:20	76:19 147:14	86:6 94:12 99:1	natural 43:17	negotiation 84:11
146:5	148:13,18	99:14 233:2 244:1	162:13,15 163:22	negotiations 69:4
Milinovich 40:15	modernization	motions 247:10	166:23,25 167:1,5	221:24 222:13
44:25 45:1 46:15	139:16 141:8	Mountain 210:1	nature 63:23 64:4	223:7
46:17	142:6 145:11,14	move 57:10 78:20	75:23 228:3	neighborhoods
mill 91:6 95:2	145:19 146:3	78:25 85:21	near 146:6 171:3	135:6 180:11
Miller 52:11 244:2	152:24	105:12 123:23	239:3	neighboring 124:8
million 101:24	modifications 46:8	164:11 172:17,21	near-term 136:2,19	neither 109:1
103:7 156:9	modified 183:25	173:16 216:4	139:21 140:25	247:12
184:21 199:15,16	modify 141:13	232:21	141:1,7 146:19	net 102:8,10,13,14
200:5,7,23,24	171:7	moved 230:1	nearly 167:3	103:2 169:12,17
201:22 206:15	modules 163:13	moves 53:12	178:13	170:11
212:25 213:2,8	164:13 166:23,25	MTT-1T 236:6	necessarily 64:22	network 163:1
214:3 225:16	167:1,5	Mullins 46:12	76:2,19 200:12	164:2,10 236:23
21.1.5 225.10	107.11,0	10.12	necessary 136:24	101.2,10230.23
			<u> </u>	l

				<u>~</u>
243:23	note 46:8 66:7,22	57:1,7,15 58:4	objections 49:20	57:15 59:19 60:21
never 137:14,17	108:10 149:8	59:1,19 60:2,12	67:18 247:10	61:4 62:14 63:10
142:3	153:17 185:25	60:15,21,25 61:2	observer 44:1	66:21 67:24 69:7
new 64:19 70:22	244:9	62:11 77:1 79:18	obvious 203:24	70:25 71:19 72:8
73:11 133:10	noted 171:12 172:3	80:8,13,19,24	obviously 65:13	72:17,23 73:18
138:10 149:23	245:21	81:7,10 95:13,18	66:14 74:14	74:20 76:22,24
154:12,13 171:18	notes 71:17	95:24 96:23 97:4	147:13 148:6	79:18,20 80:13
172:2,3 176:14	notice 48:14 58:6	97:17,21 98:1,14	208:7 209:8	81:1,10 82:16
224:20 225:22	90:11,13 194:1	98:20 99:10	211:12 212:18	89:9 90:8 92:14
226:8 231:5	notices 173:22	106:13 109:13,24	217:25 218:6,22	93:2 95:18 97:18
234:20	noting 109:20	110:6,10 111:6	220:5 221:6	97:21,22 98:1,16
nice 232:8	notion 178:10	112:1,5 114:19	224:14 230:5	98:20 99:10
night 94:23	November 89:4	119:22,23 125:17	239:12	101:18 102:17,20
nighttime 149:5	number 55:16 60:4	125:22 126:8,15	occur 69:6 90:24	103:1,8,18,22
Nina 39:21 44:18	60:7 93:10 101:23	128:3,10 131:10	occurred 146:16	104:9 105:3,11,14
54:1 104:16	104:17 109:11,16	131:15 139:23	223:9	107:12 109:24
108:20	109:17 121:16	140:5,12,16,19	occurrences 209:25	110:10 111:3
nina.suetake@at	126:1 136:11,15	143:7,11,23 144:3	occurring 154:22	112:5,7,24 113:15
39:24	143:8,12 152:23	150:1,7,24 152:5	October 70:20 71:6	115:22 116:1,25
nine 213:19 231:10	164:25 165:4,8	165:7 166:2,13	72:1,4,11,11,14	118:8,22 119:4
noise 75:13 106:14	166:2 167:13,13	167:13 169:22	72:20,20 73:11	121:22 122:1,16
noises 158:7	172:8,11 174:24	170:1,5 178:2,14	223:25	122:22 125:3,10
non-AMI 166:25	205:11 206:2,17	180:16 181:7,12	offering 89:24	127:7,25 128:3
167:4,8 179:13	206:18,22 207:5	181:22 182:5,16	110:3	129:7 131:15
non-gas-only	207:11 209:20,20	182:22 183:10,17	Office 39:22	139:9 140:5,13,20
162:22 163:25	242:24,25	189:13 194:15,18	officer 199:5	143:6 144:3,5,16
non-officer 192:3	numbered 170:2	195:4,8 203:4	officers 184:5,9	145:7 146:11
nonequity 201:2,8	numbers 58:20	205:4,12,21 206:5	192:9,14 193:9,10	147:10 150:14,24
nonqualified	59:16 165:11	207:9,14,24 229:7	193:13,15,17,20	152:15 153:4,12
200:10,18	170:9,12 171:14	229:8,19 230:1,7	193:21,21 195:21	153:24 154:2,20
nonquantified	171:17 186:3,4	230:14 232:3,11	196:1 197:5 200:2	158:19,25 159:7
172:4	220:7,25 244:21	232:20 233:8,14	206:13 235:23	159:10,18 160:14
nonunion 222:8	numerous 91:5	233:19 234:3	offline 91:14	161:18 162:4,6
normal 64:9 71:12		236:17,21 243:7	offset 238:7,9 239:3	163:15 164:17
173:9 212:17,23	0	243:16,21 244:5	240:3	165:5,17 166:13
213:3 214:3	O 40:1,15 41:1 43:4	244:15,22,25	offsetting 223:15	167:11,20 170:5
226:20 227:8	o'clock 150:21	245:4,22 246:1	223:16	177:11,19 179:25
238:11	O'Connell 38:13	o 151:8 246:7	Oh 62:13 111:22	180:16 181:21,22
normalize 189:6	39:4 43:6,9 44:8	O&M 218:11	112:24 120:25	182:1,16 184:15
190:25	44:15,22 45:4,9	o0o 43:3 152:3	180:15 184:15	184:19,24 185:4
normally 64:6	45:14,19 46:19	Oakland 41:5	okay 44:22 46:19	185:15 186:7,22
149:2	47:19 48:5 49:4	object 49:13 108:22	47:23 48:5 49:4	187:25 188:14
Northeast 40:10	50:8,20,24 52:15	objected 231:23	50:24 51:2 53:4	191:3,7,12,19
133:24 134:6	52:19,25 53:17,21	objection 49:16	53:21 54:6,10,22	193:23 194:4,25
Nos 38:5	54:6,10,17 55:14	54:22 110:7 115:5	55:2,21 56:1 57:7	195:4,14,15 196:2
	56:1,8,12,17,21			

100 10 17 100 2	125.24	126 15 16 17	D C	04.4.01.2
198:10,17 199:3	operations 135:24	136:15,16,17	PacifiCorp 61:24	84:4 91:3
199:24 201:17	135:25 173:22	137:14,15,17	74:6	papers 96:16
202:1,13 203:2,4	241:10	143:4,5,15 145:3	page 42:3 46:13,14	paragraph 70:7
203:16 204:21	operator 175:2,2	172:7 173:25	52:17 87:19 104:6	77:6 102:19
205:2 206:4 207:4	opportunities	outcome 240:16	104:17,18 107:4	park 150:18
207:7,9,14 208:14	89:22 90:6 127:18	247:14	113:7 115:21,23	part 51:6 62:24
208:22 209:6	opportunity 46:4	outcomes 78:17	125:8,24 126:18	66:3 84:3 87:2
210:17,23 211:10	49:12 84:1 89:16	outside 66:14	130:19 153:14,16	89:15 103:6 110:1
212:15 214:7,24	93:23 94:7,9 95:2	188:12,18 190:7	153:23 161:25	111:13,19 113:5,6
217:3,15 218:25	95:23 149:16	221:5,5 238:25	162:9,10,10	113:9,11,12,13,14
219:20 224:19,23	174:24 178:22	240:23	164:20,20,21	146:3 148:4,5
227:9 229:20	187:22 209:5	outstanding 53:6	169:19,19 170:2,2	157:19 165:25
230:7,14 232:3	210:16 244:10	over-collecting	170:6,7 171:18	166:8 177:2 201:4
233:9,10 234:3,10	opposed 82:25 92:1	216:19	183:21,25 185:23	201:5 204:10
234:16 235:2,4	218:3	over-recovered	186:8 187:3 191:4	206:22 208:3
236:11,14 239:7	opt 61:13 62:3,3,10	190:17 191:2	192:21 194:11,12	209:16,21 212:20
239:18 240:20	62:15,16 63:1,2	overall 84:5 87:8	195:1,2,16,19	214:6 216:3
243:4,7,16,21	65:4	95:8 109:22	196:4,11,23,23,24	219:14,17 222:6
244:24 245:4,17	opt-in 61:10,10,14	165:21 167:17,19	198:4 199:5,18	226:20 227:7,24
245:22	62:4	213:13	200:6,20,23	228:7,20 229:2
older 180:7	opt-out 62:18 63:4	overheating 144:19	202:11,12,15	partial 58:15
Olympia 39:15	64:4 65:16 164:22	overlap 96:22,24	205:13,20 212:2	participant 44:1
once 89:21 120:15	164:24 165:1,4,14	97:9 99:17 157:17	212:10 215:10	participants 62:21
122:7 127:16	165:20 166:12	160:8,13	234:17 236:12,14	62:23 64:6,23
139:5 147:7	optimistic 54:5	overlapped 160:16	pages 38:12 58:17	69:1 202:6
180:10 245:8	optimization 169:4	overlapping 159:6	58:18,21,23 59:9	participating 94:3
one-year 90:13	opting 63:13,16	overlaps 140:24	59:13 125:19,23	165:1
ones 136:8 167:8,9	166:4	overload 173:18	183:24 195:11,11	participation 91:10
185:18 188:9	options 137:4	overloaded 122:6	200:24	particular 65:15
ongoing 213:3,22	order 49:7 52:7,12	144:19 173:12	paid 92:18 198:24	66:3 91:19 99:20
219:7 220:2	52:16 83:9,15	overloading 146:8	198:24 236:3	99:22 110:21
online 117:24 126:4	136:22 212:3	overpaying 84:15	pain 221:11	113:20 118:21,21
open 67:16 85:18	245:7	oversight 67:8	panel 51:6,12,15,16	126:24 132:14
103:16 218:22,23	Oregon 40:17,23	overstated 219:12	51:22 52:6 53:24	154:4,21 158:21
operates 76:10 91:6	organizations	219:23	55:11,19,22,25	161:24 187:12
operating 74:18	78:22	overview 209:11	60:17,23 67:20	188:24 189:3
95:5 112:17	original 47:16		76:25 77:2 79:21	197:19 198:22,23
113:25 146:24	109:1 220:20	P	80:23 97:25 128:2	199:1 218:12
165:19 203:18,25	originally 78:2	P 39:1,1 40:1,1	150:15,16 151:1	225:14 226:22
204:1,17 210:22	188:16 220:25	41:1,1 43:4	152:9 154:2	227:6,12
212:17,23 214:4	outage 123:12	P-i-e-d-f-o-r-t	161:20 162:20	parties 44:4 45:20
214:14,20 217:8,8	130:13 172:10	60:20	178:4	48:12 49:12 51:13
226:20	outages 119:5,9	p.m 53:9 150:25	panels 174:12	53:6 61:24 63:6
operational 136:4	120:11 129:22,25	151:7 152:2,8	paper 40:20 45:5,8	67:9,14 69:3
137:11,24 176:2	132:8,9,21 135:19	246:6	56:18 81:8,18	70:18 73:21 74:5
	,,,,=1 100.17	PAC 74:14		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

70.01.04.10.07.17	402.22.22.1	220 10 221 2 7	(0.2.6.02.4.12	1
79:21 84:10 85:15	percent 92:23 93:1	228:19 231:3,5	68:3,6 82:4,13	plants 155:7
93:16 143:17	93:18 108:15	238:19 239:14,18	85:6 103:21	play 124:20 129:9
186:2 218:6	122:13 124:5,10	240:4,11 241:24	108:11 135:18	playbook 141:20
227:22 245:16	124:13,16 128:16	241:24	137:23,25 138:1	playing 73:7
247:13,14	129:8,23,24 131:5	permanently 85:25	139:14 141:1,20	please 47:16,17
parties' 43:24	133:7,23 134:2,3	person 120:3	144:10,11,12,21	48:17 50:2,6,19
54:13	134:5,10,18,19,22	140:14,15 220:13	144:23 145:4,6,10	51:10,15 53:17
partner 174:16	134:23 162:12,23	perspective 83:6,16	145:14,14 152:22	55:2,4,23 58:4
partners 175:22	163:12 166:24	90:21 133:19,21	153:5,8 156:20,22	59:4 60:3,17
partnership 175:5	167:5,18 178:11	136:4 137:11,19	156:23 157:3,3	73:25 80:22 92:2
parts 144:20 215:9	178:12 179:23,24	141:20 155:22	159:7 161:9	96:11 97:24 98:7
party 78:3 79:10	192:5,7 197:22	157:8,18 238:15	171:23 191:24,25	100:14 102:18
118:12	206:24 207:1,3	244:13	192:2,4,7,9,13,14	104:6 106:16,17
pass 232:14	209:16 218:18	pertinent 166:17	192:19 193:20	106:18 107:4
Pat 61:18 70:15	221:17,21 225:19	petition 43:18	196:21 197:1,4	111:6,24 112:1
74:9 76:16 78:9	225:21 241:21	phase 173:4,4,5,8	199:1 201:2	113:8,11,16
78:20	242:17,18	173:12,13,17,17	204:11 210:24,25	114:16,23 115:11
path 75:1	percentage 167:2	phases 173:4,6,10	211:3,24 213:2,7	115:20 117:9
patience 126:14	178:8 179:21	philosophy 129:22	213:12,13 215:15	118:9 126:15
Patrick 56:7	241:6 242:8	129:24	216:4,14 219:6	130:19 132:3
pause 106:13	percentagewise	phone 60:3,6	225:2,11 227:2	143:15 153:17
139:23 229:19	130:25	pick 123:11 130:1	228:16,16	154:3 169:22,23
pay 83:19 86:16,25	perfect 149:6	233:1 243:25	planned 99:15	178:20 182:2,9
90:17 195:25	212:15	picks 180:11	170:17	183:14,20 189:16
217:2	perform 62:16	picture 121:18	planning 83:10,16	189:24 192:21
paying 86:18	performance 196:6	pie 104:11,14,25	99:3 138:5,12	194:11 195:5,14
payment 82:8	196:7,12,15,18	piece 117:16	139:2,3,8 140:25	203:5 232:23
87:14 92:12 94:1	197:10 198:12	139:16 209:1	140:25 141:1,7,11	233:16,20 244:22
payments 81:19	203:18,21	pieces 104:25	141:11,12 142:11	pleased 220:10
82:1 87:18 200:12	performed 117:15	203:22 208:16	147:5,8 148:1,18	plus 143:5 201:7
payout 191:18	period 70:10,11,12	Piedfort 57:8 58:1	155:1 156:19,23	PO 39:14 40:5
payouts 191:15,16	70:13,14,17,19,20	58:5 60:1,10,13	158:2,9,11,12	point 52:4 69:12
pays 235:7	70:24 71:7,10,22	60:19,20	170:19 224:9	79:24 88:6,21
PC 40:15,21	71:24 72:4,6,11	pilot 62:2,19,20,22	235:10,13,22	108:13 110:18
peak 61:11 90:2	72:16 73:9,16,17	62:24 63:7,17	plans 139:15 141:6	113:17 123:16,23
132:18 133:6,7,10	81:20 82:2,9	64:5,9 65:6,13,22	145:16 152:18,21	127:20 129:18
133:11,22 134:1,3	83:20 85:20 86:1	66:5,23 164:22	153:13 155:9,17	131:21 159:2
134:5,6,9,17,20	87:15 88:24 90:5	pilot's 62:25 63:3	155:21,22 156:4	160:19 162:15
135:8 138:6,7	92:17 93:8 94:1	pilots 61:8,11,11,13	157:4,7,13,15,24	163:19 167:23
peaks 135:3 138:14	95:6 184:16 185:6	61:15 63:22,22	157:25 160:5,12	168:2 174:7 175:4
pending 165:15	185:9 187:17	66:15 69:10	161:1,1 168:1	176:15 185:21
pension 200:10,17	190:19 201:16	place 91:2,20	202:7 208:15,19	186:6 190:11
201:19	213:17,20,22	158:17 218:9	209:7,10,10	195:9 216:9,18
people 55:16 63:19	223:24 224:4,15	plan 43:19 49:24	215:17 224:21	222:19 238:3
Pepple 40:21 45:6,7	224:25 228:3,18	50:4 66:24 67:4	225:3	pointed 62:20 75:7
1 oppie 10.21 +3.0,7	221.23 220.3,10	30.100.2707.7	223.3	Politica 02.20 73.7
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	l

pointing 214:8	83:8 84:18 88:25	102:11,13,14	proactively 132:7	94:3 103:11
points 84:25 113:1	99:17 136:6	102.11,13,14	137:21	145:11,19,20
113:1,6,14 116:2	149:23 159:12	170:11	probability 91:2	146:3 152:24,25
116:8	238:20 239:12,19	presentation 104:2	173:18	152:25 153:2,16
pole 118:1 152:25	potentially 76:13	104:7,10	probably 61:19	153:18,20 156:19
poles 129:2	90:6 141:13,14	presented 160:4,22	63:24 68:14 74:11	156:21 166:5
policy 220:3	power 70:5 71:1,8	219:6	74:17 91:10	170:14 177:9
polka 150:18	71:12 72:24 73:2	presenting 208:10	121:16 156:10	programs 62:18,20
poor 244:11	73:3,8 75:22	president 98:10,22	181:23 190:25	63:7,25 64:10
pop 157:3	91:14,24 94:13	233:24	193:1 224:13	77:9 141:9 152:23
population 64:11	114:12 122:19	presiding 43:11	problem 63:22	153:2 169:2
64:16,25 65:14	practice 116:14	pressing 60:9	88:19 104:18	171:14 191:23
66:4	193:2	pressure 216:1	105:23 112:4	project 40:8 45:11
populations 67:1,2	practices 122:13	221:9	158:8 236:9	45:13 56:22,24
67:5,11 69:18,21	175:11 176:5	pretty 84:3 89:14	problems 57:5	77:12,15 78:1,10
177:15	practitioner 44:20	157:6	procedural 53:6	78:16,16 109:22
portfolio 91:6	pre-COVID 135:3	previous 132:17	procedurally 53:23	137:11 146:4,7
portion 46:12	precise 129:14	133:11 134:1	procedures 226:21	158:4,18 162:11
64:10 197:20	precommitment	200:6,20,24	proceed 99:12	167:17,19 169:13
213:24 234:20	92:17 94:1	222:14	proceeding 74:5,25	210:1,2,7 218:12
portions 153:11	predicts 90:4	previously 109:5	86:24 108:24	projected 227:13
162:12 192:3	preempted 143:5	132:12 193:14	110:21,25 156:25	projections 167:25
201:5,10	prefaced 122:5	price 64:1 83:4,13	217:19	169:12
Portland 40:17,23	prefer 123:17	83:17 84:22 85:6	proceedings 246:5	projects 77:13
114:2 122:11,17	150:15 245:9	85:10,20 92:18	247:7,9	78:23 79:4 136:23
128:17	preference 97:15	103:9 104:23	process 68:19,22,23	136:24 137:7
pose 178:17	245:12	107:9,11,15	69:5 74:24 75:1,9	141:14 142:7,9
	= :		*	
position 98:8	preferential 74:16	prices 85:4,8	76:3,10,21 91:16	147:7 153:7 155:3
position 98:8 182:10 233:21	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6 post-COVID	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21 137:19 151:2	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17 217:12 220:9,24	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4 65:24 82:7 83:11	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6 protect 216:12,13
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6 post-COVID 147:17 148:8	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21 137:19 151:2 162:17 207:16	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17 217:12 220:9,24 224:2 226:3,4,6,8	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4 65:24 82:7 83:11 84:6 85:7,11 88:5	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6 protect 216:12,13 228:24
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6 post-COVID 147:17 148:8 posted 206:14	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21 137:19 151:2 162:17 207:16 present 48:11 52:8	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17 217:12 220:9,24 224:2 226:3,4,6,8 226:11,12 227:7	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4 65:24 82:7 83:11 84:6 85:7,11 88:5 88:7,21 89:3,17	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6 protect 216:12,13 228:24 protected 132:21
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6 post-COVID 147:17 148:8	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21 137:19 151:2 162:17 207:16	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17 217:12 220:9,24 224:2 226:3,4,6,8	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4 65:24 82:7 83:11 84:6 85:7,11 88:5	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6 protect 216:12,13 228:24
position 98:8 182:10 233:21 possibilities 220:8 possibility 238:1 240:22 241:15 possible 43:21 64:18 76:6,16 96:25 97:16 243:12 possibly 215:23 post-commitment 81:19 82:2,9 85:20 86:1 87:15 88:24 95:6 post-COVID 147:17 148:8 posted 206:14	preferential 74:16 preferred 82:5 88:1 prefiled 45:21 109:6 premium 108:3 premiums 220:6 221:7,10 prepaid 184:23 185:21 186:5 prepare 50:17 136:2 prepared 95:4 104:22 108:7 111:5 136:4,21 137:19 151:2 162:17 207:16 present 48:11 52:8	prices 85:4,8 pricing 66:23 69:10 primarily 135:6 145:21 234:19 prior 57:20 71:13 86:2 prioritization 139:19 prioritize 235:14 235:18 pro 70:10,11,12,13 70:17,19 71:21,24 72:4,6 73:17 184:17 213:17 217:12 220:9,24 224:2 226:3,4,6,8 226:11,12 227:7	76:3,10,21 91:16 91:17 94:8 137:20 139:4 147:5 165:14,16 175:18 177:10 193:25 235:13 processing 78:4 procure 87:20 product 91:6 production 105:1 profile 134:25 148:16 149:14 program 62:4,10 63:4,4,20 64:4 65:24 82:7 83:11 84:6 85:7,11 88:5 88:7,21 89:3,17	147:7 153:7 155:3 155:6 158:15 160:9 177:2 208:11 227:23 property 221:4 228:8 proposals 193:3 227:11,14 propose 68:5 77:12 79:4 proposed 216:24 231:1,25 proposing 188:19 190:8 215:6 protect 216:12,13 228:24 protected 132:21

protection 154:11	115:13 116:23	144:25 154:1	130:4 137:20	224:21 225:1,3,14
protective 136:17	117:1,6,24 122:4	155:7,12,16	141:19,24 180:2	225:15,17 226:3
137:15,17 143:4,5	123:2,7 126:2	159:10 161:22	181:14	227:8 228:2,18,19
143:15 145:3	127:14 180:23	164:19 178:18	quite 57:21 76:18	236:2,4 238:3,13
173:25	187:13 189:1	183:15 189:16,19	89:20 98:18 160:5	239:19
protects 239:4	245:11	189:25 192:11	quote 70:8 87:19	rated 237:25
provide 49:12	Puget 74:14	193:11 203:1	118:15 124:1	ratepayer 64:11,25
50:16 64:24 67:25	pull 69:2 112:2	208:3,8 210:21	quoted 109:16	ratepayers 93:6
87:21 90:9 91:14	137:6 141:14	211:18 215:1,4	quotes 123:8	236:1
91:18 93:15 94:19	pulled 74:19 143:3	221:15 223:19	quoting 123:22	rates 62:25 63:15
160:6 162:14	187:20 230:25	225:6 229:4		63:16 72:3,24
167:2 204:13,23	pulp 95:1	230:21 236:22,25	R	86:15 119:1,6,7
209:3 212:3,7	pulping 91:13	237:5,13 244:17	R 39:1 40:1 41:1	119:11,11,15,18
220:14 245:7	purchases 73:2	questioning 58:13	43:4 247:1	120:13,14 169:1
provided 90:14	purposes 196:16	130:18 200:16	R-a-s-l-e-r 81:9	190:15 197:22
91:24 109:9 125:5	239:19	234:2	R&D 176:22	204:14 219:5
195:20 212:7	pursuing 163:21	questions 52:11,23	radial 123:9 124:12	228:4 231:6 236:3
providers 220:4	push 85:16	53:13,23 54:25	124:18	ratification 222:1,9
221:12	put 56:2 68:22	60:23 66:18 69:9	raise 55:23 80:22	rating 122:7 148:20
provides 61:8 70:8	80:24 91:17 98:2	69:10 70:5 76:24	97:24 182:2	238:12,21 241:5,9
83:20 88:9 90:15	128:8 137:20,23	77:2 79:17 81:12	233:16	241:12 242:13
91:11 93:18 113:4	161:16 182:6	87:13 95:10,11	raised 131:11 159:5	ratings 238:15
113:17	221:9 230:22	96:21,24 97:7	221:21	RDR 38:23 247:18
providing 114:25	240:7,10	99:15,20 108:7	raising 205:22	reach 122:7
179:22 222:11		110:12 111:4	ramp 215:20 216:8	reached 68:12
provision 77:6	Q	112:13 114:22	ramped 214:20	reaching 198:15
81:18 87:23 88:12	quadruple 159:12	118:10 128:2	ran 238:19,24	read 161:10,13
provisional 226:13	159:21	131:16,18,19,23	241:25	180:11 214:9
provisions 66:23	qualify 240:1	132:1,16 139:12	range 64:5 85:8	241:9
176:10	qualifying 189:23	142:15 144:7	Rasler 51:18 59:6,8	reading 92:9
proxy 193:6,12,16	quality 236:24	150:2,3,10 151:3	80:3,16,17,21	164:24 165:21
194:8,12 195:23	quantified 168:23	152:12,14,16	81:9,9,17 83:5,12	166:9 168:10
196:16,21	172:4	159:2 161:20,23	83:22,24 84:17	ready 55:1 60:22
PSE 74:6,23 75:8	quantifying 168:3	166:15,17 176:7,9	86:7 87:2 89:11	80:3 97:20 99:9
75:17	quarter 221:18,25	177:20,23,24	89:13 90:21 93:24	181:21
public 39:20 44:16	question 61:7,16	178:3 183:6,13,19	93:25 95:20,22	real 132:24 141:18
44:19 45:24 46:4	73:23,25 77:5	183:24 202:15	rata 213:17	171:6 173:20
47:23,24,25 48:6	81:25 86:8 92:15	203:3 207:15,21	rate 43:17 71:25	199:6
48:8,17 49:1	99:23 106:19	208:4,23 210:20	72:2,5,7,16 86:23	real-life 199:9
51:14 52:1 54:13	110:9 113:18	215:2 217:4 224:7	87:8 92:19 101:17	realistic 50:13
54:15 55:23 57:10	114:18,23 115:2,3	230:8 232:4,13,15	104:12,23 110:1	realization 168:1
57:13 58:6,20	115:8 120:5,5,9	234:12 243:5,9,11	120:15,16 121:16	realize 186:1
79:22 93:6 96:6	120:18 121:23	quick 77:21	142:5,10 168:25	realized 197:8
100:16 104:2,10	122:5 123:7	quicker 123:18	213:17,19 215:17	really 64:3 65:23
105:15 112:8,14	129:14 135:10,22	quickly 53:11	217:13,19 223:24	66:5 68:21 69:2
	139:11,13 140:21		224:4,10,14,17,20	

				1 490 271
82:2,4 85:20,25	185:2,12,20 186:5	157:10 159:13	reflect 204:18	remain 54:25 91:4
89:23 93:8 95:3	200:15 201:13,23	160:4,23,24 177:4	reflected 160:11	118:3 221:20
97:15 130:6	206:21 220:20	179:17 190:15	163:25 185:6	remaining 51:19
146:20 157:12	receiver 180:4,6	192:10 208:10	reflecting 71:7 73:2	52:7 237:17
161:2,3,3 171:16	receives 201:13	recross 206:1	108:15	remarkably 244:12
172:20 175:14	receiving 62:24	207:10	reflective 134:24	remediation 86:10
185:22 200:11	92:25 93:18	Recross-Examin	196:1	86:22
209:19,25 216:1	recess 80:7 151:6	42:15 206:10	regarding 47:25	remember 148:4
218:11 225:25	recognize 64:21	redirect 42:5,6,8,9	49:19,24 51:16	237:10 238:6
228:18 230:13	86:13 93:14	42:11,12,14,15	81:12 86:8 202:19	remembering 59:3
realtime 95:3 169:1	recognized 43:25	128:5,13 131:11	211:10	remind 170:22
170:25 171:3	213:21	179:1 203:6,10	regards 50:9	reminder 181:15
247:7	recognizing 138:11	207:12 230:17	191:22 197:6	reminding 170:19
reason 62:7 65:15	143:3 147:24	redirected 136:23	region 124:25	Remotely 38:15
66:2 72:19 91:2	recommend 66:11	reduce 136:14	Registered 247:6	removed 118:18
91:16 213:7	238:2	139:2 172:9,11	Reinvestment	Rendahl 39:7 55:6
reasonable 65:18	recommendation	173:18 206:23	177:4	55:10,12 60:25
85:8 144:1 240:17	187:9 240:5,7	221:13 241:13	rejecting 46:21	61:4 62:11,13
reasons 65:20	recommended	reduced 142:7	47:3	63:8 66:17,21
118:15,25 119:10	242:4	207:1,2 213:24	rejection 46:24	67:18,24 69:7
228:1	recommending	reduces 206:25	relate 68:4 103:14	70:4,25 71:4,16
reassessing 149:7	186:14	reducing 138:20	129:15	72:2,8,17,23
rebate 61:11	reconcile 225:2	189:12	related 49:9 61:7	73:18 74:20 75:3
rebuild 142:6	reconvene 150:24	reduction 106:7	66:18 74:13 86:21	76:8,22 87:12
153:15 154:6,17	record 43:6 46:7,9	107:2,16 138:24	87:13,22 88:4	88:12 89:9 90:8
154:24 155:25	50:21 56:4 58:13	redundancy 129:15	137:13,15 171:13	92:4,14 93:2 95:9
156:2,2	59:4 60:18 66:8	130:12	176:9 186:3 198:7	125:16,19 126:2
rebuttal 97:2 100:6	75:11 80:5,9,14	reevaluate 85:22	198:12 205:24	126:13 142:16
111:9,14,20 123:8	81:2 98:7 108:18	reevaluation 138:5	217:4,17 237:8	143:6,19 144:5,16
123:25 183:8,21	108:23 120:2	refer 47:16 83:5	relates 74:2 117:10	145:7 146:11
185:24 186:1,4	143:10 151:5	110:12,13 130:19	176:9	147:10 148:6
187:3 191:5	152:6 159:19,20	139:14 202:15	relationship 196:5	149:25 150:22
192:22 202:10,10	180:14 182:9	reference 69:22	relative 247:12,13	152:13 153:4,12
205:9,15,19	233:20 246:1	162:9 163:20	relevance 46:5	153:23 154:2,20
206:19 221:16	recorded 198:25	164:21	relevant 50:1 115:3	155:5 156:17
228:12 234:13	210:8	referenced 74:3	152:18	157:25 158:6,19
recall 188:10	recover 43:18	92:24 160:21	reliability 99:2	158:25 159:5
189:21 203:13,22	187:16 197:16	references 144:8	197:17	161:18 162:3,6,19
226:24	231:4,6,9	152:22 153:14	reliable 112:17	163:6,15,19
receive 81:19 85:11	recovered 190:25	referred 194:8	reliably 112:17	164:17 165:5,17
87:16,17 92:23	192:6 197:20,25	referring 101:24,25	113:25	165:24 166:10,14
93:16 171:5	recovering 155:10	104:15 142:20	relief 91:14 94:20	166:18,19 167:7
201:14	191:17 192:8	186:8,11	rely 83:4,9 88:10	167:11,20 176:8
received 47:25	231:12	refers 178:19	88:25	177:11,19 178:1
49:10 184:22	recovery 156:24	refined 172:16,20	relying 92:12	180:2,13 195:6
	1	•	1	1

				1 490 212
224:6,19,23 227:9	44:4 75:4	reserved 93:17	restart 57:20 58:8	109:13,14 113:10
229:20,23 230:4	represents 68:21	residential 61:12	140:6	118:17 123:20
234:6,10,16,25	118:20	135:6 173:5	restate 106:18	128:9,25 130:16
235:4,6,25 236:5	request 46:11,16	resiliency 43:19	resubmit 58:22	131:8 135:12
236:9,11,18,20,23	48:6,7,16 49:6,8	49:24 50:4 153:2	59:12	139:20 143:2
243:8	49:11,15,20,22	156:20,21 157:3	result 92:13 109:7	145:18 153:22
Rendahl's 244:11	50:9 54:16 100:16	210:24	135:7 171:19	155:15 159:24
renewable 77:9	100:22,25 103:24	resolve 57:17 58:8	189:9 238:1	162:17 165:11,13
renewables 77:7	105:16,25 106:5	resource 82:3,5,13	resulting 101:19	167:16 170:11
78:6	105:10,25 100:5	83:10,16 85:6	103:2	176:6 180:13
renewal 83:8 85:22	112:9,10 113:5	88:1,10 89:1,7	results 168:15	182:3 185:12
88:25 89:6 90:6	115:14,16 117:2,4	103:21 108:11	198:25	
	117:6 122:4 123:3			201:11,20 212:13
repair 94:24		resources 88:14	resume 53:10	214:24 221:14
repeat 98:18	123:4,24 125:4	174:17 175:5	retroactive 222:15	224:5 229:18
111:18 123:14	126:1 127:14	respect 69:20 84:2	222:21	230:24 231:24
183:14 184:11	142:19,24 143:8	120:1 129:1 179:4	return 80:1 83:22	232:18 233:17
190:4	143:12 158:4	240:3	90:11 223:13	234:1 237:12
rephrase 119:8	165:7,13,25 166:1	respond 63:25 95:5	237:14 241:17	241:23
replace 117:22	166:3,3,21 167:8	105:18 120:6	246:2	right-hand 195:3
replaced 112:18	167:12 178:9	129:12 140:23	returned 239:13	rightly 62:20
replacement 90:17	218:7 225:2 243:1	189:16	returning 237:8	risk 84:18,21,25
92:11 113:24	243:2	responded 49:16	revenue 48:18 49:7	90:25 91:10 109:7
116:15,16,18	requested 46:20	222:9	49:13,17 85:23	142:7 146:25
124:17 127:17	113:18	Respondent 38:9	182:12 198:8	212:19,21,21
replacing 116:9	requesting 143:12	response 48:7 49:8	review 85:17 139:4	213:9 214:6,22
replenish 94:25	requests 48:11,15	49:11 82:6,14	146:13,14	216:2,7 219:24,25
report 169:11,20	49:21 97:1 113:21	83:11 84:3,9 85:7	reviewed 127:16	219:25 231:17
171:13,18	143:17 158:12	85:11,24 87:13,21	193:3	238:20 240:10
reported 38:23	160:22 234:20	87:23 88:3,5,7,13	reviewing 139:3	241:25 242:22
132:6 166:20,24	235:7,10,17 236:1	88:21 89:3,17	revised 45:22	risking 242:13
167:4	244:19	90:14 91:12,25	183:24 184:1	risks 164:15 220:4
reporter 65:10	require 49:16 90:4	100:16 105:15	193:25 205:10	235:19
80:10,12 98:16,17	117:17	109:4 112:8 113:8	206:3,17 213:1	road 40:10 68:11
106:8 120:2 140:2	required 91:17	113:12,17 115:13	225:12	Roberson 39:11
140:4 181:18	93:10 118:3	117:1 122:3 123:2	rider 86:21	44:13
219:16 247:6,6,7	requirement 48:18	125:4 127:20	riders 86:17,19	role 154:11
reporting 110:22	49:8,13,17 85:23	141:24 143:21	87:6	roll 161:1 208:18
110:23 218:23	95:7 198:8	147:14 183:9	right 55:24 57:7,16	210:14
reports 202:24	requirements 118:7	186:24	60:15 62:2 63:22	rolled 170:15
241:9	182:13 207:1	responses 49:20	65:1,12 70:1 74:1	rolling 132:8
represent 178:11	requires 114:24	152:15	75:20 79:2,16	188:12
191:14	requisition 209:17	responsible 86:18	80:22 81:14 85:8	rollout 162:14
representative 64:3	209:20	rest 87:8 95:1	85:13 86:4,6	Ronald 38:23 247:6
64:24	reserve 46:2 191:8	139:15 193:22	87:10 94:3,6	247:18
representatives	191:15	229:6 244:1	97:19,24 108:25	Rosentrater 42:4
1	ı	I	ı	I

			1	
51:22 52:6 96:2	safely 112:17	Seattle 39:23	150:9 168:20,20	204:6 212:6
96:13,20 97:7,10	113:25	second 46:21 47:9	220:22 229:8	228:17
97:23 98:6,9,9,15	salaries 200:14	75:10 86:2 106:21	232:9 245:5	setting 132:23
98:21,21 99:16	202:16	133:25 148:4	seek 92:12	202:16
100:3,9,13,19,24	salary 192:25 197:6	214:23 233:11	seeking 156:24	settings 181:24
101:6 104:8	201:1,7 202:21,21	Section 46:13	160:24	settlement 43:15
105:19 106:2	sample 64:3,15,25	sections 186:11	seen 133:19 134:11	51:12 53:24 55:11
129:12,16 130:14	satisfaction 197:17	secure 186:20	190:20,21	55:19,22 58:15
131:22 132:2,2,15	Saturday 94:23	187:10 189:5	semantical 91:22	60:17,23 61:8
135:13,21 139:20	savings 101:2,21	190:20	semi-truck 106:15	62:8 66:9,12,13
139:25 140:6,13	102:2,15 103:4	see 43:22,23 50:10	send 143:17	66:22 67:19 68:19
140:17,18,20	106:6 107:1	53:11 55:8,14,16	sending 170:22	68:21 69:4,13
142:17 143:2,10	108:13 110:24	55:17 57:9 62:3	171:8	70:6,7,8,12 71:20
144:2,7,14,24	204:9,12	62:16 69:15 71:17	sends 180:7	73:20,24 74:3,21
145:18 146:18	saw 83:7 130:15	71:23 74:19 76:1	senior 45:17 98:10	76:25 77:2,7
147:19 148:11	133:22 134:5,6,8	76:6 80:15,17	98:22 182:12	79:21 87:16
150:2 152:10,16	134:18,19,22	83:1 93:23 96:6,8	sense 90:1 92:2,4	settlements 71:5
153:3,10,21,24	135:5,7 136:12,16	97:21 103:8	93:4 120:18	settling 51:13
156:15 157:11	137:5,6,9 138:22	104:13,14,20	167:24 235:19	seven 59:9 197:21
158:1,5,8,23	138:25 220:19	105:23 107:9	239:2 240:3,8	shaded 58:20 59:10
159:17,23 160:20	saying 72:9 109:17	113:8,11 115:20	separate 75:9	59:15
161:6 162:21	159:14 181:19	115:23 118:12	156:24 188:13	share 86:9,25 93:16
163:7,10,24	220:22 227:1	120:23 122:9	211:15 212:17	133:9 157:12
165:22 167:16	239:17,20	123:13 126:9	separated 156:4	196:12 197:13
168:5 169:9,23,25	says 77:11 79:3	135:3 138:2 139:7	196:20 197:14,15	198:5,7 203:23
170:4,11 171:25	104:12 107:7	139:12 146:16	separately 158:3	213:12,25
175:21 176:17	112:22 113:8,11	149:22,23 167:16	188:6 193:17	shared 98:10,22
177:17,21 179:3,8	118:10 122:19	168:23 169:19,20	210:7 211:8,15	133:10 135:7
179:11,15,19,23	125:20 126:2	170:6 183:3	separation 197:24	153:18 175:13
180:1,4,17 208:5	127:1 153:17	184:18 187:12,22	September 70:20	204:10,10
Rosentrater's	195:7 196:4 198:4	187:23 188:7	72:1,15 227:17	shareholders 194:2
209:8	scale 63:6 64:8	190:19 191:9	231:3	198:1
RSUs 201:3	scenario 91:24	193:4 195:17	serve 124:23 142:4	shareholders'
rule 104:22	schedule 48:13	196:8,19 200:25	service 61:12 64:22	193:3
rules 49:19	51:3 86:14,14,16	201:1,1 202:3	64:23 78:13 99:2	sharing 135:15
ruling 46:2	87:1,4 90:12	207:3 208:25	117:7,17,18,19	175:11 176:4
run 114:12 238:23	schedules 65:21	212:24 213:2,11	118:3,7,16,18,19	Shawn 56:23 77:22
242:22,25	School 40:10	213:14 215:20	118:20 120:21	78:8 80:2 81:4
running 94:25	scope 64:8 66:15	216:13,23 218:18	179:22	83:24 84:3 89:13
runs 90:3	131:13 205:6	220:10,16 221:12	services 84:9 98:11	She'll 229:18
runway 90:5	scoped 64:14	224:4 225:25	98:23	shift 65:19 111:4
<u> </u>	screen 55:16 101:9	226:11,14 243:10	set 48:25 49:4	180:19
S 39:1 40:1 41:1	128:8 183:4	245:18	50:25 64:19 83:4	short 44:3 73:14
43:4	233:11	seeing 77:20 125:25	83:17 85:20,25,25	79:24,25 80:7
Saddle 210:1	seaplane 106:22	141:4 147:24	117:20 144:3	230:13 238:19
Saudie 210.1				

				1 age 21 +
239:14,18	122:18	189:6 224:25	95:11 228:5	231:22,25 232:16
short-life 228:3	Sir 114:13,16	227:19	specific 75:24	245:3,13
short-term 70:22	sister 128:18	soon 55:9 168:7	135:23 143:9	staff's 46:3 52:2
191:23 192:2,5,7	sit 89:23	sooner 121:17	154:9 169:11	245:12
192:12,13 202:6	sitting 199:2	sorry 44:21 58:25	198:14 209:1	stakeholders 61:10
238:4,12	situation 66:1	64:13 71:5,15	210:2,7 227:23	75:16,25 77:17
shot 229:17	138:3 141:22	72:22 75:12 89:11	242:24	stand 233:4
show 120:24	202:20	104:17 105:17,20	specifically 114:1	standard 122:13,18
121:17 131:4	situations 130:15	105:22 106:8,20	133:4 135:16	124:6 193:3
171:3 196:11	140:23	111:17 116:11,15	138:18 166:5	221:19 222:25
showed 147:1	six 170:9 202:2,5	119:8,20,21	169:10 195:22	223:1
showing 107:25	213:6 214:11,19	120:17,23 123:1	197:3 206:1	standards 117:17
160:16	231:20	134:6 148:3	209:22 213:9	standby 53:2 100:7
shows 85:6 184:4	six-year 186:15,18	153:21 158:6	214:5	standing 118:4
199:25 211:13	186:23 187:8,16	162:10 163:21	specify 193:6,12	standpoint 83:10
212:25 215:11	188:2,6,12,15,18	173:1 177:23	speed 195:13	88:11 93:8
shuffle 181:9	189:7 190:4,7,15	183:10 184:15,17	spell 60:18 129:10	star 60:9
shuffled 96:16	190:19,23 191:14	193:11 198:4	233:23	start 44:4 51:12,22
shut 94:18 132:7,11	sizable 64:10	199:9 205:14,18	spelled 56:25 60:20	52:1 61:5 94:2
side 93:20,20,25	SJB-KR-1CT 59:9	205:25 207:12	81:5	96:4 98:6 100:3
174:15 176:2,2,3	87:18	219:16 223:18	spelling 56:4 81:2	124:16 132:1
217:21 218:11	slight 181:19	230:6 234:24	spend 228:25 229:1	183:7 208:2
Sierra 41:3 45:15	slightly 172:19	sort 65:13,24	229:2	210:21 216:10
45:18 46:22,24	186:3	197:18 200:25	spending 208:6	219:20,21 234:12
54:8,11,19 57:2,5	slim 240:25 241:18	209:24	214:12	244:1
57:17 60:16	slow 173:1 194:16	sorts 87:5 130:24	spent 160:24	started 53:24 55:10
232:16	slower 169:23	sound 57:18	231:20	168:8,11 169:14
signals 64:1	slowly 181:8,16	sounds 106:15	spike 73:8	213:7 214:22
signed 94:12	small 172:21	140:9 168:22	spills 51:25	226:23 238:6
significant 134:10	173:16	175:16	Spokane 40:5	starting 63:6 75:18
137:10,12 138:22	smaller 145:2	sources 221:5	sponsor 175:25	88:15 126:18
188:11	172:17 187:23	South 174:8	sponsored 100:5	213:10 234:17,25
significantly	213:8	Southwest 40:16,22	111:8	236:12
136:10 148:13	snafus 60:14	sparked 230:11	spreading 155:8	state 98:7 159:3
191:2 219:12	software 43:20,21	speak 51:9,11	spreadsheet 125:5	171:18 182:9
similar 57:19 68:23	114:8 164:9,16	59:25 67:13 83:6	ss 247:3	192:24 233:20
74:24 82:7 133:13	solar 174:12	83:12 84:1 96:15	stab 61:19 68:7	234:17 247:3,7,19
134:11 136:14	solely 239:18	119:25 181:8,16	74:8 77:21	stated 116:4 122:21
222:4,4,7 226:16	solo 44:20	speaking 55:2 64:8	staff 39:10 44:9,12	129:19
similarly 129:1	solution 76:7 146:5	70:18 120:23	45:24 47:21 53:18	statement 51:1
Simon 40:9 45:13	146:7 164:15	181:13,14	54:11,18 56:9	193:6,12 194:1,8
simon@ffitchlaw	somebody 63:13	special 51:17 81:12	60:3 61:23 67:7	194:13 195:23
40:12	someone's 163:20	86:9,12,15 87:17	68:9,14 75:3,4,25	196:16
simply 114:18	somewhat 133:13	88:5,9,13 90:9,10	76:14 180:21	statements 193:2
single 74:25 114:7	152:17 157:7	90:12 92:17,19	187:9 211:10	states 77:8 115:24
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

123:8 220:5 221:9	103:15 142:20	126:6,9,16,17	219:19 223:19	214:9
static 82:24 83:17	submitted 49:8,14	131:10,14 182:19	229:9,16 230:2	tables 196:4
stating 108:25	Subpart 101:8	182:21,22 183:2	237:3	tactic 141:21
122:5 214:13	112:13	183:18 189:21,24	Surely 59:7	take 44:3 58:11
stay 82:17 121:14	subsequently 113:1	190:3 194:15,17	survey 114:4	61:19 68:7 74:8
stenographically	substance 109:4	195:1,2,14,15	128:21	77:21 79:24 84:22
247:8	substantial 93:16	203:2,7 205:4,7	surveyed 129:3	86:23 91:13 95:25
step 99:21 114:19	93:21	205:14,20,25	swear 61:1 181:2	96:9 102:7 109:8
steps 136:1,2	substation 122:6	206:5,8,11 207:7	switch 191:21	136:2,20,20 139:6
Stewart 134:13,18	124:8,11,12,20,22	207:18 244:16,17	switching 130:1,8	150:25 154:7
134:23	125:5,13,14	244:24 246:3	136:6,7,8 172:12	174:14,15 195:12
stipulated 45:21	126:21,22,25	Suetake's 114:22	172:15 173:21	215:8,10 232:25
47:22	127:1 129:23	sufficient 130:12	sworn 55:25 61:3	237:2,3
stipulation 62:8	136:25 142:6	suggest 238:2,4	80:23 97:20,25	taken 38:15 151:6
99:8	144:12,21 145:4,5	suggested 240:4,14	182:4 233:12,18	247:8,11
stock 197:9,10	153:7,8,15 154:6	242:11	sympathetic 57:21	talk 48:12 51:2
201:5,7,10,11,14	154:7,8,16,17,24	suggesting 65:4	Synergy 149:20,21	136:7 155:2,17,18
201:23,25	155:18,24 157:15	158:20	system 94:19,22	155:19 156:5
stop 53:9 99:19	161:10,12,14	Suite 39:23 40:10	100:7 101:4 106:7	158:20 159:15
109:14 189:13	209:10,23 210:4	40:16,22 41:5	106:10,11 107:2	160:16 167:24
243:25	substations 123:9	suited 99:22	107:17 111:10	173:2 209:12
storage 174:10,11	123:11 124:6	summarized 89:14	119:17 120:21	215:23 230:5
storm 149:6 187:19	125:12 126:20	summer 136:21	121:19 129:17	244:6
188:4,4,9,9 189:4	127:5 129:15,25	137:4 138:14	131:3 133:10,11	talked 68:17 69:17
189:4	131:1,5 133:15	146:7,7	135:25 139:6,8	117:25 136:18
story 175:8	153:19	sunset 133:25	141:23 143:1	145:12 218:4
strategy 82:6 88:1	subtle 94:11	134:4 137:2	144:21 145:21,23	240:22,23
99:3	suburban 124:18	supplies 75:22	147:6 148:25	talking 69:14,20
street 41:4 150:18	success 171:24	supply 70:5 71:9,12	149:19,19 155:23	120:3 141:21
strength 118:2,6	successful 154:8	174:15	172:14,21 174:13	144:18 155:16
strike 46:11	160:15	support 58:15	177:7 210:8,10	156:20 188:24
strong 141:23	Suetake 39:21 42:5	136:5 137:2	216:5 230:5	189:11 197:3
175:4,23	42:8,11,14,15	176:18 177:9	systems 142:8	204:24 240:24,25
stronger 241:15	44:17,18 47:24	sure 50:20 60:6,6	175:25 176:25	talks 77:7 196:24
struck 46:20	48:3 49:2 53:25	60:19 61:18 63:12		197:7,9
structure 94:1	54:1,15 96:17,25	71:23 73:7 74:20		tangential 152:17
structured 96:19	97:14,18 99:11,13	75:1 79:12 93:7	T 40:1 41:1 247:1,1	target 196:6,15
studies 202:16,19	100:2 106:10,17	98:17 103:13	T-h-i-e-s 233:23	197:7 198:20
study 202:24	106:20 108:9,22	109:15 111:18,22	table 107:24 126:10	199:5,8,14
226:23	109:10 110:6,8,15	123:16 126:9	126:18 130:23	targets 196:12
stuff 231:18	110:17 111:7	150:10 155:3	169:21 184:3,4	198:12 204:6,7
sub 156:7	112:1,4,7 114:20	157:10 175:15,17	198:6 199:25	tariff 86:17,19,21
subject 68:11 90:20	115:1,10 120:4,6	181:7,11,18	200:8,19 202:2	88:21 164:22
202:9	120:8,10 121:5,12	194:19 205:10,16	205:15,19,20	tax 228:8 237:8,14
submit 50:13	125:16,18,21	206:2 209:4 214:2	206:24 211:12	241:21
			212:2,7,9,25	

tcp@dvclaw.com	78:10,17 82:10	230:9 232:6	156:17 159:24	161:3 168:10
40:24	83:9 88:25 89:6	234:13 235:12	160:17 161:17,18	170:13 173:2
team 135:24	117:7 137:18	236:6 237:6,10	163:7 167:10,11	174:4 181:10
Teams 38:15 39:4,8	138:14 140:24	testing 63:2	167:20 169:25	187:11 197:10
39:19,25 40:7,12	141:3 142:21	text 170:18	176:6 177:20,21	200:9,11 215:17
40:18,24 41:6	147:20,22 149:14	texting 229:23	178:21 179:25	220:18,21 221:5,8
120:24	157:12 169:13	Thackston 46:23	180:1,14,16,17	228:8 242:3
technical 57:17,19	174:9 208:19	47:2 52:8 53:20	181:5,20 182:1,5	think 49:10 53:9
57:22 176:2	227:10	54:9,12,14,21,25	182:16,19,22	54:22 55:15 59:14
181:17	territories 78:13	232:16,17	183:17 185:15,22	60:8 61:21 62:1,3
Teimouri 39:13	territory 64:22,23	thank 44:6,8,15,17	186:7 190:3,12	62:7 63:4 65:12
44:14	test 62:15,19 94:10	44:22 45:4,9,14	191:19 193:23	67:8,11,16 70:18
telephone 55:3,4	109:4 184:16	45:19 46:19 50:7	194:25 195:4,15	73:6,14 74:10,10
58:7 59:22 60:5,9	199:2 210:22,23	50:8,23,24 52:24	203:2,4 205:3	74:12,16 77:24
106:16	211:5,7,22 212:24	53:21 54:6,10	207:7,14,23	78:1 89:13,15
tell 117:15 118:23	213:16,22	55:17,21 56:1,8	208:22 210:17	92:8 97:4,6 98:14
185:5 212:11	tested 118:2 129:17	56:12,17,21 57:1	214:7,8 217:15	112:5 121:3
219:4 221:23	149:1	57:15 59:3,19	218:25 219:2	134:24 141:19
223:8 229:13	testified 162:11	60:21 61:4 65:1,7	221:14 224:5	143:8,24 144:17
242:25	221:16	66:20 69:7 70:3	230:8,15 232:2,3	145:12 148:7
temperature	testify 43:24 55:1	73:18 76:25 78:9	232:5,6,7,18,20	150:12,19,20
132:13 133:4,12	61:17 80:3 232:23	79:15,16,18,20,22	233:3,9,19 234:1	153:10 157:11
133:13,14,19,21	testifying 51:7,8	80:6,13,19 81:10	234:3 240:20	159:25 160:15
135:19 138:14	testimony 45:22,23	81:14 82:16 83:25	243:2,20 244:13	162:23 185:8,11
148:19 149:4	46:12,20 47:1,22	85:13 86:4 87:10	244:24,25 245:3,4	188:23 189:3,5
temperatures 130:6	49:9 51:23 52:2	90:8 92:4 95:9,16	245:20,22,25	196:17 201:11
134:12 136:14	58:14,16 59:11,15	95:20,22,24 96:14	246:2,3,4	211:1,10,19 212:2
137:5 138:7,13	65:4 79:23 81:16	98:1,5,24 99:10	Thanks 71:16	215:25 218:7,15
142:3 149:5	81:17,20 87:18	99:13 101:11,18	83:24	227:3 232:11,22
173:11	95:21 97:3 99:8	102:17 103:8,18	thermal 174:10	234:11 235:12
temporary 239:21	100:6 108:24	106:16 108:6,16	thermomechanical	243:11,24
tend 181:14	111:9,14,20 123:8	110:16 111:3,7	91:12	thinking 65:5
term 67:12 69:16	123:17,22,25	112:6 114:10,13	Thies 52:11 232:22	third 47:11 118:11
70:13 71:19,20	124:2 144:8 158:1	115:9,10,17	233:4,6,9,13,16	138:4 139:1
73:19 82:24 83:8	161:25 162:8	116:22 118:8	233:22 234:6	third-party 118:25
85:12,21,22 86:3	163:20 164:20	121:22 122:22	237:4 243:14,17	119:10,13
146:6 239:4	167:24 169:20	126:5,13,17 127:7	245:23	thorough 197:23
terminate 90:10	180:18 183:8,21	127:25 128:3,10	thing 197:18	thought 65:15
terminates 90:12	185:24 187:2,4	129:13 131:9,15	209:24 238:4	163:3 174:2
terminating 91:1	188:5 191:5	131:25 135:10,12	239:21	205:17 215:12
termination 90:16	192:22 194:9	135:15,21 139:9	things 55:15 61:25	228:20 244:12
90:17,19 92:10,13	202:11 205:15,19	140:6,19,20,22	87:7 94:18 121:10	thoughts 68:10
terminology 201:12	206:20 209:8	142:13,17 143:19	136:21 137:22	223:3 239:1
terms 62:16 63:2	211:13 213:16	148:11 149:25	142:5 148:17	three 50:12 74:15
63:18 65:5 71:21	215:10 218:5	151:4,5 152:15	158:4 159:11	96:7,21 97:5,21

				T age 211
99:18 116:3,7,15	timelines 227:13	trailer 106:15	triple-count 210:9	192:21 194:11
119:23 125:19	times 51:11 89:22	transcribed 247:8	trouble 169:23	202:10 203:5,7
130:6 131:17	149:9	247:10	trudge 123:24	207:15,18,20
130.0 131.17	timing 94:17	transcript 229:16	true 200:21 217:20	208:24 210:19
136:13 151:1	224:12	247:10	247:10	234:4
	tires 117:21			turned 51:15 55:8
173:3 200:1	TMP 94:19	transfer 129:21	trues 217:25	
212:11 213:18		Transformation	truly 64:3,24 133:1	turning 128:11
215:21 221:17,21	today 43:14 49:18	176:11,19	172:16 174:13	170:2 182:20
225:19,21	53:9 68:13 84:1	transformer 114:12	228:9	192:12 236:5
three-person 52:5	85:4,5 86:15,17	129:23 132:23,25	try 58:8 60:9 75:16	two 46:8 47:20
three-year 241:24	88:10 89:2,5,24	133:24,25 134:1,2	114:4 140:15	50:15 54:3 58:17
threshold 242:2	95:23 143:10	137:2 143:1	160:6 212:3	92:24 103:14
thresholds 242:21	197:22 218:9	152:24	220:18 227:20	116:16 133:3
throw 77:19	228:23	transformers	244:10	134:15 136:3
Thursday 94:12,13	today's 43:21 48:13	122:19 130:6	trying 64:21 76:5	139:21 174:18
tie 139:18	51:2 143:18	132:6,10,14,19,20	76:20 104:19	178:18 181:24
tied 86:14 211:24	tool 170:20	132:22 133:1,25	120:19 123:17,23	191:23 192:2
Ties 125:14 126:22	tools 136:5 141:2,2	134:8 135:8,17	145:16 154:5,15	202:25 215:9,21
time 43:8 46:6	170:23	143:14 144:19,22	181:8 203:22	217:4 225:17
47:24 48:4 50:16	top 104:11 107:7	145:1,2 146:16	222:22 223:1	237:15 238:2,18
51:20,21,25 53:16	115:23 123:19	148:15	230:4 238:7	240:4
54:3 58:2 60:11	148:7 195:3	transmission 105:1	239:16,17 240:12	two-way 180:8
61:11 65:17 83:19	topic 92:16 115:2	123:9 141:16	242:12,22	215:1,5,9 216:16
85:24 88:4 89:20	198:2	144:11 153:5,11	Tuesday 132:22	two-year 241:24
90:2 97:6 99:18	topics 161:20	153:18,19 154:7	133:17 137:20	Tyler 40:21 45:7
109:21 117:22	total 101:25 120:16	154:12,18 155:19	172:11,13,14	type 62:4 122:19
119:24 120:3	165:4,8 166:4	156:1,2,8 157:14	turn 45:20 47:23	231:13
132:25 138:23	200:12 241:22	161:12,13 209:9	51:7,8 52:22	types 87:6 117:8
139:6 145:25	touch 128:7 239:8	209:23 210:4	55:18,19 60:24	146:10 187:10
148:24 149:4	touched 139:10	transmitter 180:5,7	66:19 80:16 81:11	231:19
150:7,20 152:8	214:10	transparent 192:25	96:2,11 98:3	typically 185:9,17
171:7 173:21	track 156:18 157:5	transpired 78:12	99:11 100:14	188:12 189:7
181:8 186:21	157:9 158:3 165:3	Transportation	101:7 102:18	192:5,6 195:23
188:24 189:25	210:13 211:8,15	38:2,4 43:11	103:19 104:6	217:22,23 222:3,7
190:11,20,23	216:25 218:2	treasurer 233:24	107:4 108:7	
201:16 213:14	223:11 225:22,24	tree 212:19,21	111:24 115:11,20	U
216:18 222:19	tracked 190:13,13	213:10 214:6,22	116:23 118:9	U 40:1 41:1
223:9 226:22	210:10 213:9	216:2 231:17	121:24 122:23	U-210254 49:23
228:11 232:12	tracker 215:6	trending 170:18	125:8 127:8 128:4	50:5
238:19 239:14,18	216:21,22 218:22	tribes 78:14	130:17 131:22	U.S 112:15 113:18
240:4 243:12,23	224:24 226:15	tried 212:16 228:17	139:11 140:2	114:4 127:15
243:24	trackers 217:24	238:17	142:14 152:11	UE-090134 207:2
time-of-use 61:8,10	tracking 164:23	triple 155:20	181:1,2,3 182:17	UE-200894 38:7
61:11 63:20 169:1	171:23 230:20	157:10,23 159:12	182:20 183:20	43:16
timeline 227:16	tracks 196:10	159:21	185:23 191:4	UE-200900 38:6
				43:15
	l	l	l	l

UG-200901 38:6	unfunded 234:21	usage 134:25	174:6 188:23	Volt/VAR 169:4
43:16	union 221:16,17	149:24	213:20	voltage 106:6 107:1
ultimately 66:11	222:8	use 65:17 69:15	values 201:4	107:16
79:3 235:23,25	unison 70:19	71:19 103:17	van 40:15,21 45:2	VOLUME 38:11
umbrella 94:5	unit 44:19		180:10	
		117:8 128:16,22		voluntary 62:4 93:8
uncertainty 91:7 under- 191:2	United 220:5 221:9 units 149:2 197:9	129:4,8 138:13 148:22 149:13	variables 64:20	
			various 68:25	vulnerable 66:4
under-recovered	universe 178:12	169:1,11 170:25	78:14 130:25	67:1,1,4,11 69:18 69:21 177:15
190:16	179:4,13	171:12,15 172:2,3	152:18 157:2	09:21 177:15
underfunded	unmute 60:8	172:6 175:23	196:25,25 227:13	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$
234:22	unmuted 60:7	176:3,14 241:9	vary 188:23 228:23	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 39:7
underlying 76:18	unnecessarily	useful 178:20	veg 212:23 218:17	WA 38:24
101:1 106:5,25	65:22	179:22 228:21	vegetation 153:1	wage 223:16
underpaying 84:16	unquote 70:10	236:4	211:11,14,17,23	wage 223:16 wages 221:16
underrepresented	unresponsive 115:6	user 176:1	212:17,21 213:22	Wajkiki 134:2
174:23	upcoming 68:5	uses 112:15 114:2	214:4,6,20,22	wait 109:13 126:8
understand 48:20	update 53:1 54:2	124:9 127:23	215:21 216:3,19	171:4
65:23 70:17 99:17	70:5,8 71:2 73:4	usual 211:25	Vermillion 199:11	
104:21 109:15	73:13 109:17,25	UTC 90:23	200:20 201:21	waiting 57:16
132:10 149:21	110:18 148:16	utilities 38:2,4,8	Vermillion's 200:4	58:11 96:18
157:20 161:13	162:14 172:5	43:10 61:23 68:10	version 126:4 184:1	105:18
181:9,18 186:16	216:25 244:20	68:24 74:12,15,17	195:11	waive 54:8
204:25 206:8	updated 48:18 49:7	75:5 76:2,15 81:5	versions 47:16	waived 232:16
208:18 212:8	50:10 110:1,3	113:23 114:4,6	versus 63:2 197:7	waiving 53:18
223:5 239:11,14	139:8 141:11	129:4 148:22	199:6,9	54:12,18 180:21
understanding	147:4,8 163:5	175:24 176:5	viable 77:12	want 45:20 46:15
50:2 63:13 74:10	168:16 184:3,5	utility 75:1,16,24	vice 98:10,22	47:23 48:11 50:10
77:23 81:20,23	185:18 186:2,5	77:16 79:7,10	233:24	50:12 51:9,11
82:20,21 83:12	194:19 195:10	112:15 113:18	video 43:13,22,23	60:5 61:22 63:8
95:3 122:15 124:4	206:18 207:5	122:12 127:15	44:2 51:5,8,15	63:12 65:21,23,25
161:11 176:18	244:23	128:18 175:9	55:9,19,20 80:16	66:4,9 67:13
186:13 208:9	updates 48:24	utilize 137:1 138:2	96:3,12 99:12	69:10,19 75:17
225:6 231:22,24	53:22 109:2	utilized 136:25	181:1,13 182:17	79:12 92:6 109:15
239:10	244:18	138:6	182:20 203:6	110:6 119:20
understated 224:3	updating 108:14	$oxed{\mathbf{V}}$	207:19	126:9 150:4,5,8
understood 52:24	109:21 147:11		videoconference	159:3 175:17
66:16 205:16	148:13 168:15	v 38:6	38:15 39:4,8,19	178:7,10 180:13
206:2	upgrade 122:7	valuable 97:5	39:25 40:7,12,18	194:19 205:10
undervalued 84:20	164:13	value 82:10,11,11	40:24 41:6	206:6,6 215:10
unexpected 138:18	upload 243:11	82:12,17,24 84:2	videoconferencing	216:21 221:15
unexpectedly 130:5	uptake 62:17	84:5,8,12,13	43:20	232:8 237:1 240:6
unforeseen 135:7	urban 124:18	85:17,24 92:23	view 71:25 159:19	244:19 245:7
unfortunately	urban/suburban	93:17 102:8,11,14	188:9	wanted 59:3 77:21
130:14 141:22	129:20	102:14 103:3	virtually 244:10	89:12 108:10
221:3 223:8	urgency 136:1	167:6 169:13,17	visit 174:7	162:8 195:9 205:5
		170:12,12 171:20		205:16 230:2
	•	•	•	

				1 age 273
240:16 245:15	115:3 120:19	135:4,5 136:9,11	166:15	wonder 57:25
wants 49:25	121:15 124:11	137:2,6,9 138:1	wishes 46:11	142:19 150:4
warming 147:13	127:23 137:23	138:17 143:15	164:18	175:10
warrants 243:19	139:17 143:11	146:2,21,23 149:7	withstand 118:6	wonderful 94:10
Washington 38:1,4	146:3 147:24	149:17,21 170:22	witness 42:3 45:24	wondering 65:5
39:15,23 40:5,11	148:25 150:22	172:2 175:3	46:3,12,23 47:21	77:14 165:6
43:10 136:17	154:5,6,12,13,14	week's 133:6	48:19 51:14 52:2	wood 118:1 129:2
148:15 173:24	155:3,10 161:15	weeks 50:12,15	53:19,19 54:9	152:25
247:3,7,19	163:12 171:8	136:3 170:21	55:23 56:5 57:4	wording 74:21
Washington's	175:19 176:17	weigh 63:9 73:22	57:10,17 59:21	words 78:4
213:12,25	184:17 188:24	welcome 152:7	60:16 79:22 80:2	work 52:13 59:14
wasn't 74:20	189:11 191:17	218:6 223:2	80:3 99:22 108:25	59:16 65:20 77:18
121:23 218:11	194:24 195:2,13	well-defined	152:9 180:19	78:20,21,24 95:4
227:1	195:16 197:3	176:20	181:1,4 182:4	137:12,12 140:24
wave 73:1,15 94:10	207:15 221:10	went 58:12 228:2	183:16 205:18,23	141:16 142:1,10
170:21 171:6,9	228:25 238:13	228:19 238:16	206:4 208:1,13,21	145:16 146:19
175:18	242:22 243:23	244:12	209:2,6 210:23	147:21 153:11
way 40:16,22 59:16	we've 52:21 53:4	weren't 88:18	212:1,15 214:16	154:7,7,8,14,18
63:5 64:2,2,16	58:22 70:22 71:9	146:25 172:3	215:7 217:10	154:25 155:1,3,20
75:25 78:12,19	78:13 89:18 91:5	Western 44:23 45:2	219:2,9,19 221:22	156:3,5,12 157:23
124:23 126:12	117:15 132:16	46:9 56:13	223:4,18,21	174:15 177:1,13
141:19 155:15,21	133:9 134:11	wild 212:21,21	224:11,22 225:5	210:6 220:10,23
157:5,6,22 161:16	137:14,16 138:6	wildfire 43:19	227:18 229:12,17	221:11
168:10,15 187:14	140:22 142:10	49:24 50:4 153:1	229:21 230:22	Workbench 114:3
188:22 189:9	143:3 149:10	156:20,21,23	232:7,12,15,22	114:8
210:9 215:19	152:7 163:12	157:3 159:7	233:1,18,22 234:8	workbook 109:22
216:20 219:10,20	168:9,13,13,14,16	208:24 210:20,21	234:15,23 235:2,5	worked 68:17,23
220:23 227:20	170:15,15 171:10	210:24 211:5,6,6	235:8 236:2,8,10	76:4 78:13 94:18
239:1 242:4,10	177:1,5 189:22	211:8,16,24 212:4	236:14 237:11,20	187:15
ways 116:3,7	211:1,2,10,11	212:19 213:9,25	239:25 241:4	workforce 149:12
155:11 160:12	228:8 240:7	214:5,12,14,17	243:4 245:12,13	working 61:25
171:21	wear 117:21	217:9 218:7,15,16	245:25	68:10 94:5 135:1
we'll 44:4 51:16,18	weather 118:11,25	219:14,23 220:4	witnesses 43:24	140:9 176:20
51:22 53:5,11	119:10,13 120:12	224:24 226:7	51:6,10,13,14,18	211:2 221:23
61:25 150:25	129:10 147:15,25	227:12,24 228:14	51:19,24 52:1,7,9	works 78:11 144:2
151:1,4 160:16	147:25 148:5,6	228:15,19 230:20	52:23 53:5 55:22	154:10 220:1
165:24 170:21	WEBSTER 41:4	230:23 231:1,10	56:3 61:1 79:21	workshops 211:1
232:25	Wednesday 38:22	231:14,17,20,23	81:1 96:1,5,7,12	world 91:3
we're 43:20 52:17	43:1,7 133:18	wildfires 211:13	96:18,19 97:19,22	worn 117:21
58:11 60:22 61:21	136:15 143:25	218:13	98:4 99:19,21	worried 84:15
64:21 65:14 66:12	152:1 172:10,15	wildlife 159:7 219:6	114:18 119:23	worth 229:17
70:18 76:5,5,20	173:19,23,25	willing 61:17 62:21	131:17 150:6,10	wouldn't 64:6 72:2
77:24 79:24 94:5	week 94:10 129:17	63:24 64:7 84:22	151:1 178:18	191:1 201:18
96:17 105:19	130:3,15 133:11	wire 118:5	208:17 209:13,14	writing 145:7
110:23 112:6	133:16 134:9	wish 73:21,24	244:2 245:8,11,14	X

Yarnall 41: 4 45:16 45:17 47:6.15.18 54:7 57:3.4,24.25 59:21,23 60:2 92:46 65: 78:9 79:8 79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121: 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 92 are 157:11 158:9 167:10 97:1.25 72:3.5.7 82:13 89:22 93:11 134:57 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 193:12 198:21 22:104:22 123:18 134:57 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 193:22 200:15 201:23 24 114.5 200:24 207:1.3 183:24 114.5 200:24 211:4.5 211:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 124:22 1143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 2113:3.12 215:21 216:14 223:18 133:5 14:22 1143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 2113:3.12 215:21 216:14 223:18 233:17 207:20 36:13 18:23 215:2 1170:20 37:9 200:15 201:23:24 1100:42:5.8.11 100:42:5.8.1					
45:17 47:6,15,18 54:7 57:3,4,24,25 59:21,23 60:2 yeah 65:7 78:9 79:8 79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 121:34 190:22,22 199:22 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 221:24,25 129:22 100:22,24 211:4,5 100:22,22 199:21 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,6 17 225:10,4 16,17 225:20 231:21 19 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 20:10 13:31:3 168:8 187:15 200:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 23:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 23:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 111:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 237:18 238:12,18 220:10 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 237:18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 179:42 220:10 135:23 136:18 179:42 220:10,12 237:18 135:25 135:15 7 135:23 136:18 179:42 220:10 14:2 13:5,200 183:25 231:8 144:4 14:44 14:44 14:44:41 15,000 163:13 15,000 163:13 115,000 164:12 179:69,13	Y	0.0849 101:17	13928 38:24	196:6 197:8,12	27 46:14
45:17 47:6,15,18 54:7 57:3,4,24,25 59:21,23 60:2 yeah 65:7 78:9 79:8 79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5.7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 188:14 190:22,22 198:21,2 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 211:7,22 212:24 210:12 227:18 221:11,41,61,7 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 yea	Yarnall 41:4 45:16		13th 50:25	198:23,25 199:5,8	28,000 189:12
54:7 57:3,4,24,25 59:21,23 60:2 79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,244 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 221:13:18,133:21 104:13:13,12 215:21 216:14 1170:7 105:18 130:18 104:44:4 15:500:163:13 1648:29,924 17:20 106:41:2 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 179:00:164:12 184:20:14 184:40 184:23 184:10 184:23 184:10 184:42 184:23 184:10 184:42 18			14 46:14 77:6	199:14 200:4	29 194:12
59:21,23 60:2 yeah 65:7 78:9 79:8 79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 year's 88:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:81 87:15 200:12 113,3,12 215:22 116:14 221:10,12 234:18 133:16,18 135:19:16 020:5 104:81:10 144:4 158:719 102:11 15,000 163:13 17:50 40:16,22 179:40:6,9,12 179:40:6,9,12 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:6,9,12 179:40:6,9,12 179:40:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:40:16,22 179:40:16,22 179:40:16,9,12 179:40:16,9,12 170:40:16,22 179:40:16,9,12 103:20 104:3	, ,		14th 50:6 143:25	213:5,8,10,18	295,000 188:25
yeah 65:7 78:9 79:8 79:9 93:25 102:5 1.03 184:21 1.36 199:16 200:5 104:19 113:13 1.35 199:16 200:5 200:24 1.52 13:10 17:000 163:13 16.48:2,9.24 17:156:11 17:000 164:12 17:30 150:25 151:5 152:8 1:30 150:25 151:5 152:8 1:32 152:2 104:51 179:6,9.13 179:6,9.13 179:46,9.13 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:20 104:3 179:12 103:20 104:3 103:	, , ,	, ,	144:4	214:12,15,21,23	2nd 164:23
79:9 93:25 102:5 104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 104:618 187:15 105:83 108:13 168:8 187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:8 187:15 200:12 11:3,3,12 168:13 14:2,9,24 179:6,9,13 17:76:04:16:60 184:20 179:6,9,13 179:6,9,13 179:6,9,13 188:19:15 188 19:15 188 42:14 184 201:2 188 19:15 188 42:14 184 201:2 188 42:14 184 201:2 188 42:14 184 201:2 188 42:14 184 201:2 199:22 22:10,12 23:25 1985:186:24 2022:17,21 22:25 202:18:22 22:10,13 22:18:18:28 202:18:22 22:10,13 22:18:18:28 202:18:22 22:10,13 22:18:18:28 202:18:22 22:10,13 22:18:18:28 202:18:22	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15 87:19 102:11	227:16,22 231:8	
104:19 113:13 121:1 141:18 157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,244 211:4,16,17 221:22,24 211:4,5 221:17,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:13 31:8 136:16 170:7 121:13 31:1 104:6,18 164:21 206:24 207:1,3 222:10,12 237:18 238:2 489:4,8 91:7 107:17 100:15 185:14 190:22,22 200:15 201:23,244 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 years 85:16,22 88:24 89:48,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 102:13 33:12 215:21 16:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 138:199:16 200:24 177:106:11 17,000 164:12 179:6,9,13 1750 40:16,22 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 179:46,9,12 183 191:5 183:14:20:1 183 191:5 183 42:14 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 199:22:17,12 23:25 222:10,12 23:18 222:10,12 23:18 222:10,12 23:18 222:10,12 23:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:17 237:15,18 238:21 13:00:15 179:6,9,13 170:40:16,22 179:46,9,12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 184:20:12 199:22:19 198:24 206:14 199:22:17,12 23:15 202:1	, ,	1.03 184:21	15,000 163:13	231:14,23,25	-
121:1 141:18 1.5 213:10 1		1.35 199:16 200:5	16 48:2,9,24	2021 38:22 43:1,8	3 48:7 103:6 107:4
157:11 158:9 167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3.5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 90:11 year's 1100:12 127:17 102:12 127:17 104:13:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 175040:16,22 179 42:6,9,12 18 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 191:5 184 201:2 199:24 199:25 1985 186:24 1993 186:18 191:9 222:17,21 223:25 223:13 200 106:4:1 293:25 195:10 202:17,21 223:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 23:25 222:17,21 223:25 223:13 200 106:43 210:42:4 222:10,12 224:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 237:18 222:10,12 232:18 222:10,12 232:18 222:10,12 23 232:10 23:10 23 24:14 205		200:24	17 156:11	48:9 49:18 50:6	125:20,20 143:9
167:10 year 50:11 70:9 71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 years 85:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 205:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 238:21,18 240:4,15 year-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 179:6,9,13 109:10,102:10 188:11 142:22 18 191:5 184:10,21 184:20:2 199:24:10,223:5 198:24 206:14 202:17,21 223:25 222:17,21 223:25		1.5 213:10	17,000 164:12	70:20 71:7 72:4	- ' '
This content is a second state of the content is a second state		1:30 150:25 151:5	· ·	72:11,14,20 88:15	3,900 163:13,18
71:25 72:3,5,7 82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 years 85:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yesor-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 133:15:2 1132 152:2 179 42:6,9,12 184 191:5 183 42:14 184 201:2 184 201:2 184 201:2 193:25 195:10 198:24 206:14 199:25 291:3 196:23 300 162:23 30 100:16 2025 228:4 2026 82:5 88:16 2026 82:5 88:16 2027 82:15 88:3,17 2066:69,819 14 2066:69		152:8	1750 40:16,22	103:20 104:3	179:12
82:13 89:21 93:11 134:5,7 156:10 168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 200:15 201:23,24 210:22,24 211:4,5 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 year's 80:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yesor-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 10 45:6,18 164:21 104:6,18 164:21 1206:24 207:1.3 1206:24 207:1.3 1206:24 207:1.3 1206:24 207:1.3 1206:24 207:1.3 1240:15 184.201:2 184.201:2 184.201:2 184.201:2 184.201:2 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1985 186:24 1923:41:7,25 222:17,21 223:25 1985 186:24 1923:41:7,25 222:17,21 223:25 1985 186:24 1923:41:7,25 1923:42:20:14 1923:41:7,25 1923:41:7,25 1923:42:20:14 1923:41:7,25 1923:42:20:14 1923:42:20:14 1923:41:15 1923:42:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:10 193:22:1	, ·	1:32 152:2		108:11 142:22	3.05 192:19
134:5,7 156:10	, ,	10 85:15 93:1,18		152:1 184:10.21	3.07 183:20
168:11 170:15 185:14 190:22,22 198:21,22 199:2 240:15 198:24:17,25 198:24 206:14 222:17,21 223:25 198:28 186:18 191:9 215:14 224:25 10-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 10-4:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13		104:6,18 164:21	183 42:14	184:23 185:2	3.475 101:24
185:14 190:22 22	*	206:24 207:1,3			3:37 246:6
19821,22 199:2 240:15 10-minute 79:25 10-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 10-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 10-772 103:7 10:55 80:1,4 100 42:5,8,11 100 42:5,8,11 100 42:5,8,11 100 42:5,8,11 100 133:16,18 136:16 170:7 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 1240:18 130:8 136:16 18 100 42:5,8,11 100 42		222:10,12 237:18		198:24 206:14	30 70:20 72:1
10-minute 79:25 10-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 215:14 23:15 223:25 224:10,13 224:18 226:10 220-year 83:8 221:16 22-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 221:15:21,23 130:19 222-year 82:15 88:3,17 20-year 83:8 21-year 83:8 22-year 83:	,	240:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		196:23
10-year 83:8 215:14 224:25 227:25 231:3 2022 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70:20 72:15 2022 70:20 70	*	10-minute 79:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	300 162:23
215:14 224:25 211:7,22 212:24 225:11,14,16,17 225:20 231:21 year's 90:11 years 85:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 215:14 224:25 10.772 103:7 10:55 80:1,4 100 42:5,8,11 104 138:15,19 215:11 88:2 185:13 22 2115:21,23 130:19 215:21 22 2115:21,23 130:19 22 2115:21,23 130:19 22 2115:21,23 130:19 215:11 22 22:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 215:14 224:25 10.772 103:7 10:55 80:1,4 100 42:5,8,11 22 2115:21,23 130:19 215:11 22 2115:21,23 130:19 224:18 226:10 222:22 24:10,13 224:18 226:10 2025 228:4 2026 82:5 88:16 89:4 2027 82:15 88:3,17 203 42:14 2027 82:15 88:3,17 203 42:14 2027 82:15 88:3,17 204:11 19:5 206 42:15 207 21 13:15 21 13:15 21 13:15,18 21 13:2 13:15,18 21 13:2 13:15,18 21 13:2 13:15,18 22:1 13:15,18 23:1 13:1 19:5 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 206 42:15 207 21 13:15 206 42:15 207 21 13:15 207 31:1 19:5 361 117:2 364 123:9 32 58:18,21 212:9 212:25 32 100:16 32 140:10 105:	*	10-year 83:8		,	30th 231:3
10.772 103:7 10:55 80:1,4 10:55 80:1,4 100 42:5,8,11 100 42:5,8,11 104 138:15,19 105:133:12 105:133:12 105:133:12 105:133:12 105:133:13 106 133:16,18 136:16 170:7 107 170:6 107 170:6 108 133:17 107 170:6 108 133:17 107 170:6 108 133:17 107 170:6 108 133:17 107 170:6 108 133:17 108 133:17 108 133:17 108 133:17 108 133:17 109 12:12:13 109 12:12:13 109 12:12:13 109 12:13 1	,	215:14 224:25			32 58:18,21 212:9
10:55 10:5	'	10.772 103:7			212:25
year's 90:11 year's 90:12 year's 90:13 year's 90:13 <th< th=""><th></th><td>10:55 80:1,4</td><td></td><td></td><td>320 100:16</td></th<>		10:55 80:1,4			320 100:16
years 85:16,22 88:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 104 138:15,19 105 133:12 215:21,23 130:19 215:21,23 130:19 22,15:21,23 130:19 23,257 165:13 23,257 165:13 20,153:16,23 20,153:17 20,153:18,23:15 20,153:16,23 20,15		100 42:5,8,11		,	321 40:10 105:16
388:24 89:4,8 91:7 102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 105 133:12 105.83 108:13 105.83 108:13 105.83 108:13 106 133:16,18 136:16 170:7 106 133:16,18 136:16 170:7 107 170:6 108 133:17 107 170:6 108 133:17 170:7 100 133:16,18 130:19 200 237:9 100 200 39:23 100 135:23 136:18 2026 82:5 88:16 89:4 105:16 198:4 2027 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:16 89:4 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 88:3,17 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 80:10 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 80:10 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 80:10 105:16 198:4 200 207 82:15 80:10 105:16 100 105:16 100 105:16 100 105:16 100	•	104 138:15,19	2		33 58:19,21
102:12 127:17 105.83 108:13 215:11 89:4 205:13 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 205:13 341 115:14 351 96:24 199:5 351 96:24 199:5 351 96:24 199:5 354 126:3 354 126:3 362 36:12,14 360.664.1186 39:15 362 36:12,14 360.664.1186 39:15 361 117:2 360.664.1186 39:15 361 117:2 364 123:3 364 123:3 367 112:9 3727 40:5 383:12 183:21 383:12 183:21 3727 40:5 383:12 183:21 3727 40:5 383:12 183:21 383:12 183:21 3727 40:5 383:12 183:21 365:20 365:20 365:10 365:10 366:11 366:1		105 133:12	2 115:21,23 130:19		34 194:11 195:2,7
102:12 127:17 132:18 133:8 2,357 165:13 2027 82:15 88:3,17 205:13 142:21 143:13,13 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 200:1 21:3 20:3 200:1 20:3 20:3 200:1 20:3 20:3 200:1	′	105.83 108:13	215:11		195:16 198:4
142:21 143:13,13 136:16 170:7 2.25 199:15,15 203 42:14 35 196:24 199:5 168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 108 133:17 198:24 206 42:15 354 126:3 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 249:18 170:6 200 39:23 206.389.2055 39:24 360.664.1186 39:15 238:2,18 240:4,15 184:3 205:15,19 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 191:12 213:15,18 364 123:3 295:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 134:17,21 142:22 134:17,21 142:22 206.389.2055 39:24 360.664.1186 39:15 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 191:12 213:15,18 364 123:3 367 112:9 3727 40:5 38 38:12 183:21 38 38:12 183:21 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5		106 133:16,18	2,357 165:13		205:13
168:8 187:15 200:1 211:3,3,12 108 133:17 200:1 211:3,3,12 206:24 199:5 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 200 237:9 2000 39:23 206.669.8197 40:11 360.664.1186 39:15 2900 39:23 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 2018 132:18 133:5 2018 132:18 133:5 191:12 213:15,18 364 123:3 205:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 133:12,21 134:1,2 221:18 223:23 367 112:9 135:23 136:18 128 42:5 8 11 164:23 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5		136:16 170:7	2.25 199:15,15	*	341 115:14
108 133:17 200:1 211:3,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 108 133:17 10th 134:13,17,22 200 237:9 2000 39:23 2014 107:25 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 133:12,21 134:1,2 12:30 150:8 12:32 151:7 128 42:5 8 11	· ·	107 170:6	20 153:16,23		35 196:24 199:5
200.1 211.5,3,12 215:21 216:14 222:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 10th 134:13,17,22 2000 39:23 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 2018 132:18 133:5 133:12,21 134:1,2 164:23 2019 132:18 133:5 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 2019 132:18 133:5 164:23 2019 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 133:5		108 133:17	198:24		354 126:3
22:10,12 234:18 235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 21 170:7 12 49:18 170:6 184:3 205:15,19 205:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 12:32 151:7 138:42:5 8 11 2000 39:23 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 133:12,21 134:1,2 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 206.669.8197 40:11 21 72:1 73:11 191:5 191:12 213:15,18 221:18 223:23 367 112:9 3727 40:5 38 38:12 183:21	, ,	10th 134:13,17,22	200 237:9		36 236:12,14
235:17 237:15,18 238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 12 49:18 170:6 184:3 205:15,19 205:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 12:30 150:8 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 2014 107:25 2018 132:18 133:5 133:12,21 134:1,2 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 2019 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5		11 170:7	2000 39:23		360.664.1186 39:15
238:2,18 240:4,15 yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 184:3 205:15,19 205:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 12:32 151:7 138:43:25 8 11 184:3 205:15,19 2018 132:18 133:5 133:12,21 134:1,2 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 2019 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132:18 133:5 2019 132:18 132	ŕ	12 49:18 170:6	2014 107:25		361 117:2
yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 205:20 236:13 12:30 150:8 12:32 151:7 138:42:5 8 11 205:20 236:13 133:12,21 134:1,2 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 164:23 207:12 134:15 227:12 13:15 207:12 13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	184:3 205:15,19	2018 132:18 133:5		364 123:3
yes-or-no 114:24 yesterday 52:10 135:23 136:18 12:30 150:8 12:32 151:7 134:17,21 142:22 164:23 227:16 223:25 3727 40:5 38 38:12 183:21 2010 132:18 133:5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		133:12,21 134:1,2	,	
12:32 151:7 135:23 136:18 12:32 151:7 164:23 2010 132:18 133:5 2010 132:18 133:5	, ·	12:30 150:8			3727 40:5
135:23 130:18 128 42:5 8 11 2010 132:18 132:5 220 42 45 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	, and a second s		*		
			2019 132:18 133:5		
12F1 145·25 184·11 100·2 2404.24 1383 40·10					
yield 108:4	yield 108:4				
Z 164:21 192:14 2020 50:3,5 72:11 25 46:13 82:14	7.		, ,		4
zero 136:16 199:20 193:8,13 72:20 132:18 86:14,14,16 87:1 446:13 48:16 113:7			, and the second		4 46:13 48:16 113:7
zeroing 239:19 130 212:2,10 133:6 134:22 87:4 88:2 90:12 125:8 126:18		*		, ,	125:8 126:18
1300 41:5 142:22 184:10,14 2523 38:24 247:19 161:25 162:10					161:25 162:10
0 134 215:10 184:18 195:10 2525 36.24 247.15 169:19 170:2	0		,		169:19 170:2
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

				Page 281
4.025 214:4	187:4	99220 40:5		
4:00 53:9	7.8 102:22	9 X 126:12		
40 156:9 192:5	7.6 102.22 70 242:17	7/ x 1/20.1/2		
400,000 162:24	737 201:1			
405 235:16	750,000 197:11,22			
44.63 107:12,14	76 195:7,11			
450 40:16,22	77 167:5			
47250 39:14	77.5 134:1			
48 185:23 186:8	78 134:18			
191:4	78.5 134:6			
49 187:3	79 134:19 163:12			
7) 107.3	178:11,12			
5	7th 117:25			
5 49:6 162:9,10,10	/ WI 117.23			
186:12 200:7	8			
225:16 237:8	8 143:12 164:21			
50 82:13 199:18,20	8.5 101:14			
242:18	80 122:13 124:5,10			
503.241.7242 40:17	124:13,16 128:16			
40:23	129:8,24 134:23			
509.495.4316 40:6	80% 122:7			
51 192:21	800 39:22			
53 202:11,12	83 134:5			
54 108:15	85 134:22			
55 131:5	86 134:3			
5th 39:22	894 184:18			
	894,000 184:13			
6	894,646 184:10			
6 49:21,22 60:9				
187:4	9			
6.1 213:2,24 214:3	9 70:7 166:3 171:18			
6.4 212:25 213:23	9:30 53:10 243:25			
60 127:17 192:7	246:2			
60-day 73:13	9:54 43:2			
60-year 127:24	9:55 43:8			
129:2	90 92:23 134:9			
66 129:23 133:23	94612 41:5			
67.92 108:1	95 134:9 209:16			
68 218:18	97201 40:17,23			
68.05 108:4 109:20	98 162:12			
69 195:2,11 205:13	98104 39:23			
7	98110 40:11			
7 38:22 43:1,7	98504 39:15			
49:21 50:9 152:1	99 134:10 167:18			
162:10 164:20,20	179:23			
102.10 104.20,20	99.9 162:22 179:24			
	<u> </u>	I	ı	