0055		
1	BEFORE THE WASHIN	NGTON UTILITIES AND
2	TRANSPORTATI	ION COMMISSION
3		
4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)
5	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
6	Complainant,)
7	vs.) DOCKET NO. UG-060256
8	CASCADE NATURAL GAS) Volume III 55 - 98
9	CORPORATION,)
10	Respondent.)
11		-
12		
13	A prehearing conf	ference in the above matter
14	was held on August 29	,2006, at 6:30 p.m. at 128
15	North Second Street, N	/akima, Washington, before
16	Administrative Law Jud	dge Robert Wallis.
17		
18	The parties were present as	s follows:
19	THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AN COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. T	
20	Attorney General, 1400 Sout Drive Southwest, Post Office	th Evergreen Park
21	Washington 98504; telephone	
22	CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORA MARKHAM A. QUEHRN, Attorney	-
23	Coie, 10885 N.E. Fourth Str Bellevue, Washington, 98004	reet, Suite 700,

25

(425) 635-1402.

Jori Moore, CCR, RPR

PUBLIC COUNSEL, by JUDITH KREBS, Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,

Seattle, Washington, 98164; telephone
(206) 464-6595.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Ρ	R	О	С	Ε	Ε	D	Ι	Ν	G	S

MR. SIDRAN: Good evening. And welcome 2. 3 to a public hearing of the Washington Utilities 4 and Transportation Commission related to a proposed increase in the rates of Cascade Natural 5 6 Gas Company. My name is Mark Sidran. I chair the 7 commission. And I'm joined by my colleague, Commissioner Philip Jones. There is a third 9 commissioner, Patrick Oshe, who is unable to be 10 here tonight. But he will have able to him and 11 I'm sure will review the record of this 12 proceeding. And everything that is said this 13 evening is going to be recorded by our court 14 reporter.

I also want to introduce one of our administrative law judges, in fact, our chief judge, Robert C. Wallis, who will actually be conducting the proceedings this evening and will describe for you in just a moment the procedure that we follow.

I will just say that this is one of two public hearings that we will be holding with regard to this proposed rate increase. The second hearing will be in the Bellingham area, which is also served by Cascade. The public

1	hearing process is an important part of the rate
2	case proceeding. It's not only an opportunity
3	as you will hear in just a moment for the public
4	to comment, there are other ways to submit
5	comments. So if you know someone who is unable to
6	be here tonight or if you choose not to comment
7	tonight but wish to comment later, there are ways
8	to do that. Whether that's through electronic
9	means or through mail, so there are other
10	opportunities to provide comment. We take all of
11	the comments that we receive with regard to the
12	rate case and consider it seriously. We consider
13	all of the testimony that's offered by all the
14	parties throughout the proceeding.
15	This case will, unless there is a settlement
16	of some sort, will go to a hearing, which is
17	somewhat similar to a trial. And that will happen
18	about the first week of October. So that is the
19	time frame. Judge Wallis, as I mentioned, is
20	going to conduct the proceeding and he'll explain
21	that to you in more detail. I will only comment
22	that if there is anyone signed up to testify
23	for whom Spanish is a first language or more
24	comfortable language to provide testimony, we
25	do have with us a Spanish language interpreter,

1	Mr. Carlos Barr, who is standing up. And it you
2	wish to testify in Spanish or have the assistance
3	of Mr. Barr with respect to translation of
4	something that you hear this evening you can
5	contact him by coming up or waving your hand to
6	indicate to him that you'd like his services.
7	And with that, I would like to ask Judge
8	Wallis to get us underway.
9	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you, Chairman
10	Sidran. And welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to
11	this evening's hearing. I have a couple of
12	introductory remarks for the record. This is
13	hearing docket UG-060256, which involves a rate
14	increase request by Cascade Natural Gas. This
15	hearing is being held in Yakima, Washington, on
16	August 29th of the year 2006. My name is Bob
17	Wallis. I am an administrative law judge. I will
18	be presiding this evening.
19	Tonight's hearing is a part of the
20	commission's formal hearing process as it reviews
21	Cascade's request for a rate increase. The
22	commission's job is to decide whether the request
23	for the rate increase under the statute is fair,
24	just, reasonable and sufficient. The purpose of

tonight's hearing is to hear information from you

1	that you think might assist the commissioners in
2	making that decision. This is a hearing and it's
3	not a meeting. There is an opportunity for you to
4	address the commission with the comments that you
5	have. But it is not a forum where you can ask
6	questions of the commissioners on the bench.
7	Instead, we will introduce people early on from
8	the parties that will be available to respond to
9	your questions, so that if you do have any
10	questions you should be able to have those
11	answered before the evening is finished and you go
12	home.

All of the comments this evening will be given under oath. And they will be recorded by the court reporter. Your comments will become part of the formal record that the commission will use to make its decision. There are a number of parties to this proceeding, that is, individuals or institutions that have the status of party that have the right to sit at counsel table and ask questions of others and to present evidence and argument to the commissioners. These include the company, the commission staff and public counsel who are represented here tonight. Those include the Northwest Industrial Gas Uses, the Northwest

1	Energy	Coaliti	on, th	e Energy	Project,	and	Cost
2	Managem	ent Ser	vices	Incorpora	ated.		

I'm going to give a very brief description of the case and its schedule, then I will ask the party's representatives to introduce themselves and staff that they have here that can respond to your questions. And then I will ask them to make a brief statement about their position in the rate case if they care to do so. So let me start off on that process by identifying what the case is about.

Basically, the case is about what the company has presented and the issues that others see in that presentation. Cascade is asking for a rate increase of about \$12 million. The average residential customer would see an increase of about 9 1/2 percent or slightly more than 9 1/2 dollars a month. That's for the average customer. If you're a customer of theirs, your bill might be higher or lower than that.

The issues that have been identified in the case so far include how much are the company's expenses and the company's revenues. What rate of return or profit should the company be allowed to pursue. How should rates for industrial,

	1	residential and commercial customers be set to
	2	make sure that all customers bear the costs of
	3	their own services. Should the company be allowed
	4	to decouple revenues from the volume of gas sold.
	5	This proposal is offered to encourage conservation
	6	by consumers and yet allow the company to earn
	7	sufficient revenues so that it can stay in
	8	business. Should the company be allowed annual
	9	revenues to pay the costs of replacing old gas
1	0	masons and other equipment.

Basically, it all boils down to the decision the commission must make at the end of hearing all that evidence, and that is whether the company is entitled to any increase in its rates. And if so, what level of rates and how should those rates be spread among the customers.

Cascade filed its request in February of this year along with supporting written information.

The other parties have filed testimony and other information in response. And there's going to be another round of written information that will be filed in about two weeks. The commissioners will hold a second public hearing for opinions of members of the public on September 7th in Bellingham. And the formal hearing where the

1	witnesses will present the evidence, the written
2	evidence that's been proposed so far, is going to
3	be held beginning on October 9th in Olympia, and
4	is scheduled for four days of hearing.

As a part of the commission's hearing process, we take evidence from members of the public in sessions such as this and we will continue to accept written comments from the public until Tuesday, October 10th. That's after the start of the evidentiary hearing. All of the written comments that are received will be included in exhibits of public comments. And Ms. Krebs will give you information about how to send those comments to her or to the commission to ensure that they become a part of the record.

Now I'm going to ask counsel to introduce themselves and any representatives that are here with them tonight that might respond to questions. Let's begin with the company.

MR. QUEHRN: Good evening commissioners,
Judge Wallis, counsel, members of the public. My
name is Mark Quehrn. I'm here this evening on
behalf of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation. With
me this evening I have Mr. David Stevens, the
company's president and chief executive officer;

25

1	I have Mr. John Stolts, senior vice president of
2	regulation and gas supply. We also have a number
3	of other representatives of the company here this
4	evening. We're here to listen and to respond to
5	questions at an appropriate time and in an
6	appropriate manner. We do not have a prepared
7	statement this evening. And we're prepared to
8	proceed.
9	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you, Mr. Quehrn.
10	Would you ask your staff people to if they
11	would raise their hands so that members of the
12	audience would know who to approach if they have a
13	question for the company?
14	MR. QUEHRN: Sure.
15	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you very much.
16	For commission's staff.
17	MR. TRAUTMAN: Thank you. Good evening,
18	commissioners, Judge Wallis, and members of the
19	public. I'm Greg Trautman, Assistant Attorney
20	General, representing the commission staff. And
21	with me here tonight in the last row in the back
22	of the room we have Gene Wa, who is the
23	assistant director for energy, and I also have

Mike Parvinen of the energy commission staff. And

they are both available to answer whatever

25

For public counsel.

1	questions	you	might	have	at	the	conclusion	of	the
2	hearing.								

3	We just have a brief statement to make. And
4	we would note that the company has asked in this
5	case for a revenue increase of approximately
6	\$11.7 million. And staff has filed its direct
7	opening testimony in the case. And staff is
8	recommending that there be an increase of only
9	\$1.6 million in total, which is approximately
10	10 million less than the company requests. And
11	that would be made up of approximately a \$250
12	million decrease in regular rates and an increase
13	of about \$1.8 million of various miscellaneous
14	charges. We also agree with the company's method
15	to so-call decouple certain revenues in a way that
16	would not discourage conservation. We agree
17	with that as a laudable goal. We, however, reject
18	the proposals by the company to eliminate the
19	risk that is currently on the company for changes
20	in weather and also proposals that would allow
21	them to track their infrastructure changes and
22	make changes in the rates without coming in for a
23	general rate case. Thank you.

JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you, Mr. Trautman.

1	MS. KREBS: Yes. Thank you
2	commissioners, Judge Wallis, representatives from
3	the company and staff. My name is Judy Krebs,
4	and I'm an Assistant Attorney General representing
5	the public counsel section of the Attorney
6	General's office. I too have some comments if
7	this is the appropriate time.
8	JUDGE WALLIS: Please proceed.
9	MS. KREBS: Thank you. I won't
10	reiterate the overall rate increase that is sought
11	by the company, \$11.7 million. There's discussion
12	about that in our fact sheet as it describes. If
13	you didn't get a fact sheet there's some at the
14	back table exactly how that rate increase breaks
15	down for residential customers.
16	I want to focus a little bit more on the fees
17	because some of that needs to be kind of specific.
18	Cascade is asking for increases in existing
19	service charges and new fees that are fairly
20	substantial. For the existing services there are
21	increases requested anywhere between 100 to 200
22	percent. And there are new fees, that is things
23	that are now zero dollars that are going up or
24	being asked for in a very substantial way. And so
25	there are some examples in the fact sheet. For

1	instance, the current disconnection service charge
2	is \$8. And it would go up to \$25 under the
3	company's proposals. The account activation fee,
4	meaning that you open an account with Cascade, now
5	zero dollars. Understandable. You're joining the
6	company. You're starting out. Now the company's
7	asking for \$32 as an activation charge. All
8	together the company is asking for over a million
9	dollars in new and existing service fees and
10	service charges and fees.

One of the questions that we point out here is, well, if the company gets even a \$1.6 million rate increase, which is what staff is proposing, you know, can we expect that to be it for awhile? And the answer is likely not. The mechanisms that have been discussed, and we call them tracker mechanisms as a way of helping folks understand, they are accounting methods in which one very specific aspect of the company's books is tracked. And the way rate-making traditionally worked is when a company comes in for a rate case, a general rate increase as this is, all of their accounts are on the table. Their expenses and their revenues. And there's a balance of what that is. And if revenues don't match up with

1	expenses then they perhaps need more revenue. In
2	this case where trackers are proposed that doesn't
3	happen. What happens is a specific need is
4	identified. This expense went up or this revenue
5	went down, and we're just going to fill that hole
6	and we're not going to look at everything. So not

everything is on the table.

There's been a lot of discussion about decoupling as one of the trackers. So there's two kinds, infrastructure, tracker and decoupling tracker. And both act the same way in that the company is able to at the end of the year seek recovery of what they need to get under the proposal. And that will be an increase in rates. It will be a general rate case. It will be a surcharge on your rates.

A lot of the discussion around decoupling has focused on conservation. And public counsel strongly, strongly supports conservation.

Absolutely needs to happen. The shortage of natural gas in this country is declining. The prices will continue to go up. However, this is not the right proposal for conservation. There is no requirement in the company's proposal that they will do any level of conservation. And the

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	company has a very poor record of conservation.
2	So public counsel stands on the decoupling
3	proposal as we recommend the commission reject
4	it.

The other positions we have taken in the case, and this is based on the experts we've retained and what they have recommended from a policy perspective, is also one of the recommendations we have made is in regards to the changes in or the shifting of rates from industrial customers to residential customers. Ιt is essentially -- the question is how do you assign responsibility for the overall cost of service. And we believe the company has taken a somewhat unprecedented, extreme and unwarranted approach by shifting -- significantly shifting industrial rates in which industrial rates are lowered and residential rates are increased. So those are just some of the -- those are some of the issues.

We support staff on a couple of other issues including their proposed decreases in revenue requirement and their recommendations on rate of return.

Public counsel is the conduit through which

1	residential rate payers and small business
2	customers can participate in this case. Folks can
3	comment, as you heard, up until October 10th. On
4	the fact sheet there are a number of ways to
5	comment. We also have our phone numbers and our
6	address by which you could reach us. And if you
7	have any questions we're happy to answer them
8	today or in the future. And we really hope that
9	you will both comment here today, if you haven't
10	signed up to comment, as well encourage others to
11	submit comments. Thank you very much.
12	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you, Ms. Krebs.

At this point we're going to prepare for the public testimony. I would like to point out that even though Mr. Barr is seated at counsel table, he is not appearing tonight as a lawyer for any of the parties. He is the Spanish interpreter. And if you do prefer to speak in Spanish, when you address the commission he is here to assist you with that and to translate your comments. And the court reporter will take it down in English. I would suggest as we go into the public comment portion of tonight's session that if you plan to speak, you think for a few minutes about what you want to say and organize your thoughts and try to

1	be concise in how you present things. I think
2	you'll find that doing so will make your comments
3	stronger and more understandable to the people who
4	are listening to you. If you want to make a
5	comment that is the same as opinions presented,
6	information presented by a former speaker, it is
7	okay to identify that speaker. It has just as
8	much force as though you were repeating them.

would like to give to the commission, please pass the information in writing that you want to present. It is part of an exhibit that is presented to the commission. Along those lines, I would like to note for the record that Ms. Krebs did identify a fact sheet. And I will ask that Ms. Krebs add that document to the written documents that are a part of your exhibits so that the record will be clear about the content of that fact sheet.

Now we did mention that we are going to ask those who testify tonight to testify under oath. That is a state law. And it requires us to do that. So if you have signed up to testify or if you believe you might testify please stand now and raise your right hand.

1	(OATH GIVEN)
2	MR. WALLIS: We are working from a
3	sign-up sheet, if you now or at any time during
4	the session this evening have changed your mind
5	and now want to testify, whereas you didn't think
6	so earlier, it's quite all right to go back to
7	Ms. Johnson and sign up. She'll bring that
8	information up to me and we will make sure you
9	have that opportunity.
10	So now I'd like to begin. And the first
11	person who's identified a desire to testify is
12	Bob Ponti. Would you step forward, please.
13	Now as you begin, I would like to ask you a
14	couple of introductory questions. First of all,
15	would you state your name and spell your last name
16	for the court reporter.
17	MR. PONTI: My name is Bob Ponti,
18	P-o-n-t-i.
19	JUDGE WALLIS: Where do you live,
20	Mr. Ponti?
21	MR. PONTI: I live in Zillah,
22	Washington.
23	JUDGE WALLIS: Are you a Cascade
24	customer?

MR. PONTI: Yes, I am.

1	JUDGE WALLIS: And are you testifying on
2	your own behalf tonight or as the representative
3	of a group or organization?
4	MR. PONTI: As a representative of my
5	organization, which is the Northwest Community
6	Action Center in Toppenish.
7	JUDGE WALLIS: Please proceed with your
8	comments.
9	MR. PONTI: All right. The Northwest
10	Community Action Center is an affiliate of the
11	Yakima Valley Farm Workers Clinic. My job is as
12	an administrator to weatherization and energy
13	assistance serving low income people in the
14	Yakima, greater Yakima, Lower Yakima Valley area,
15	excuse me. We are one of 29 weatherization
16	programs in the State of Washington. And our
17	protection on a yearly basis is approximately 95
18	homes. The cost to provide weatherization
19	services can range from \$2,000 up to \$12,000. I
20	leverage funds from Department of Energy, from
21	Health and Human Services, from state energy
22	matchmaker funds and also from Pacific Power
23	funds. At energy assistance we serve about 3,500
24	families in a year. And that's actually 13,000
25	individuals. And the average benefit to a family

- is about \$345 for a year, for a season.
- 2 We're able to -- we have funding levels that
- 3 allow us to serve approximately an estimated 15
- 4 percent of the eligible people in our part of the
- 5 county. Through energy assistance I administer
- 6 light/heat funds, which are federal funds, and the
- 7 Pacific Power program, which is a rate reduction
- 8 assistance. So total, we're touching about 3,500
- 9 families.
- I would like to agree with Ms. Krebs'
- 11 comments. Especially our concern for low income
- 12 folks on the fee increases for our customers, for
- our clients. And the main point of consideration,
- and I'll wrap up here pretty quickly, but we want
- 15 to congratulate Cascade Natural Gas on their
- 16 participation. They've voluntarily come to the
- 17 table to help the low income folks through a
- 18 program we call -- that's called Winter Help. And
- last year that produced \$7,000 for our
- organization. And we're able to serve about 44
- 21 families with the benefit there.
- 22 Last November they instituted a
- 23 weatherization program that puts about a thousand
- 24 dollars -- well, actually, a maximum of a thousand
- dollars towards the weatherization program. My

1	concern there is that with that contribution on	a
2	project that can range up to \$12,000, there is n	ot
3	a lot of incentive to nursue	

The other comparison I have is working with Pacific Power. Their contribution is 50 percent of the project up until energy matchmaker funds are exhausted. And then they cover 100 percent. So that's the comparison I have. I'm not -- we need to work together on some kind of an equitable situation with Cascade. We would like to -- when we leverage other funds it makes it more palatable to our funding agencies.

We would propose through this process if a rate increase is granted, and I don't know the actual breakdown of the proposal by Cascade as to their \$800,000 that they intend to send towards low income folks, but we would like to partner with them to develop those programs. We think we have some input that makes them effective. And without them being delegated from the company to us to administer. So we would propose that partnership and look forward to whatever comes from that.

JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you, Mr. Ponti.

Let me ask if there are questions for Mr. Ponti.

- 1 Let the record show that there are none. Thank
- 2 you for your testimony.
- 3 The next person on our list is Jim McLean.
- 4 Please step forward.
- 5 Please state your name and spell your last
- 6 name for the court reporter.
- 7 MR. MCLEAN: Jim McLean. M-c-L-e-a-n.
- JUDGE WALLIS: Where do you live,
- 9 Mr. McLean?
- MR. MCLEAN: Yakima.
- 11 JUDGE WALLIS: Are you a Cascade
- 12 customer?
- MR. MCLEAN: I am.
- 14 JUDGE WALLIS: And are you testifying on
- 15 your own behalf tonight or as a representative of
- 16 a group?
- MR. MCLEAN: On my own behalf.
- JUDGE WALLIS: Please proceed.
- 19 MR. MCLEAN: I think we're here for one
- 20 reason, the greed of a company that has no
- 21 competitors and gets a healthy rate of return.
- 22 If they get what they are asking for, I'll sell
- 23 all my stocks and buy theirs.
- 24 Their proposal rate increases as far as
- 25 signing up and getting off natural gas and that

1	sort of thing is going to rip the poor here in
2	town. I mean, they have to move more often. And
3	you know, those fees are extremely high for the
4	poor people. It is really ridiculous.
5	The decoupling, I mean, if the price of
6	natural gas goes up I agree, I'll pay more. But
7	these the rate of increase should have to do
8	with the rise of natural gas. It has in the past
9	not any type of decoupling or anything else. If
10	it goes up I'll pay more. But a guaranteed rate
11	of return of over nine percent is extremely
12	high. They don't have competitors. Their
13	shareholders will suffer a little bit if the
14	weather is good for them. But we suffer a lot
15	more if the weather is bad. And I encourage you
16	to reject their proposals on behalf of the small
17	guys. Yakima is a poor town and they are going to
18	fill their pockets on this deal. And it is not
19	going to do any good to the residents of this
20	city. Zero.
21	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you. Are there
22	questions for Mr. McLean?
23	Let the record show that there are none.
24	Thank you for appearing.

MR. MCLEAN: Thank you for listening,

23

24

25

1	gentlemen.
2	MR. WALLIS: The next person I believe
3	is Nichola Wiley.
4	MS. WILEY: Hi. How long do I have?
5	JUDGE WALLIS: Concise remarks.
6	MS. WILEY: I will try my very best. I
7	kind of timed myself. It was like eight minutes.
8	I condensed it to almost three minutes.
9	JUDGE WALLIS: Could you state your name
10	and spell your last name?
11	MS. WILEY: My name is Nichola Wiley.
12	And it is spelled W-i-l-e-y.
13	JUDGE WALLIS: Could you spell your
14	first name?
15	MS. WILEY: Nichola, N-i-c-h-o-l-a.
16	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you. Where do you
17	live?
18	MS. WILEY: Zillah, Washington.
19	JUDGE WALLIS: And are you a Cascade
20	customer?
21	MS. WILEY: Yes.

JUDGE WALLIS: Are you testifying

MS. WILEY: As a representative of an

tonight on your own behalf or as the

representative of a group or an organization?

22

23

24

25

1	organization.
2	JUDGE WALLIS: What organization is
3	that?
4	MS. WILEY: Northwest Community Action
5	Center and the program Be Smart Energy
6	Education.
7	JUDGE WALLIS: Is this the same program
8	Mr. Ponti represents?
9	MS. WILEY: He works at Northwest
10	Community Action Center, but we have a different
11	program.
12	JUDGE WALLIS: Fine. Please proceed.
13	MS. WILEY: Okay. Here I go. Can
14	everyone hear me? Here we go.
15	JUDGE WALLIS: People in the back are
16	nodding yes.
17	MS. WILEY: Okay. My name is Nichola
18	Wiley. And my teaching partner is behind over
19	there, her name Leanne Morris. And we work
20	for Northwest Community Action Center. As most

of you know, Northwest Community Action Center

economically disadvantaged individual and families

is a division of Yakima Valley Farm Workers

to help them have a better quality of life.

Clinic. They provide programs for the

25

1	Our program is again called Be Smart Energy
2	Education. We contact 1,600 sixth graders in the
3	Lower Yakima Valley. We go into eight school
4	districts. We have 61 classes. And we teach
5	energy education with an emphasis of saving
6	energy.
7	As far as details of our program, we'd be
8	more than happy to explain that at a later time.
9	In a nutshell, we're there for five days all
10	together. There's a three-day presentation, then
11	we have a one-day follow-up during spring time and
12	then we have a year-end assembly where prizes are
13	awarded to winning students and teens for their
14	efforts.
15	Anyhow, one thing I wanted to say what we do
16	is we provide energy kits to each student. And we
17	also have them complete surveys because we want to
18	see what they've done before they receive the
19	energy kits. And then when we come back during
20	follow-up we want to see if there's been a change
21	in behavior.
22	In these energy kits there's all kinds of
23	energy items such as compact fluorescent

light bulb, five-minute shower coach, energy

saving aerator. There's nine items all

T	together. I love this program. I have a passion
2	for it. Because students are empowered with
3	information and tools to help their families do
4	something positive. As a result, families are
5	saving energy and saving money. But not only do
6	families benefit from this program, but utility
7	carriers also do too. The reason why I know this
8	is because our program is monitored by a
9	collection data agency, called Quantech, and they
10	monitor our success of our program via the surveys
11	that we receive from the students. As a result,
12	this last year when we had our meeting there was a
13	cost benefit ratio for natural gas and water, and
14	that was for every dollar that was spent in our
15	program, \$3.30, there was a savings of \$3.30
16	for natural gas and water. And the very exciting
17	thing for Cascade Natural Gas was we found that
18	there was a savings of \$35,000 for them through
19	our program.
20	Something that you should know, in our
21	program students and their families have a
22	combination of utilities comparing Pacific Power
23	and Cascade Natural Gas. The only utility that is
24	funding our program is Pacific Power. They fund

\$122,917 a year. We have a two-year contract with

1	them. It is ending March 31st of 2007. But
2	because our program has been successful, it's
3	promising that the contract will be renewed.

I know that there's an energy crunch. We all have felt that in our pocketbooks. There are people who live in poverty. And it's been really tough for them. And more than anything, some of them have to commute to work and, of course, the gas prices are pretty bad. So they are really hurting.

There's two things I would like for you to consider. One, I always think about a successful businessman, a wise, successful businessman will do everything in his power to carry -- what's the word I'm looking for -- carry a community service oriented image. And that's something that you need to think about what your image is.

And secondly, the least expensive energy is the energy we don't have to produce. And that is why I believe energy conservation education is so vital and is a must. We would like to invite Cascade Natural Gas to hop on board in helping programs like ours that are all ready in place, that are all ready successful, but most importantly, they are saving energy for Cascade

- 1 Natural Gas and their customers. Thank you very
- 2 much.
- JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you. Any
- 4 questions?
- 5 MR. SIDRAN: Yes. Ms. Wiley, I have a
- 6 question. I just want to clarify what I think I
- 7 heard. When you mention the \$35,000 savings for
- 8 Cascade, was that due to --
- 9 MS. WILEY: For this year.
- 10 MR. SIDRAN: -- avoided uncollectibles?
- 11 In other words --
- MS. WILEY: That was not used. I
- 13 believe that was not used. In other words --
- 14 here, I have a little thing. It says here
- 15 benefits -- it says dollar savings natural gas
- 16 \$35,000. If you would like I have a rough draft
- of the evaluation. But we're going to be getting
- the official one very shortly. I would be more
- 19 than happy to send it along your way. So if you
- 20 have specific questions I can answer that later
- 21 for you.
- MR. SIDRAN: Thank you. If you'd submit
- 23 that that would help me understand what the source
- of the savings is.
- 25 MS. KREBS: If you submit it to public

them.

1	counsel we will put in the record.
2	MS. WILEY: Thank you.
3	MR. JONES: I have a question. These
4	energy kits, are they provided in Spanish as well
5	as English? And what percentage of your outreach
6	to the sixth graders is to Spanish-speaking kids?
7	MS. WILEY: I would say mostly all. I
8	would say Caucasians are a minority. And yes, we
9	do provide information in Spanish. We provide
10	something I did not mention, of the 122,000,
11	that does not include the cost of the energy kits
12	or the handbooks that we provide either in English
13	or Spanish. The surveys are either in English or
14	Spanish. And we provide a letter to the parents
15	in Spanish and English. On one side English, the
16	other so yes, we do meet the needs of the
17	Hispanic community.
18	MR. JONES: Have you approached Cascade
19	Natural Gas for funding and what has their answer
20	been?
21	MS. WILEY: No, we never have. This is
22	our first approach. We're inviting them. We'd
23	be more than happy to show them what we have for

MR. JONES: What is the overall source

1	of your funding?
2	MS. WILEY: Pacific Power provides
3	122,000 for us. There are other programs, too.
4	There's a program over here in Yakima through OIC
5	that is growing that needs help. Then there's one

- 6 over in Walla Walla. But we'd be more than happy
- 7 to talk to anybody. I even have an energy kit
- 8 with me to show.
- 9 MR. JONES: Thank you. I would be very 10 interested in the five-minute shower coach for my
- 11 14-year-old.
- Ms. Krebs, when you receive that be sure to issue that in the exhibit of written materials.
- 16 Thank you.
- The next person on our list is Mike

 Morrisette. Would you state your name and spell

 your last name for the court reporter, please.
- 20 MR. MORRISETTE: Mike, and last name is 21 spelled M-o-r-r-i-s-e-t-t-e.
- JUDGE WALLIS: Can you tell us where
- you live, please.
- MR. MORRISETTE: Yes. I reside at 708
- 25 South 87th Avenue here in Yakima.

1	JUDGE WALLIS: Are you a Cascade
2	customer?
3	MR. MORRISETTE: I am.
4	JUDGE WALLIS: And are you testifying
5	on your own behalf tonight or are you representing
6	a group or organization?
7	MR. MORRISETTE: I'd like to do both, if
8	it is permitted, as a customer as well as
9	representing the Greater Yakima Chamber of
10	Commerce.
11	JUDGE WALLIS: Please proceed.
12	MR. MORRISETTE: Thank you. Well, first
13	of all, as the chamber president, let me welcome
14	you to Yakima. And I've been talking to
15	Ms. Johnson about some great places for you to
16	eat following the meeting tonight.
17	JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you.
18	MR. MORRISETTE: I'm here on behalf of
19	myself and my organization, the Greater Yakima
20	Chamber of Commerce, in support of Cascade Natural
21	Gas general rate case before you. I have both
22	pragmatic and maybe non-pragmatic reasons for
23	taking that position. I'll start with the
24	non-pragmatic.
25	I think sometimes in making decisions like

1	you must make in these cases, that you need to
2	look at the heart and soul of a company and how it
3	is performing outside the parameters of their
4	rates structure. I have been a chamber executive
5	in Snohomish County, South King County and Spokane
6	as well as here in Yakima. And I have worked with
7	a lot of utility providers. And they have all
8	been great companies. I have to say since I have
9	arrived in Yakima over a year ago, it seems like
10	100 years, but over a year ago I have really been
11	impressed with their performance as a corporate
12	a good corporate neighbor.

We find Cascade Natural Gas employees imbedded in practically all of our service clubs, churches and so forth. And they have taken leadership positions to improve our community and as contributors to our charitable, nonprofit needs.

I find that this is an important aspect of a company insofar that it is not a program that they have that really creates a return on their investment in terms of revenues and so forth.

Maybe some public relations benefits. But they seem to go well beyond that norm when you compare them with the other companies that I have worked

1 with around the Northwest.

2. I think one case in particular kind of 3 impressed me. And I have only read about this and 4 that was enough. Back in 2003 a Cascade employee construction crew was on their way to a job. And 5 6 driving through the neighborhoods they came upon a 7 house that was on fire. And they stopped, of course. And one of the kids in the neighborhood 9 thought that there might be somebody in the house. 10 And the fire department had been called but hadn't 11 arrived yet. The two men in question jumped out 12 of their car and found a way to get into that 13 house. And crawling under the smoke, it was 14 pretty well-engaged, the fire was pretty well 15 engulfing the house, and were able to find one 16 woman, drag her out and save her live. That was because the training that they got they were 17 18 able to execute that rescue successfully. Also, I find that they are eager to work with our fire 19 20 department and police department in working 21 through training exercises and so forth that have 22 to do with public safety and so forth. I felt 23 tonight they just need to get credit for some of 24 those maybe intangible contributions that they make to our community that you don't often know 25

- 1 about.
- 2 I also want to talk about the pragmatic side
- 3 of it. I want to talk about economic development
- 4 a little bit. I firmly believe that quality of
- 5 life starts with a good paycheck from a
- 6 progressive, successful company that has good
- 7 prospects and that can advance its employees and
- 8 itself and so forth and so on. In terms of
- 9 economic development, I can tell you from my
- 10 vantage point as president of our local chamber
- 11 here, that the Yakima Valley is on the verge of
- 12 really growing and growing rapidly here in the
- next decade. All the conditions that need to be
- 14 present to attract new investment and jobs are
- 15 here already. We have land at very affordable,
- 16 competitive prices. And a lot of it has already
- been through an environmental impact statement.
- 18 A lot of it is already special economic districts
- 19 and so forth.
- 20 We have a very good work force, very
- 21 trainable work force. Very competitive prices as
- 22 well. We have the ability -- if you come from the
- 23 west side, my previous job was in Burien,
- 24 Washington. I spent a lot of time in Seattle. We
- 25 have no abilities here is which this is a big deal

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

L	right now in terms of just inventories and time to
2	market. We have a lot of folks that arrived in
3	town just in the last two years that have put
4	options in property here, over 600 acres with
5	options on it. The availability of inexpensive,
5	competitive energy has always put Washington, and
7	I think the Northwest, it's given us a cutting
3	edge when we're competing with other markets in
9	the east and southeast and southwest.

And I want to always as a chamber guy I want to make sure that we have that availability and we have the structure and infrastructure in place so that we can deliver that product quickly to serve these customer investments that's coming and need a quick turnaround time, both permits and in terms of having access to the infrastructure to get the gas.

So the flexibility that our utility companies need to accomplish that requires that they have sufficient capitol in reserve to be able to step up to bat when, of course, six months a new project arrives at the municipal city hall or the county planning department and away you go.

That's extremely important to us here.

I do support the decoupling concepts here

1	because any effort to remove the disincentive from
2	a company to encourage conservation of the very
3	product they sell to me sounds like a good idea.
4	Just plain good idea. Gas and power supply,
5	conservative efforts are kind of contrary to the
6	basic business principle which is to gain more
7	customers and provide more service and develop
8	more product and profit and then reinvest that
9	profit into your infrastructure and into your
10	company. So I have to say that from a business
11	point of view, gentlemen, I have to disagree with
12	Ms. Krebs.

I also notice from Ms. Krebs' testimony that there's a discrepancy. And I got a little bit of a report here about the rate increases by category. And I see a large volume for firms actually do go down 6.13 percent. And there are some adjustment upwards for residential, which will effect me personally. But I have to point out to you that this very category is the very category that we have targeted in our vision for economic development efforts. In fact, it is the kind of company which has already been here in our community because it does create jobs, and it does produce a few jobs in one stroke. And I

- think that it would be a wonderful incentive that
- we could use in our economic development efforts
- 3 to make Yakima Valley even more competitive in our
- 4 state, even more competitive in terms of
- 5 attracting new investment here. And I think
- 6 that's something we need to keep in the forefront
- of our thinking when we address a rate increase.
- 8 That's about all I have to say.
- 9 MR. WALLIS: Thank you. Were there
- 10 questions?
- 11 MR. KREBS: I have one.
- 12 Mr. Morrisette, thank you for your comments. I
- just want to ask you one: You mention sufficient
- 14 capitol. You support Cascade's proposal for a
- 15 11.15 return on equity in this case, do you think
- that's appropriate?
- MR. MORRISETTE: Yes.
- MS. KREBS: Thank you.
- 19 MR. WALLIS: Anything further? It
- 20 appears not. Thank you for appearing. You're
- 21 excused.
- The last person that I have on the list that
- I have is Katrine Smith. Would you step forward,
- 24 please.
- MS. SMITH: Good evening.

25

1	MR. WALLIS: Thank you. Would you state
2	your name and spell both your first well, spell
3	your first name.
4	MS. SMITH: My name is Katrine,
5	K-a-t-r-i-n-e.
6	MR. WALLIS: Thank you. Where do you
7	live?
8	MS. SMITH: In the Tri-Cities.
9	MR. WALLIS: Are you a Cascade customer?
10	MS. SMITH: I am.
11	MR. WALLIS: And are you testifying on
12	your own behalf tonight or as the representative
13	of a group or organization?
14	MS. SMITH: I'm testifying on behalf of
15	Special Olympics Washington.
16	MR. WALLIS: Please proceed.
17	MS. SMITH: Special Olympics Washington
18	is a statewide organization or, actually,
19	statewide, national and international company.
20	My role is to administrate all of Eastern
21	Washington. Special Olympics Washington is a
22	5013C nonprofit company serving currently over
23	8,000 athletes. And with a much larger base that

is eligible for our program here in Washington.

We serve our athletes at no fees to our athletes

1	or their families. Most of our athletes work in
2	low income jobs or are unemployed due to
3	disabilities that make them harder to employ or
4	most are unemployable due to profound
5	disabilities. They live in and work well below
6	the poverty level, to say the least. They are
7	some of our truly most needy citizens within

communities throughout the state.

However, we're able to provide power programs through individual and corporate donations, grants and foundations. We receive no state or federal funding.

Also, the way we provide our program is at a grass roots level. By using volunteers to run and organize our events and competitions as well as seeking out in kind donations of items and services so that we're able to lower the cost of our program.

Cascade Natural Gas has been a longstanding corporate and community partner of ours, making this a possibility. They give both financially as well as with employees working and volunteering at our events.

I would agree with the comments from the gentleman with the Yakima Chamber. The employees

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

L	of Cascade Natural Gas live and work in the
2	communities throughout Eastern Washington and the
3	areas that they serve. And because of that they
1	are giving both as individuals and of their time
5	to organizations and charities that they have
5	placed value with. And we are honored to be one
7	of those charities.

Cascade Natural Gas is a benevolent and philanthropic company that gives generously to our organization and several communities, and we're honored to be partners with them. It's also important to note that as Cascade Natural Gas donates to these companies and services in these communities, that it's truly their way of giving back to the community and making an impact on low income participants in ways that a lot of people just don't realize. There's so many charities and athletes and people that are living at poverty level that we see only sometimes you need to give them food and housing and they do that also. But they go even beyond that and make sure that they have a livelihood and experience a life that's rich and what we sometimes take for granted with our ability to pay for those services and recreation. Those services aren't provided any

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0096	
1	other way. But it is companies like Cascade
2	Natural Gas that allow us to continue doing so.
3	Thank you. And I hope that you'll look at them as
4	a whole corporate and community partner, not just
5	the rates and fees that they may charge.
6	MR. WALLIS: Thank you. Are there
7	questions? It appears that there are none.
8	The questions that I'm calling for are
9	questions from either from the lawyers or from the
10	commissioners right now. If you have a question
11	of Ms. Smith, please talk with her at the
12	conclusion of the session.
13	Now there are no other persons who signed up
14	to testify. If you are our mystery guest would
15	you sign in now, please. Otherwise, we are
16	approaching the conclusion of tonight's session.

Is there anyone who would like to testify who has not so far? Let the record show that no one is indicating affirmatively. We will close tonight's hearing with that and we'll encourage those of you who would like to add your comments to send them to Ms. Krebs in care of the locations that are specified on the sheet that she has distributed. And again, thank you for coming this evening. Thank you. Thank you for your

```
0097
1
         participation. If you do have questions of the
         commission staff, of public counsel or of the
2
         company or their representatives, now's your
4
         chance to do that. With that this hearing session
         is concluded.
5
6
         (WUTC HEARING CONCLUDED)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

0098	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	As Court Reporter, I hereby certify that the
4	foregoing transcript is true and accurate and
5	contains all the facts, matters and proceedings of
6	the hearing held on: August 29, 2006.
7	
8	
9	
10	JORI MOORE, CCR, RPR
11	CONTINENTAL REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	