EXHIBIT NO.___ (JHS-6T)
DOCKET NO. UG-040640/UE-040641
2004 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JOHN H. STORY

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	
Complainant,	
v.	Docket No. UG-040640 Docket No. UE-040641
PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,	
Respondent.	

SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN H. STORY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

1 **PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.** 2 SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF 3 JOHN H. STORY 4 I. INTRODUCTION 5 Are you the same John H. Story who prefiled direct testimony in this case, Q. 6 Docket Nos. UG-040640 and UE-040641, on April 5, 2004? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony? On May 13th, the Commission issued Order No.14 in Docket No. UE-031725, the 9 A. 10 Company's power cost only rate case ("PCORC"). Order No. 14 authorized the Company to increase its Schedule 95 rates by \$44,112,960, which is \$10,374,856 11 12 less than the Company had requested as of its rebuttal filing in the PCORC case. 13 In addition, while the Commission ordered that 100% of the return on the Tenaska 14 regulatory asset could be recovered from customers when total costs associated 15 with Tenaska are below a benchmark price and gas purchase decisions are deemed prudent, when the total costs associated with Tenaska are above the benchmark 16 17 price, and gas purchase decisions are deemed prudent, then all the return that falls 18 under the benchmark and half of the return that exceeds the benchmark are to be 19 allowed for rate recovery.

1	Q.	Does Order No. 14 change the Company's calculation of its revenue
2		deficiency in its general rate case filing?
3	A.	Yes, the revenue deficiency for Docket UE-040641, the electric general rate case
4		filing, needs to be adjusted. In calculating the revenue requirement for its original
5		general rate case filing, the Company used the revenue deficiency that was filed in
6		its rebuttal testimony in the PCORC case, \$54,481,144, to proform the general
7		rate case test year revenues to reflect revenues the customers would have paid if
8		the requested PCORC rates had been in effect throughout the test year.
9	Q.	Are there additional changes that need to be made due to Order No. 14?
10	A.	Yes. The power costs set forth in PSE's original general rate case filing reflected
11		a 100% recovery of the return on the Tenaska regulatory asset. As total costs for
12		Tenaska during the general rate case rate year are projected to be above the
13		benchmark price established by the Commission in Order No. 14 when the return
14		on the regulatory asset is included, the return on the regulatory asset needs to be
15		adjusted as directed in the PCORC Order No. 14.
16	Q.	Would you please identify which of your revenue requirement Exhibits are
17		impacted by these changes?
18	A.	Yes. Exhibit No (JHS-E3), page 2.02, General Revenues Adjustment, line
19		14, changes to \$44,192,861 from \$54,567,717 to reflect the difference in revenues
20		allowed in the PCORC filing. The impact on this adjustment is to increase net
21		operating income by \$116,819,939 instead of \$123,253,360 as originally filed.
Sunr	lement	tal Prefiled Direct Evhibit No. (IHS-6T)

1		Exhibit No (JHS-E3), page 2.03, Power Costs, has a new line 3 inserted,
2		labeled Tenaska disallowance, which adjusts the return on this regulatory asset to
3		50% recovery. This change is also reflected in Ms. Ryan's revised Exhibit No.
4		(JMR-10), which is being submitted with this filing. The change to net
5		operating income is to decrease net income by \$32,191,708 instead of lowering
6		net income \$38,095,594 as originally filed.
7		Exhibit No (JHS-E3), page 4.05, General Rate Increase, changes to reflect
8		the two adjustments described above and the revenue requirement deficiency is
9		\$82,299,254 instead of \$81,446,431.
10		These three adjustments also carry forward to the summary page for Exhibit No.
11		(JHS-E3) and Sales to Customers after the rate increase is now
12		\$1,497,124,832 instead of \$1,506,646,865 as originally filed.
13	Q.	Are you submitting revisions to Exhibit No (JHS-E3) with this
14		supplemental testimony?
15	A.	Yes. I have marked amounts that have changed from the original with black
16		outlining.
17	Q.	Is the Company planning on filing new tariffs to reflect this change in
18		revenue requirement?
19	A.	Not at this time. The supplemental testimony for Ms. Paulson and Mr. Heidell
20		discuss the impact of this change for customers, which is relatively minimal. The

1		tariff sheets for the original general rate case filing are currently suspended for
2		review, and the Company typically does not file revised proposed tariff sheets to
3		reflect changes that may be made to the original proposed revised tariff sheets
4		during the course of a general rate case. Other adjustments will almost certainly
5		be made along the way in this case to reflect agreed upon issues, updating of
6		estimates to actuals, and the like, many of which will net against each other with
7		respect to the ultimate impact on individual tariff sheets. Ultimately, the
8		Company will file new tariff sheets in its compliance filing after the Commission
9		issues an Order authorizing a rate change that reflects all adjustments to the
10		Company's original filing as well as the Commission's determination regarding
11		the fair, just, reasonable and sufficient rates to be charged to customers.
12	Q.	Are there any other of your Exhibits which are impacted by this change?
13	A.	Yes. Exhibit No (JHS-4), page Exhibit A-1 Power Cost Rate, which
14		calculates the new Baseline Rate for the Power Cost Adjustment would change.
15		The Baseline Rate that reflects these changes would be \$48.481 instead of
16		\$48.951. I am submitting a revised page Exhibit A-1 Power Cost Rate for
17		Exhibit No (JHS-4) with this filing.
18	Q.	Have you provided workpapers to Commission Staff and other parties that
18 19	Q.	Have you provided workpapers to Commission Staff and other parties that reflect these changes?
	Q. A.	

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 [BA041490014 / 07701-0089]