

Docket No. UE-170717 - Vol. II

In the Matter of: Pacific Power & Light Company

June 19, 2018



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of) DOCKET NO. UE-170717
)
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT)
COMPANY,)
)
2016 Power Cost Adjustment)
Mechanism Report.)

SETTLEMENT HEARING, VOLUME II
Pages 10-45
CHAIRMAN DANNER, COMMISSIONERS ANN E. RENDAHL & JAY
BALASBAS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA

June 19, 2018
8:45 a.m.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
Olympia, Washington 98504

REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
1325 Fourth Avenue
Suite 1840
Seattle, Washington 98101
(206) 287-9066 | Seattle
(360) 534-9066 | Olympia
(800) 846-6989 | National
www.buellrealtime.com

1 APPEARANCES (Cont.)

2 FOR BOISE WHITE PAPER:

3 PATRICK J. OSHIE
4 Davison Van Cleve, PC
5 750 SW Harbor Way
6 Portland, Oregon 97204
7 (503) 241-7242
8 pjo@dvclaw.com

9 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:
10 LISA GAFKEN
11 Assistant Attorney General
12 Office of the Attorney General
13 800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
14 Seattle, Washington 98104
15 (206) 464-6595
16 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov

17 ALSO PRESENT:
18 MICHAEL WILDING
19 BRADLEY MULLINS
20 JASON BALL

1 APPEARANCES

2 COMMISSIONERS:
3 DAVID DANNER
4 ANN RENDAHL
5 JAY BALASBAS
6 Washington Utilities and
7 Transportation Commission
8 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
9 Olympia, Washington 98504
10 (360) 664-1160

11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
12 GREGORY J. KOPTA
13 Washington Utilities and
14 Transportation Commission
15 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
16 Olympia, Washington 98504
17 (360) 664-1160

18 FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
19 JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI
20 Assistant Attorney General
21 P.O. Box 40128
22 Olympia, Washington 98504
23 (360) 664-1186
24 jcameron@utc.wa.gov

25 FOR PACIFIC POWER:
AJAY KUMAR
Pacific Power & Light Company
825 NE Multnomah Street
Suite 1800
Portland, Oregon 97232
(503) 813-5161
ajay.kumar@pacificcorp.com

1 EXHIBIT INDEX

2 EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAGE
3 SP-1 Settlement Stipulation 18
4 SP-2C Attachment A to Settlement Stip. 18
(Confidential)
5
6 SP-3 Joint Narrative in Support of 18
7 Settlement Stipulation
8 MGW-1T Direct Testimony of Michael G. 18
Wilding
9
10 MGW-2 2016 PCAM Deferral Calculation 18
11
12 MGW-3 Depiction of Joy Longwall Mining 18
13 Conditions
14 MGS-4CT Rebuttal Testimony of Michael G. 18
15 Wilding (Confidential)
16
17 MGW-5 PacifiCorp Records Management Guide: 18
18 Email
19 RT-1CT Rebuttal Testimony of Rob Thomas 18
20 (Confidential)
21
22 RT-2C Golder Engineering Review 18
23 (Confidential)
24 DMR-1CT Rebuttal Testimony of Dana M. Ralston 18
25 (Confidential)
DMR-2C 14th Right Longwall Panel Report 18
(Confidential)
DMR-3C Bridger Coal D41 Thickness 18
(Confidential)
DMR-4C BCC Structural Rolls (Confidential) 18
DMR-5 Example of Structural Roll 18
DMR-6C Joy Longwall Recovery Chronology 18
(Confidential)

Page 14

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION	PAGE
3	DMR-7C MSHA 103(k) Control Order (Confidential)	18
4	DMR-8C Staff Response to Pacific Power DRs (Confidential)	18
5	DMR-9 Boise White Paper Responses to Pacific Power DRs (Confidential)	18
6	JLB-1CT Testimony of Jason Ball (Confidential)	18
7	JLB-2C Timeline of Events (Confidential)	18
8	JLB-3C Company Response to Informal UTC Staff Data Request No. 3, Final Report of Investigation (Confidential)	18
9	JLB-3C.a Supplement to Exh. JLB-3C providing legible copy of diagram on page 18 (Confidential)	18
10	JLB-4 Company Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 1	18
11	JLB-5C Company Response to Informal UTC Staff Data Request Nos. 4 and 5, UTC Staff Data Request No. 2 and Confidential Attachment (Confidential)	18
12	JLB-6C Staff Proposed Net Power Cost Deferral (Confidential)	18
13	JLB-7C Graphical Illustration of Joy Longwall System (Confidential)	18
14	JLB-8C Confidential Testimony of Cindy A. Crane in Docket UE-140762 (Confidential)	18
15	JLB-9C Attachment to Company Confidential Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 10 (Confidential)	18
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 16

1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 19, 2018

2 8:45 A.M.

3 --000--

4 P R O C E E D I N G S

5

6 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in

7 Docket UE-170717 captioned In the Matter of Pacific

8 Power & Light Company 2016 Power Cost Adjustment

9 Mechanism.

10 We're here on Tuesday, June 19th, at 8:50 to

11 consider the settlement agreement that the parties have

12 adopted and proposed for the Commission to approve.

13 I'm Gregory J. Kopta, the administrative law

14 judge who is presiding in this docket. The

15 Commissioners will be joining us momentarily, but as a

16 preliminary matter, we wanted to take up the exhibits so

17 that we have a full evidentiary record.

18 We will wait to have appearances for counsel

19 and identification of the witnesses until the

20 Commissioners are here.

21 So at this point, we have an exhibit list,

22 and I will briefly recount the exhibits that are on this

23 list.

24 For the settling parties, Exhibits SP-1

25 through SP-3; for Pacific Power, the testimony and

Page 15

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION	PAGE
3	BGM-1CT Response Testimony of Bradley G. Mullins (Confidential)	18
4	BGM-2 Regulatory Appearances of Bradley G. Mullins	18
5	BGM-3C PCAM Balance Calculations (Confidential)	18
6	BGM-4 Responses to Data Requests	18
7	BGM-5C Joy Longwall Justification Memo (Confidential)	18
8	BGM-6C Internal Joy Longwall Root Cause Analysis (Confidential)	18
9	BGM-7C Geologic Report of the 14th Longwall Panel (Confidential)	18
10	BGM-8C Mine Health and Safety Administration Field Investigation (Confidential)	18
11	BGM-9C External Review of Recovery Efforts (Confidential)	18
12	BGM-10 Department of Energy Overview of Longwall Mining	18
13	BGM-11C Email from Joy Global (Confidential)	18
14	BGM-12C Longwall Foreman Reports (November 2015-January 2016) (Confidential)	18
15	BGM-13C New Longwall Standards Following Joy Longwall Failure (Confidential)	18
16	BGM-14C 14th Right Face Profiles (September 2015-january 2016) (Confidential)	18
17	BGM-15 Geology, Ground Control, and Mine Planning at Bowie Resources, Paonia, CO *****	18
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 17

1 exhibits of Mr. Wilding are MGW-1T through MGW-5; the

2 testimony and exhibits of Mr. Thomas are RT-1CT and

3 RT-2C; the exhibits of Mr. Ralston are DMR-1CT through

4 DMR-9; for Commission Staff, Mr. Ball's testimony and

5 exhibits are JLB-1CT through JLB-9C; for Boise White

6 Paper, the testimony and exhibits of Mr. Mullins are

7 BGM-1CT through BGM-15.

8 Are there any objections to receiving these

9 documents into the evidentiary record? Hearing none, I

10 admit them into the record, and they will form the

11 evidentiary record for this proceeding.

12 (Exhibits SP-1 through SP-3; MGW-1T through

13 MGW-5; RT-1CT and RT-2C; DMR-1CT through DMR-9; JLB-1CT

14 through JLB-9C; and BGM-1CT through BGM-15 admitted.)

15 JUDGE KOPTA: Just to confirm, are there any

16 other matters that we need to address before the

17 Commissioners join us? Hearing none, we'll be off the

18 record.

19 (A break was taken from

20 8:51 a.m. to 9:03 a.m.)

21 JUDGE KOPTA: We are back on the record.

22 The Commissioners have now joined me on the bench,

23 Chairman Danner, Commissioners Rendahl and Balasbas.

24 And we will now take appearances of counsel, beginning

25 with the Company.

1 MR. KUMAR: Thank you, Your Honor. On
2 behalf of the Pacific Power & Light Company, my name is
3 Ajay Kumar, and with me testifying on behalf of the
4 Pacific Power & Light Company, I have Mike Wilding, who
5 is our director of net power costs.

6 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.

7 And for Commission Staff?

8 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer
9 Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General.

10 JUDGE KOPTA: And for AWEC?

11 MR. OSHIE: Your Honor, Patrick Oshie,
12 representing Boise White Paper, LLC, in this instance.
13 And also, although not present today, Tyler Pepple,
14 attorney of record.

15 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And for Public Counsel?

16 MS. GAFKEN: Good morning. Lisa Gafken,
17 Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf of
18 Public Counsel. And since we're taking no position on
19 the settlement, we are not presenting a witness today.

20 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.

21 And I think that is all the parties. And
22 I'd like for each of the witnesses to identify
23 yourselves very briefly.

24 MR. WILDING: Michael Wilding with
25 PacifiCorp.

1 described below, un-paren, does not exist elsewhere,
2 then the email should be considered an official Company
3 record.

4 So in reading this, and reading the
5 testimony, this question is for Mr. Wilding, how will
6 Company officials know if a record doesn't exist such
7 that they should consider an email an official Company
8 record? What is the process for that?

9 MR. WILDING: The first step of the process
10 will be for PacifiCorp to provide training at the level
11 where we will need to retain those emails if other
12 documentation does not exist. And so that will be the
13 first step, is to make sure executives are aware that
14 they need to retain those, and the default will need to
15 be that unless they are aware, explicitly aware, of
16 another document that has detailed a decision-making
17 process, that they will need to save those emails.

18 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Is there any
19 intent to amend the email retention policy referenced in
20 the settlement?

21 MR. WILDING: No.

22 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So I guess I
23 would ask, would it be a problem for the Company to
24 provide Staff with information about the training that
25 will be going on related to this?

1 MR. BALL: Jason Ball, Commission Staff.

2 MR. MULLINS: And Brad Mullins, here for
3 Boise White Paper, LLC.

4 JUDGE KOPTA: And if you would stand,
5 please, and raise your right hand.

6 (Michael Wilding, Jason Ball, and Brad
7 Mullins sworn.)

8 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you. You may be seated.

9 All right. Mr. Kumar, you said you had
10 prepared an opening statement. Would you like to give
11 that?

12 MR. KUMAR: I think in the interest of just
13 moving this along, I don't think that's necessary, Your
14 Honor.

15 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then we will go to
16 questions from the bench of the witness panel.

17 Commissioner Rendahl, did you want to begin?

18 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Sure.

19 So this question has to do with the part of
20 the settlement on paragraphs 9 and 10 that relates to
21 the decision-making analysis, the documentation, and the
22 agreement about email retention. Paragraph 9 says --
23 begins by saying, (as read) Pacific Power agrees that if
24 an email that provides evidence of an action taken and a
25 record of decision-making analysis, in parens, as

1 MR. WILDING: No.

2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. That might be
3 something that would be very helpful.

4 I don't know if anybody else has any
5 questions on that? Okay.

6 CHAIRMAN DANNER: No.

7 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And then I
8 just want to clarify, and this is for all the parties,
9 that the parties' agreement on this topic doesn't
10 replace or limit the Commission's current prudent
11 standard in which the utility bears the burden to retain
12 contemporaneous documentation for the purpose of
13 demonstrating if an expense or purchase was prudent,
14 does it?

15 MR. WILDING: No. The Company still bears
16 the burden of showing prudence for our decisions.

17 MR. BALL: This is Jason with the Commission
18 Staff. The -- the -- the way we viewed it was the
19 exact -- the same. This does not serve as a replacement
20 of any standard or of -- of any rules that currently
21 exist. This is just about making sure that the Company
22 is aware of what the requirements are and that everyone
23 is operating off the same playbook.

24 MR. MULLINS: And this is Brad. I would
25 agree with Mr. Ball's statement, that it doesn't affect

1 the document retention policy.
 2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And then did
 3 you want to...
 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: No, go ahead.
 5 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And then about the
 6 next provision about the actions, updating the actions
 7 at the coal mine. Paragraph 11 of the settlement
 8 stipulation refers to updating the actions related to
 9 the mine and methods to prevent a recurrence. That
 10 title I don't believe is confidential, even though I
 11 have just stated it because it's in the -- it's in the
 12 settlement, and it's also referenced in the confidential
 13 Exhibit SP-2C, at pages 10 and 11.
 14 So my question first to the Company is, has
 15 the Company begun to implement those methods that are
 16 referenced in that confidential document?
 17 MR. WILDING: Yes. And in Mr. Ralston's
 18 testimony, rebuttal testimony, he did outline the
 19 current status of those corrective actions. And the
 20 plan is -- you know, some of those actions are ongoing
 21 and will be implemented and ongoing from that point.
 22 And most of those have been either implemented or
 23 corrected, and we plan to -- to either have all of the
 24 action items implemented or corrected and in place by
 25 the time we file the first report or shortly after.

1 know, what the form of the update be. You know, we
 2 would hope that, you know, rather than, you know -- for
 3 each item, rather than saying whether, you know, an item
 4 was implemented or not, for the Company to go into sort
 5 of greater detail and explain how they're implementing
 6 each -- each item. But, you know, other than that, we
 7 didn't have any strong expectations about the -- about
 8 the update process.
 9 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. Thank you.
 10 JUDGE KOPTA: I actually, before we go
 11 farther, wanted to follow up a little bit on the
 12 prudence.
 13 Couple of questions. One is, there are
 14 other aspects to this PCAM, Power Cost Adjustment
 15 Mechanism, than the Joy Longwall. Are there any -- are
 16 you requesting that the Commission make any finding in
 17 terms of the prudence of other expenses, costs,
 18 activities that the Company has undertaken that are part
 19 of the PCAM?
 20 Mr. Ball, I'll direct the question to you.
 21 MR. BALL: The -- I believe I talked a
 22 little bit about this on page 5 of my direct testimony,
 23 starting at lines 15. The -- the Company's provided
 24 enough documentation, and we reviewed it to support all
 25 of the expenses and revenues that are included in the

1 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So you'd agree
 2 that the settlement requires the Company to implement
 3 the actions that are in the method, not just to report
 4 any progress?
 5 MR. WILDING: Yes.
 6 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. So when the
 7 Company provides its report to Staff, it will be
 8 providing documentation of its completion of those
 9 methods and those items?
 10 MR. WILDING: Yes.
 11 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. And turning to
 12 Staff and to Boise White Paper, what is your expectation
 13 of what you'll receive in those reports?
 14 MR. BALL: I'm not entirely sure because I
 15 haven't seen them. What I would expect to see is
 16 something that shows us that those actions have been
 17 implemented, how they were implemented, and to the
 18 extent that personnel have been trained on them. And if
 19 we don't think that the Company in the report provides
 20 enough information, we will talk to them and get more
 21 information. But I anticipate that what they'll be
 22 providing will be a pretty detailed showing of how and
 23 why they implemented those actions.
 24 MR. MULLINS: And, I guess, you know -- I
 25 guess we didn't have any strong expectations on, you

1 PCAM, and you're right, there's quite an extensive list
 2 of them, except for the Joy Longwall. That's the only
 3 piece of this that Staff was concerned with. The rest
 4 of it we looked at, we didn't see any issues. It looked
 5 all pretty -- relatively standard.
 6 JUDGE KOPTA: So we're not -- the Commission
 7 would not be making any finding on prudence on any
 8 aspect of the PCAM as a result of this settlement if the
 9 Commission approves it, or is it?
 10 MR. BALL: I -- I believe by approving the
 11 deferral, it's approving the expenses and revenues that
 12 are in that -- that are a part of that deferral. So
 13 yes, I believe the Commission would be passing judgment
 14 on the remaining level of expenses and revenues.
 15 JUDGE KOPTA: But the Joy Longwall would be
 16 excluded from that implicit finding that the other
 17 activities were prudent?
 18 MR. BALL: In the -- in the settlement
 19 stipulation, no. The settlement stipulation does not
 20 call out the Joy Longwall as an imprudent action. It
 21 just agrees to a \$3.5 million black box number. So no,
 22 the Commission, in approving the settlement, would not
 23 be -- would not be issuing a determination of
 24 imprudence. It would just be approving a settlement
 25 agreement around the nature of the deferral mechanism

1 for this year.
 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And I'm just trying to
 3 make sure that I understand what the Commission would be
 4 doing if it approves the settlement stipulation. But
 5 there are other actions, other expenses that the Company
 6 has undertaken in the PCAM that because there are no
 7 issues, they're implicitly agreeing that they were
 8 prudent, but with the Joy Longwall, that wouldn't be
 9 included in that. We would be making no determination
 10 on prudence one way or the other with respect to those
 11 costs and expenses?
 12 MR. BALL: Correct. That's the way I
 13 interpret the settlement. We're not -- we're not
 14 passing judgment on the prudence of these actions
 15 anymore. We're just agreeing to a number.
 16 JUDGE KOPTA: And, Mr. Wilding, is that your
 17 understanding as well?
 18 MR. WILDING: Yes. I would agree that there
 19 would be no prudence determination made for the cost
 20 associated with the Joy Longwall.
 21 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Mullins?
 22 MR. MULLINS: I think for the non-Joy
 23 Longwall costs, because the Commission would be
 24 approving balance, it would be implicitly making a
 25 prudence finding on the remainder of cost. With respect

1 weaknesses were in your argument that got you from one
 2 number to another.
 3 And I'm trying to get a handle on that, and
 4 I was wondering if you could, without revealing
 5 confidential information, just give me an idea of -- of
 6 what -- what changed, what are the considerations that
 7 I'm not seeing here.
 8 MR. BALL: Sure. So the -- the way I
 9 calculated my number in my direct testimony was two
 10 aspects. The first was the costs of recovery and
 11 abandonment, and the second piece was the lost -- or the
 12 change in power production expenses as a result of
 13 fallout from Joy Longwall. So there's those two pieces.
 14 The problem with the latter is that it's
 15 basically a but for analysis that's pretty hard to do.
 16 And so what I -- what I did in my testimony was, I tried
 17 to find a reasonable outcome that approximated what I
 18 think would have happened had Joy Longwall incident not
 19 occurred.
 20 But when it came to settlement discussions,
 21 what I was primarily interested in and what I think --
 22 what I think the parties were primarily interested in
 23 was not being punitive to the Company, but rather trying
 24 to come up with a way to make sure that this doesn't
 25 happen again.

1 to the Joy Longwall stipulation, did not specifically
 2 call out the Joy Longwall as leading to the black box
 3 \$3.5 million adjustment, but, you know, the amount was
 4 reached in a -- in a settlement where the primary issue
 5 was -- was the Joy Longwall.
 6 And so, you know, from that perspective,
 7 it's maybe just a matter of semantics whether the
 8 Commission might, you know, explicitly say that the Joy
 9 Longwall costs are imprudent or accept the stipulation
 10 with the black box adjustment for those costs.
 11 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Thank you. I think
 12 that clarifies things.
 13 Mr. Chairman?
 14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah. So let me follow up
 15 on that.
 16 I mean, you know, we always have this
 17 problem with black box settlements because we don't know
 18 what's in the black box.
 19 But, Mr. Ball, in your initial testimony,
 20 you recommended removing 11.2 million from the actual
 21 cost, the power costs that were associated with the 2016
 22 PCAM deferral period, and that was based on -- well, you
 23 know what they were based on. The number you arrived at
 24 is 3.5. I'm -- I'm -- that to me, that's quite a jump,
 25 and I didn't see any -- I wasn't sure what the

1 The lost power production expenses, I think
 2 that there -- my testimony -- I support my testimony. I
 3 think that it was valid. I think that there were
 4 expenses that should -- that probably would not have
 5 been there, but I think the Company filed rebuttal, and
 6 in that rebuttal, they pointed out some of the problems
 7 with trying to make that logical leap. And they
 8 provided some good counterexamples of why it's really
 9 difficult to go back in time and understand exactly what
 10 would have changed if the operation were mined for an
 11 entire year.
 12 So I -- when I went to the settlement at the
 13 beginning, in my mind, it was not about, oh, I need to
 14 get half of what I asked for in my testimony. I was
 15 there trying to figure out what is the best solution for
 16 ratepayers, for the Company, and for the public at
 17 large.
 18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so when you say you
 19 don't want to be punitive to the Company, obviously you
 20 know the other side of the coin is you might be being
 21 punitive to the -- to the ratepayers. But you feel that
 22 at this point, this is -- this is a fair outcome and
 23 that the ratepayers are not holding the bag for
 24 something that they shouldn't be?
 25 MR. BALL: Yes. I think that this -- that

1 the black box settlement results in a number that holds
2 them harmless for an asset that -- that became
3 inoperable, and I think that it holds them harmless, and
4 it puts the Company on notice for what we expect going
5 forward about document retention, and it puts them on
6 the hook for making sure that they implement new best
7 practices that prevent this from reoccurring.

8 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, before we go down
9 too far on this path, I would like to just express some
10 concern about attempting to sort of pull apart the black
11 box settlement.

12 I think that's sort of the -- to reflect
13 back on, I think, some of the comments that Mr. Mullins
14 has made, I think the prudence or imprudence of the Joy
15 Longwall, the fact that it's -- it's sort of not making
16 a determination on that, it's sort of an essential piece
17 of the settlement. And so I think that should be
18 reflected in the record and --

19 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So I understand,
20 Mr. Kumar. I -- my concern is when it's a black box,
21 it's basically telling us -- I mean, our -- our options
22 are to say yes or no. And the more I can tease this
23 out, the more it might come to an outcome that I'm
24 satisfied with. And so I'm trying to figure out how --
25 you know, how far I can go in this questioning because

1 MR. KUMAR: Yes.
2 CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's not -- it's the --
3 well --

4 MR. KUMAR: I think it's also -- there's a
5 larger issue, and I think the Company has serious
6 concerns about a larger determination on the prudence of
7 the Joy Longwall. I think one of the essential rules of
8 the settlement is that we don't come to -- that we
9 recommend the Commission will come to a determination on
10 the prudent rule of the Joy Longwall. And so I think
11 that's -- to go too far down that path would raise some
12 deep concerns with the Company.

13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So am I left in a position
14 of having to make a data-free decision here?

15 MR. KUMAR: I wouldn't put you in that
16 position, Chairman, but I think --

17 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I think you are.

18 MR. KUMAR: No, I think there are -- I think
19 there are ways, certain -- we can -- I think the -- the
20 adjustment and to get into sort of why the adjustment
21 was made presents sort of some difficulties; however, if
22 we can talk about how the -- the adjustment is
23 reasonable and an interest of the consumer, there is
24 some areas there that may not present as much difficulty
25 in my view.

1 it is a long way from 11.2 to 3.5.

2 MR. KUMAR: Of course, Your Honor, and I
3 would never seek to deny any information that the
4 Commission needs to make a determination, but I just --
5 I would like to express some concern about going too far
6 down that path, and I would also like to express some
7 concerns about Mr. Mullins' earlier answer on the
8 prudence of the Longwall.

9 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right.

10 MR. MULLINS: So can I potentially jump in
11 on the last question of how -- kind of how the
12 3.5 million relates to the overall cost of the Longwall
13 failure? I think it's important if that's okay.

14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah --

15 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, I would actually
16 very much hesitate to go down this path. I think that,
17 you know, to the extent that we might be getting into
18 sort of the realm of confidential settlement discussions
19 if we go too far down this path.

20 MR. MULLINS: So I can provide Boise's
21 perspective. You know, I couldn't speak for the
22 Company, but...

23 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So is your -- your concern
24 is not so much with confidential information as it is
25 with disclosing what went on in settlement discussions?

1 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Are there some questions
2 maybe you'd like to ask your witness that could bring
3 these out in a way that wouldn't violate what you think
4 is confidential information?

5 MR. KUMAR: You know, I would always defer
6 to the Commission. If -- if you'd like me to do that, I
7 could, but I would always defer to -- I think your
8 concerns are, of course, the foremost concern.

9 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah. So basically, I've
10 got a black box here, and I'm trying to get just a
11 general idea what's in the black box so that I can
12 decide whether this is in the public interest or not.
13 And I -- you know, really I understand that this is a
14 settlement. I understand that compromises were made. I
15 wasn't in on those settlement discussions, so I'm simply
16 being asked to approve them.

17 And, again, as I said, you know, it's a long
18 way from 11.2 to 3.5. I'm trying to get some idea of
19 the comfort folks have with going from one to the other
20 so that I can share that comfort.

21 So I'm trying to figure out what questions I
22 can ask that don't run afoul of your concerns. So if
23 you -- if you thought there was a line of questioning
24 that -- that you present to Mr. Wilding, then maybe, you
25 know, help -- help me out here.

1 MR. KUMAR: I think I wouldn't want to
 2 presume to ask questions for the Commissioners. And so
 3 I think maybe sort of a reasonable approach might be,
 4 there was some earlier questions of Mr. Mullins where he
 5 made some comments about the sort of the prudence of the
 6 Longwall issue that maybe if we were to strike those
 7 comments from the record. I think Mr. Ball and
 8 Mr. Wilding, I think, were careful enough in their, sort
 9 of, answers to sort of allow the line of questioning to
 10 proceed.

11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So if we simply went into
 12 confidential session here, would you be okay with those
 13 conversations going forward or do you think that that's
 14 violating the spirit of the settlement discussions?

15 MR. KUMAR: I apologize, Your Honor. I
 16 don't think the confidential nature of the session
 17 would -- is sort of the issue. It's attempting to --
 18 some parts of the -- of the foundations of the
 19 settlement itself.

20 So I think -- that that's why I think I'd
 21 suggest that maybe a simpler solution would be if we
 22 were to sort of look at maybe striking a certain portion
 23 of Mr. Mullins' testimony on sort of the prudence or
 24 imprudence of the Longwall issue, that might be more
 25 appropriate than moving to a confidential session. And

1 But as he suggests, it is something that
 2 we're trying to understand, and I believe the narrative
 3 has three different statements from the parties as to
 4 why they think this settlement is in the public interest
 5 and consistent with applicable law. And I think asking
 6 each of them to amplify on those is an appropriate line
 7 of questioning.

8 MR. KUMAR: Thank you, Your Honor. I
 9 would -- I will try not to say anything more.

10 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I'm trying to keep it
 11 short, too, actually.

12 MR. KUMAR: I think I've -- I think I've
 13 expressed my concerns adequately, and I -- I think I --
 14 I'll -- I'll -- back to the Commissioners.

15 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right. Well, I have
 16 one more question for Mr. Ball. And now, Mr. Mullins
 17 did offer to elucidate a little bit if you think you can
 18 do so --

19 MR. MULLINS: If I could very quickly. I
 20 mean, we're actually here in good faith to support the
 21 stipulation so -- and what I was trying to do is provide
 22 the Commission kind of with the -- with the bookends as
 23 far as the case was involved, because in the past, I
 24 found that helps Commissions to get comfortable with
 25 black box adjustments.

1 I think that way we can also sort of maybe proceed down
 2 your line of questioning on sort of the --

3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, Judge, I mean, I
 4 consider that a motion for -- to strike testimony, I
 5 leave that to you.

6 JUDGE KOPTA: Yeah. I have a concern with
 7 that because the settlement agreement itself does not
 8 discuss what the disposition of the Joy Longwall is. I
 9 don't even think that it's -- other than the background,
 10 it's even mentioned. Certainly not in the -- not in the
 11 agreement section. So I think it's appropriate.

12 That's why I asked the questions that I did
 13 in terms of what the expectations of the parties is
 14 because 3.5 million doesn't -- isn't specific to
 15 anything. It's just that's the number, and there are a
 16 lot of things that go into the PCAM. So I think trying
 17 to understand exactly what the Commission is going to be
 18 doing if it approves the settlement is appropriate.

19 So to the extent that you are making a
 20 motion to strike that portion of the testimony, I'm
 21 going to deny it. But we will take your comments under
 22 advisement in terms of what the meaning of that is. And
 23 if you have concerns with additional questions that the
 24 Chairman is asking, then certainly raise them at the
 25 time that he asks those questions.

1 And so, you know, in my testimony, you know,
 2 I had recommended a \$9.8 million adjustment, and that
 3 was really broken into two components. There was the
 4 direct costs, which were known costs. They weren't
 5 disputed by anyone. And then there was the direct cost
 6 [sic], and those were sort of softer costs. And the
 7 direct costs were about \$4.5 million, and as you flow
 8 those through the PCAM, the impact is around
 9 \$3.5 million.

10 So, you know, if you were to consider the
 11 overall package and, you know, what parties are giving
 12 up and, you know, what other parties are gaining, that's
 13 sort of, you know, a rough -- rough way to -- those are
 14 sort of the benchmarks or the goalposts for what we were
 15 looking at when we entered into the settlement.

16 JUDGE KOPTA: And I'm going to ask, is
 17 that -- one of those numbers confidential or not?

18 MR. MULLINS: They were in the public
 19 testimony so...

20 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. I just wanted to make
 21 sure whenever we're talking about numbers, anything
 22 other than 3.5 and 11.2 or 9.6. Okay.

23 MR. KUMAR: I think our concerns were other
 24 than confidentiality with regards to those numbers.

25 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Well, and this is -- we

Page 38

1 understand that Mr. Mullins is simply saying what was
2 going on in Boise White Paper's mind and not necessarily
3 what was agreed to in the settlement.
4 MR. KUMAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
5 CHAIRMAN DANNER: And, again, Mr. Ball, a
6 question for you, just having to do with the Oregon
7 proceeding, do you feel that what you've come up with
8 here is consistent with that or is it -- I mean, you
9 didn't change your view of you -- the Oregon proceeding,
10 just the -- maybe you could explain for me how you
11 factor that into your final recommendation on the
12 settlement.
13 MR. BALL: Certainly. Well, the Oregon
14 proceeding was a little bit different because from my
15 understanding of it, this -- the deferral, the total
16 amount, didn't get outside of their deadband.
17 And so the result was that they didn't
18 actually have to have a proceeding on it. They had, I
19 believe, at least one round of testimony between the
20 parties discussing it, and I read the nonconfidential
21 versions of it, which I found illuminating. But the
22 ultimate result of that was that they just -- they
23 didn't have to do anything because it didn't -- there
24 was no deferral needed. So that one didn't really
25 impact my position.

Page 39

1 The other states also, there's either been
2 the settlement -- I believe now they've all been
3 settled. But at the time I wrote my direct testimony, I
4 believe that they were not all finished yet. And what
5 was interesting about it was just seeing the way that
6 they -- they had looked at it. Each state kind of
7 looked at it and analyzed it a different way.
8 And from my perspective, what I was really
9 interested in, when I filed my direct testimony, was
10 understanding what that first effect was, what the cost
11 of recovery and abandonment were, and then understanding
12 what the second order effects were.
13 When I went into the settlement
14 negotiations, what I was interested in was how do we
15 make sure this doesn't happen in the future and how do
16 we make sure the ratepayers are held harmless. Those
17 were my goals, and those, I think, are the most
18 important. I think they do get reflected in the
19 settlement stipulation under the outcome of the
20 stipulation.
21 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. All right. That's
22 all I have. Thank you.
23 JUDGE KOPTA: Anything further from the
24 bench?
25 Okay. Counsel, do you have any questions

Page 40

1 that you have of the panel to clarify any of the
2 questions that came from the bench?
3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff.
4 Thank you.
5 MR. OSHIE: No questions from Boise White
6 Paper.
7 MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no
8 questions.
9 MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, I think I might have
10 one or two if you'll allow.
11 JUDGE KOPTA: Go ahead.
12 MR. KUMAR: And it's specifically for
13 Mr. Wilding.
14 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And if you could make
15 sure your microphone is on. It's not very loud, so I
16 don't know if it's...
17 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I think it's on. I think
18 you just need to move it closer.
19 MR. KUMAR: Mr. Wilding, what's your
20 understanding of the stipulation with regards to the
21 prudence of the -- the Joy Longwall?
22 MR. WILDING: The stipulation, obviously in
23 this case, there was one single issue, the Joy Longwall,
24 and both the direct cost and potential indirect cost
25 associated with that. As, you know, I think has been

Page 41

1 well established in the record, there was a divergence
2 of opinions on that. The Company defended our -- its
3 actions and the prudence of its actions, and other
4 parties obviously felt that the Joy Longwall and the
5 abandonment and recovery efforts were -- were imprudent.
6 The stipulation strikes a good balance
7 between all parties and shows that a reasonable result
8 can be achieved when the parties work together, and that
9 dollar amount is a black box amount and, you know, each
10 party will see value differently in that settlement.
11 But I think in the end, it struck a good balance and is
12 in the public interest.
13 MR. KUMAR: Could you reflect briefly on the
14 appropriateness of the adjustment that resulted from the
15 settlement?
16 MR. WILDING: Yes. Again, I think the
17 settlement is in the interest of customers. Again, you
18 know, each -- each party is going to see value
19 differently in that as it is a black box settlement
20 and -- but, again, I think it's a reasonable settlement
21 and in the interest -- and in the public interest.
22 MR. KUMAR: And could you provide sort of a
23 brief overview of what has occurred in the other states
24 with regard to the prudence of the Joy Longwall and how
25 other Commissions may have solved this issue.

1 MR. WILDING: Yes, I can. So PacifiCorp for
2 Pacific Power, we operate in six states as you know.
3 This is the fifth state where this issue has been --
4 been brought up in a PCAM type of -- of mechanism. The
5 first state was Idaho where no adjustments were made to
6 our net power cost, and the Commission allowed the
7 recovery of the Joy Longwall associated cost.

8 The next state was -- was Wyoming. We had
9 very similar proposals by parties. In that proceeding,
10 as we do in this proceeding, that -- that proceeding
11 ended up being settled. In my testimony, I outline it.
12 I think it is 1.25 million in Wyoming.

13 The next was -- sorry, I'm just going to
14 turn to this so I don't give you -- the next proceeding
15 was in Utah, which is our largest state in which we
16 operate. Again, some of the costs associated with the
17 Joy Longwall were called into question; however, only
18 direct costs were called into question in Utah, and no
19 adjustment was proposed for indirect cost. And we were
20 able to settle -- settle that EPA for a \$2.8 billion
21 adjustment.

22 And -- and then in the Oregon PCAM, as
23 Mr. Ball pointed out, we did not exceed the deadbands in
24 the Oregon PCAM and so -- for the earnings test, and so
25 no adjustment was made in the Oregon PCAM, but we did

1 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
2 Anything further from the bench? Parties?
3 Doesn't sound like it.

4 Thank you all for your testimony.
5 Appreciate your coming in and being here today, and
6 counsel as well. We will take the matter under
7 advisement and issue an order in due course. And with
8 that, we are adjourned.

9 (Adjourned at 9:40 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 come to a settlement where the Commission would make no
2 determination on the cost associated with the Joy
3 Longwall.

4 And then the last state -- and so then here
5 we are in Washington, and then the sixth state is the
6 California energy cost adjustment mechanism -- or the
7 ECAC, sorry, the Energy Cost Adjustment Clause, and that
8 has yet to be litigated, and it is not unusual for the
9 California ORA, Office of Ratepayer Advocates, to wait
10 and audit multiple years at once.

11 And so we're in one of those periods where
12 they -- they haven't audited that -- that ECAC for --
13 for a while, and sometime in the future, they'll come in
14 and audit multiple years at -- at one time. So in five
15 of the six -- or four of the six states, we've -- we've
16 settled this issue. This is the fifth state and
17 California is outstanding.

18 MR. KUMAR: Mr. Wilding, would it be fair to
19 say, then, that all the states Commissions that have
20 ruled on this issue not have made a determination of the
21 prudence of the Joy Longwall?

22 MR. WILDING: Yes, that would be a fair
23 statement.

24 MR. KUMAR: I have no further questions,
25 Your Honor.

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON

I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.



Tayler Garlinghouse
Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>a.m 10:15 16:2 17:20,20 44:9</p> <p>abandonment 28:11 39:11 41:5</p> <p>ability 45:9</p> <p>able 42:20</p> <p>accept 27:9</p> <p>accurate 45:9</p> <p>achieved 41:8</p> <p>action 19:24 22:24 25:20</p> <p>actions 22:6,6,8,19 22:20 23:3,16,23 26:5,14 41:3,3</p> <p>activities 24:18 25:17</p> <p>actual 27:20</p> <p>additional 35:23</p> <p>address 17:16</p> <p>adequately 36:13</p> <p>adjourned 44:8,9</p> <p>adjustment 10:6 16:8 24:14 27:3 27:10 32:20,20,22 37:2 41:14 42:19 42:21,25 43:6,7</p> <p>adjustments 36:25 42:5</p> <p>Administration 15:13</p> <p>administrative 10:12 11:8 16:13</p> <p>ADMISSION 13:2 14:2 15:2</p> <p>admit 17:10</p> <p>admitted 17:14</p> <p>adopted 16:12</p> <p>advisement 35:22 44:7</p> <p>Advocates 43:9</p> <p>affect 21:25</p> <p>afoul 33:22</p> <p>agree 21:25 23:1 26:18</p>	<p>agreed 38:3</p> <p>agreeing 26:7,15</p> <p>agreement 16:11 19:22 21:9 25:25 35:7,11</p> <p>agrees 19:23 25:21</p> <p>ahead 22:4 40:11</p> <p>Ajay 11:19 18:3</p> <p>ajay.kumar@pa... 11:22</p> <p>allow 34:9 40:10</p> <p>allowed 42:6</p> <p>amend 20:19</p> <p>amount 27:3 38:16 41:9,9</p> <p>amplify 36:6</p> <p>analysis 15:10 19:21,25 28:15</p> <p>analyzed 39:7</p> <p>ANN 10:11 11:3</p> <p>answer 31:7</p> <p>answers 34:9</p> <p>anticipate 23:21</p> <p>anybody 21:4</p> <p>anymore 26:15</p> <p>apart 30:10</p> <p>apologize 34:15</p> <p>appearances 15:4 16:18 17:24</p> <p>appearing 18:17</p> <p>applicable 36:5</p> <p>Appreciate 44:5</p> <p>approach 34:3</p> <p>appropriate 34:25 35:11,18 36:6</p> <p>appropriateness 41:14</p> <p>approve 16:12 33:16</p> <p>approves 25:9 26:4 35:18</p> <p>approving 25:10,11 25:22,24 26:24</p> <p>approximated 28:17</p>	<p>areas 32:24</p> <p>argument 28:1</p> <p>arrived 27:23</p> <p>asked 29:14 33:16 35:12</p> <p>asking 35:24 36:5</p> <p>asks 35:25</p> <p>aspect 25:8</p> <p>aspects 24:14 28:10</p> <p>asset 30:2</p> <p>Assistant 11:14 12:8 18:9,17</p> <p>associated 26:20 27:21 40:25 42:7 42:16 43:2</p> <p>Attachment 13:4 14:16,23</p> <p>attempting 30:10 34:17</p> <p>attorney 11:14 12:8 12:9 18:9,14,17</p> <p>audit 43:10,14</p> <p>audited 43:12</p> <p>Avenue 10:21 12:9</p> <p>aware 20:13,15,15 21:22</p> <p>AWEC 18:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>back 17:21 29:9 30:13 36:14</p> <p>background 35:9</p> <p>bag 29:23</p> <p>balance 15:6 26:24 41:6,11</p> <p>Balasbas 10:11 11:4 17:23</p> <p>Ball 12:14 14:7 19:1,1,6 21:17 23:14 24:20,21 25:10,18 26:12 27:19 28:8 29:25 34:7 36:16 38:5 38:13 42:23</p> <p>Ball's 17:4 21:25</p> <p>based 27:22,23</p>	<p>basically 28:15 30:21 33:9</p> <p>BCC 13:21</p> <p>bears 21:11,15</p> <p>beginning 17:24 29:13</p> <p>begins 19:23</p> <p>begun 22:15</p> <p>behalf 18:2,3,17</p> <p>believe 22:10 24:21 25:10,13 36:2 38:19 39:2,4</p> <p>bench 17:22 19:16 39:24 40:2 44:2</p> <p>benchmarks 37:14</p> <p>best 29:15 30:6 45:9</p> <p>BGM-10 15:16</p> <p>BGM-11C 15:17</p> <p>BGM-12C 15:18</p> <p>BGM-13C 15:20</p> <p>BGM-14C 15:21</p> <p>BGM-15 15:23 17:7,14</p> <p>BGM-1CT 15:3 17:7,14</p> <p>BGM-2 15:4</p> <p>BGM-3C 15:6</p> <p>BGM-4 15:7</p> <p>BGM-5C 15:8</p> <p>BGM-6C 15:10</p> <p>BGM-7C 15:11</p> <p>BGM-8C 15:13</p> <p>BGM-9C 15:14</p> <p>billion 42:20</p> <p>bit 24:11,22 36:17 38:14</p> <p>black 25:21 27:2,10 27:17,18 30:1,10 30:20 33:10,11 36:25 41:9,19</p> <p>Boise 12:2 14:6 17:5 18:12 19:3 23:12 38:2 40:5</p> <p>Boise's 31:20</p>	<p>bookends 36:22</p> <p>Bowie 15:23</p> <p>box 11:15 25:21 27:2,10,17,18 30:1,11,20 33:10 33:11 36:25 41:9 41:19</p> <p>Brad 19:2,6 21:24</p> <p>Bradley 12:13 15:3 15:4</p> <p>break 17:19</p> <p>Bridger 13:20</p> <p>brief 41:23</p> <p>briefly 16:22 18:23 41:13</p> <p>bring 33:2</p> <p>broken 37:3</p> <p>brought 42:4</p> <p>Buell 10:21</p> <p>burden 21:11,16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 11:1 12:1 16:4 45:1,1</p> <p>calculated 28:9</p> <p>Calculation 13:8</p> <p>Calculations 15:6</p> <p>California 43:6,9 43:17</p> <p>call 25:20 27:2</p> <p>called 42:17,18</p> <p>Cameron-Rulko... 11:14 18:8,9 40:3</p> <p>captioned 16:7</p> <p>careful 34:8</p> <p>case 36:23 40:23</p> <p>Cause 15:10</p> <p>CCR 10:20 45:13</p> <p>certain 32:19 34:22</p> <p>certainly 35:10,24 38:13</p> <p>Certified 45:6</p> <p>certify 45:8</p> <p>Chairman 10:11 17:23 21:6 22:4 27:13,14 29:18</p>
---	---	---	--	--

<p>30:19 31:9,14,23 32:2,13,16,17 33:1,9 34:11 35:3 35:24 36:10,15 38:5 39:21 40:17 change 28:12 38:9 changed 28:6 29:10 Chronology 13:23 Cindy 14:21 clarifies 27:12 clarify 21:8 40:1 Clause 43:7 Cleve 12:4 closer 40:18 coal 13:20 22:7 coin 29:20 come 28:24 30:23 32:8,9 38:7 43:1 43:13 comfort 33:19,20 comfortable 36:24 coming 44:5 comments 30:13 34:5,7 35:21 Commission 10:2 10:16 11:5,10,13 16:12 17:4 18:7 19:1 21:17 24:16 25:6,9,13,22 26:3 26:23 27:8 31:4 32:9 33:6 35:17 36:22 42:6 43:1 Commission's 21:10 Commissioner 19:17,18 20:18,22 21:2,7 22:2,5 23:1 23:6,11 24:9 40:14 Commissioners 10:11 11:2 16:15 16:20 17:17,22,23 34:2 36:14 Commissions 36:24 41:25 43:19</p>	<p>Company 10:5 11:19 14:9,13,15 14:23 16:8 17:25 18:2,4 20:2,6,7,23 21:15,21 22:14,15 23:2,7,19 24:4,18 26:5 28:23 29:5 29:16,19 30:4 31:22 32:5,12 41:2 Company's 24:23 completion 23:8 components 37:3 compromises 33:14 concern 30:10,20 31:5,23 33:8 35:6 concerned 25:3 concerns 31:7 32:6 32:12 33:8,22 35:23 36:13 37:23 Conditions 13:10 confidential 13:4 13:11,14,16,17,19 13:20,21,24 14:3 14:5,6,7,8,10,12 14:16,17,18,20,21 14:22,23,24 15:3 15:6,9,10,12,13 15:15,17,19,20,22 22:10,12,16 28:5 31:18,24 33:4 34:12,16,25 37:17 confidentiality 37:24 confirm 17:15 consider 16:11 20:7 35:4 37:10 considerations 28:6 considered 20:2 consistent 36:5 38:8 consumer 32:23 Cont 12:1 14:1 15:1 contemporaneous</p>	<p>21:12 Control 14:3 15:23 conversations 34:13 copy 14:12 Correct 26:12 corrected 22:23,24 corrective 22:19 cost 10:6 14:18 16:8 24:14 26:19 26:25 27:21 31:12 37:5 39:10 40:24 40:24 42:6,7,19 43:2,6,7 costs 18:5 24:17 26:11,23 27:9,10 27:21 28:10 37:4 37:4,6,7 42:16,18 counsel 12:7 16:18 17:24 18:15,18 39:25 40:7 44:6 counterexamples 29:8 COUNTY 45:4 Couple 24:13 course 31:2 33:8 44:7 Crane 14:21 current 21:10 22:19 currently 21:20 customers 41:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 16:4 D41 13:20 Dana 13:17 Danner 10:11 11:3 17:23 21:6 22:4 27:14 29:18 30:19 31:9,14,23 32:2 32:13,17 33:1,9 34:11 35:3 36:10 36:15 38:5 39:21 40:17 Data 14:10,13,15</p>	<p>14:16,23 15:7 data-free 32:14 DAVID 11:3 Davison 12:4 deadband 38:16 deadbands 42:23 decide 33:12 decision 32:14 decision-making 19:21,25 20:16 decisions 21:16 deep 32:12 default 20:14 defended 41:2 defer 33:5,7 deferral 13:8 14:18 25:11,12,25 27:22 38:15,24 demonstrating 21:13 deny 31:3 35:21 Department 15:16 Depiction 13:9 described 20:1 detail 24:5 detailed 20:16 23:22 determination 25:23 26:9,19 30:16 31:4 32:6,9 43:2,20 diagram 14:12 different 36:3 38:14 39:7 differently 41:10 41:19 difficult 29:9 difficulties 32:21 difficulty 32:24 direct 13:7 24:20 24:22 28:9 37:4,5 37:7 39:3,9 40:24 42:18 director 18:5 disclosing 31:25</p>	<p>discuss 35:8 discussing 38:20 discussions 28:20 31:18,25 33:15 34:14 disposition 35:8 disputed 37:5 divergence 41:1 DMR-1CT 13:17 17:3,13 DMR-2C 13:18 DMR-3C 13:20 DMR-4C 13:21 DMR-5 13:22 DMR-6C 13:23 DMR-7C 14:3 DMR-8C 14:4 DMR-9 14:6 17:4 17:13 docket 10:4 14:21 16:7,14 document 20:16 22:1,16 30:5 documentation 19:21 20:12 21:12 23:8 24:24 documents 17:9 doing 26:4 35:18 dollar 41:9 Drive 10:17 11:5,10 DRs 14:4,6 due 44:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>E 10:11 11:1,1 12:1 12:1 16:4,4 45:1,1 earlier 31:7 34:4 earnings 42:24 ECAC 43:7,12 effect 39:10 effects 39:12 efforts 15:14 41:5 either 22:22,23 39:1 elucidate 36:17 email 13:13 15:17</p>
--	---	--	--	--

<p>19:22,24 20:2,7 20:19 emails 20:11,17 ended 42:11 energy 15:16 43:6,7 Engineering 13:15 entered 37:15 entire 29:11 entirely 23:14 EPA 42:20 essential 30:16 32:7 established 41:1 Events 14:8 Evergreen 10:17 11:5,10 evidence 19:24 evidentiary 16:17 17:9,11 exact 21:19 exactly 29:9 35:17 Example 13:22 exceed 42:23 excluded 25:16 executives 20:13 Exh 14:11 exhibit 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:21 22:13 exhibits 13:2 14:2 15:2 16:16,22,24 17:1,2,3,5,6,12 exist 20:1,6,12 21:21 expect 23:15 30:4 expectation 23:12 expectations 23:25 24:7 35:13 expense 21:13 expenses 24:17,25 25:11,14 26:5,11 28:12 29:1,4 explain 24:5 38:10 explicitly 20:15 27:8 express 30:9 31:5,6 expressed 36:13</p>	<p>extensive 25:1 extent 23:18 31:17 35:19 External 15:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>F 45:1 Face 15:21 fact 30:15 factor 38:11 failure 15:20 31:13 fair 29:22 43:18,22 faith 36:20 fallout 28:13 far 30:9,25 31:5,19 32:11 36:23 farther 24:11 feel 29:21 38:7 felt 41:4 Field 15:13 fifth 42:3 43:16 figure 29:15 30:24 33:21 file 22:25 filed 29:5 39:9 final 14:10 38:11 find 28:17 finding 24:16 25:7 25:16 26:25 finished 39:4 first 20:9,13 22:14 22:25 28:10 39:10 42:5 five 43:14 flow 37:7 folks 33:19 follow 24:11 27:14 Following 15:20 foregoing 45:8 Foreman 15:18 foremost 33:8 form 17:10 24:1 forward 30:5 34:13 found 36:24 38:21 foundations 34:18 four 43:15</p>	<p>Fourth 10:21 full 16:17 further 39:23 43:24 44:2 future 39:15 43:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>G 13:7,11 15:3,5 16:4 Gafken 12:8 18:16 18:16 40:7 gaining 37:12 Garlinghouse 10:20 45:6,13 general 11:14 12:8 12:9 18:9,17 33:11 Geologic 15:11 Geology 15:23 getting 31:17 give 19:10 28:5 42:14 giving 37:11 Global 15:17 go 19:15 22:4 24:4 24:10 29:9 30:8 30:25 31:16,19 32:11 35:16 40:11 goalposts 37:14 goals 39:17 going 20:25 30:4 31:5 33:19 34:13 35:17,21 37:16 38:2 41:18 42:13 Golder 13:15 good 18:16 29:8 36:20 41:6,11 Graphical 14:19 greater 24:5 Gregory 10:12 11:9 16:13 Ground 15:23 guess 20:22 23:24 23:25 Guide 13:12</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>half 29:14 hand 19:5 handle 28:3 happen 28:25 39:15 happened 28:18 Harbor 12:4 hard 28:15 harmless 30:2,3 39:16 Health 15:13 Hearing 10:9 17:9 17:17 held 39:16 help 33:25,25 helpful 21:3 helps 36:24 hesitate 31:16 holding 29:23 holds 30:1,3 Honor 18:1,11 19:14 30:8 31:2 31:15 34:15 36:8 38:4 40:9 43:25 hook 30:6 hope 24:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>Idaho 42:5 idea 28:5 33:11,18 identification 16:19 identify 18:22 II 10:9 illuminating 38:21 Illustration 14:19 impact 37:8 38:25 implement 22:15 23:2 30:6 implemented 22:21 22:22,24 23:17,17 23:23 24:4 implementing 24:5 implicit 25:16</p>	<p>implicitly 26:7,24 important 31:13 39:18 imprudence 25:24 30:14 34:24 imprudent 25:20 27:9 41:5 incident 28:18 included 24:25 26:9 INDEX 13:1 14:1 15:1 indirect 40:24 42:19 Informal 14:9,15 information 20:24 23:20,21 28:5 31:3,24 33:4 initial 27:19 inoperable 30:3 instance 18:12 intent 20:19 interest 19:12 32:23 33:12 36:4 41:12,17,21,21 interested 28:21,22 39:9,14 interesting 39:5 Internal 15:10 interpret 26:13 Investigation 14:10 15:13 involved 36:23 issue 27:4 32:5 34:6 34:17,24 40:23 41:25 42:3 43:16 43:20 44:7 issues 25:4 26:7 issuing 25:23 item 24:3,3,6 items 22:24 23:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>J 10:12 11:9 12:3 16:13 Jason 12:14 14:7</p>
--	---	---	---	---

<p>19:1,6 21:17 JAY 10:11 11:4 jcameron@utc.w... 11:16 Jennifer 11:14 18:8 JLB-1CT 14:7 17:5 17:13 JLB-2C 14:8 JLB-3C 14:9,11 JLB-3C.a 14:11 JLB-4 14:13 JLB-5C 14:15 JLB-6C 14:18 JLB-7C 14:19 JLB-8C 14:21 JLB-9C 14:23 17:5 17:14 join 17:17 joined 17:22 joining 16:15 Joint 13:5 Joy 13:9,23 14:19 15:8,10,17,20 24:15 25:2,15,20 26:8,20 27:1,2,5,8 28:13,18 30:14 32:7,10 35:8 40:21,23 41:4,24 42:7,17 43:2,21 judge 10:12 11:8 16:6,14 17:15,21 18:6,10,15,20 19:4,8,15 24:10 25:6,15 26:2,16 26:21 27:11 35:3 35:6 37:16,20,25 39:23 40:11 44:1 judgment 25:13 26:14 jump 27:24 31:10 June 10:14 16:1,10 Justification 15:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>keep 36:10 kind 31:11 36:22</p>	<p>39:6 know 20:6 21:4 22:20 23:24 24:1 24:1,2,2,3,6 27:3 27:6,8,16,17,23 29:20 30:25 31:17 31:21 33:5,13,17 33:25 37:1,1,10 37:11,12,13 40:16 40:25 41:9,18 42:2 knowledge 45:9 known 37:4 Kopta 10:12 11:9 16:6,13 17:15,21 18:6,10,15,20 19:4,8,15 24:10 25:6,15 26:2,16 26:21 27:11 35:6 37:16,20,25 39:23 40:11 44:1 Kumar 11:19 18:1 18:3 19:9,12 30:8 30:20 31:2,15 32:1,4,15,18 33:5 34:1,15 36:8,12 37:23 38:4 40:9 40:12,19 41:13,22 43:18,24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>large 29:17 larger 32:5,6 largest 42:15 law 10:12 11:8 16:13 36:5 leading 27:2 leap 29:7 leave 35:5 left 32:13 legible 14:12 Let's 16:6 level 20:10 25:14 Light 10:5 11:19 16:8 18:2,4 limit 21:10</p>	<p>line 33:23 34:9 35:2 36:6 lines 24:23 Lisa 12:8 18:16 lisa.gafken@atg.... 12:11 list 16:21,23 25:1 litigated 43:8 little 24:11,22 36:17 38:14 LLC 10:21 18:12 19:3 logical 29:7 long 31:1 33:17 Longwall 13:9,18 13:23 14:20 15:8 15:10,11,16,18,20 15:20 24:15 25:2 25:15,20 26:8,20 26:23 27:1,2,5,9 28:13,18 30:15 31:8,12 32:7,10 34:6,24 35:8 40:21,23 41:4,24 42:7,17 43:3,21 look 34:22 looked 25:4,4 39:6 39:7 looking 37:15 lost 28:11 29:1 lot 35:16 loud 40:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>M 13:17 making 21:21 25:7 26:9,24 30:6,15 35:19 Management 13:12 matter 10:4 16:7,16 27:7 44:6 matters 17:16 mean 27:16 30:21 35:3 36:20 38:8 meaning 35:22 mechanism 10:7</p>	<p>16:9 24:15 25:25 42:4 43:6 Memo 15:8 mentioned 35:10 method 23:3 methods 22:9,15 23:9 MGS-4CT 13:11 MGW-1T 13:7 17:1,12 MGW-2 13:8 MGW-3 13:9 MGW-5 13:12 17:1 17:13 Michael 12:13 13:7 13:11 18:24 19:6 microphone 40:15 Mike 18:4 million 25:21 27:3 27:20 31:12 35:14 37:2,7,9 42:12 mind 29:13 38:2 mine 15:13,23 22:7 22:9 mined 29:10 Mining 13:9 15:16 momentarily 16:15 morning 18:16 motion 35:4,20 move 40:18 moving 19:13 34:25 MSHA 14:3 Mullins 12:13 15:3 15:5 17:6 19:2,2,7 21:24 23:24 26:21 26:22 30:13 31:10 31:20 34:4 36:16 36:19 37:18 38:1 Mullins' 31:7 34:23 multiple 43:10,14 Multnomah 11:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>N 11:1 12:1 16:4 name 18:2</p>	<p>narrative 13:5 36:2 National 10:24 nature 25:25 34:16 NE 11:20 necessarily 38:2 necessary 19:13 need 17:16 20:11 20:14,14,17 29:13 40:18 needed 38:24 needs 31:4 negotiations 39:14 net 14:18 18:5 42:6 never 31:3 new 15:20 30:6 non-Joy 26:22 nonconfidential 38:20 Nos 14:15 notice 30:4 November 15:18 number 25:21 26:15 27:23 28:2 28:9 30:1 35:15 numbers 37:17,21 37:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>O 16:4 o0o-- 16:3 objections 17:8 obviously 29:19 40:22 41:4 occurred 28:19 41:23 offer 36:17 Office 12:9 43:9 official 20:2,7 officials 20:6 oh 29:13 okay 18:15 20:18 20:22 21:2,5,7 22:2 23:1,6,11 24:9 26:2 27:11 31:13 34:12 37:20 37:22,25 39:21,25</p>
---	---	---	--	--

<p>Olympia 10:17,23 11:6,11,15 16:1 once 43:10 ongoing 22:20,21 opening 19:10 operate 42:2,16 operating 21:23 operation 29:10 opinions 41:2 options 30:21 ORA 43:9 order 14:3 39:12 44:7 Oregon 11:21 12:5 38:6,9,13 42:22 42:24,25 Oshie 12:3 18:11 18:11 40:5 outcome 28:17 29:22 30:23 39:19 outline 22:18 42:11 outside 38:16 outstanding 43:17 overall 31:12 37:11 overview 15:16 41:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 11:1,1 12:1,1 16:4 P.O 11:15 Pacific 10:5 11:18 11:19 14:4,6 16:7 16:25 18:2,4 19:23 42:2 PacifiCorp 13:12 18:25 20:10 42:1 package 37:11 page 13:2 14:2,12 15:2 24:22 pages 10:10 22:13 panel 13:18 15:12 19:16 40:1 Paonia 15:24 Paper 12:2 14:6 17:6 18:12 19:3</p>	<p>23:12 40:6 Paper's 38:2 Paragraph 19:22 22:7 paragraphs 19:20 parens 19:25 Park 10:17 11:5,10 part 19:19 24:18 25:12 parties 16:11,24 18:21 21:8 28:22 35:13 36:3 37:11 37:12 38:20 41:4 41:7,8 42:9 44:2 parties' 21:9 parts 34:18 party 41:10,18 passing 25:13 26:14 path 30:9 31:6,16 31:19 32:11 Patrick 12:3 18:11 PC 12:4 PCAM 13:8 15:6 24:14,19 25:1,8 26:6 27:22 35:16 37:8 42:4,22,24 42:25 Pepple 18:13 period 27:22 periods 43:11 personnel 23:18 perspective 27:6 31:21 39:8 piece 25:3 28:11 30:16 pieces 28:13 pjo@dvclaw.com 12:6 place 22:24 plan 22:20,23 Planning 15:23 playbook 21:23 please 19:5 point 16:21 22:21</p>	<p>29:22 pointed 29:6 42:23 policy 20:19 22:1 portion 34:22 35:20 Portland 11:21 12:5 position 18:18 32:13,16 38:25 potential 40:24 potentially 31:10 power 10:5,6 11:18 11:19 14:4,6,18 16:8,8,25 18:2,4,5 19:23 24:14 27:21 28:12 29:1 42:2,6 practices 30:7 preliminary 16:16 prepared 19:10 present 12:12 18:13 32:24 33:24 presenting 18:19 presents 32:21 presiding 16:14 presume 34:2 pretty 23:22 25:5 28:15 prevent 22:9 30:7 primarily 28:21,22 primary 27:4 probably 29:4 problem 20:23 27:17 28:14 problems 29:6 proceed 34:10 35:1 proceeding 17:11 38:7,9,14,18 42:9 42:10,10,14 process 20:8,9,17 24:8 production 28:12 29:1 Profiles 15:21 progress 23:4 proposals 42:9</p>	<p>proposed 14:18 16:12 42:19 provide 20:10,24 31:20 36:21 41:22 provided 24:23 29:8 provides 19:24 23:7,19 providing 14:11 23:8,22 provision 22:6 prudence 21:16 24:12,17 25:7 26:10,14,19,25 30:14 31:8 32:6 34:5,23 40:21 41:3,24 43:21 prudent 21:10,13 25:17 26:8 32:10 public 12:7 18:15 18:18 29:16 33:12 36:4 37:18 40:7 41:12,21 pull 30:10 punitive 28:23 29:19,21 purchase 21:13 purpose 21:12 put 32:15 puts 30:4,5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>question 19:19 20:5 22:14 24:20 31:11 36:16 38:6 42:17 42:18 questioning 30:25 33:23 34:9 35:2 36:7 questions 19:16 21:5 24:13 33:1 33:21 34:2,4 35:12,23,25 39:25 40:2,5,8 43:24 quickly 36:19 quite 25:1 27:24</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>R 11:1 12:1 16:4 45:1 raise 19:5 32:11 35:24 Ralston 13:17 17:3 Ralston's 22:17 Ratepayer 43:9 ratepayers 29:16 29:21,23 39:16 reached 27:4 read 19:23 38:20 reading 20:4,4 really 29:8 33:13 37:3 38:24 39:8 realm 31:18 Realtime 10:21 reasonable 28:17 32:23 34:3 41:7 41:20 rebuttal 13:11,14 13:17 22:18 29:5 29:6 receive 23:13 receiving 17:8 recommend 32:9 recommendation 38:11 recommended 27:20 37:2 record 16:6,17 17:9 17:10,11,18,21 18:14 19:25 20:3 20:6,8 30:18 34:7 41:1 Records 13:12 recount 16:22 recovery 13:23 15:14 28:10 39:11 41:5 42:7 recurrence 22:9 referenced 20:19 22:12,16 refers 22:8 reflect 30:12 41:13</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>reflected 30:18 39:18 regard 41:24 regards 37:24 40:20 Regulatory 15:4 related 20:25 22:8 relates 19:20 31:12 relatively 25:5 remainder 26:25 remaining 25:14 removing 27:20 Rendahl 10:11 11:3 17:23 19:17,18 20:18,22 21:2,7 22:2,5 23:1,6,11 24:9 40:14 reoccurring 30:7 replace 21:10 replacement 21:19 report 10:7 13:18 14:10 15:11 22:25 23:3,7,19 REPORTED 10:20 Reporter 45:7 Reporting 10:21 reports 15:18 23:13 representing 18:12 Request 14:10,14 14:15,16,23 requesting 24:16 Requests 15:7 requirements 21:22 requires 23:2 Resources 15:23 respect 26:10,25 Response 14:4,9,13 14:15,23 15:3 Responses 14:6 15:7 rest 25:3 result 25:8 28:12 38:17,22 41:7 resulted 41:14 results 30:1</p>	<p>retain 20:11,14 21:11 retention 19:22 20:19 22:1 30:5 revealing 28:4 revenues 24:25 25:11,14 Review 13:15 15:14 reviewed 24:24 right 13:18 15:21 18:20 19:5,9,15 25:1 31:9 36:15 39:21 44:1 Rob 13:14 Roll 13:22 Rolls 13:21 Root 15:10 rough 37:13,13 round 38:19 RT-1CT 13:14 17:2 17:13 RT-2C 13:15 17:3 17:13 rule 32:10 ruled 43:20 rules 21:20 32:7 run 33:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>S 11:1 12:1 16:4 Safety 15:13 satisfied 30:24 save 20:17 saying 19:23 24:3 38:1 says 19:22 seated 19:8 Seattle 10:22,23 12:10 second 28:11 39:12 section 35:11 see 23:15 25:4 27:25 41:10,18 seeing 28:7 39:5 seek 31:3 seen 23:15</p>	<p>semantics 27:7 September 15:21 serious 32:5 serve 21:19 session 34:12,16,25 settle 42:20,20 settled 39:3 42:11 43:16 settlement 10:9 13:3,4,6 16:11 18:19 19:20 20:20 22:7,12 23:2 25:8 25:18,19,22,24 26:4,13 27:4 28:20 29:12 30:1 30:11,17 31:18,25 32:8 33:14,15 34:14,19 35:7,18 36:4 37:15 38:3 38:12 39:2,13,19 41:10,15,17,19,20 43:1 settlements 27:17 settling 16:24 share 33:20 short 36:11 Shorthand 45:6 shortly 22:25 showing 21:16 23:22 shows 23:16 41:7 sic 37:6 side 29:20 similar 42:9 simpler 34:21 simply 33:15 34:11 38:1 single 40:23 six 42:2 43:15,15 sixth 43:5 skill 45:9 softer 37:6 solution 29:15 34:21 solved 41:25</p>	<p>sorry 42:13 43:7 sort 24:4 30:10,12 30:15,16 31:18 32:20,21 34:3,5,8 34:9,17,22,23 35:1,2 37:6,13,14 41:22 sound 44:3 South 10:17 11:5 11:10 Southwest 10:17 SP-1 13:3 16:24 17:12 SP-2C 13:4 22:13 SP-3 13:5 16:25 17:12 speak 31:21 specific 35:14 specifically 27:1 40:12 spirit 34:14 Staff 11:13 14:4,10 14:13,15,16,18,23 17:4 18:7 19:1 20:24 21:18 23:7 23:12 25:3 40:3 stand 19:4 standard 21:11,20 25:5 Standards 15:20 starting 24:23 state 39:6 42:3,5,8 42:15 43:4,5,16 45:3,7 stated 22:11 statement 19:10 21:25 43:23 statements 36:3 states 39:1 41:23 42:2 43:15,19 status 22:19 step 20:9,13 Stip 13:4 stipulation 13:3,6 22:8 25:19,19</p>	<p>26:4 27:1,9 36:21 39:19,20 40:20,22 41:6 Street 11:20 strike 34:6 35:4,20 strikes 41:6 striking 34:22 strong 23:25 24:7 struck 41:11 Structural 13:21,22 suggest 34:21 suggests 36:1 Suite 10:22 11:20 12:9 Supplement 14:11 support 13:5 24:24 29:2 36:20 sure 19:18 20:13 21:21 23:14 26:3 27:25 28:8,24 30:6 37:21 39:15 39:16 40:15 SW 11:5,10 12:4 sworn 19:7 System 14:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 45:1,1 take 16:16 17:24 35:21 44:6 taken 17:19 19:24 talk 23:20 32:22 talked 24:21 talking 37:21 Taylor 10:20 45:6 45:13 tease 30:22 telling 30:21 terms 24:17 35:13 35:22 test 42:24 testifying 18:3 testimony 13:7,11 13:14,17 14:7,21 15:3 16:25 17:2,4 17:6 20:5 22:18</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p>22:18 24:22 27:19 28:9,16 29:2,2,14 34:23 35:4,20 37:1,19 38:19 39:3,9 42:11 44:4 Thank 18:1,6,20 19:8 24:9 27:11 36:8 38:4 39:22 40:4 44:1,4 Thickness 13:20 things 27:12 35:16 think 18:21 19:12 19:13 23:19 26:22 27:11 28:18,21,22 29:1,3,3,5,25 30:3 30:12,13,14,17 31:13,16 32:4,5,7 32:10,16,17,18,18 32:19 33:3,7 34:1 34:3,7,8,13,16,20 34:20 35:1,9,11 35:16 36:4,5,12 36:12,13,17 37:23 39:17,18 40:9,17 40:17,25 41:11,16 41:20 42:12 Thomas 13:14 17:2 thought 33:23 three 36:3 THURSTON 45:4 time 22:25 29:9 35:25 39:3 43:14 Timeline 14:8 title 22:10 today 18:13,19 44:5 topic 21:9 total 38:15 trained 23:18 training 20:10,24 transcript 45:8 Transportation 10:2,16 11:5,10 tried 28:16 true 45:8</p>	<p>try 36:9 trying 26:2 28:3,23 29:7,15 30:24 33:10,18,21 35:16 36:2,10,21 Tuesday 16:10 turn 42:14 turning 23:11 two 28:9,13 37:3 40:10 Tyler 18:13 type 42:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>UE-140762 14:21 UE-170717 10:4 16:7 ultimate 38:22 un-paren 20:1 understand 26:3 29:9 30:19 33:13 33:14 35:17 36:2 38:1 understanding 26:17 38:15 39:10 39:11 40:20 undertaken 24:18 26:6 unusual 43:8 update 24:1,8 updating 22:6,8 Utah 42:15,18 UTC 14:9,13,15,16 14:23 Utilities 10:2,16 11:4,9 utility 21:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>valid 29:3 value 41:10,18 Van 12:4 versions 38:21 view 32:25 38:9 viewed 21:18 violate 33:3</p>	<p>violating 34:14 VOLUME 10:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>wait 16:18 43:9 want 19:17 21:8 22:3 29:19 34:1 wanted 16:16 24:11 37:20 Washington 10:1 10:16,17,22 11:4 11:6,9,11,15 12:10 16:1 43:5 45:3,7 wasn't 27:25 33:15 way 12:4 21:18 26:10,12 28:8,24 31:1 33:3,18 35:1 37:13 39:5,7 ways 32:19 we'll 17:17 we're 16:10 18:18 25:6 26:13,13,15 36:2,20 37:21 43:11 we've 43:15,15 weaknesses 28:1 went 29:12 31:25 34:11 39:13 weren't 37:4 White 12:2 14:6 17:5 18:12 19:3 23:12 38:2 40:5 Wilding 12:13 13:7 13:11 17:1 18:4 18:24,24 19:6 20:5,9,21 21:1,15 22:17 23:5,10 26:16,18 33:24 34:8 40:13,19,22 41:16 42:1 43:18 43:22 witness 18:19 19:16 33:2 witnesses 16:19 18:22</p>	<p>wondering 28:4 work 41:8 wouldn't 26:8 32:15 33:3 34:1 wrote 39:3 www.buellrealti... 10:25 Wyoming 42:8,12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <hr/> <p>Yeah 27:14 31:14 33:9 35:6 year 26:1 29:11 years 43:10,14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/> <p>1 14:14 1.25 42:12 10 14:24 19:20 22:13 10-45 10:10 103(k) 14:3 11 22:7,13 11.2 27:20 31:1 33:18 37:22 1300 10:17 11:5,10 1325 10:21 14th 13:18 15:11 15:21 15 24:23 18 13:3,4,5,7,8,9,11 13:12,14,15,17,18 13:20,21,22,23 14:3,4,6,7,8,9,11 14:12,13,15,18,19 14:21,23 15:3,4,6 15:7,8,10,11,13 15:14,16,17,18,20 15:21,23 1800 11:20</p>	<p>1840 10:22 19 10:14 16:1 19th 16:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/> <p>2 14:16 2.8 42:20 2000 12:9 2015-january 15:19,22 2016 10:6 13:8 15:19,22 16:8 27:21 2018 10:14 16:1 206 10:23 12:10 241-7242 12:5 287-9066 10:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/> <p>3 14:10 3.5 25:21 27:3,24 31:1,12 33:18 35:14 37:9,22 3358 10:20 45:13 360 10:23 11:6,11 11:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <hr/> <p>4 14:15 4.5 37:7 40128 11:15 464-6595 12:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <hr/> <p>5 14:15 24:22 503 11:21 12:5 534-9066 10:23 5th 12:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p>664-1160 11:6,11 664-1186 11:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/> <p>750 12:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <hr/>
--	---	--	--	--

8:45 10:15 16:2
8:50 16:10
8:51 17:20
800 10:24 12:9
813-5161 11:21
825 11:20
846-6989 10:24

9

9 19:20,22
9.6 37:22
9.8 37:2
9:03 17:20
9:40 44:9
97204 12:5
97232 11:21
98101 10:22
98104 12:10
98504 10:17 11:6
11:11,15