## **Docket No. TR-171142 - Vol. I**

## Washington State Department of Transportation v. BNSF Railway Company

February 12, 2018



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT ) DOCKET TR-171142 OF TRANSPORTATION, )		
OF TRANSPORTATION, )	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	APPEARANCES (Cont.)
.)	3	ALSO PRESENT:
		STEPHEN SEMENICK
Petitioner, )	4	BETTY YOUNG
vs. ()		AHMER NIZAM
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, )	5	MEGAN MCINTYRE (via bridge line)
)	6	* * * *
) Respondent. )	7 8	
	9	
PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I Pages 1-11	10	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON	11	
	12	
1:30 P.M.	13	
February 12, 2018	14	
•	16	
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW	17	
Olympia, Washington 98504	18	
REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	19	
1325 Fourth Avenue	20	
Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101	21	
206.287.9066   Šeattle	22	
360.534.9066   Olympia 800.846.6989   National	23	
www.buellrealtime.com	25	
	+-	
Page 2		Page 4
APPEARANCES ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; FEBRUARY 12, 2018
RAYNE PEARSON Washington Utilities and	2	1:30 P.M.
1 Transportation Commission	3	000
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW 5 Olympia, Washington 98504	4	PROCEEDINGS
360.664.1160	5	
FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	6	JUDGE PEARSON: We will be on the record in
JULIAN BEATTIE	7	Docket TR-171142, which is a petition filed by the
Assistant Attorney General 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW	8	Washington State Department of Transportation to modify
P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504	9	highway rail grade crossing active warning devices at a
360.664.1225 julian.beattie@utc.wa.gov	10	railroad crossing in Toppenish, Washington.
FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:	11	Today is Monday, February 12th, 2018, at
	12	1:30 p.m., and we are here for a prehearing conference
SCOTT LOCKWOOD CONNIE RAEZER	14	to discuss scheduling and other procedural issues.  My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
Assistant Attorney General	15	administrative law judge presiding over this case.
4 7141 Cleanwater Drive SW	16	So let's begin by taking short appearances.
P.O. Box 40113	17	I know that all of the parties have entered notices of
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620	/	appearance, so I'll just need you to state who you are
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov	1.8	
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov	18 19	
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov  FOR BNSF RAILWAY CO.: (Via bridge line)	19	and who you represent and we will start with Staff.
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov  FOR BNSF RAILWAY CO.: (Via bridge line) JENNIFER WILLINGHAM BNSF Railway Company	19 20	and who you represent and we will start with Staff.  MR. BEATTIE: Julian Beattie, Office of the
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov  FOR BNSF RAILWAY CO.: (Via bridge line) JENNIFER WILLINGHAM BNSF Railway Company 0 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131	19 20 21	and who you represent and we will start with Staff.  MR. BEATTIE: Julian Beattie, Office of the Attorney General, appearing on behalf of the Commission
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov  FOR BNSF RAILWAY CO: (Via bridge line) JENNIFER WILLINGHAM BNSF Railway Company 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131 817.352.2160	19 20 21 22	and who you represent and we will start with Staff.  MR. BEATTIE: Julian Beattie, Office of the  Attorney General, appearing on behalf of the Commission  Staff.
P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 360.753.1620 scottl@atg.wa.gov raezerc@wsdot.wa.gov  FOR BNSF RAILWAY CO.: (Via bridge line) JENNIFER WILLINGHAM BNSF Railway Company 0 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131	19 20 21	and who you represent and we will start with Staff.  MR. BEATTIE: Julian Beattie, Office of the Attorney General, appearing on behalf of the Commission

	Page 5		Page 7
1	My name is Scott Lockwood. I'm also an assistant	1	the following events and dates. Mediation conference on
2	attorney general. I'm here on behalf of the Department	2	Monday, March 5th, 2018.
3	of Transportation.	3	JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, good. Glad you have it
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	4	in writing. Okay.
5	And for BNSF?	5	MR. BEATTIE: Direct testimony due from the
6	MS. WILLINGHAM: Yes, this is Jennifer	6	petitioner on Friday, April 13th; response testimony
7	Willingham, attorney for BNSF, and I believe also I have	7	from respondent, BNSF, and respondent, Commission Staff,
8	Stephen Semenick, public projects manager, as well as	8	due on Friday, May 25th; rebuttal and cross-answering
9	assistant project director, Megan McIntyre.	9	testimony from all parties due on Monday, June 25th;
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	10	cross-examination, exhibits, and exhibit lists due on
11	Is there anyone else on the bridge line who	11	Wednesday, July 18th; evidentiary hearing, location to
12	wishes to enter an appearance?	12	be designated, on Wednesday, August 1st and Thursday,
13	Okay. Hearing nothing, is there any party	13	August 2nd, if necessary; public hearing on Wednesday,
14	seeking to intervene?	14	August 1st in the evening; and post-hearing briefs on
15	Okay. Do the parties want the discovery	15	Friday, September, Friday 7th.
16	rules to be available in this case?	16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. BEATTIE: Commission Staff's position is	17	So I did have a heads-up from Mr. Beattie
18	that the that's not necessary at this point.	18	about mediation earlier on today, and I did confirm that
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. How do the other	19	Judge Marguerite Friedlander is available on March 5th
20	parties feel about that?	20	to conduct a mediation. Is everyone okay with holding
21	MR. LOCKWOOD: Well, I don't anticipate	21	that here?
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you speak into the	22	MR. LOCKWOOD: Yes.
23	microphone?	23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we can reserve
24	MR. LOCKWOOD: Well, at this point, I don't	24	probably this room and another conference room to have a
25	anticipate the need for discovery. I nevertheless would	25	breakout room if we need it for that day, assuming it's
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Page 6 not opt to waive it at this point. So I would	1	Page 8 available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll
1 2	<del>-</del>	1 2	
	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would		available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll
2	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.	2	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.
2	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?	2 3	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF.
2 3 4	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that,	2 3 4	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF.  Because we have people coming from out of state, what
2 3 4 5	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that,  Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to	2 3 4 5	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF.  Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?
2 3 4 5 6	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.	2 3 4 5 6	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF.  Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.
2 3 4 5 6 7	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie,	2 3 4 5 6 7	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF.  Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from 1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from  1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)  JUDGE PEARSON: So let's go back on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.  MS. MCINTYRE: Yeah, I think 10:00 or 11:00.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from  1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)  JUDGE PEARSON: So let's go back on the record. And during the break, I understand that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.  MS. MCINTYRE: Yeah, I think 10:00 or 11:00.  JUDGE PEARSON: So we can designate a 10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from  1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)  JUDGE PEARSON: So let's go back on the record. And during the break, I understand that the parties agreed on a procedural schedule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.  MS. MCINTYRE: Yeah, I think 10:00 or 11:00.  JUDGE PEARSON: So we can designate a 10:00 a.m. start time; does that sound good?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from  1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)  JUDGE PEARSON: So let's go back on the record. And during the break, I understand that the parties agreed on a procedural schedule.  Mr. Beattie, do you want to read that into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.  MS. MCINTYRE: Yeah, I think 10:00 or 11:00.  JUDGE PEARSON: So we can designate a 10:00 a.m. start time; does that sound good?  MR. BEATTIE: 10:00 sounds good to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not opt to waive it at this point. So I would appreciate if we could preserve the rules of discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Willingham?  MS. WILLINGHAM: I would agree with that, Your Honor. We would like to preserve our right to discovery.  JUDGE PEARSON: And you agree, Mr. Beattie, with that?  MR. BEATTIE: Sure.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we will preserve that at this point, and that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties have a proposed schedule or do you need a recess to discuss scheduling?  MR. BEATTIE: I think a recess would be helpful.  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Why don't we do that. We will go ahead and go off the record.  (A break was taken from  1:32 p.m. to 1:39 p.m.)  JUDGE PEARSON: So let's go back on the record. And during the break, I understand that the parties agreed on a procedural schedule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	available. I shouldn't speak quite yet, but we'll figure something out. We'll have two rooms available.  MS. MCINTYRE: This is Megan McIntyre, BNSF. Because we have people coming from out of state, what time is that designated to be at?  JUDGE PEARSON: That was my next question.  MR. BEATTIE: Well, I guess I'd ask BNSF for a proposal since it's probably most onerous on you guys in terms of travel.  MS. WILLINGHAM: Probably afternoon would be best.  MR. BEATTIE: Okay. And I guess my question for the parties is whether we believe 1:00 in the afternoon is sufficient?  MS. WILLINGHAM: Or even I guess or even midmorning.  Megan and Stephen, would that work for you like 10:00 or  MR. SEMENICK: 10 o'clock would work.  MS. MCINTYRE: Yeah, I think 10:00 or 11:00.  JUDGE PEARSON: So we can designate a 10:00 a.m. start time; does that sound good?

		Π	
	Page 9		Page 11
1	MR. LOCKWOOD: That works for DOT as well.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. So I will	2	
3	indicate the start time in the prehearing conference	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	order, and I will also work on the room scheduling, and	4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	if it doesn't get into the prehearing conference order,	5	
6	I will notify the parties by email exactly where in the	6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
7	building it will be, okay?	7 8	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	Okay. Well, as I noted when we were off the	9	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
9	record, we will reserve a room here at the Commission	10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
10	for both days of the hearing, but it is incumbent upon	11	
11	the parties to find a facility in or near Toppenish to		
12	host the hearing. And if you've not secured a space and	12	
13	notified us of that location at least 45 days before the	13	NA4
14	hearing date, we will hold the hearing here at the	14	E MOTES
15	Commission's headquarters in Olympia. So please make	15	
16	sure you notify me directly when you secure a location,	16	Joylen Grantinghouse.
17	and then we will issue a notice confirming that.	17	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
18	Okay. Is there anything else that we need	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19	to address while we're here today?	19	
20	MS. WILLINGHAM: I don't think so.	20	
21	JUDGE PEARSON: No?	21	
22	MR. BEATTIE: Nothing from Staff.	22	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, great. So, again, I	23	
24	will issue a prehearing conference order reflecting the	24	
25	schedule that we discussed here today, and we are	25	
	Page 10		
1	adjourned. Thank you.		
2	(Adjourned at 1:43 p.m.)		
3	(Adjournou at 1.40 p.m.)		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
1			

	1 6 10 21	1, , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1
A	break 6:18,21	designated 7:12 8:5	G	julian.beattie@u
<b>a.m</b> 8:22	breakout 7:25	devices 4:9	G 4:4	2:10
ability 11:9	<b>bridge</b> 2:18 3:5	Direct 7:5	Garlinghouse 1:20	<b>July</b> 7:11
accurate 11:9	5:11	directly 9:16	11:6,17	<b>June</b> 7:9
active 4:9	briefs 7:14	director 5:9	general 2:8,13 4:21	K
address 9:19	<b>brings</b> 6:11	discovery 5:15,25	5:2	-
adjourned 10:1,2	<b>Buell</b> 1:21	6:2,6	<b>Glad</b> 7:3	know 4:17
administrative	<b>building</b> 9:7	<b>discuss</b> 4:13 6:13	<b>go</b> 6:17,17,20	knowledge 11:9
1:13 2:2 4:15		discussed 9:25	good 4:25 7:3 8:22	L
afternoon 4:25	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}^2 + \mathbf{C}^2 + \mathbf{C}^2 + \mathbf{C}^2}$	<b>Docket</b> 1:4 4:7	8:23	law 1:13 2:2 4:15
8:10,14	C 2:1 3:1 4:4 11:1,1	<b>DOT</b> 9:1	grade 4:9	let's 4:16 6:20
<b>agree</b> 6:4,7	case 4:15 5:16	<b>Drive</b> 1:18 2:4,8,14	great 9:23	
agreed 6:22	CCR 1:20 11:17	2:20	guess 8:7,12,15	line 2:18 3:5 5:11
ahead 6:17	Certified 11:6	<b>due</b> 7:5,8,9,10	guys 8:8	lists 7:10
AHMER 3:4	certify 11:8			LLC 1:21
anticipate 5:21,25	Cleanwater 2:14	<u> </u>	H	location 7:11 9:13
appearance 4:18	coming 8:4	<b>E</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4,4	headquarters 9:15	9:16
5:12	Commission 1:2,18	11:1,1	heads-up 7:17	<b>Lockwood</b> 2:12
appearances 4:16	2:4,6 4:21 5:17	earlier 7:18	hearing 5:13 7:11	4:25 5:1,21,24
appearing 4:21	7:7 8:24 9:9	email 9:6	7:13 9:10,12,14	7:22 9:1
appreciate 6:2	Commission's 9:15	enter 5:12	9:14	Lou 2:20
April 7:6	<b>Company</b> 1:7 2:19	entered 4:17	helpful 6:15	
assistant 2:8,13 5:1	conduct 7:20	evening 7:14	highway 4:9	-
5:9	conference 1:11	events 7:1	<b>hold</b> 9:14	manager 5:8
assuming 7:25	4:12 7:1,24 9:3,5	<b>Evergreen</b> 1:18 2:4	holding 7:20	March 7:2,19
attorney 2:8,13	9:24	2:8	Honor 4:25 6:5	Marguerite 7:19
4:21 5:2,7	confirm 7:18	evidentiary 7:11	host 9:12	<b>McIntyre</b> 3:5 5:9
August 7:12,13,14	confirming 9:17	exactly 9:6		8:3,3,20
available 5:16 7:19	<b>CONNIE</b> 2:13	exhibit 7:10	I	mediation 7:1,18
8:1,2	<b>Cont</b> 3:1	exhibits 7:10	incumbent 9:10	7:20
<b>Avenue</b> 1:21	<b>COUNTY</b> 11:4		indicate 9:3	<b>Megan</b> 3:5 5:9 8:3
Avenue 1.21	cross-answering	<b>F</b>	intervene 5:14	8:17
B	7:8	<b>F</b> 11:1	issue 9:17,24	Menk 2:20
back 6:20	cross-examination	facility 9:11	issues 4:13	microphone 5:23
<b>Beattie</b> 2:7 4:20,20	7:10	<b>February</b> 1:16 4:1		midmorning 8:16
5:17 6:7,9,14,23	<b>crossing</b> 4:9,10	4:11	J	modify 4:8
6:25 7:5,17 8:7,12		feel 5:20	<b>Jennifer</b> 2:19 5:6	<b>Monday</b> 4:11 7:2,9
8:23 9:22	D	figure 8:2	jennifer.willingh	N
behalf 4:21 5:2	<b>D</b> 4:4	filed 4:7	2:21	N 2:1 3:1 4:4
believe 5:7 8:13	<b>date</b> 9:14	<b>find</b> 9:11	<b>judge</b> 1:13 2:2 4:6	
best 8:11 11:9	dates 7:1	following 7:1	4:15,23 5:4,10,19	name 4:14 5:1 National 1:24
<b>BETTY</b> 3:4	day 7:25	foregoing 11:8	5:22 6:3,7,10,16	
BNSF 1:7 2:17,19	days 9:10,13	Fort 2:20	6:20 7:3,16,19,23	near 9:11
5:5,7 7:7 8:3,7	<b>Department</b> 1:4	Fourth 1:21	8:6,21,25 9:2,21	necessary 5:18 7:13 need 4:18 5:25 6:12
Box 2:9,14	2:11 4:8,24 5:2	<b>Friday</b> 7:6,8,15,15	9:23	
DUA 2.7,17	designate 8:21	Friedlander 7:19	<b>Julian</b> 2:7 4:20	7:25 9:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

## Page 13

41 1 7 27		7.00.0.0		7.10.10
nevertheless 5:25	point 5:18,24 6:1	reserve 7:23 9:9	T	7:12,13
<b>NIZAM</b> 3:4	6:11	respondent 1:9 7:7	<b>T</b> 11:1,1	Willingham 2:19
noted 9:8	position 5:17	7:7	<b>taken</b> 6:18	5:6,7 6:3,4 8:10
<b>notice</b> 9:17	post-hearing 7:14	response 7:6	<b>Tayler</b> 1:20 11:6,17	8:15 9:20
notices 4:17	prehearing 1:11	right 6:5	terms 8:9	wishes 5:12
notified 9:13	4:12 9:3,5,24	room 7:24,24,25	<b>testimony</b> 7:5,6,9	work 8:17,19 9:4
<b>notify</b> 9:6,16	PRESENT 3:2	9:4,9	<b>Texas</b> 2:20	works 9:1
0	<b>preserve</b> 6:2,5,10	rooms 8:2	<b>Thank</b> 5:4,10 7:16	Worth 2:20
	presiding 4:15	rules 5:16 6:2	10:1	writing 7:4
O 4:4	<b>probably</b> 7:24 8:8	<u>S</u>	think 6:14 8:20	www.buellrealti
o'clock 8:19	8:10		9:20	1:25
0004:3	procedural 4:13	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:1 4:4	Thursday 7:12	
Office 4:20	6:22	schedule 6:11,12,22	THURSTON 11:4	
Oh 7:3	project 5:9	9:25	time 8:5,22 9:3	Y
okay 4:23 5:4,10,13	projects 5:8	scheduling 4:13	today 4:11 7:18	Yeah 8:20
5:15,19 6:3,10,16	proposal 8:8	6:13 9:4	9:19,25	YOUNG 3:4
7:4,16,20,23 8:12	proposed 6:12	Scott 2:12 5:1	Toppenish 4:10	1 0 0 NG 3.4
8:25 9:2,2,7,8,18	proposing 6:25	scottl@atg.wa.gov	9:11	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
9:23	<b>public</b> 5:8 7:13	2:16	<b>TR-171142</b> 1:4 4:7	
<b>Olympia</b> 1:19,23		<b>Seattle</b> 1:22,23	transcript 11:8	
2:5,9,15 4:1 9:15	Q	secure 9:16	<b>Transportation</b> 1:2	
onerous 8:8	question 8:6,12	secured 9:12	1:4,18 2:4,11 4:8	1
<b>opt</b> 6:1	quite 8:1	seeking 5:14	4:24 5:3	<b>1-11</b> 1:12
<b>order</b> 9:4,5,24	${R}$	Semenick 3:3 5:8	travel 8:9	<b>1:00</b> 8:13
P	R 2:1 3:1 4:4 11:1	8:19	true 11:8	<b>1:30</b> 1:15 4:2,12
<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4	<b>RAEZER</b> 2:13	September 7:15	two 8:2	<b>1:32</b> 6:19
<b>p.m</b> 1:15 4:2,12	raezerc@wsdot	<b>short</b> 4:16		<b>1:39</b> 6:19
· ·	2:16	Shorthand 11:6	U	<b>1:43</b> 10:2
6:19,19 10:2	rail 4:9	<b>skill</b> 11:9	understand 6:21	<b>10</b> 8:19
<b>P.O</b> 2:9,14	rail 4:9 railroad 4:10	<b>sound</b> 8:22	<b>Utilities</b> 1:2,18 2:3	<b>10:00</b> 8:18,20,21,23
Pages 1:12 Park 1:18 2:4,8		sounds 8:23		<b>11:00</b> 8:20
, and the second	Railway 1:7 2:17	<b>South</b> 1:18 2:4,8	<u>V</u>	<b>12</b> 1:16 4:1
parties 4:17 5:15	2:19 Poymo 1:12 2:2	<b>space</b> 9:12	<b>VOLUME</b> 1:11	<b>12th</b> 4:11
5:20 6:12,22,25	<b>Rayne</b> 1:13 2:3	speak 5:22 8:1	<b>vs</b> 1:6	<b>1300</b> 1:18 2:4
7:9 8:13 9:6,11	4:14	Staff 2:6 4:19,22	<b>W</b>	<b>1325</b> 1:21
party 5:13 Pearson 1:13 2:3	read 6:23	7:7 8:24 9:22		<b>13th</b> 7:6
	Realtime 1:21	Staff's 5:17	waive 6:1	<b>1400</b> 2:8
4:6,14,23 5:4,10	rebuttal 7:8	start 4:19 8:22 9:3	want 5:15 6:23	<b>1840</b> 1:22
5:19,22 6:3,7,10	recess 6:13,14	state 1:4 4:8,18 8:4	warning 4:9	<b>18th</b> 7:11
6:16,20 7:3,16,23	record 4:6 6:17,21	11:3,7	<b>Washington</b> 1:1,4	<b>1st</b> 7:12,14
8:6,21,25 9:2,21	6:24 9:9	<b>Stephen</b> 3:3 5:8	1:18,19,22 2:3,5,9	
9:23	reflecting 9:24	8:17	2:15 4:1,8,10 11:3	2
people 8:4	REPORTED 1:20	sufficient 8:14	11:7	<b>2018</b> 1:16 4:1,11
petition 4:7	Reporter 11:7	Suite 1:22	we'll 8:1,2	7:2
petitioner 1:5 7:6	Reporting 1:21	<b>sure</b> 6:9 9:16	we're 9:19	<b>206.287.9066</b> 1:23
please 6:25 9:15	represent 4:19	<b>SW</b> 1:18 2:4,8,14	Wednesday 7:11	<b>2500</b> 2:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

Page 14

				1 agc 14
<b>25th</b> 7:8,9 <b>2nd</b> 7:13				
3				
3358 1:20 11:17				
<b>360.534.9066</b> 1:23				
<b>360.664.1160</b> 2:5				
<b>360.664.1225</b> 2:10 <b>360.753.1620</b> 2:15				
4				
40113 2:14				
<b>40128</b> 2:9				
<b>45</b> 9:13				
5				
<b>5th</b> 7:2,19				
6				
7				
<b>7141</b> 2:14				
<b>76131</b> 2:20				
<b>7th</b> 7:15				
8				
800.846.6989 1:24				
<b>817.352.2160</b> 2:21				
9				
<b>98101</b> 1:22 <b>98504</b> 1:19 2:5,9,15				
<b>90304</b> 1.19 2.3,9,13				
	-	-	-	-