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# I. INTRODUCTION.

**Q. Please state your name and position for the record.**

A. My name is Michael J. Balhoff. I am Managing Partner of Balhoff & Williams, LLC (“B&W”), and my business address is 5850 Waterloo Road, Suite 140, Columbia, Maryland 21045. I am also a Senior Partner of Charlesmead Advisors, LLC (“Charlesmead”), and the Charlesmead office where I work has the same business address as B&W.

**Q. What services do B&W and Charlesmead provide?**

A. B&W provides consulting services, focusing primarily on financial and regulatory issues. Our clients are various telecommunications, cable television, and energy companies. B&W previously was known as Balhoff & Rowe, LLC, and then Balhoff, Rowe & Williams, LLC. The firm changed its name to reflect the partners active in the business at a given point in time, but the services of the firm have remained consistent since the company was established in 2004. In addition, with two partners, I also co-founded Charlesmead in June 2010 to provide investment banking services to companies in the telecommunications industry. My services in this proceeding are provided through B&W.

**Q. Please describe your relevant educational and professional background.**

A. I have a doctorate and four masters’ degrees, including a Master’s in Business Administration, with a concentration in finance, from the University of Maryland. I am a Chartered Financial Analyst and am a member of the Baltimore Security Analysts Society. During a period of 16 years, I was a senior analyst and Managing Director with responsibility for leading the telecommunications and technology sell-side equity research group at Legg Mason Wood Walker, Inc., which was the wholly-owned capital markets division of Legg Mason, Inc. (“Legg Mason”), headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland. The group I managed at Legg Mason provided investment advice to institutional investors and communications companies across North America and Europe. My more extensive resume, including publications, presentations, and testimonies, is included as Exhibit MJB-2.

**Q. On whose behalf are you offering testimony in this proceeding?**

A. I am offering testimony on behalf of CenturyLink, Inc. (“CenturyLink” or the “Company”). CenturyLink is a joint applicant along with Level 3 Communications, Inc. (“Level 3”) for approval of the indirect transfer of control of all Level 3 affiliated operating companies in Washington. I refer in my testimony to CenturyLink and Level 3 collectively as “Joint Applicants.”

**Q. What is your relationship with the Joint Applicants?**

A. During my last seven years at Legg Mason, I provided equity research on incumbent local exchange carriers (“ILECs” or “LECs”), including CenturyLink (at that time, CenturyTel). Since 2005, through B&W, I have provided financial and regulatory consulting services to, and independent testimony on behalf of, many telecommunications companies, including CenturyLink. I have had no professional relationship with Level 3 prior to this time. Neither I nor our companies—B&W or Charlesmead—offered any advisory or other services to CenturyLink or Level 3 in the transactional process that led to the October 31, 2016 announcement of the merger between the two companies (“Merger”). To be clear, I am appearing in this regulatory review process as an independent third-party professional industry expert, engaged by CenturyLink, but with the understanding that all opinions in my testimony are entirely my own.

**Q. Have you appeared before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (“Commission”) in any other proceedings?**

A. No, I have not. However, I have provided regulatory testimony concerning telecommunications matters before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, the Regulatory Commission of Alaska, the Iowa Utilities Board, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the Vermont Public Service Board, the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, and the Maine Public Utilities Commission.

# II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY.

**Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

A. I am testifying before the Commission in support of the Merger announced by CenturyLink and Level 3 on October 31, 2016. My testimony provides an overview of the proposed Merger, detail about the financing of the transaction, and discussion of the post-Merger financial characteristics of the combined company as those characteristics might relate to the public interest.

**Q. Are other witnesses filing direct testimony in this proceeding?**

A. Yes. I have reviewed the testimonies of Mark Reynolds, representing CenturyLink, and Kristie Ince, representing Level 3. Those testimonies provide detail about other relevant matters that support the proposed Merger of CenturyLink with Level 3. My testimony complements those testimonies with a focus on financial factors related to the proposed transaction. I have also reviewed relevant transaction-related documentation, including the Merger announcements from the companies, the filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), the Notice of Transaction and Application before the Commission,[[1]](#footnote-1) and documentation from credit rating agencies and Wall Street sell-side equity research analysts.

**Q. Are you familiar with this Commission’s standard of review?**

A. I am not an attorney and am testifying as a financial and telecommunications expert in this proceeding. However, I have been advised by counsel representing CenturyLink that when this Commission was examining the Qwest/CenturyLink merger, it set forth the standard for approval of a merger or acquisition under Chapter 80.12 RCW, focusing on whether the transaction is consistent with the public interest.[[2]](#footnote-2) The Applicants are confident that CenturyLink’s proposed acquisition of Level 3 Communications, Inc. meets this standard, as the Merger provides affirmative benefits without any adverse impacts or harm.

**Q. From a financial perspective, is the proposed merger consistent with the public interest, in your professional opinion?**

A. Yes. It is my opinion as a financial expert that the Merger should benefit the public interest. I explain in this testimony my conviction that the financial position of the combined company is strengthened through this Merger, as the transaction enhances operational scale, expands market reach, and is accretive to revenues, margins and free cash flows. In addition, the post-Merger Company will have the assets, network, and product diversity to better address customer needs and reduce business concentration risk, further enhancing its competitive positioning and value proposition. These financial and competitive factors are “affirmative benefits” that are in the public interest, as I explain in the following testimony.

**Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

A. My testimony is focused on financial matters about which the Commission may be concerned as it considers the Merger. Specifically, I address three general subjects:

1. A financial summary of the Merger, including the agreed-upon transaction consideration, financing plans, synergies, and tax benefits derived from the accelerated use of Level 3’s net operating losses (“NOLs”);

2. A description of acquisition-related financing, which shows that CenturyLink should be able to resolve its financial obligations in a variety of ways that have no negative effect on regulated assets or operations; and

3. The financial rationale for the merged company, including affirmative benefits that should flow through to customers, employees and the communities served by the company.

# III. FINANCIAL SUMMARY OF THE MERGER.

**Q. Please describe the Merger transaction.**

A. On October 31, 2016, CenturyLink and Level 3 announced that their Boards of Directors had unanimously approved a merger in which CenturyLink (NYSE: CTL) would acquire Level 3 (NASDAQ: LVLT) in a cash and stock transaction. The announced purchase price sets a value for Level 3 at $66.50 per share, composed of stock consideration of $40 per share, using a fixed exchange ratio of 1.4286 CenturyLink shares for each Level 3 share based on a reference price of $28.00 per CenturyLink share, and cash consideration of $26.50 per share.[[3]](#footnote-3) As a result, the total consideration is 60% stock and 40% cash. The acquisition implies that Level 3’s total enterprise value (equity value plus net debt) is approximately $34 billion, which includes aggregate equity consideration of approximately $24.1 billion and the assumption of Level 3’s net debt of approximately $9.6 billion, based on Level 3’s balance sheet at June 30, 2016.[[4]](#footnote-4) At the Merger’s consummation, CenturyLink’s shareholders are expected to own approximately 51 percent and Level 3 shareholders will own approximately 49 percent of the combined company.[[5]](#footnote-5)

**Q. What is the strategic and operational rationale for the Merger?**

A. The Merger will create a leading facilities-based enterprise communications provider, with $19 billion in pro forma business revenues representing approximately 76% of the combined company’s total consolidated revenues.[[6]](#footnote-6) Strategically, this focus on business and enterprise communications is expected to enhance CenturyLink’s existing growth profile, allowing it to transition to a growth-focused company with improved revenue and operating cash flow trends. The Merger should provide multiple opportunities for growth, both from the companies’ combined product portfolio deployed across a larger customer base and from the increased scope and scale of the combined network. Operationally, CenturyLink is acquiring a global network of more than 209,000 owned or controlled route-miles of fiber, including approximately 129,000 route-miles of fiber in North America, 47,000 route-miles in the Latin American (“LATAM”) and European, Middle East and African (“EMEA”) regions, and 33,000 subsea route-miles.[[7]](#footnote-7) Of the total North American route-miles of fiber owned or controlled today by Level 3, approximately 70,000 are long-haul fiber and 59,200 are metro fiber.[[8]](#footnote-8) The Company is also adding owned or controlled fiber into 34,755 buildings (based on unique addresses) in the United States, including 6,225 (approximately 18 percent) in CenturyLink’s ILEC region, and 28,530 (approximately 82 percent) outside of the ILEC region.[[9]](#footnote-9) In the rapidly evolving data-centric communications industry, the Level 3 transport assets and operations are complementary with CenturyLink’s business, which today is relatively more concentrated on denser local fiber networks and connections, including residential, business and commercial customers.[[10]](#footnote-10)

**Q. How will CenturyLink fund the Merger?**

A. CenturyLink plans to fund the cash portion of the transaction consideration, along with fees and expenses related to the Merger, with approximately $7.1 billion in incremental funded debt and with cash on hand.[[11]](#footnote-11) The Company reports that the financing commitment for this debt currently is in place.[[12]](#footnote-12) The Company anticipates that its pro forma debt levels are expected to be reduced significantly and quickly over the next three to four years, a period when the Company expects meaningful cash flow accretion resulting from accelerated use of approximately $9.6 billion in Level 3 NOLs and significant reductions in the cash operating costs of the combined company.[[13]](#footnote-13) In addition to the incremental debt, the transaction financing is expected to include a $2.0 billion revolver (which is expected to be undrawn at the close) and $1.2 billion to address upcoming maturities of debt that will come due before or at the time the Merger closes. Thus, while total transaction financing is projected to be $10.2 billion, it is important to understand that the Merger purchase price and related costs are to be funded by approximately $7.1 billion in incremental debt.[[14]](#footnote-14)

**Q. Please describe in more detail the cash flow benefits of the Merger.**

A. As mentioned previously, the Merger is expected to enhance CenturyLink’s existing growth profile. The combined company’s opportunities for revenue growth and increased scale should improve operating leverage to drive growth in cash flows from operations.[[15]](#footnote-15) In addition, CenturyLink announced that it expects to realize $975 million in annual run-rate cash efficiencies. The estimated savings include $850 million in annual operating expense reductions and $125 million in annual capital expenditure benefits.[[16]](#footnote-16) CenturyLink anticipates that the combined company also will be able to accelerate the use of Level 3’s existing NOLs, benefiting from slightly less than $2 billion in NOLs annually over a five-year period.[[17]](#footnote-17) CenturyLink has not provided any public comment on the specific annual allocations of NOLs between the two companies. However, it is reasonable to assume that CenturyLink will be able to take advantage of several hundred million dollars of incremental cash tax benefits, assuming Level 3 achieves pre-tax operating income levels consistent with the trends in recent years.[[18]](#footnote-18)

**Q. Will the expected cash flow benefits of the Merger offset CenturyLink’s incremental debt costs?**

A. While the specific terms of the acquisition financing are not yet known, CenturyLink expects to realize cash flow benefits—from an improved revenue growth profile, operating cost savings and NOLs—that, by my estimate, might be greater than twice the interest on the incremental transaction-related debt obligations.[[19]](#footnote-19) The resulting net increase in the combined company’s free cash flows (after accounting for the cost of the incremental debt) are expected to provide the company with additional financial flexibility for new investment and for retirement of debt, among other uses.

**Q. Will CenturyLink maintain its dividend?**

A. Yes. CenturyLink has announced that it expects to maintain its annual dividend of $2.16 per share, including the incremental dividend payments for shares issued to Level 3 shareholders. On another positive note, the cash-flow accretive nature of the Merger is expected to result in a “significantly lowered dividend payout ratio.”[[20]](#footnote-20) CenturyLink reported that annual payout ratios could be improved by 1,000-2,000 basis points because of the Merger.[[21]](#footnote-21) Independent equity analysts such as those at UBS Global Research estimate that “CTL's dividend payout would improve to 60-70% from 70-80% in 2018-2021,” and a Merrill Lynch equity analyst advised investors that he “estimate[d] the deal, using a blended average 5% pre-tax cost of debt, could be 50% accretive to CTL’s FCF [free cash flow]/share in Year 1 (2018E). The deal could shrink CTL’s [free cash flow] dividend payout percentage to 72% and falling.”[[22]](#footnote-22) The analysts are affirming that, despite higher debt costs and dividends paid on the shares issued to Level 3 shareholders as part of the Merger, the free cash flow per share of the combined company is expected to increase significantly relative to CenturyLink’s current free cash flow per share. Among other positive benefits, the effect of an improved—reduced—dividend payout ratio and improved cash flow, in my professional opinion, is to create greater confidence among equity investors and to better assure a stable equity price.

**Q. What is the anticipated effect on CenturyLink’s balance sheet?**

A. CenturyLink has announced that its pro forma net leverage (net debt-to-earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization or “EBITDA”) is estimated to be approximately 3.7 times at the Merger closing, after giving effect to anticipated run-rate synergies.[[23]](#footnote-23) CenturyLink will retain its long-term leverage target of 3.0 times, and expects that its net leverage can be 3.0 times within four years of the Merger close, driven by improved cash flows resulting from the Merger.[[24]](#footnote-24) The pro forma company’s enhanced growth profile should enable it to carry comfortably, in my opinion, the incremental acquisition-related debt in the years immediately following the transaction close.

**Q. Is it realistic to expect the Company to reduce its leverage ratio to 3.0 times in the years immediately following the Merger?**

A. Yes. Historically, CenturyLink has added debt at the time of acquisitions and rapidly reduced its debt levels as increased cash generation following the acquisitions permitted the Company to make debt repayments. below provides a graphic illustrating CenturyLink’s quarterly net debt-to-operating cash flow (“EBITDA”) ratio which has risen at the time of acquisitions, even as far back as fifteen years ago.[[25]](#footnote-25) In 2001, following the 2000 announcement of the acquisition of Verizon’s Arkansas lines, CenturyLink had a net debt-to-EBITDA ratio that peaked at 4.0 times before dropping to 3.0 times by September 2001. More recently, CenturyLink acquired Qwest Communications International Inc. in 2011, when the ratio peaked at 4.13 times before improving to 2.68 times by March 2013. Notably, for three of those recent transactions (acquisitions of select operations of Verizon as well as of the entirety of Embarq and Qwest operations) the ratio rose above 3.7 times, which is the pro forma estimate for the ratio when this Merger closes, again as depicted in .[[26]](#footnote-26) In the months after each of the previous acquisitions, the debt ratio improved quickly as growing cash flows allowed the Company to reduce debt and the leverage ratio. CenturyLink intends to apply this same discipline in strengthening the merged company’s balance sheet following consummation of the proposed Merger. While the eventual target leverage ratio of 3.0 times may be marginally above CenturyLink’s current leverage level, the Company expects to have revenue and EBITDA growth that are higher for the merged company than for CenturyLink at the present.[[27]](#footnote-27) To be clear, this means that approximately the same leverage ratio as the one reported today is expected to be supported in three to four years by a stronger merged company, which should be beneficial for all stakeholders, including customers.[[28]](#footnote-28)

**Figure 1: CenturyLink historical net debt to EBITDA**



*Source: FactSet Fundamentals prepared by Raymond James & Associates; other data and graphic prepared by Balhoff & Williams, LLC.*

**Q. Does the Merger create positive cash flow benefits when taking into consideration the one-time integration costs?**

A. Yes. The recurring cash flow benefits of the Merger are expected to be positive even after accounting for the nonrecurring integration costs. The Company is estimating one-time integration expenses that total $685 million, spread over a five-year period but with the majority expected to be incurred within the first two to three years.[[29]](#footnote-29) Even with the integration expenditures, CenturyLink expects that the Merger will be accretive to free cash flow in the first year following the close of the Merger and then, beginning in the second year, the combination is expected to be significantly accretive on an annual run-rate basis.[[30]](#footnote-30)

# IV. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION-RELATED FINANCING, INCLUDING NEW DEBT THAT DOES NOT RELY ON GUARANTEES OR PLEDGES ASSOCIATED WITH STATE-REGULATED ILEC ASSETS.

**Q. Will the incremental funded acquisition financing be guaranteed or secured by regulated ILEC assets?**

A. No. CenturyLink has stated affirmatively that it will *not* secure the acquisition financing with guarantees from regulated ILECs nor pledges of regulated ILEC operations or assets. No regulated ILEC will issue any debt to finance the Transaction. But, of course, cash flows from the various subsidiaries are expected to be used to pay transaction-related debt.

**Q. Does the structure of the acquisition-related financing include a pledge of the stock of a regulated ILEC entity?**

A. CenturyLink intends that the direct parent of two subsidiaries will pledge stock as part of the acquisition financing. The pledge of Level 3 stock by its new parent, Wildcat Holdco LLC (“Wildcat Holdco”), does not involve any ILEC-related assets or operations. The second pledge will be by Qwest Services Corporation (“QSC”), which will be a guarantor of the acquisition financing, and is the direct parent of one regulated ILEC company, Qwest Corporation (“QC”). As part of the financing, QSC will pledge the stock of QC. Importantly, however, QC will not be a guarantor of the acquisition financing nor will QC pledge its assets.

**Q. Please explain the rationale for the pledge by QSC of QC stock.**

A. The rationale for QSC to pledge its QC stock is to reduce interest costs, a reduction also supported by the pledges of stock and guarantees provided by other CenturyLink unregulated entities. Importantly, the current QC debtholders remain senior to the lenders of the new acquisition debt. Though not secured by the regulated ILEC operations or assets, the existing QC debt continues as "structurally senior" to the new acquisition debt because QC is not itself a guarantor of the acquisition financing. By contrast, the acquisition financing will be “structurally senior” to the existing CenturyLink notes due to the guarantees and pledges of the various other subsidiaries and corporate entities. But in the case of QSC’s pledge of its QC stock, the QC debt retains its seniority and QC does not guarantee or pledge assets to secure the acquisition financing. The effect is to ensure that QC’s independent financial position is maintained and its capacity to meet its current obligations as a regulated ILEC is unchanged.

**Q. How will CenturyLink’s proposed pledge of the stock of Qwest Corporation and pledge of the Level 3 stock impact the acquisition financing?**

A These stock pledges are expected to improve the financing arrangements. While it is difficult to calculate with precision the benefits derived from individual pledges and securities, it is my view that the combination of the QSC pledge of the QC stock and the Wildcat Holdco pledge of the Level 3 stock, together with the use of other guarantees, will result in interest rates that are lower than those that the Company might otherwise be required to pay. Specifically, it is my opinion that the pledges and guarantees could reasonably result in interest rates on the acquisition financing that are approximately 150 basis points lower than might be achieved without those pledges and guarantees.[[31]](#footnote-31) This means that annual interest expense might by reduced by approximately $105 million ($7 billion times 150 basis points). Such pledges and guarantees represent, in my opinion, responsible financial management that mitigates financial risk and better assures lower borrowing costs, which I believe results in cost-competitive services benefiting the Company's customers and in a stronger Company supporting the CenturyLink employee base.

**Q. Does the pledge of QC stock constitute a purchase of QC, or a transfer of control of QC?**

A. No. The pledge by QSC of QC stock does not result in any change in ownership.  The Washington requirement for approval prior to a change in control of QC would still apply in the very unlikely event (a) there was a default and (b) the Acquisition Debt creditors obtained a controlling interest in QC stock.  In the event of a bankruptcy, the Acquisition debtholders would have no precedence over any QC debtholders, whether secured or unsecured, but would only have the rights to the residual value of the stock.  And the Commission would retain the right to approve any transfer of control.

**Q. Can you provide additional detail regarding the acquisition financing?**

A. CenturyLink expects to incur a total of $8.225 billion of debt related to the Merger.[[32]](#footnote-32) CenturyLink plans to use approximately $1.150 billion of the proceeds to refinance certain existing debt of CenturyLink and Level 3, meaning that this portion of the acquisition financing will not result in an increase in the combined company’s total debt. Accordingly, the incremental Merger-related debt that CenturyLink expects to incur is $7.075 billion. CenturyLink will apply this amount, together with cash on hand, to finance the cash portion of the acquisition consideration and related fees and expenses. CenturyLink expects the acquisition financing to be comprised of $2.150 billion of seven-year senior secured notes and $6.025 billion of secured term loans in two tranches—a $1.575 billion five-year tranche and a $4.500 billion seven-year tranche.[[33]](#footnote-33) In addition, CenturyLink expects to enter a new $2.0 billion five-year revolving credit facility to replace its existing $2.0 billion revolving credit facility that matures in 2019. The new revolving credit facility is expected to be undrawn at closing and, as it replaces an existing facility of the same amount, will result in no increase in CenturyLink’s leverage. All existing indebtedness of CenturyLink and its subsidiaries and of Level 3 and its subsidiaries is expected to remain in place, except for the approximately $1.150 billion of existing debt that will be refinanced by the acquisition financing (as discussed above).

**Q. Which legal entity will be the borrower of the acquisition financing?**

A. The borrower of the acquisition financing is expected to be CenturyLink, Inc. The Company anticipates that acquisition financing will be guaranteed by: (1) Wildcat Holdco (a newly-formed CenturyLink subsidiary that, following the Merger, will be the parent of the successor to Level 3 Communications, Inc.)[[34]](#footnote-34) and (2) certain CenturyLinkunregulatedsubsidiaries that guarantee the Company’s existing revolving credit facility.[[35]](#footnote-35) The Company will not provide any new ILEC-related guarantees, except the pledge of QC’s stock. The existing Level 3 parent will not be a guarantor of the acquisition financing. CenturyLink, Inc.’s direct-subsidiary assets (including the stock of its direct subsidiaries) will not be pledged as security for the acquisition financing.

**Q. Please describe CenturyLink’s legal structure and its guarantor subsidiaries (both pre- and post-Merger).**

A. is a summary illustration of CenturyLink’s legal structure pre-Merger. Note that the light-blue-shaded entities are the guarantors of CenturyLink’s existing revolving credit and term loan facilities. All white-unshaded boxes represent entities that are not guarantors of the Company’s existing debt. In addition, regulated ILEC subsidiaries are indicated by dotted-line boxes. As is evident in the figure, all the guarantors of CenturyLink’s pre-Merger debt are unregulated entities (as stated previously), except for United Telephone Company of Ohio. In contrast, is a summary illustration of CenturyLink’s expected legal structure post-Merger.

**Figure 2: Pre-Merger CenturyLink summary legal structure**



*Source: Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz; Balhoff & Williams, LLC.*

**Figure 3: Post-Merger CenturyLink summary expected legal structure**



*Source: Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz; Balhoff & Williams, LLC.*

**Q. Please summarize the changes that occur pursuant to the Merger financing structure and the effect on CenturyLink’s regulated ILEC subsidiaries.**

A. Beyond the addition of Level 3, there are two primary changes arising from the acquisition financing, as depicted in , and these changes have no negative effect on the regulated ILEC subsidiaries. First, CenturyLink is expected to incur approximately $7.1 billion in incremental total debt. However, as discussed elsewhere in my testimony, this incremental total debt is expected to be supported by the increased cash flows and the enhanced growth profile of the combined company. In addition, the Company expects to reduce this incremental leverage rapidly over the next three to four years. As such, when these operating and cash flow benefits are considered, I am convinced that the incremental acquisition debt has no direct or indirect negative effect on CenturyLink’s regulated ILEC subsidiaries.

The second change resulting from the acquisition financing relates to subsidiaries that will *not* guarantee the acquisition financing, but whose stock will be *pledged by their corporate parents as security* for the new debt (the light-green-shaded boxes in Figure 3. There are two such stock pledges that are new (relative to the pre-Merger structure depicted in ). The pledge of stock in Level 3 does not include any regulated ILEC entities. As explained earlier, Qwest Services Corporation will pledge its stock in QC (QSC owns 100% of the QC stock). Thus, the only change in CenturyLink’s post-Merger corporate debt structure that *could* have implications for one of its regulated ILEC subsidiaries relates to QSC’s pledge of its QC stock.[[36]](#footnote-36) However, as I noted above, that pledge actually improves the financing for the Transaction, and in practice, the potential impact on those ILEC operations resulting from this stock pledge is not material and, in my professional opinion, it is very unlikely that the pledge would ever be enforced.

 **Q. Does the acquisition financing constitute all the funding for the Merger?**

A. No. The purchase price will also be funded by cash on hand at the time of the closing.[[37]](#footnote-37) Such cash on hand will reflect the funds that CenturyLink expects to receive in the sale of its data center operations, announced on November 4, 2016.[[38]](#footnote-38)

**Q. Given these changes, guarantees, and pledges, how will the financing of the Transaction affect the public interest?**

A. My professional opinion is that CenturyLink’s commitment to this Merger is an effort, on balance, to materially *reduce* the Company’s principal strategic, operational, and financial risks. I believe that, through the Merger, CenturyLink is focused on managing the secular risks that are pervasive in an increasingly competitive telecommunications industry. While the Company’s overall post-Merger debt leverage is expected to increase somewhat with the Merger, the Company’s history reveals that on numerous occasions CenturyLink has been able acquire companies using debt that caused its leverage ratio to rise, and has subsequently reduced that ratio within several years (see ). And, the other compelling benefits of the Merger—diversification, restoration of revenue growth, a stronger competitive position in key markets, and increased cash flows—substantially mitigate any risks and lead to a conclusion that the Merger will result in a fundamental overall improvement in the Company’s risk profile.

**Q. Describe how these factors lead you to conclude that the Merger will result in an improvement in the Company’s risk profile.**

A. As stated above, the combined company is expected to generate more operating cash flow over the term of the loans compared with the level of cash flows that CenturyLink and Level 3 would generate independently over the same period. CenturyLink will add Level 3’s future EBITDA that, for perspective, grew 11 percent in 2016 to $2.865 billion, as adjusted to exclude certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring acquisition-related costs .[[39]](#footnote-39) Additionally, the merged companies are expected to be able to utilize slightly less than $2 billion in NOLs—approximately $650 million in expected cash tax savings—annually over each of the first five years after the Merger close.[[40]](#footnote-40) Finally, the projected cost savings from combining the operations are significant, resulting in an estimated $975 million in increased cash flows annually, once the merged company achieves its full run rate. CenturyLink has stated that it believes that the Company will be able to achieve 80% of the $850 million in annual run-rate operating synergies and all the $125 million in annual capital-expenditure savings within the first thirty-six months after the Merger close.[[41]](#footnote-41) As a result of these increased cash flows, which are expected to exceed the annual debt service obligations resulting from the acquisition financing, post-Merger CenturyLink should be financially healthier, not less healthy.

# V. FINANCIAL RATIONALE FOR THE MERGED COMPANY, INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE PUBLIC INTEREST BENEFITS THAT SHOULD FLOW TO CUSTOMERS, EMPLOYEES AND THE COMMUNITIES SERVED BY THE COMPANY.

**Q. Why has CenturyLink engaged in significant acquisitions over the last two decades, including the proposed Merger?**

A. The communications industry is undergoing fundamental—competitive, technological and regulatory—changes that have financial impacts on the industry and the Company. The voice-centric business is contracting rapidly, as the number of LEC wired access lines is shrinking due to replacement of wired services with wireless products as well as competition from other carriers and cable companies. Data services are becoming the new core products for ILECs as well as for wireless companies, cable operators and competitive local exchange carriers (“CLECs”), all of which seek to serve residential, business and wholesale customers. And, from a regulatory perspective, rapid competitive change makes it increasingly difficult for policymakers and providers to efficiently manage a shifting set of operating and financial variables.

**Q. How, then, is the Merger likely to affect customers and the public interest?**

A. CenturyLink has stated that the customer benefits should be derived from the Company’s access to a far more expansive and global owned-network, increased bandwidth capacity and additional managed services, the ability to invest in and further improve the Company’s broadband network and deployment speeds for both business and consumer customers, and a broader range of services and solutions.[[42]](#footnote-42) For employees, the Merger provides the opportunity to work for a Company that has an industry-leading global fiber platform, with growth and advancement opportunities.[[43]](#footnote-43) I agree with those assessments and also believe that CenturyLink is responsibly evolving its business to reduce concentrated risks and better assure that the combined Company will be a more cost-effective and viable competitor over the long term. CenturyLink is also aligning a larger part of its business with growth opportunities—data and enterprise—while CenturyLink’s ILEC continues to serve customers and the public interest, including those in low-density, high-cost markets that are often less-economic or even uneconomic.

**Q. How does the Merger change CenturyLink’s mix of business?**

A. CenturyLink expects the Merger to enlarge its business-centric revenue base from 64% of total revenues to 76%.[[44]](#footnote-44) CenturyLink and Level 3 will combine their enterprise, small business and wholesale revenues that, in 2015, were $10.6 billion (third-largest domestically) and $8.2 billion (fourth-largest domestically), respectively, to make the merged company the second-largest domestic communications carrier serving global enterprise customers.[[45]](#footnote-45) Four points might be made. First, there should be no negative effect on the Company’s regulated ILEC businesses, as the Merger is simply additive to CenturyLink’s existing non-ILEC operations. Second, the merged company should be more capable of revenue and EBITDA growth, which means the Company is financially stronger over the long term for customers, employees and communities it serves. Third, CenturyLink’s enterprise customers will have the potential to benefit from increased bandwidth capacity and additional managed services.[[46]](#footnote-46) Finally, the overall enterprise and business communications markets might be expected to become more competitive as the merged company’s business and enterprise operation is larger, more integrated and better able to compete with major competitors such as AT&T, Verizon and Comcast. However, the combined company will not be so large that competition is harmed, as the merged company’s enterprise revenues will be a small percentage of the total enterprise communications market.[[47]](#footnote-47)

**Q. Is CenturyLink’s increased focus on the enterprise segment a negative development for smaller customers?**

A. No. In fact, it is my opinion that the network commitments associated with the enterprise business segment can be viewed as a leading indicator—an accelerator—to the evolution of the network in meeting the future needs of all customers of CenturyLink and Level 3. Enterprise customers typically require the most modern, highest-capacity products and services, as well as global connectivity. Smaller businesses and consumers are typically fast-followers and in need of similar connectivity, capacity, security, and reliability. Combining the two companies should improve the capabilities that are sought today and in the future by all network users.

**Q. How does the Merger change the financial, technical or managerial resources as CenturyLink focuses on providing reliable, quality telecommunications services?**

A. CenturyLink is expected to have *improved* financial, technical and managerial resources, and should have no negative changes, as explained in earlier sections of this testimony. That is, the Company will have increased network, market reach, products, and managerial expertise to supplement and augment its current operations. Because CenturyLink is already in the enterprise communications business and has an extensive network, the addition of Level 3 does not represent a new business, but is an expansion of CenturyLink’s business-focused operations.

**Q. What about the Company’s financial resources?**

A. While the leverage ratio is expected to rise from 2.8 times to a pro forma level of 3.7 times at the time of the Merger close, it is my professional opinion that this is the only indicator that could be pointed to as a potentially negative factor from a financial point of view.[[48]](#footnote-48) However, as I explained, this is a short-term issue. Through a temporary increase in leverage, the Company expects to realize multiple strategic, long-term benefits, including significant growth potential offsetting currently contracting revenues,[[49]](#footnote-49) improving margins at the Level 3 subsidiary and at the combined company as more services remain on-net,[[50]](#footnote-50) accelerated use of the NOLs, and substantial cost savings, all of which will allow the combined company to cope better with an increasingly global and highly-competitive business. Scale, scope, and growth are improved, which, in my opinion, are substantive identifiable long-term benefits for customers, employees, investors and policymakers.

**Q. How is the Merger likely to affect competition in the local marketplace?**

A. Since Level 3 does not own or operate ILECs, there are expected to be no negative impacts on the provision of ILEC services in the Company’s local markets nor any anti-competitive effects. In fact, in the local enterprise markets, CenturyLink should make the markets increasingly competitive based on the additional network and solutions provided by combined company as an alternative to those offered by formidable carriers such as AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast.

# VI. SUMMARY.

**Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

A. CenturyLink and Level 3 propose to merge their companies in a transaction that values Level 3 at approximately $34 billion. The rationale is to create a financially stronger competitor from two companies with complementary networks, products, skill sets and customer bases. There is no negative change to the regulated ILEC businesses of CenturyLink, and the likely result is that the merged company will offer increasingly high-bandwidth services to the marketplace more efficiently over the next decades. I am convinced that the Company will be able to meet its new debt obligations and reduce its leverage over a relatively short period of four years. The Merger appears to me, as a financial professional, to be precisely the kind of combination that policymakers hoped for in the 1996 Telecom Act, when they sought more competition and a wider set of customer-focused products.

**Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

A. Yes.
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