

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Puget Sound Energy

Respondent.

---

DOCKETS UE-220066, UG-220067, and UG-210918 (*Consolidated*)

**COREY J. DAHL  
ON BEHALF OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT**

---

**EXHIBIT CJD-7**

Puget Sound Energy Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 436

**December 8, 2023**

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**Dockets UE-220066 & UG-220067  
Puget Sound Energy  
2022 General Rate Case**

**PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 436:**

REQUESTED BY: David Konisky

**Re: Testimony of Carol L. Wallace, Exh. CLW-13T at 9:9–12.**

Please provide all documentation and communications to support the claim that the dunning process was “developed with the Low Income Advisory Committee.” Additionally, please provide any documentation or communications demonstrating that a consensus was reached among members of the Low Income Advisory Committee regarding a phased dunning approach.

**Response:**

Puget Sound Energy (“PSE”) presented disconnection statistics and processes to the Low Income Advisory Committee on several occasions. These discussions included PSE’s plans to start with a high disconnect threshold of \$1,000 and to slowly decrease that over time. PSE started with a high threshold specifically to ease into resuming disconnections. Please see Attachment A to PSE’s Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 435 for Low Income Advisory Committee meeting minutes. See specifically, *01.11.2022 LIAC Meeting Notes.docx*, *07.12.2022 Meeting Notes.docx* and *11.08.2022 Meeting Notes.docx*.

The Low Income Advisory Committee provides PSE with advice and input but does not require consensus among the members.