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JUDGE HOWARD: We are recording the Zoom call. If you are sitting on the call, you're presumed to consent to that recording.

I'm just giving the court reporter another minute to show up. But if not, then we will just rely on the recording for the present time.

All right. Well, in the interest of time, let's begin. And we have a recording going. And we can have this transcribed later. So I would normally say let's be on the record, but we're being recorded right now.

Good afternoon. We're here today for a prehearing conference in Dockets UE-220066, UG-220067, and UG-210918. This case is captioned Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Puget Sound Energy.

And we are here today following PSE's petition to amend the final order and the Commission's subsequent notice (inaudible) to amend the final order.

And if you are listening in, you may want to mute your line.

My name is Michael Howard. I'm an
administrative law judge with the Commission, and I am co-presiding in this matter along the commissioners. The commissioners will not be joining us today, though.

Let's start by taking appearances, beginning with the company.

MS. BARNETT: Good afternoon, and thank
you. Donna Barnett with Perkins Coie on behalf of Puget Sound Energy.

JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
Could we hear from staff?
MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon. On behalf
of staff, Jeff Roberson from the AGO.
JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
And public counsel?
MS. GAFKEN: Good afternoon. This is Lisa
Gafken, appearing on behalf of public counsel. My co-counsel, Ann Paisner, is also on the line.

JUDGE HOWARD: And could we hear from
Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, or AWEC?
MS. MOSER: Thank you, your Honor. Sommer Moser on behalf of AWEC. Thank you.

JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
Could we hear from The Energy Project?
MR. ZAKAI: Good afternoon. Yochanan

1 Zakai. I go by Yoki, and use he/him pronouns, on behalf 2 of The Energy Project.

1 Sensible Energy, or SENSE?

As we indicated in our notice, the Commission will provide an expedited procedural schedule. That includes a settlement conference and a hearing in the event the parties are not able to solve this issue through settlement.

By default, my normal plan would be to include formal discovery, opportunities for written testimony, a settlement conference, and an evidentiary hearing. A public comment hearing may be warranted in that, and that may be a valid point for the parties to discuss.

But even with an expedited schedule and shorter timelines, this would likely place the hearing in

1 December or possibly even January of the following year.
2 If we're looking at December, Monday, December 18, may be
3 the best day of that month for the commissioners.
4 Thursday, December 14, may also work for the
5 Commissioners. would be the company's preference. But we haven't issued

1 anything or circulated any schedule.

JUDGE HOWARD: I see Sommer Moser has raised her hand. Please go ahead.

MS. MOSER: Thank you. I just wanted to briefly state AWEC did not file a petition, but we are interested in participating in this process. So I just wanted to clarify that. Thanks.

JUDGE HOWARD: My normal interpretation is that although we would be entering a new prehearing conference order following our meeting today, that the findings about who is allowed to intervene in this proceeding that we found in the earlier order would still

1 be controlling. And I went back and checked, and we 2 don't necessarily have any intervenors that jump out as

3 failing to participate so far that would justify
4 dismissing them. This could be an issue though, to
5 consider.

Are there any other questions?
All right. Hearing none, Mr. Roberson, would you mind sending me an e-mail or Teams message when the parties conclude?

All right. All right. Great. In that case, I will exit the call and wait for staff's message to rejoin. And I'll tell our records center to stop recording the call while the parties are having their discussion.

MR. ROBERSON: Thank you.
(Pause in proceedings.)
JUDGE HOWARD: Prior to rejoining the call, staff counsel e-mailed letting me know that you were ready to rejoin.

Did the parties come to an agreement about the schedule?

MR. ROBERTSON: We did, Judge Howard.
JUDGE HOWARD: All right. What is the proposed schedule right now?

MR. ROBERSON: So the first date is

1 effectively tomorrow, which is PSE will make best efforts
2 to answer all discovery on a three-day turnaround.

```
                                So the three-day best efforts turnaround
```

1 on discovery is from tomorrow forward?

You know, in more complex proceedings where it involves more intensive review from policy advisors, $I$ would be very hesitant to agree to cross answering rebuttal testimony being due on December 22, shortly before winter holidays. But I think for this docket, it's a fairly narrow issue. So I think that is workable. And that won't involve policy advisors working over their holidays.

MR. ROBERSON: I will say there was a lot of give and take and some delicate negotiation. So the less we mess with the schedule, the more likely it is there will be buy-in by all the parties.

JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I should not throw a wrench in it. All right.

Well, thank you all, then. I appreciate the parties coming to an agreement. Does any party wish to seek participatory funding for this docket?

MR. ZAKAI: Yes, I believe The Energy Project would like to seek participatory funding.

MS. MOSER: This is Summer on behalf of AWEC. I'm sorry. I haven't conferred internally on that, so I'm not sure yet.

JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Well, I have not had the situation yet where we've had a second prehearing conference in a docket since we began the participatory funding program. But I would imagine the case certifications, both The Energy Project and AWEC are already case certified for this proceeding. The notice of intent to seek funding would be due 14 days from today. I'm indicating that right now.

And our prehearing conference order following our meeting today will give a subsequent deadline for proposed budgets, but of course they both can be filed at the same time.

And I believe there's already a protective order in this docket available to the parties.

Is there anything else that we should address today before we adjourn?

All right. Hearing nothing, we'll issue an order shortly reflecting the procedural schedule for handling this petition and other guidelines for the disposition of this case.

We are adjourned. Thank you.
(Hearing concluded.)
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