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25 Court Reporter
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1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Good afternoon. My name

3 is Marguerite Friedlander, and I'm the administrative

4 law judge presiding over this matter. We are here

5 before the Washington Utilities and Transportation

6 Commission on Wednesday, March 24th, at approximately

7 1:30 for a prehearing conference regarding tariff sheet

8 revisions filed by SJM Water Services, Inc., Fragaria

9 Landing Water Company, Inc., Iliad Water Service, Inc.,

10 and Marbello Water Company, Inc., which are Docket Nos.

11 UW-091034, UW-091035, UW-091036, and UW-091037,

12 respectively, and these dockets have been consolidated

13 for hearing and determination by the Commission.

14 The purpose of this prehearing conference

15 today is to take appearances of the parties, discuss

16 the schedule for the Commission's consideration of the

17 dockets, and any other procedural matters which the

18 parties may raise. Before we begin, let's go ahead and

19 take appearances; name, address, phone and fax, as well

20 as e-mail address. So appearing on behalf of staff?

21 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer

22 Cameron-Rulkowski, assistant attorney general, 1400

23 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,

24 Washington, 98504. Telephone number is (360) 664-1186.

25 Fax is (360) 586-5522. E-mail is jcameron@utc.wa.gov.
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1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Appearing on behalf of

2 SJM Water Services, Inc.?

3 MR. FINNIGAN: Just to shorten it up, this is

4 Richard Finnigan appearing on behalf of each of the

5 four companies. My address is 2112 Black Lake

6 Boulevard Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 98512. Phone

7 number is (360) 956-7001. Fax is (360) 753-6862.

8 E-mail is rickfinn@localaccess.com.

9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there

10 anyone appearing on the conference bridge who wishes to

11 enter an appearance? Hearing nothing. I guess my

12 first question is are the parties going to want to

13 invoke the discovery rules for this proceeding?

14 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes.

15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: How about any protective

16 orders?

17 MR. FINNIGAN: We should have the standard

18 protective order, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Just confidential or

20 highly?

21 MR. FINNIGAN: Just confidential.

22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I did ask off the record

23 before we got started whether or not the parties had

24 had an opportunity to discuss procedural schedules for

25 these matters, and you had not. So unless there is
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1 anything we need to talk about before we go off the

2 record, then let's go ahead and go off the record.

3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Before we go off the

4 record, I will simply note that we have had some

5 settlement negotiations. The other thing that I would

6 note as we go forth to set a procedural schedule is

7 that we have a current effective date in this case of

8 September 1, 2009.

9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. If there is

10 nothing else, then let's go ahead and go off the

11 record.

12 (Discussion off the record.)

13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's be back on the

14 record. Who would like to go first in explaining what

15 the procedural schedule you have all come up with is?

16 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I will explain.

17 Because of the short amount of time, we talked about

18 having a live evidentiary hearing as opposed to

19 prefiling testimony, and you may have some things to

20 add to the procedural schedule, but the other

21 assumption that we were operating under is there is

22 going to be an initial order in this case and we would

23 need to build in time for that to become final before

24 the suspension date; is that correct?

25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. That's what I was
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1 envisioning. My only other suggestion would be if

2 either or both of you wanted to waive your right to an

3 initial order and just go to a final order, and that

4 might allow you a little more time. I'm sure that the

5 commissioners then if they were going to be signing the

6 order would want to sit in on the evidentiary hearing

7 though, and it might be a little more involved trying

8 to schedule around their availability dates.

9 MR. FINNIGAN: That wasn't known as a

10 possibility. If the commissioners wanted to sit in on

11 the case, we would be willing to accommodate that, but

12 we didn't have that in our discussion so we don't have

13 a schedule to do that.

14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I understand that

15 completely, and I'm sure there are pros and cons to

16 both approaches, the one con being that without an

17 initial order, that kind of limits your bites at the

18 apple, so to speak.

19 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I think

20 logistics is driving this schedule, and 20 days, I

21 think, doesn't buy us enough time to have prefiled

22 direct, prefiled responsive, and prefiled rebuttal.

23 MR. FINNIGAN: I was thinking we would have

24 just live testimony. If the commissioners want to ask

25 questions, they can ask questions. They are there.
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1 Since it was their idea that this be suspended.

2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: It certainly is one

3 possibility. I guess if it's not enough time,

4 eliminating an initial order and going straight to a

5 final order doesn't allow us to have prefiled

6 testimony. I guess from my perspective, it probably

7 doesn't add a whole lot because -- unless you guys need

8 the additional 20 days for discovery, it might not be

9 --

10 MR. FINNIGAN: Both sides are trying to keep

11 this as inexpensive as possible while still getting the

12 issues fully out there. So having live testimony in

13 front of the commissioners, if that would make sense,

14 that makes sense. Otherwise, we can go ahead with what

15 we've got here.

16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you guys

17 explain to me what you came up with and then we will go

18 from there.

19 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Working backwards, we

20 have July 1 as the suspension date, and then 20 days

21 back from that, if we did an initial order, we would

22 need to have an order out by June 11th, and then we

23 scheduled simultaneous posthearing briefs to be due May

24 14. Then the proposed dated for the evidentiary

25 hearing is April 21, and that's it, and we thought,
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1 Your Honor, that you might have some time before the

2 evidentiary hearing for any sort of prehearing

3 organizational purposes.

4 I would add that I think we could keep the

5 schedule as we have it proposed, and then the

6 commissioners could decide to sit or not, and that

7 could be decided later. Although, perhaps we would

8 need to consult the calendar to see if they were

9 available to see if that would be an option.

10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't want to add

11 confusion to the mix. The only reason I even suggested

12 that the commissioners sit would be to buy you guys

13 additional time. I don't think this is something the

14 commissioners need or truthfully are in any particular

15 desire to sit on for one reason or another. I was just

16 adding that in case it would buy you guys additional

17 time, so we can go with the additional order schematic

18 if that is amenable to both of you guys.

19 MR. FINNIGAN: That's fine, Your Honor. I do

20 want to make sure that it's on the record that the

21 reason we are agreeing to this schedule is premised

22 upon the idea that each side will disclose to the other

23 what their theory of the case is in advance so that

24 each side can prepare for the oral hearing. Otherwise,

25 we don't want to substitute depositions for prefiled
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1 testimony.

2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Understood.

3 MR. FINNIGAN: It's on obligation that runs

4 both ways, but in order to do this and be fair to both

5 sides, we've got to have each side disclose to the

6 other side their theory and why they are raising that

7 theory.

8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski,

9 did you have anything to add?

10 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: One thing we did

11 discuss was because of the brief amount of time we have

12 for discovery was the possibility of shortened response

13 times, and I believe that Mr. Finnigan will make an

14 effort to get any discovery responses back on a

15 shortened schedule, if possible, and we had asked for

16 five days, and my understanding is that he would try

17 for that unless it was a more complicated question.

18 MR. FINNIGAN: My commitment was that we will

19 try to make a five-business-day turnaround, but the

20 Company has one staff person. All companies, the four

21 companies have essentially the same staff person who

22 also is responsible for some 30 to 40 other companies,

23 and so the workload can be very daunting for that

24 individual. That said, we will make our best

25 commitment. I just can't live with it as an absolute
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1 deadline.

2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We do have it in the

3 record on behalf of both of you, and if you have any

4 discovery disputes, please feel free to bring them

5 forward. Hopefully, there won't be any. At this

6 point, I don't anticipate there will be because you

7 have an amenable schedule.

8 Let me just go over the schedule that I have

9 to double check that I got this right. April 21st

10 would be the evidentiary hearing, and looking at my

11 schedule, I don't have anything that would conflict

12 with that. Are we looking at morning or afternoon, or

13 does anyone have a preference?

14 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: No preference from

15 staff, Your Honor.

16 MR. FINNIGAN: It's probably safer to have it

17 in the morning just in case it goes slightly longer.

18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and plan

19 on that being at 9:30, and I will try to get this room

20 as opposed to 108 because it's a little more

21 comfortable. May 14th would be the posthearing briefs

22 simultaneously filed. June 11th would be the projected

23 initial order, and July 1st as the suspension date.

24 Did I miss anything?

25 MR. FINNIGAN: No.
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1 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Great. Did we have

2 anything else that the parties wished to discuss today

3 before we adjourn?

4 MR. FINNIGAN: Not from us.

5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from staff.

6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. In this

7 proceeding, it would be helpful if the parties would

8 file an original and five. That would be covering our

9 distribution list. So if there is nothing further,

10 then we are adjourned, and I will go ahead and issue

11 the prehearing conference order shortly.

12 (Prehearing conference adjourned at 2:05 p.m.)
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