Exhibit No. (NEH-2)
Docket No. U-072375
Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Joint Application of

PUGET HOLDINGS LLC

and

Docket No. U-072375

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

For an Order Authorizing Proposed Transaction

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF NANCY E. HIRSH ON BEHALF OF NW ENERGY COALITION

Response to NWEC Data Request No. 1.04

June 18, 2008

Exhibit No. (NEH-2)
Docket No. U-072375
Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh
Page 1

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Docket No. U-072375 Puget Holdings LLC and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Joint Application for an Order Authorizing Proposed Transaction

NWEC DATA REQUEST NO. 01.04

NWEC DATA REQUEST NO. 01.04:

Has Mr. Leslie or any other management representative of a Consortium member testified, sponsored testimony, made public statements, or otherwise taken a position in any public forum with respect to any of the following subjects? If so, please summarize the position(s) taken with respect to the subject(s) and provide copies of all testimony, statements, comments, and written communications relating to such position(s).

- 1.4.1 Renewable energy portfolio standards.
- 1.4.2 Renewable generation assets.
- 1.4.3 Low-income energy services, e.g., bill assistance and low-income energy efficiency.
- 1.4.4 Energy efficiency.
- 1.4.5 Demand-side resources.
- 1.4.6 Greenhouse gas emissions regulation or limits.
- 1.4.7 Carbon dioxide emission standards.
- 1.4.8 Fish and wildlife issues relating to hydroelectric projects.
- 1.4.9 Residential rate design issues, e.g., customer charges, prepayment meters, and decoupling.

Response:

Each of the Joint Applicants objects to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04 on the grounds that it overly broad and burdensome to identify testimony, public statements, or public positions regarding certain issues taken by each management representative of a Consortium member. Without waiving this objection, the Joint Applicants provide the following response and have limited the response to those member representatives of the Investor Consortium who currently serve on the Board of Managers of Puget Holdings LLC (Christopher Leslie, Greg Osborne, Alan James, Graeme Bevans, Lincoln Webb, and William McKenzie).

Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, Attachment B

Date of Response: March 11, 2008

Person who Prepared the Response: James Cook

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Christopher J. Leslie

Exhibit No. (NEH-2)
Docket No. U-072375
Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh
Page 2

Attached as Attachment A to Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, please find the testimony of Christopher J. Leslie before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission in Docket Nos. Docket No. A-110150F0035 and A-311233F0002.

Attached as Attachment B to Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, please find the testimony of Christopher J. Leslie before the State of Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control in Docket No. 06-06-18.

Attached as Attachment C to Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, please find the testimony of Christopher J. Leslie before the State of Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control in Docket No. 07-05-19.

Please see Attachment A to Joint Applicants' Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 3104 for the testimony of Christopher J. Leslie before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.

Certain members of the Board of Managers, such as Alan James, have participated in public forums discussing topics listed in NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, but Puget Holdings LLC is unaware of any transcripts of those forums that can be provided as part of this response.

Please note that each of Attachments A, B, and C to Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04 are provided in electronic format only.

Exhibit No. ___ (NEH-2) Docket No. U-072375 Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh Page 3

Pages 1, 49 & 183-184 of Attachment B to Response to NWEC Data Request No. 1.04

THIS TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS 231 PAGES NUMBERED 1 THROUGH 231

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY CONTROL

Docket No. 06-06-18

Application of Kelda Group, Incorporated and Macquarie Utilities, Incorporated for Approval of a Change of Control

Public Hearing held at the Department of Public Utility Control
10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut, on August 4, 2006 at 9:25 a.m.

Held Before: JOHN W. BETKOSKI, Chairperson

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102 (p. 1)

Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, Attachment B

Date of Response: March 11, 2008

Person who Prepared the Response: James Cook

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Christopher J. Leslie

Exhibit No. (NEH-2)
Docket No. U-072375
Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh
Page 4

1 indebtedness, there is no encumbrance on the assets 2 of Aquarion. So there is -- there's no pledge of 3 the assets as such. 4 Q (Adetona) And how does then Macquarie 5 successfully manage the infrastructures? 6 A (Leslie) I'm sorry? How does Aquarion 7 manage? 8 Q (Adetona) No Macquarie, how do they 9 successfully manage the assets? 10 A (Leslie) I think it's through a 11 reasonably active management style as opposed to a 12 passive style of management. We like to maintain a very open dialogue with our CEOs if you like. In 13 14 this case Mr. Firlotte. And also, you know, key personnel such as the CFO and Miss Discepolo on the 15 regulatory side. So we're in very regular contact 16 on a weekly basis. And we set strategic directions 17 18 through agreeing business plans with the company. 19 We assist where we can in development of those 20 plans, and particularly on the financing side. And 21 then we closely monitor the implementation of those 22 plans, and obviously seek to see if we can assist 23 to the extent that things go off track. But 24 essentially, it's a very active management style, 25 very open dialogue with the companies. 26 27 POST REPORTING SERVICE

Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, Attachment B Date of Response: March 11, 2008
Person who Prepared the Response: James Cook
Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Christopher J. Leslie

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102 (p. 49)

28

Exhibit No. ___ (NEH-2) Docket No. U-072375 Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh Page 5

1 it as our role to come down to the level of the 2 assets themselves to suggest a new water treatment 3 plan in Bridgeport or whatever it might happen to 4 be. All of that first level of decision making 5 rests with the company in terms of identification 6 of the need. And then generally speaking, I would 7 expect if it's a reasonable request, then it's 8 something that we'll just go forward with in the 9 ordinary course. 10 Q (Caruso) Okay. Well -- and I guess I'll focus on that last statement. Would it be in the 11 12 form -- when you say request, request for money or 13 request to do the work that requires approval --14 A (Rollinson) Yeah, I think -- this will 15 go through a process because it's an established 16 process we have. And we do have a very rigorous 17 business planning process. And I can say that 18 Aquarion will have a business plan that is very detailed for the following year and will have a 19 20 four-year outlook for capital operating and all of 21 those things as it goes forward. That plan is 22 developed between Macquarie and the company, very 23 much led by the company because the company knows 24 exactly what the business is, but Macquarie has clearly an understanding of the business, and we 25 26 27 POST REPORTING SERVICE 28 HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102 (p. 183)

Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, Attachment B Date of Response: March 11, 2008
Person who Prepared the Response: James Cook
Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Christopher J. Leslie

Exhibit No. ___ (NEH-2) Docket No. U-072375 Witness: Nancy E. Hirsh Page 6

- 1 will know more about the business as we go forward.
- 2 So that annual business planning process is, as it
- 3 normally is in the company, a rigorous process
- 4 where you determine what assets are required to be
- 5 applied with capital, how you will do things, where
- 6 you will apply that capital. That sets the
- 7 blueprint, you know, for the following year for the
- 8 company. And then if there are deviations from
- 9 that, generally there will be some limitations on,
- 10 you know, what additional ones can be put in, but
- 11 very much left to the company to run that business
- 12 in accordance with that plan. The plan obviously
- 13 becomes both the plan of Macquarie, or MUI in this
- 14 case, and becomes the plan of the company because
- 15 we have both agreed on that. So we let the company
- 16 run through that, but the real rigor comes in the
- 17 business planning process.
- 18 Q (Caruso) Okay. Mr. Firlotte, do you
- 19 have a dividend policy currently at the Aquarion
- 20 Water Company?
- 21 A (Firlotte) We don't have a policy per
- 22 say, Mr. Caruso. We have dividend to Kelda at 90
- 23 percent.
- 24 Q (Caruso) At 90 percent. And that's --
- 25 but that's just been historical, it's not part of

26

- 27 POST REPORTING SERVICE
- 28 HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102 (p. 184)

Joint Applicants' Response to NWEC Data Request No. 01.04, Attachment B Date of Response: March 11, 2008

Person who Prepared the Response: James Cook

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Christopher J. Leslie