WUTC DOCKET: UE-190334 EXHIBIT: EDH-7 ADMIT ☑ W/D ☐ REJECT ☐

Exhibit No. \_\_\_ (Exh. EDH-7) Dockets UE-190334/UG-190335/UE-190222 2019 Avista General Rate Case Witness: Ezra D. Hausman, Ph.D.

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES,

Respondent.

**DOCKETS UE-190334, UG-190335, and UE-190222** (Consolidated)

EXHIBIT EDH-7 TO THE
RESPONSE TESTIMONY OF
EZRA D. HAUSMAN, PH.D.
ON BEHALF OF SIERRA CLUB

**October 3, 2019** 

## AVISTA CORP. RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:WASHINGTONDATE PREPARED:07/08/2019CASE NO.:UE-190222-334/UG-190335WITNESS:Jason ThackstonREQUESTER:Sierra ClubRESPONDER:Darrell Soyars

TYPE: Data Request DEPT: Environmental Compliance

REQUEST NO.: SC 013 TELEPHONE: (509) 495-2860

EMAIL: Darrell.soyars@avistacorp.com

## **REQUEST:**

Mr. Thackston states on page 42, lines 5-7 of his direct testimony that "[t]he owners...proactively decided to install SmartBurn in an effort to manage a future regulatory obligation, doing so in a strategic and cost-effective manner." Please provide all analyses, presentations, memos, board minutes, and any other materials relating to or describing the referenced decision process.

## **RESPONSE:**

As mentioned on page 42, lines 7-9; "SmartBurn was the last available, low cost, NOx pollution prevention emission control prior to the expected installation of a very expensive emission control (e.g., SCR)".

Based on the information available to the Company at the time (see Avista's response to SC-DR-010 and SC-DR-012 and SC-DR-014), no additional detailed analysis was necessary to see the potential benefits of the SmartBurn technology and the decision to install SmartBurn.