## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND	)
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	)
Complainant,	) DOCKET NO. UT-950200
vs.	) REPLY BRIEF OF COMMISSION
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	) STAFF TO RATE DESIGN BRIEF OF US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Respondent.	) )
	.)

Attachment A to Section X of U S West's rate design brief appears to resummarize the summary contained in Exhibit 485-C. The attachment contains a column labeled "WUTC TSIC" and "WUTC Contribution". To the extent readers of the attachment may draw the inference that those two columns reflect the Staff's position, Staff notes that it does not agree with the portrayal in Exhibit 485-C for the reasons discussed in our rate design brief at pages 19 and 20.

DATED this 28th of February, 1996.

Respectfully Submitted,

CHRISTINE O. GREGOIRE Attorney General

STEVEN W. SMITH

**Assistant Attorney General**