

COMMISSION STAFF CROSS-EXAMINATION EXHIBITS

Norris

1. City of Mount Vernon Response to Staff Data Request No. 2 (also listed above for McIntyre and Peterson)
2. City of Mount Vernon Response to Staff Data Request No. 3 (also listed above for Peterson)
3. Miscellaneous GradeDec.Net work papers
4. U.S. DOT – Crossing Inventory Information as of 12/18/2007 for “BLACKBURN & PAC.”
5. U.S. DOT – Crossing Inventory Information as of 12/18/2007 for “STACK POLE RD”
6. U.S. DOT – Crossing Inventory Information as of 12/18/2007 for “HICKOK RD”

Thompson, Jonathan (ATG)

From: Schultz, Jeff [SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Content: Monday, December 17, 2007 2:02 PM
From: Lockwood, Scott (ATG)
Subject: FW: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 12:00 PM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeff,

I misnamed the spreadsheet, but Stackpole is the crossing I upgraded in the analysis. You can see this if you look at the safety benefits by crossing on the Hickok and Blackburn spreadsheet, lines 16 through 18:

Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	SafetyBen(1)	328
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	SafetyBen(2)	255
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	SafetyBen(3)	-3.39

The safety benefits of Blackburn are negative compared to the base case, because traffic is diverted from Hickok to Blackburn and Stack Pole. Increased traffic at the Blackburn crossing increases the probability of accident risk. Stack Pole has similar increase in accident risk due to increased traffic, but this is more than offset by the upgrade from passive to lights and gates

I have meetings this afternoon, so let me know when I can call tomorrow.

Karen

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 1:51 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

Just to clarify: Blackburn already has flashing lights and gates. Stackpole would be the xing to upgrade.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager

WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 10:09 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeff,

I am not sure what you wanted to see with the no change scenario, so I attach the annual predicted accidents for the existing warning devices in the No Change....doc. Then, I created a corridor of all three crossings and ran an analysis for 12 daily trains and the AADT for each crossing that was listed in the National Grade Crossing Inventory. These values are giving in the attached 3 crossings.xls file.

The Hickok closure.xls file lists the benefits (some are negative) and costs of simply closing the Hickok crossing. You will notice negative safety benefits at the adjacent crossings, as you would expect, given the traffic diversion. The benefit cost ratio is less than one in this analysis.

The HickokandBlackburn.xls file lists the benefits and costs of closing Hickok and adding lights and gates to Blackburn, and here you see a benefit cost ratio greater than 1 because of the significant safety benefits at the Blackburn crossing.

Thank a look at these results and let me know if you have any questions. You may want to provide me with better cost data to improve the results.

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:22 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

OK. So:

1. Stackpole road 084736w
2. Hickox Road 084737D
3. Blackburn Road 084739s

Hickox Road is the one to be closed. Stackpole and Blackburn are the alternate routes.

Stackpole is to be upgraded from cross bucks to flashing lights and gates.

Go ahead and use the defaults for now.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Train Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981

Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 8:04 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Sure, that would work. I will use default values to derive preliminary estimates, then we can make adjustments as new information becomes available.

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:02 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

Here's the deal. I'll give you 3 scenarios:

1. existing conditions.
2. Close the 1 crossing.
3. Close the 1 crossing and upgrade a nearby one to lights and gates.

How does that sound?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 6:45 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Nice to hear from you. I was wondering what happened after we spoke in Vancouver, WA.

Why don't you email me the grade crossing ID number and I will run it through GradeDec and send you the results? It will improve the analysis if you send me the highway and rail traffic counts. (GradeDec using the data in the National Grade Crossing Inventory database as defaults, but I find that this data needs to be updated.)

The FRA will be funding GradeDec.net workshops for a small number of State DOTs next year. Let me know if you are interesting in hosting another workshop. You would have to provide the computer room. I could provide a one day or a two day workshop, depending on how you expect to use the program. Some states are using GradeDec to allocate Section 130 funding to save time.

Our office is currently moving to another building, so it is much easier to communicate by email. I hope this isn't inconvenient for you.

Look forward to hearing from you soon,

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 4:39 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Karen,

I'm following up on this old email. Still need to do this. When could you be available?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: Schultz, Jeff
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2006 9:26 AM
To: 'karen.mcclure@dot.gov'
Cc: Simmonds, Carolyn
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Karen,

I hope all is well with you. What is your availability to talk on Wednesday the 2nd or Friday the 4th? I have a crossing closure that I want to put through GradeDec, and would like to discuss it with you.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Technical Expert
WSDOT Rail Office
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 8:48 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Sorry it took me so long to contact you after the Vancouver conference.

I want to add new capability to the GradeDec.Net program so that it will be more helpful to states when they apply for federal funding now that SAFETEA-LU is in affect.

Let me know if you would like to discuss this further and if so, when is a good time for me to call.

Hope you are doing well,

Thanks,

Karen McClure

Thompson, Jonathan (ATG)

From: Schultz, Jeff [SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
nt: Monday, December 17, 2007 2:02 PM
ro: Lockwood, Scott (ATG)
Subject: FW: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment
Attachments: predicted accidents.doc; social costs.doc; analysis period.doc

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 12:31 PM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

The safety benefits are calculated for the entire analysis period. I used a 20 year analysis period, but you can set any value you like, (see analysis period.doc). The costs are calculated by combining the predicted accident rates and the financial costs of the accident type (fatal, injury, property damage only). (see predicted accidents.doc and social costs.doc)

Costs are calculated for each of the twenty years, then discounted to the present value and summed. I used a discount rate of 5 percent, which is pretty high.

The annual predicted accident rates are calculated using the DOT Accident Prediction and Severity model.

I could send you the dataset from my analysis and you could upload it to www.gradedec.fra.dot.gov and change the values and run the simulation if you like.

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 3:08 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

OK.

The net safety benefits look pretty big.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 12:00 PM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeff,

I misnamed the spreadsheet, but Stackpole is the crossing I upgraded in the analysis. You can see this if you look at the safety benefits by crossing on the Hickok and Blackburn spreadsheet, lines 16 through 18:

Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	SafetyBen(1)	328
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	SafetyBen(2)	255
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	SafetyBen(3)	-3.36

The safety benefits of Blackburn are negative compared to the base case, because traffic is diverted from Hickok to Blackburn and Stack Pole. Increased traffic at the Blackburn crossing increases the probability of accident risk. Stack Pole has similar increase in accident risk due to increased traffic, but this is more than offset by the upgrade from passive to lights and gates

I have meetings this afternoon, so let me know when I can call tomorrow.

Karen

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 1:51 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

Just to clarify: Blackburn already has flashing lights and gates. Stackpole would be the xing to upgrade.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 10:09 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeff,

I am not sure what you wanted to see with the no change scenario, so I attach the annual predicted accidents for the flashing warning devices in the No Change....doc. Then, I created a corridor of all three crossings and ran an analysis for 100 daily trains and the AADT for each crossing that was listed in the National Grade Crossing Inventory. These values are giving in the attached 3 crossings.xls file.

The Hickok closure.xls file lists the benefits (some are negative) and costs of simply closing the Hickok crossing. You will notice negative safety benefits at the adjacent crossings, as you would expect, given the traffic diversion. The benefit cost ratio is less than one in this analysis.

The HickokandBlackburn.xls file lists the benefits and costs of closing Hickok and adding lights and gates to Blackburn, and here you see a benefit cost ratio greater than 1 because of the significant safety benefits at the Blackburn crossing.

Thank a look at these results and let me know if you have any questions. You may want to provide me with better cost data to improve the results.

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:22 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

OK. So:

1. Stackpole road 084736w
2. Hickox Road 084737D
3. Blackburn Road 084739s

Hickox Road is the one to be closed. Stackpole and Blackburn are the alternate routes.

Stackpole is to be upgraded from cross bucks to flashing lights and gates.

Go ahead and use the defaults for now.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 8:04 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Sure, that would work. I will use default values to derive preliminary estimates, then we can make adjustments as new information becomes available.

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:02 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

Here's the deal. I'll give you 3 scenarios:

1. existing conditions.
2. Close the 1 crossing.
3. Close the 1 crossing and upgrade a nearby one to lights and gates.

How does that sound?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 6:45 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Nice to hear from you. I was wondering what happened after we spoke in Vancouver, WA.

Why don't you email me the grade crossing ID number and I will run it through GradeDec and send you the results? It will improve the analysis if you send me the highway and rail traffic counts. (GradeDec using the data in the National Grade Crossing Inventory database as defaults, but I find that this data needs to be updated.)

The FRA will be funding GradeDec.net workshops for a small number of State DOTs next year. Let me know if you are interesting in hosting another workshop. You would have to provide the computer room. I could provide a one day or a two day workshop, depending on how you expect to use the program. Some states are using GradeDec to allocate Section 130 funding to save time.

Our office is currently moving to another building, so it is much easier to communicate by email. I hope this isn't inconvenient for you.

Look forward to hearing from you soon,

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 4:39 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Karen,

I'm following up on this old email. Still need to do this. When could you be available?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: Schultz, Jeff
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2006 9:26 AM
To: 'karen.mcclure@dot.gov'
Cc: Simmonds, Carolyn
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Karen,

I hope all is well with you. What is your availability to talk on Wednesday the 2nd or Friday the 4th? I have a crossing closure that I want to put through GradeDec, and would like to discuss it with you.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Technical Expert
WSDOT Rail Office
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 8:48 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Sorry it took me so long to contact you after the Vancouver conference.

I want to add new capability to the GradeDec.Net program so that it will be more helpful to states when they apply for federal funding now that SAFETEA-LU is in affect.

Let me know if you would like to discuss this further and if so, when is a good time for me to call.

Hope you are doing well,


Thanks,

Karen McClure

Federal Railroad Administration - GradeDec.NET - Microsoft Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help | Links Forms Library Secure FIPS

Address: http://gradeapp.fra.dot.gov/



Federal Railroad Administration
 GradeDec.NET
 System for Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Investment Analysis

Crossings for Corridor: Hickox Road Actions

General **Highway** Rail Costs accidents **HSR Model**

Number of accidents at crossing in past 5 years:

	This Crossing		Annual Predicted Accidents		Corridor Summary	
	Base	All	Base	All	Base	All
Fatal	0.005263	0.007105	0.011962	0.011508		
Injury	0.008368	0.011297	0.019749	0.019034		
PDO	0.015313	0.020674	0.042510	0.041253		
Total	0.028944	0.039076	0.074220	0.071795		

Add new crossing Delete checked Delete all on page

ID	Milepost	Crossing ID	Description	
1	61.38	084736W	BNSF - STACK POLE RD	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	65.6	084737D	BNSF - HICKOX RD	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	67.12	084739S	BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC	<input type="checkbox"/>

Admin


Go to the Scenario page

Start | Index: Microsoft Outlook | Federal Railroad Admin... | analysis.period.coc - Mac... | 3:18 PM

Federal Railroad Administration - GradeDec.NET - Microsoft Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help | Links Forms Library Secure FIPS

Address http://gradedec.fra.dot.gov/



Federal Railroad Administration
GradeDec.NET
System for Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Investment Analysis

Scenario | Data group: Social Costs | Go | Edit distributions | Edit values

	Variable	Distribution	Fixed Value	Median	10% Upper
View	Discount rate, %	Fixed Value	5		
View	Cost of a fatal accident, thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 3500	Median: 3800	10% Upper: 4500
View	Cost of an injury accident, thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 800	Median: 1000	10% Upper: 1400
View	Cost of a property damage only accident, thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 40	Median: 50	10% Upper: 90
View	Cost per fatality (for HSR Model), thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 2200	Median: 2700	10% Upper: 2900
View	Cost per injury (for HSR model), thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 180	Median: 250	10% Upper: 300
View	Average out-of-pocket cost per accident (for HSR model), thous \$	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 110	Median: 140	10% Upper: 180
View	Value of time for auto travel, \$ / hr	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 9.36	Median: 10.4	10% Upper: 11.44
View	Value of truck driver time, \$ / hr	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 16.254	Median: 18.06	10% Upper: 19.866
View	Cost of HC emissions, thous \$ / ton	Skewed Bell	10% Lower: 1.13	Median: 2.04	10% Upper: 2.96

Page 12


Go to the Results page

Start | Inbox - Microsoft Outlook | Federal Railroad Admin. | Trusted Sites | 3:19 PM

Federal Railroad Administration - GradeDec.NET - Microsoft Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help | Links Forms Library Secure FPPS

Address: http://gradedec.fra.dot.gov/



Federal Railroad Administration
 GradeDec.NET
 System for Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Investment Analysis

Navigate

Settings

Crossings

single

multiple

Import

Scenario

Parameters

Simulation

Results

Reports

Go to the Reports page

User Reference

User Info

User ID

otmeckure

Update

Logout

Logout

Admin

Settings

	Actions	Go		
Selected dataset	WA Closure	Go	New	Delete
Selected model	Corridor Model	Go		
Corridor	Hickox Road	Go	New	Delete
Scenario	Strong rail growth	Go	New	Delete
Result Set	Placeholder - Corridor Model	Go	New	Delete

Item	Value	
Scenario ID*	1	Edit
Model ID*	1	Edit
Description	Strong rail growth	Edit
Start year	2004	Edit
Last Year Near Term	2009	Edit
End Year	2028	Edit
Last Modified*	5/15/2002	Edit
Default*	True	Edit

*Cannot be modified manually

Go to the Reports page

Trusted sites

Start | Inbo... Microsoft Outlook | Federal Railroad Adm... | 3:16 PM

Federal Railroad Administration - GradeDec.NET - Microsoft Internet Explorer

Address: http://gradedec.fra.dot.gov/

Federal Railroad Administration
GradeDec.NET
 System for Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Investment Analysis

Navigate

Settings

Crossings

- single

- multiple

Import

Scenario

Parameters

Simulation

Results

Reports

Go to the Reports page

User

- Reference

User Info

User ID:

Structure

- Update

Logout

- Logout

Admin

Settings

Item	Value	
Scenario ID*	1	Edit
Model ID*	1	Edit
Description	Strong rail growth	Edit
Start year	2004	Edit
Last Year Near Term	2008	Edit
End Year	2028	Edit
Last Modified*	5/15/2002	Edit
Default*	True	Edit

*Cannot be modified manually

Go to the Reports page

Trusted Sites

Start | Inbox - Microsoft Outlook | Federal Railroad Admin... | 3:16 PM

Thompson, Jonathan (ATG)

From: Schultz, Jeff [SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2007 2:02 PM
To: Lockwood, Scott (ATG)
Subject: FW: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment
Attachments: No Change Annual Accidents.doc; 3 crossings.xls; Hickok closure.xls; HickokandBlackburn.xls

Hi,

these are the files from FRA. We had them run the model.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 10:09 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Jeff,

I am not sure what you wanted to see with the no change scenario, so I attach the annual predicted accidents for the existing warning devices in the No Change....doc. Then, I created a corridor of all three crossings and ran an analysis for 12 daily trains and the AADT for each crossing that was listed in the National Grade Crossing Inventory. These values are giving in the attached 3 crossings.xls file.

The Hickok closure.xls file lists the benefits (some are negative) and costs of simply closing the Hickok crossing. You will notice negative safety benefits at the adjacent crossings, as you would expect, given the traffic diversion. The benefit cost ratio is less than one in this analysis.

The HickokandBlackburn.xls file lists the benefits and costs of closing Hickok and adding lights and gates to Blackburn, and here you see a benefit cost ratio greater than 1 because of the significant safety benefits at the Blackburn crossing.

Thank a look at these results and let me know if you have any questions. You may want to provide me with better cost data to improve the results.

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:22 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

K. So:

1. Stackpole road 084736w

2. Hickox Road 084737D

Blackburn Road 084739s

Hickox Road is the one to be closed. Stackpole and Blackburn are the alternate routes.

Stackpole is to be upgraded from cross bucks to flashing lights and gates.

Go ahead and use the defaults for now.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak Cascades!

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 8:04 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Sure, that would work. I will use default values to derive preliminary estimates, then we can make adjustments as new information becomes available.

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 11:02 AM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

Here's the deal. I'll give you 3 scenarios:

1. existing conditions.
2. Close the 1 crossing.
3. Close the 1 crossing and upgrade a nearby one to lights and gates.

How does that sound?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981

Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2007 6:45 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Nice to hear from you. I was wondering what happened after we spoke in Vancouver, WA.

Why don't you email me the grade crossing ID number and I will run it through GradeDec and send you the results? It will improve the analysis if you send me the highway and rail traffic counts. (GradeDec using the data in the National Grade Crossing Inventory database as defaults, but I find that this data needs to be updated.)

The FRA will be funding GradeDec.net workshops for a small number of State DOTs next year. Let me know if you are interesting in hosting another workshop. You would have to provide the computer room. I could provide a one day or a two day workshop, depending on how you expect to use the program. Some states are using GradeDec to allocate Section 130 funding to save time.

Our office is currently moving to another building, so it is much easier to communicate by email. I hope this isn't inconvenient for you.

Look forward to hearing from you soon,

Karen McClure

From: Schultz, Jeff [mailto:SchultJ@wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 4:39 PM
To: McClure, Karen <FRA>
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Karen,

I'm following up on this old email. Still need to do this. When could you be available?

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Rolling Stock Manager
WSDOT Freight Systems Division
360-705-7981
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: Schultz, Jeff
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2006 9:26 AM

To: 'karen.mcclure@dot.gov'
Cc: Simmonds, Carolyn
Subject: RE: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Karen,

I hope all is well with you. What is your availability to talk on Wednesday the 2nd or Friday the 4th? I have a crossing closure that I want to put through GradeDec, and would like to discuss it with you.

Jeffrey T. Schultz
Rail Operations and Technical Expert
WSDOT Rail Office
Ride the Amtrak *Cascades!*

From: karen.mcclure@dot.gov [mailto:karen.mcclure@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 8:48 AM
To: Schultz, Jeff
Subject: New Federal Requirements on Grade Crossing Accident Risk Assessment

Hi Jeff,

Sorry it took me so long to contact you after the Vancouver conference.

I want to add new capability to the GradeDec.Net program so that it will be more helpful to states when they apply for federal funding now that SAFETEA-LU is in affect.

Let me know if you would like to discuss this further and if so, when is a good time for me to call.

Hope you are doing well,


Thanks,

Karen McClure

Federal Railroad Administration - GradeDec.NET - Microsoft Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help Links Forms Library Secure FPPS

Address: http://gradedec.fra.dot.gov/



Federal Railroad Administration
 GradeDec.NET
 System for Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Investment Analysis

Navigate

- Settings
- Crossings
- single
- multiple
- Import
- Scenario
- Parameters
- Simulation
- Results
- Reports
- Help ?
- User
- Reference
- User Info
- User ID:
- dtm:clure
- Update
- Logout
- Logout

Crossings for Corridor: Hickox Road Actions

General Highway Rail Costs Accidents HSR Model

Number of accidents at crossing in past 5 years:

	This Crossing		Annual Predicted Accidents		Corridor Summary	
	Base	All	Base	All	Base	All
Fatal	0.002931	0.002931	0.011962	0.011962	0.011962	0.011962
Injury	0.003705	0.003705	0.019749	0.019749	0.019749	0.019749
PDO	0.006791	0.006791	0.042510	0.042510	0.042510	0.042510
Total	0.012817	0.012817	0.074220	0.074220	0.074220	0.074220

Add new crossing Delete checked Delete all on page

ID	Milepost	Crossing ID	Description	
1	54.58	084736W	BNSF - STACK POLE RD	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	65.6	084737D	BNSF - HICKOX RD	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	57.12	084739S	BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC	<input type="checkbox"/>

Admin

Go to the Reports page

start Federal Railroad ... CCX MDB Active Delivery LOCIS PUB : Data ... Hickox Table Federal Railroa... 12:43 PM

CROSSING	RAILROAD	HIGHWAY	STREET	BRANCH	MILEPOST	TYPE	POS	XING	DAY	THRU	DAYS	NGHT	THRU	NGHT	SW	AADT
084739S	BNSF	FAM 740	BLACKBUJ	PA J-US C	006712	3	1		7		0	5		0	004148	
084737D	BNSF	CO70000	HICKOK R	PA J-US C	006560	3	1		7		0	5		0	000391	
084736W	BNSF	CO89000	STACK POPA	J-US C	006458	3	1		7		0	5		0	000120	

Description

Safety benefits, thous \$ PV
Travel time savings, thous \$ PV
Environmental benefits, thous \$ PV
Veh operating cost benefit, thous \$ PV
Network benefits, thous \$ PV
Total benefits, thous \$ PV
of this, benefits from induced trips, thous \$ PV
of this, disbenefits from induced trips, thous \$ PV
of this, investment salvage value, thous \$ PV
Total costs, thous \$ PV
Net benefits, thous \$ PV
Benefit-cost ratio,
Rate of return (constant dollars), %
Local benefits (not included in summary), thous \$ PV
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., first year,
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., last year near term,
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., last year,
Decrease in pred. injury acc., first year,
Decrease in pred. injury acc., last year near term,
Decrease in pred. injury acc., last year,
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., first year,
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., last year near term,
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., last year,
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, first year,
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, last year near term,
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, last year,
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, first year,
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, last year near term,
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, last year,
Decrease in pred. injuries highway, first year,

Decrease in pred. injuries highway, last year near term,
Decrease in pred. injuries highway, last year,
Decrease in pred. injuries train, first year,
Decrease in pred. injuries train, last year near term,
Decrease in pred. injuries train, last year,
Decrease in pred. accidents, first year,
Decrease in pred. accidents, last year near term,
Decrease in pred. accidents, last year,
Decrease in delay auto, first year, veh-hours
Decrease in delay auto, last year near term, veh-hours
Decrease in delay auto, last year, veh-hours
Decrease in delay trucks, first year, veh-hours
Decrease in delay trucks, last year near term, veh-hours
Decrease in delay trucks, last year, veh-hours
Decrease in delay buses, first year, veh-hours
Decrease in delay buses, last year near term, veh-hours
Decrease in delay buses, last year, veh-hours
Decrease in gas consumption, first year, gal
Decrease in gas consumption, last year near term, gal
Decrease in gas consumption, last year, gal
Decrease in diesel consumption, first year, gal
Decrease in diesel consumption, last year near term, gal
Decrease in diesel consumption, last year, gal
Decrease in oil consumption, first year, gal
Decrease in oil consumption, last year near term, gal
Decrease in oil consumption, last year, gal
Decrease in CO emissions, first year, kg
Decrease in CO emissions, last year near term, kg
Decrease in CO emissions, last year, kg
Decrease in HC emissions, first year, kg
Decrease in HC emissions, last year near term, kg
Decrease in HC emissions, last year, kg
Decrease in NOx emissions, first year, kg
Decrease in NOx emissions, last year near term, kg
Decrease in NOx emissions, last year, kg
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12

Var. Name	Mean	Std.	Minimum
corsaf	76.43274	18.32532	46.91064
cortts	-1.349602	0.632936	-3.15305
corenv	-0.006593162	0.004645	-0.02392
corvoc	-0.08228678	0.025663	-0.13084
cornwk	0	0	0
cortot	84.12461	17.7224	55.96862
BenInduced	0.005345396	0.001512	0.002776
DisBenefit	-0.000609211	0.00057	-0.00319
Salvage	9.125617	0	9.125617
corcst	106.1	0	106.1
cornet	-21.97538	17.7224	-50.1314
corbcr	0.7928805	0.167035	0.527508
corror	3.238187	1.348766	0.602992
LocBen	4.453783	2.408447	0.725407
SafetyBen(1)	-175.5369	31.62484	-308.28
SafetyBen(2)	255.3651	50.45189	185.5257
SafetyBen(3)	-3.395444	0.633847	-6.06777
BenTTS(1)	-0.3946429	0.130272	-0.77568
BenTTS(2)	0.6594674	0.21769	0.209276
BenTTS(3)	-1.614427	0.715774	-3.67357
BenEnv(1)	-0.002037609	0.0012	-0.00638
BenEnv(2)	0.003404944	0.002005	0.000433
BenEnv(3)	-0.007960496	0.005435	-0.0282
BenVOC(1)	-0.02736826	0.004358	-0.03879
BenVOC(2)	0.04573369	0.007283	0.020567
BenVOC(3)	-0.1006522	0.027915	-0.15687
BenNetwork(1)	0	0	0
BenNetwork(2)	0	0	0
BenNetwork(3)	0	0	0
BenTotal(1)	-175.9609	31.74834	-309.092
BenTotal(2)	256.0737	50.66209	185.7559
BenTotal(3)	-5.118483	1.35292	-9.89842
CostTotal(1)	0	0	0
CostTotal(2)	106.1	0	106.1
CostTotal(3)	0	0	0
FATaccFY	0.000474619	1.63E-06	0.000469
FATaccLYNT	0.000570422	9.61E-06	0.000536
FATaccLY	0.00216432	0.000295	0.001262
INJaccFY	0.000746369	2.40E-06	0.000737
INJaccLYNT	0.000886729	1.40E-05	0.000836
INJaccLY	0.003025972	0.000387	0.001852
PDOaccFY	0.000581222	2.29E-06	0.000573
PDOaccLYNT	0.000715518	1.34E-05	0.000667
PDOaccLY	0.002821383	0.000385	0.001658
FAThFY	0	0	0
FAThLYNT	0	0	0
FAThLY	0	0	0
FATiFY	0	0	0
FATiLYNT	0	0	0
FATiLY	0	0	0
INJhFY	0	0	0

INJhLYNT	0	0	0
INJhLY	0	0	0
INJtFY	0	0	0
INJtLYNT	0	0	0
INJtLY	0	0	0
accFY	0	0	0
accLYNT	0	0	0
accLY	0	0	0
VHDautoFY	-0.004627101	0.000177	-0.00497
VHDautoLYNT	-0.006433851	0.000319	-0.00727
VHDautoLY	-20.96937	5.890167	-41.6333
VHDtruckFY	0.004626612	0.000178	0.003997
VHDtruckLYNT	0.006430412	0.000322	0.005075
VHDtruckLY	-0.5509003	0.15603	-1.11523
VHDbusFY	0	0	0
VHDbusLYNT	0	0	0
VHDbusLY	0	0	0
GASsavedFY	-0.002959148	0.000113	-0.00318
GASsavedLYNT	-0.004114794	0.000204	-0.00465
GASsavedLY	-12.2967	3.525032	-24.8804
DSLsavedFY	0.006311718	0.000242	0.005453
DSLsavedLYNT	0.008772499	0.000439	0.006923
DSLsavedLY	-0.6780516	0.197185	-1.40624
OILsavedFY	0.000216584	8.34E-06	0.000187
OILsavedLYNT	0.000300899	1.53E-05	0.000237
OILsavedLY	-0.8382035	0.240414	-1.69819
COFY	-0.000523888	2.00E-05	-0.00056
COLYNT	-0.000729143	3.64E-05	-0.00082
COLY	-6.27036	1.797796	-12.6923
HCFY	-1.44E-05	5.51E-07	-1.54E-05
HCLYNT	-2.01E-05	1.11E-06	-2.37E-05
HCLY	-0.3928851	0.112651	-0.79536
NOXFY	5.61E-05	2.16E-06	4.85E-05
NOXLYNT	7.80E-05	3.94E-06	6.15E-05
NOXLY	-0.1252182	0.035923	-0.25382
SalvageGCX(1)	0	0	0
SalvageGCX(2)	9.125617	0	9.125617
SalvageGCX(3)	0	0	0
NKfyr(1)	0.001	0	0.001
NKfyr(2)	0.001	0	0.001
NKfyr(3)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyrmt(1)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyrmt(2)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyrmt(3)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyr(1)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyr(2)	0.001	0	0.001
NKlyr(3)	1.88163	0.412878	1.158162

0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-0.004	0.607051	2.022915	-0.00496	-0.00493	-0.00482	-0.00476	-0.00472	-0.00468	
-0.00509	1.16477	5.675225	-0.00707	-0.00685	-0.00675	-0.00667	-0.00657	-0.00651	
-7.83698	-1.10314	2.806739	-39.2412	-30.6088	-27.6005	-24.5675	-22.8133	-21.1224	
0.004975	-0.60901	2.024635	0.004163	0.004365	0.004466	0.004504	0.00454	0.004572	
0.007269	-1.1601	5.64099	0.005503	0.00604	0.006165	0.006199	0.006277	0.006359	
-0.21603	-1.17067	2.993859	-1.03	-0.81028	-0.73418	-0.64849	-0.58286	-0.55467	
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.00256	0.612524	2.039721	-0.00317	-0.00315	-0.00308	-0.00305	-0.00302	-0.003	
-0.00326	1.166162	5.679176	-0.00452	-0.00438	-0.00432	-0.00426	-0.0042	-0.00416	
-4.58637	-1.16672	2.995497	-23.3201	-18.094	-16.2211	-14.4221	-13.3379	-12.3101	
0.006787	-0.60897	2.024534	0.005679	0.005955	0.006092	0.006145	0.006193	0.006238	
0.009916	-1.16003	5.640697	0.007507	0.008239	0.00841	0.008457	0.008563	0.008675	
-0.26662	-1.25122	3.250844	-1.28796	-1.01043	-0.90721	-0.79959	-0.71254	-0.67846	
0.000233	-0.60807	2.002955	0.000195	0.000204	0.000209	0.000211	0.000213	0.000214	
0.00034	-1.16763	5.415889	0.000257	0.000279	0.000289	0.000291	0.000293	0.000298	
-0.31352	-1.1713	3.009266	-1.58974	-1.23367	-1.10654	-0.98336	-0.9077	-0.8381	
-0.00045	0.622441	2.033578	-0.00056	-0.00056	-0.00055	-0.00054	-0.00053	-0.00053	
-0.00058	1.078577	4.887502	-0.0008	-0.00078	-0.00076	-0.00076	-0.00075	-0.00074	
-2.34078	-1.16816	2.999829	-11.8918	-9.22723	-8.27346	-7.35482	-6.7978	-6.2748	
-1.25E-05	0.630802	1.883391	-1.54E-05	-1.53E-05	-1.50E-05	-1.48E-05	-1.47E-05	-1.46E-05	
-1.61E-05	0.073039	4.050216	-2.31E-05	-2.13E-05	-2.10E-05	-2.08E-05	-2.04E-05	-2.02E-05	
-0.14671	-1.16859	3.001114	-0.74511	-0.57817	-0.51843	-0.46085	-0.42587	-0.39312	
6.04E-05	-0.60819	2.015333	5.05E-05	5.30E-05	5.42E-05	5.47E-05	5.51E-05	5.55E-05	
8.82E-05	-1.15968	5.519877	6.67E-05	7.28E-05	7.48E-05	7.54E-05	7.61E-05	7.72E-05	
-0.04689	-1.17305	3.014519	-0.2375	-0.18431	-0.16535	-0.14692	-0.13552	-0.12514	
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9.125617	NaN	NaN	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	
0	NaN	NaN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
3.46262	1.393386	3.664381	1.175009	1.37479	1.475875	1.580622	1.666419	1.767907	

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-0.00462	-0.00457	-0.00454	-0.0045	-0.00446	-0.00436	-0.00416
-0.00646	-0.00639	-0.00629	-0.00621	-0.00616	-0.00604	-0.00551
-20.5692	-19.5673	-17.94	-16.1827	-14.8407	-13.7065	-10.3002
0.004616	0.004686	0.004726	0.004766	0.004817	0.004927	0.004963
0.006457	0.006506	0.006572	0.006667	0.006746	0.006845	0.007074
-0.5379	-0.51779	-0.47707	-0.43176	-0.39109	-0.36085	-0.27054
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-0.00295	-0.00292	-0.0029	-0.00288	-0.00285	-0.00279	-0.00266
-0.00413	-0.00409	-0.00403	-0.00397	-0.00394	-0.00386	-0.00353
-12.0609	-11.4837	-10.4685	-9.46162	-8.64115	-7.98822	-5.98861
0.006297	0.006393	0.006447	0.006502	0.006571	0.006722	0.006771
0.008809	0.008875	0.008966	0.009096	0.009203	0.009338	0.009651
-0.66294	-0.63863	-0.58771	-0.52583	-0.47903	-0.44098	-0.32958
0.000216	0.000219	0.000221	0.000223	0.000225	0.000231	0.000232
0.000302	0.000305	0.000308	0.000312	0.000316	0.00032	0.000331
-0.82264	-0.78461	-0.71426	-0.64597	-0.58876	-0.54455	-0.40817
-0.00052	-0.00052	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.0005	-0.00049	-0.00047
-0.00073	-0.00072	-0.00071	-0.0007	-0.00069	-0.00068	-0.00063
-6.15132	-5.86009	-5.33973	-4.82709	-4.4057	-4.07345	-3.05363
-1.43E-05	-1.43E-05	-1.41E-05	-1.40E-05	-1.38E-05	-1.36E-05	-1.29E-05
-2.01E-05	-1.98E-05	-1.96E-05	-1.93E-05	-1.91E-05	-1.86E-05	-1.73E-05
-0.38545	-0.36726	-0.3346	-0.3025	-0.27604	-0.25523	-0.19133
5.60E-05	5.69E-05	5.74E-05	5.78E-05	5.84E-05	5.98E-05	6.02E-05
7.83E-05	7.89E-05	7.97E-05	8.09E-05	8.18E-05	8.30E-05	8.58E-05
-0.12292	-0.11731	-0.10674	-0.09656	-0.08794	-0.08135	-0.06097
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
1.83041	1.910061	1.96421	2.10066	2.319434	2.569789	3.168481

Description	Var. Name
Safety benefits, thous \$ PV	corsaf
Travel time savings, thous \$ PV	cortts
Environmental benefits, thous \$ PV	corenv
Veh operating cost benefit, thous \$ PV	corvoc
Network benefits, thous \$ PV	cornwk
Total benefits, thous \$ PV	cortot
of this, benefits from induced trips, thous \$ PV	BenInduced
of this, disbenefits from induced trips, thous \$ PV	DisBenefit
of this, investment salvage value, thous \$ PV	Salvage
Total costs, thous \$ PV	corcst
Net benefits, thous \$ PV	cornet
Benefit-cost ratio,	corbcr
Rate of return (constant dollars), %	corror
Local benefits (not included in summary), thous \$ PV	LocBen
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	SafetyBen(1)
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	SafetyBen(2)
Safety Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	SafetyBen(3)
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	BenTTS(1)
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	BenTTS(2)
Travel Time Savings, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	BenTTS(3)
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	BenEnv(1)
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	BenEnv(2)
Environmental Benefit, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	BenEnv(3)
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	BenVOC(1)
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	BenVOC(2)
Benefit Veh Op Cost, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	BenVOC(3)
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	BenNetwork(1)
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	BenNetwork(2)
Network Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	BenNetwork(3)
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	BenTotal(1)
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	BenTotal(2)
Total Benefits, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	BenTotal(3)
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	CostTotal(1)
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	CostTotal(2)
Total Costs, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	CostTotal(3)
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., first year,	FATaccFY
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., last year near term,	FATaccLYNT
Decrease in pred. fatal acc., last year,	FATaccLY
Decrease in pred. injury acc., first year,	INJaccFY
Decrease in pred. injury acc., last year near term,	INJaccLYNT
Decrease in pred. injury acc., last year,	INJaccLY
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., first year,	PDOaccFY
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., last year near term,	PDOaccLYNT
Decrease in pred. PDO acc., last year,	PDOaccLY
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, first year,	FAThFY
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, last year near term,	FAThLYNT
Decrease in pred. fatalities highway, last year,	FAThLY
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, first year,	FATtFY
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, last year near term,	FATtLYNT
Decrease in pred. fatalities train, last year,	FATtLY
Decrease in pred. injuries highway, first year,	INJhFY

Decrease in pred. injuries highway, last year near term,	INJhLYNT
Decrease in pred. injuries highway, last year,	INJhLY
Decrease in pred. injuries train, first year,	INJtFY
Decrease in pred. injuries train, last year near term,	INJtLYNT
Decrease in pred. injuries train, last year,	INJtLY
Decrease in pred. accidents, first year,	accFY
Decrease in pred. accidents, last year near term,	accLYNT
Decrease in pred. accidents, last year,	accLY
Decrease in delay auto, first year, veh-hours	VHDautoFY
Decrease in delay auto, last year near term, veh-hours	VHDautoLYNT
Decrease in delay auto, last year, veh-hours	VHDautoLY
Decrease in delay trucks, first year, veh-hours	VHDtruckFY
Decrease in delay trucks, last year near term, veh-hours	VHDtruckLYNT
Decrease in delay trucks, last year, veh-hours	VHDtruckLY
Decrease in delay buses, first year, veh-hours	VHDbusFY
Decrease in delay buses, last year near term, veh-hours	VHDbusLYNT
Decrease in delay buses, last year, veh-hours	VHDbusLY
Decrease in gas consumption, first year, gal	GASSavedFY
Decrease in gas consumption, last year near term, gal	GASSavedLYNT
Decrease in gas consumption, last year, gal	GASSavedLY
Decrease in diesel consumption, first year, gal	DSLsavedFY
Decrease in diesel consumption, last year near term, gal	DSLsavedLYNT
Decrease in diesel consumption, last year, gal	DSLsavedLY
Decrease in oil consumption, first year, gal	OILsavedFY
Decrease in oil consumption, last year near term, gal	OILsavedLYNT
Decrease in oil consumption, last year, gal	OILsavedLY
Decrease in CO emissions, first year, kg	COFY
Decrease in CO emissions, last year near term, kg	COLYNT
Decrease in CO emissions, last year, kg	COLY
Decrease in HC emissions, first year, kg	HCFY
Decrease in HC emissions, last year near term, kg	HCLYNT
Decrease in HC emissions, last year, kg	HCLY
Decrease in NOx emissions, first year, kg	NOXFY
Decrease in NOx emissions, last year near term, kg	NOXLYNT
Decrease in NOx emissions, last year, kg	NOXLY
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	SalvageGCX(1)
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	SalvageGCX(2)
Salvage value, thous \$ PV, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	SalvageGCX(3)
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	NKfyr(1)
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	NKfyr(2)
Max queue length first year, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	NKfyr(3)
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	NKlyrnt(1)
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	NKlyrnt(2)
Max queue length, l.y.n.t, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	NKlyrnt(3)
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - STACK POLE RD, MP 64.58	NKlyr(1)
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - HICKOK RD, MP 65.6	NKlyr(2)
Max queue length, last year, PCE, BNSF - BLACKBURN & PAC., MP 67.12	NKlyr(3)

0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
-0.004627101	0.000177	-0.00497	-0.004	0.607051	2.022915	-0.00496	-0.00493
-0.006433851	0.000319	-0.00727	-0.00509	1.16477	5.675225	-0.00707	-0.00685
-20.96937	5.890167	-41.6333	-7.83698	-1.10314	2.806739	-39.2412	-30.6088
0.004626612	0.000178	0.003997	0.004975	-0.60901	2.024635	0.004163	0.004365
0.006430412	0.000322	0.005075	0.007269	-1.1601	5.64099	0.005503	0.00604
-0.5509003	0.15603	-1.11523	-0.21603	-1.17067	2.993859	-1.03	-0.81028
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
-0.002959148	0.000113	-0.00318	-0.00256	0.612524	2.039721	-0.00317	-0.00315
-0.004114794	0.000204	-0.00465	-0.00326	1.166162	5.679176	-0.00452	-0.00438
-12.2967	3.525032	-24.8804	-4.58637	-1.16672	2.995497	-23.3201	-18.094
0.006311718	0.000242	0.005453	0.006787	-0.60897	2.024534	0.005679	0.005955
0.008772499	0.000439	0.006923	0.009916	-1.16003	5.640697	0.007507	0.008239
-0.6780516	0.197185	-1.40624	-0.26662	-1.25122	3.250844	-1.28796	-1.01043
0.000216584	8.34E-06	0.000187	0.000233	-0.60807	2.002955	0.000195	0.000204
0.000300899	1.53E-05	0.000237	0.00034	-1.16763	5.415889	0.000257	0.000279
-0.8382035	0.240414	-1.69819	-0.31352	-1.1713	3.009266	-1.58974	-1.23367
-0.000523888	2.00E-05	-0.00056	-0.00045	0.622441	2.033578	-0.00056	-0.00056
-0.000729143	3.64E-05	-0.00082	-0.00058	1.078577	4.887502	-0.0008	-0.00078
-6.27036	1.797796	-12.6923	-2.34078	-1.16816	2.999829	-11.8918	-9.22723
-1.44E-05	5.51E-07	-1.54E-05	-1.25E-05	0.630802	1.883391	-1.54E-05	-1.53E-05
-2.01E-05	1.11E-06	-2.37E-05	-1.61E-05	0.073039	4.050216	-2.31E-05	-2.13E-05
-0.3928851	0.112651	-0.79536	-0.14671	-1.16859	3.001114	-0.74511	-0.57817
5.61E-05	2.16E-06	4.85E-05	6.04E-05	-0.60819	2.015333	5.05E-05	5.30E-05
7.80E-05	3.94E-06	6.15E-05	8.82E-05	-1.15968	5.519877	6.67E-05	7.28E-05
-0.1252182	0.035923	-0.25382	-0.04689	-1.17305	3.014519	-0.2375	-0.18431
0.1376154	0	0.137615	0.137615	NaN	NaN	0.137615	0.137615
9.125617	0	9.125617	9.125617	NaN	NaN	9.125617	9.125617
0	0	0	0	NaN	NaN	0	0
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
0.001	0	0.001	0.001	NaN	NaN	0.001	0.001
1.88163	0.412878	1.158162	3.46262	1.393386	3.664381	1.175009	1.37479

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-0.00482	-0.00476	-0.00472	-0.00468	-0.00462	-0.00457	-0.00454	-0.0045	-0.00446	
-0.00675	-0.00667	-0.00657	-0.00651	-0.00646	-0.00639	-0.00629	-0.00621	-0.00616	
-27.6005	-24.5675	-22.8133	-21.1224	-20.5692	-19.5673	-17.94	-16.1827	-14.8407	
0.004466	0.004504	0.00454	0.004572	0.004616	0.004686	0.004726	0.004766	0.004817	
0.006165	0.006199	0.006277	0.006359	0.006457	0.006506	0.006572	0.006667	0.006746	
-0.73418	-0.64849	-0.58286	-0.55467	-0.5379	-0.51779	-0.47707	-0.43176	-0.39109	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-0.00308	-0.00305	-0.00302	-0.003	-0.00295	-0.00292	-0.0029	-0.00288	-0.00285	
-0.00432	-0.00426	-0.0042	-0.00416	-0.00413	-0.00409	-0.00403	-0.00397	-0.00394	
-16.2211	-14.4221	-13.3379	-12.3101	-12.0609	-11.4837	-10.4685	-9.46162	-8.64115	
0.006092	0.006145	0.006193	0.006238	0.006297	0.006393	0.006447	0.006502	0.006571	
0.00841	0.008457	0.008563	0.008675	0.008809	0.008875	0.008966	0.009096	0.009203	
-0.90721	-0.79959	-0.71254	-0.67846	-0.66294	-0.63863	-0.58771	-0.52583	-0.47903	
0.000209	0.000211	0.000213	0.000214	0.000216	0.000219	0.000221	0.000223	0.000225	
0.000289	0.000291	0.000293	0.000298	0.000302	0.000305	0.000308	0.000312	0.000316	
-1.10654	-0.98336	-0.9077	-0.8381	-0.82264	-0.78461	-0.71426	-0.64597	-0.58876	
-0.00055	-0.00054	-0.00053	-0.00053	-0.00052	-0.00052	-0.00051	-0.00051	-0.0005	
-0.00076	-0.00076	-0.00075	-0.00074	-0.00073	-0.00072	-0.00071	-0.0007	-0.00069	
-8.27346	-7.35482	-6.7978	-6.2748	-6.15132	-5.86009	-5.33973	-4.82709	-4.4057	
-1.50E-05	-1.48E-05	-1.47E-05	-1.46E-05	-1.43E-05	-1.43E-05	-1.41E-05	-1.40E-05	-1.38E-05	
-2.10E-05	-2.08E-05	-2.04E-05	-2.02E-05	-2.01E-05	-1.98E-05	-1.96E-05	-1.93E-05	-1.91E-05	
-0.51843	-0.46085	-0.42587	-0.39312	-0.38545	-0.36726	-0.3346	-0.3025	-0.27604	
5.42E-05	5.47E-05	5.51E-05	5.55E-05	5.60E-05	5.69E-05	5.74E-05	5.78E-05	5.84E-05	
7.48E-05	7.54E-05	7.61E-05	7.72E-05	7.83E-05	7.89E-05	7.97E-05	8.09E-05	8.18E-05	
-0.16535	-0.14692	-0.13552	-0.12514	-0.12292	-0.11731	-0.10674	-0.09656	-0.08794	
0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	0.137615	
9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	9.125617	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
1.475875	1.580622	1.666419	1.767907	1.83041	1.910061	1.96421	2.10066	2.319434	

0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
-0.00436	-0.00416
-0.00604	-0.00551
-13.7065	-10.3002
0.004927	0.004963
0.006845	0.007074
-0.36085	-0.27054
0	0
0	0
0	0
-0.00279	-0.00266
-0.00386	-0.00353
-7.98822	-5.98861
0.006722	0.006771
0.009338	0.009651
-0.44098	-0.32958
0.000231	0.000232
0.00032	0.000331
-0.54455	-0.40817
-0.00049	-0.00047
-0.00068	-0.00063
-4.07345	-3.05363
-1.36E-05	-1.29E-05
-1.86E-05	-1.73E-05
-0.25523	-0.19133
5.98E-05	6.02E-05
8.30E-05	8.58E-05
-0.08135	-0.06097
0.137615	0.137615
9.125617	9.125617
0	0
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
0.001	0.001
2.569789	3.168481

U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION
AS OF 12/18/2007

Crossing No.: **084739S** Update Reason: **Changed Crossing** Effective Begin-Date of Record: **10/19/05**
Railroad: **BNSFBNSF Rwy Co. [BNSF]** Current Record
Initiating Agency **Railroad** Type and Position: **Public At Grade**

Part I Location and Classification of Crossing

Division:	NORTHWEST	State:	WA
Subdivision:	BELLINGHAM	County:	SKAGIT
Branch or Line Name:	PA J-US CAN BDR	City:	In MOUNT VERNON
Railroad Milepost:	0067.12	Street or Road Name:	BLACKBURN & PAC.
Railroad I.D. No.:	0050	Highway Type & No.:	FAM 740
Nearest RR Timetable Stn:	STANWOOD	HSR Corridor ID:	
Parent Railroad:		County Map Ref. No.:	29-3B
Crossing Owner:		Latitude:	48.4066334
ENS Sign Installed:		Longitude:	-122.3360075
Passenger Service:	AMTRAK	Lat/Long Source:	Actual
Avg Passenger Train Count:	1	Quiet Zone:	No
Adjacent Crossing with Separate Number:			

Private Crossing Information:

Category: _____ Public Access: _____
Specify Signs: _____ Specify Signs: _____

	ST/RR A	ST/RR B	ST/RR C	ST/RR D
Railroad Use:				
State Use:				
Narrative:				

Emergency Contact: **(800)832-5452** Railroad Contact: **(913)551-4540** State Contact:

Part II Railroad Information

Number of Daily Train Movements: _____ Less Than One Movement Per Day: **No**
Total Trains: **13** Total Switching: **0** Day Thru: **7**
Typical Speed Range Over Crossing: From **1** to **79** mph Maximum Time Table Speed: **79**
Type and Number of Tracks: Main: **1** Other: **1** Specify: **SIDING**
Does Another RR Operate a Separate Track at Crossing? **No**
Does Another RR Operate Over Your Track at Crossing? **Yes: ATK**

U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION

Crossing 084739S

Continued

Effective Begin-Date of Record: 10/19/05

Current Record

Part III: Traffic Control Device Information

Signs:

Crossbucks:	2	Highway Stop Signs:	2
Advanced Warning:	Yes	Hump Crossing Sign:	
Pavement Markings:	No Markings	Other Signs:	0 Specify: 0

Train Activated Devices:

Gates:	4	4 Quad or Full Barrier:	
Mast Mounted FL:	4	Total Number FL Pairs:	0
Cantilevered FL (Over):	4	Cantilevered FL (Not over):	0
Other Flashing Lights:	0	Specify Other Flashing Lights:	
Highway Traffic Signals:	0	Wigwags:	0 Bells: 1
Other Train Activated Warning Devices:		Special Warning Devices Not Train Activated:	
Channelization:		Type of Train Detection:	DC/AFO
Track Equipped with Train Signals?	Yes	Traffic Light Interconnection/Preemption:	

Part IV: Physical Characteristics

Type of Development:	Residential	Smallest Crossing Angle:	30 to 59 Degrees
Number of Traffic Lanes Crossing Railroad:	4	Are Truck Pullout Lanes Present?	No
Is Highway Paved?	Yes	If Other:	
Crossing Surface:	Rubber	Is it Signalized?	
Nearby Intersecting Highway?	Less than 75 feet	Is Crossing Illuminated?	
Does Track Run Down a Street?	No		
Is Commercial Power Available?	Yes		

Part V: Highway Information

Highway System:	Other FA Highway - Not NHS	Functional Classification of Road at Crossing:	Urban Minor Arterial
Is Crossing on State Highway System:	No		
Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT):	004148	AADT Year:	1994
Estimated Percent Trucks:	03	Avg. No of School Buses per Day:	0
Posted Highway Speed:	0		

**U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION
 AS OF 12/18/2007**

Crossing No.: **084736W** Update Reason: **Changed Crossing** Effective Begin-Date of Record: **08/17/06**
 Railroad: **BNSFBNSF Rwy Co. [BNSF]** **Current Record**
 Initiating Agency **Railroad** Type and Position: **Public At Grade**

Part I Location and Classification of Crossing

Division:	NORTHWEST	State:	WA
Subdivision:	BELLINGHAM	County:	SKAGIT
Branch or Line Name:	PA J-US CAN BDR	City:	Near MOUNT VERNON
Railroad Milepost:	0064.58	Street or Road Name:	STACK POLE RD
Railroad I.D. No.:	0050	Highway Type & No.:	CO89000
Nearest RR Timetable Stn:	STANWOOD	HSR Corridor ID:	
Parent Railroad:		County Map Ref. No.:	29-3B
Crossing Owner:		Latitude:	48.3703285
ENS Sign Installed:		Longitude:	-122.3395642
Passenger Service:	AMTRAK	Lat/Long Source:	Actual
Avg Passenger Train Count:	1	Quiet Zone:	No
Adjacent Crossing with Separate Number:			

Private Crossing Information:

Category:	Public Access:		
Specify Signs:	Specify Signals:		
ST/RR A	ST/RR B	ST/RR C	ST/RR D
Railroad Use:			
State Use:			
Narrative:			

Emergency Contact: **(800)832-5452** Railroad Contact: **(913)551-4540** State Contact:

Part II Railroad Information

Number of Daily Train Movements:	Less Than One Movement Per Day:	No
Total Trains: 13 Total Switching: 0	Day Thru: 7	
Typical Speed Range Over Crossing: From 1 to 79 mph	Maximum Time Table Speed: 79	
Type and Number of Tracks: Main: 1 Other: 0	Specify:	
Does Another RR Operate a Separate Track at Crossing? No		
Does Another RR Operate Over Your Track at Crossing? Yes: ATK		

U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION

Crossing **084736W**

Continued

Effective Begin-Date of Record: **08/17/06**
 Current Record

Part III: Traffic Control Device Information

Signs:

Crossbucks:	2	Highway Stop Signs:	2
Advanced Warning:	Yes	Hump Crossing Sign:	
Pavement Markings:	Stop Lines and RR Xing Symbols	Other Signs:	0 Specify: 0

Train Activated Devices:

Gates:	0	4 Quad or Full Barrier:	
Mast Mounted FL:	0	Total Number FL Pairs:	0
Cantilevered FL (Over):	0	Cantilevered FL (Not over):	0
Other Flashing Lights:	0	Specify Other Flashing Lights:	
Highway Traffic Signals:	0	Wigwags:	0 Bells: 0
Other Train Activated Warning Devices:		Special Warning Devices Not Train Activated:	
Channelization:		Type of Train Detection:	None
Track Equipped with Train Signals?	Yes	Traffic Light Interconnection/Preemption:	

Part IV: Physical Characteristics

Type of Development:	Open Space	Smallest Crossing Angle:	60 to 90 Degrees
Number of Traffic Lanes Crossing Railroad:	2	Are Truck Pullout Lanes Present?	No
Is Highway Paved?	Yes	If Other:	
Crossing Surface:	Concrete	Is it Signalized?	
Nearby Intersecting Highway?	N/A	Is Crossing Illuminated?	
Does Track Run Down a Street?	No		
Is Commercial Power Available?	Yes		

Part V: Highway Information

Highway System:	Non-Federal-aid	Functional Classification of Road at Crossing:	Rural Local
Is Crossing on State Highway System:	No	AADT Year:	1994
Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT):	000120	Avg. No of School Buses per Day:	0
Estimated Percent Trucks:	05		
Posted Highway Speed:	0		

U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION
AS OF 12/18/2007

Crossing No.: **084737D** Update Reason: **Changed Crossing** Effective Begin-Date of Record: **08/17/06**
Railroad: **BNSFBNSF Rwy Co. [BNSF]** Current Record
Initiating Agency **Railroad** Type and Position: **Public At Grade**

Part I Location and Classification of Crossing

Division:	NORTHWEST	State:	WA
Subdivision:	BELLINGHAM	County:	SKAGIT
Branch or Line Name:	PA J-US CAN BDR	City:	Near MOUNT VERNON
Railroad Milepost:	0065.60	Street or Road Name:	HICKOK RD
RailRoad I.D. No.:	0050	Highway Type & No.:	CO70000
Nearest RR Timetable Str.:	STANWOOD	HSR Corridor ID:	
Parent Railroad:		County Map Ref. No.:	29-3B
Crossing Owner:		Latitude:	48.3848243
ENS Sign Installed:		Longitude:	-122.3381392
Passenger Service:	AMTRAK	Lat/Long Source:	Actual
Avg Passenger Train Count:	1	Quiet Zone:	No
Adjacent Crossing with Separate Number:			

Private Crossing Information:

Category:

Public Access:

Specify Signs:

Specify Signals:

ST/RR A

ST/RR B

ST/RR C

ST/RR D

Railroad Use:

State Use:

Narrative:

Emergency Contact: **(800)832-5452**

Railroad Contact: **(913)551-4540**

State Contact:

Part II Railroad Information

Number of Daily Train Movements:

Total Trains: **13** Total Switching: **0**
Typical Speed Range Over Crossing: From **1** to **79** mph
Type and Number of Tracks: Main: **1** Other: **0**

Less Than One Movement Per Day: **No**

Day Thru: **7**
Maximum Time Table Speed: **79**

Specify:

Does Another RR Operate a Separate Track at Crossing? **No**

Does Another RR Operate Over Your Track at Crossing? **Yes: ATK**

U.S. DOT - CROSSING INVENTORY INFORMATION

Crossing **084737D**

Continued

Effective Begin-Date of Record: **08/17/06***Current Record***Part III: Traffic Control Device Information****Signs:**

Crossbucks:	2	Highway Stop Signs:	0
Advanced Warning:	Yes	Hump Crossing Sign:	
Pavement Markings:	Stop Lines and RR Xing Symbols	Other Signs:	0 Specify: 0

Train Activated Devices:

Gates:	2	4 Quad or Full Barrier:	
Mast Mounted FL:	2	Total Number FL Pairs:	0
Cantilevered FL (Over):	0	Cantilevered FL (Not over):	0
Other Flashing Lights:	0	Specify Other Flashing Lights:	
Highway Traffic Signals:	0	Wigwags:	0 Bells: 1
Other Train Activated Warning Devices:		Special Warning Devices Not Train Activated:	
Channelization:		Type of Train Detection:	DC/AFO
Track Equipped with Train Signals?	Yes	Traffic Light Interconnection/Preemption:	

Part IV: Physical Characteristics

Type of Development:	Open Space	Smallest Crossing Angle:	60 to 90 Degrees
Number of Traffic Lanes Crossing Railroad:	2	Are Truck Pullout Lanes Present?	No
Is Highway Paved?	Yes	If Other:	
Crossing Surface:	Concrete	Is it Signalized?	
Nearby Intersecting Highway?	N/A	Is Crossing Illuminated?	
Does Track Run Down a Street?	No		
Is Commercial Power Available?	Yes		

Part V: Highway Information

Highway System:	Non-Federal-aid	Functional Classification of Road at Crossing:	Rural Minor Collector
Is Crossing on State Highway System:	No	AADT Year:	1994
Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT):	000391	Avg. No of School Buses per Day:	0
Estimated Percent Trucks:	05		
Posted Highway Speed:	0		