

REDACTED PER PROTECTIVE ORDER IN WUTC DOCKET UT-081393  
WASHINGTON - EMBARQ ACCESS COST AND RATE SUMMARY

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
	WEIGHTED COST AND RATE COMPARISON				BLENDING METHODOLOGY					
	Embarq Filed Cost <sup>1</sup>	Adjusted Cost <sup>2</sup>	Average Intrastate Rates [I/E]	Average Interstate Rates [J/F]	Intrastate 2007 Usage <sup>3</sup>	Interstate 2007 Usage <sup>4</sup>	Total Filed Intrastate Cost [A * E]	Total Adjusted Cost [B * E]	2007 Intrastate Revenue <sup>3</sup>	2007 Interstate Revenue <sup>4</sup>
1	CCLC - Terminating <sup>5</sup>									
2	CCLC - Originating <sup>6</sup>									
3	Local Switching									
4	TST - Facility									
5	TST - Facility Z1									
6	TST - Facility Z2									
7	TST - Tandem Switching									
8	TST - Tandem Switching Z1									
9	TST - Tandem Switching Z2									
10	TST - Termination									
11	TST - Termination Z1									
12	TST - Termination Z2									
13	TST - Common Trunk Port									
14	TST - Common Mux									
15	TST - Common Mux Z1									
16	TST - Common Mux Z2									
17	Dedicated Trunk Port - DS0 <sup>7</sup>									
18	Dedicated Trunk Port - DS1 <sup>8</sup>									
19										
20					INTERSTATE DEDICATED TRUNK PORT DEMAND DEVELOPMENT <sup>9</sup>					
21					Ratio of Interstate/Intrastate Common Trunk Port Demand [F13/E13]					
22	Weighted Average	[G19/E3]	[H19/E3]	[I19/E3]	[J19/F3]					
23						2007 Intrastate	Interstate [F24 * J21]		2007 Intrastate	Interstate [I24 * J21]
24					DS0 Demand			DS1 Demand		

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>From cost study results provided by Embarq as Exhibit HJR-2HC, page 1, TSLRIC with Common

<sup>2</sup>Cost after adjustments from AT&T Witness Kent A. Currie.

<sup>3</sup>Embarq's Response to AT&T 1-12 as Attachment VZ-8, as updated.

<sup>4</sup>Embarq's Response to AT&T 1-13 as Attachment VZ-9, as updated.

<sup>5</sup>Includes Common Line Charge, Interim USF Additive, Line Extension Rate Additive, Universal Service Fund Rate

<sup>6</sup>Includes Common Line Charge, Universal Service Fund Rate

<sup>7</sup>The weighted data for DS0 assume a 2007 unit demand of XXXX as provided in Embarq's Response to Staff DR-29, as Attachment Staff-2 and local switching MOUs as shown in line 3.

<sup>8</sup>The weighted data for DS1 assume a 2007 unit demand of XXXX as provided in Embarq's Response to Staff DR-29, as Attachment Staff-2 and local switching MOUs as shown in line 3.

<sup>9</sup>Interstate dedicated trunk port demand equals 2007 intrastate dedicated trunk port demand multiplied by the ratio of 2007 common trunk port interstate to intrastate demand.

Special Note: On February 6, 2009, Embarq filed Advice No. WA 09-01A requesting an increase to its Line Extension Rate Additive. The increase (i.e., \$0.00299) raises the Line Extension Rate Additive from \$0.004207 to \$0.007200 effective March 13, 2009. The data in this analysis relies on 2007 data and, as such, does not include the impact from the proposed increase. Therefore, 2009 data could be expected to rise commensurate with the proposed increase, all else being equal.