1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION -----) 2 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, 3 ) DOCKET NO. UG-950278 4 Complainant, ) vs. 5 ) WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS VOLUME 2 ) 6 COMPANY, ) PAGES 50 - 66 Respondent. -----) 7 8 A hearing in the above matter was held on April 21, 1995, at 1:08 p.m. at 1300 South Evergreen 9 10 Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington before 11 Chairman SHARON NELSON, Commissioners RICHARD HEMSTAD, WILLIAM GILLIS and Administrative Law Judge ALICE 12 13 HAENLE. 14 The parties were present as follows: 15 WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS COMPANY, by DAVID S. JOHNSON, Attorney at Law, 815 Mercer Street, 16 Seattle, Washington 98109. 17 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION STAFF, by ANNE EGELER, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, 18 Olympia, Washington 98504. 19 FOR THE PUBLIC, DONALD TROTTER, Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, 20 Seattle, Washington 98164. 21 22 23 24 Cheryl Macdonald, CSR 25 Court Reporter

1			INDEX			
2	WITNESSES: SCHWEPPE	D 58	С	RD	RC	EXAM
3	SCHWEFFE	50				
4						
5						
6	EXHIBITS:		MARKED		ADMITTED	
7	14		64			
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE HAENLE: The hearing will come to 2 order. This is a second day of hearing in docket No. 3 UG-950278. The hearing is taking place on April 4 5 21, 1995, and this is a public hearing on the interim б rate request. The Commission set this for hearing at 1:00 on April 21. The hearing is being held before 7 Commissioners Richard Hemstad and William Gillis. I'm 8 9 Administrative Law Judge Alice Haenle, and I will be 10 conducting the hearing. I introduced counsel to you 11 before we went on the record, but let me just have you state your name and your client's name. 12 13 MR. JOHNSON: David Johnson representing 14 Washington Natural Gas Company. 15 JUDGE HAENLE: Mr. Trotter. 16 MR. TROTTER: Donald T. Trotter, assistant 17 attorney general representing the public counsel section of the attorney general's office. 18 19 MS. EGELER: Anne Egeler representing the 20 Commission. 21 JUDGE HAENLE: Originally this had been set 22 for testimony beginning at 9:00 in the morning regarding the interim rate request and this public 23 hearing at 1:00. The testimony part was continued 24 until May 2 a few days ago because the parties are 25

1 currently working on a settlement of the interim 2 request. There will be a hearing beginning at 9:00 in the morning on May 2 regarding that settlement 3 request. It will be held in Lacey and I don't have 4 5 the address on me, but if you're interested we can let you know what the address is after the public portion б of the hearing. 7 8 Mr. Trotter, you were going to indicate what the status is of those negotiations or what this 9 10 is about. I guess I didn't mean the status. 11 MR. TROTTER: Well, just the status is that we're talking, and that's all I can say, but I'm 12 13 willing to make my presentation regarding what this 14 case is about. 15 JUDGE HAENLE: Would you please. Do you 16 need the microphone? 17 I don't think so. MR. TROTTER: I will 18 speak loudly. Again, my name is Donald T. Trotter, and I'm an attorney representing the public counsel 19

20 section of the attorney general's office and that 21 section is set up by the attorney general to assist 22 members of the public in presenting testimony to the 23 Commission as well as we litigate cases before the 24 Commission. We have our own expert witnesses that we 25 retain to present what we believe is proper proposals

for the Commission to decide on, in particular,
 utility cases.

3 This particular case started on March 3rd of this year when the company filed for another 4 5 general rate increase, and they are asking for a total б of \$36.7 million. Hearings on that general rate relief request have not begun yet because the company 7 also filed a motion or a petition seeking to have some 8 9 of that money now, \$17.8 million to be exact, claiming 10 that they are suffering from a financial emergency. 11 They are claiming that they can't finance with bonds 12 and they can't issue stock at this time, and so they 13 want money now to enable them to do that and finance 14 their ongoing operations. That's their claim. That's 15 why we have hearings to test those claims, and as the 16 judge said, we were to start those hearings today, but 17 because the parties have decided to get together to 18 see if there is a basis to resolve these issues, those hearings were postponed for a couple of weeks to 19 20 permit that to occur. So as a result I can't tell you 21 what our position is and what testimony we filed 22 because we haven't filed our testimony yet in the interim case. That's the name that we call these 23 24 types of emergency rate relief proceedings, interim 25 relief. That's why we call it interim case.

So those hearings have been delayed a short time, and
 we are having some discussions, and we just have
 nothing to report on that other than we are having
 them.

5 This case shouldn't be taken out of б context. Since about -- since the first of 1993 residential rates for this company have increased, 7 8 counting the last increase just granted by the 9 Commission, over 20 percent. That's of great concern 10 to our office, and we have participated in these 11 proceedings and argued that no further costs ought to 12 be shifted to the residential class and that rates are 13 -- particularly this rate increase that's been asked 14 for has not been justified.

15 On the handout that I gave to you the 16 Commission did issue an order on April 11 finishing a case that's lasted about a year which determined what 17 18 the cost of service for each class of customer was, 19 and the end result, the bottom line of that case was 20 the Commission decision to shift costs between classes 21 and that generated approximately three percent 22 increase to the residential class. That's not based on additional revenue need, just based on Commission 23 determination of what the cost of service for each 24 25 class was.

1 So, again, one of our concerns is that 2 there have been a lot of rate increases, particularly 3 to residential customers, over the past couple of 4 years and we are very concerned about that and have 5 addressed our concerns to the Commission and encourage 6 you to do the same if you agree.

So this is the hearing -- technically it's 7 the hearing for ratepayers to testify on the company's 8 9 request for interim relief. I'm sure that if you have 10 some comments about the general rate case that no one 11 will object to that either. And so I will call you to 12 take the stand and you will be sworn in and ask you, as the judge said, some foundation questions about who 13 14 you are and what your interest is in, and then I will ask you to make whatever statement you wish and you 15 16 may be asked some follow-up questions about the 17 various people that might want to know more about your 18 opinion. So with that I will call --

JUDGE HAENLE: Before you call the first witness, I was handed the address of the May 2 hearing. Let me read it to you so you can write it down if you're interested in attending. It begins at 9:00 in the morning. The address is 670 Woodland Square Loop Southeast in Lacey in conference room D as in dog.

1 MR. TROTTER: I would also state for the 2 record, Your Honor, this hearing as far as the public was concerned was noticed out through print 3 advertising in newspapers and that was because a bill 4 5 stuffer, which is the normal way, simply could not be accomplished due to time element. We have received б complaints over telephone regarding that. Some of the 7 initial print advertising did not contain the 8 9 Commission address, only their post office box. Ιt 10 also did not contain directions to the Commission, but 11 we responded to any calls that we had with directions.

12 We also did get some complaints that the 13 print advertising was not easy to find. One customer 14 said the first ad she saw was in the paper yesterday. I know checking the papers they were in on the weekend 15 16 but they were somewhat difficult to find. We're not objecting to the notice, the failure to include the 17 18 address was an unfortunate mistake, but perhaps we can work a little harder in the future if we have to do 19 20 this again to make sure that those are effectively 21 communicated.

JUDGE HAENLE: Well, we've asked the various companies to work very closely with the staff and sometimes these experiences can build on each other and help prevent that kind of thing next time.

1 MR. TROTTER: But I will say we have received many calls, people who were interested, and 2 3 the short notice period did impact their ability to attend today. I just thought I would make those 4 5 comments on the record. 6 JUDGE HAENLE: We will be providing, once 7 we've taken the ratepayer testimony, for an exhibit of 8 the ratepayer letters once that's prepared, so why 9 don't you go ahead, Mr. Trotter. 10 MR. TROTTER: Sure. I would call Mr. 11 Warren Schweppe. 12 Whereupon, 13 WARREN SCHWEPPE, 14 having been first duly sworn, was called as a 15 witness herein and was examined and testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. TROTTER: Could you please state your name and spell 19 Q. your last name? 20 21 Α. I'm Warren Schweppe from Edmonds, 22 Washington and I am a ratepayer, and that's why I'm 23 here. Could you just spell your last name? 24 Q. S C H W E P P E, just like the quinine 25 Α.

1 water you find in the grocery store.

2 Q. Your mailing address?
3 A. 8524 - 215th Street Southwest, Edmonds,
4 98026.

5 Q. And you indicated you're a residential 6 customer of the gas company?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And you're speaking on your own behalf9 today?

10 A. Right.

11 Q. Please provide us your statement.

12 My statement actually is a composite of my Α. letter of March 8, which is in the handout that 13 14 everybody has here. And basically what I thought of 15 these three articles was six basic reasons why WNG 16 wanted a rate increase. One was poor performance 17 which immediately asked me, what does this mean? Is it due to lower sales, poor administrative practices? 18 Does Washington Natural Gas need a good CQI 19 program, which is continuous quality improvement? 20 21 Then it was warm weather, possibility of more layoffs. 22 These are points made to the board of directors and 23 stockholders by William Vititoe the CEO.

Then the follow-up article on the 5th of March talked about, well, higher interest rates,

1 growth, capital improvements, which meant plant
2 upgrades. I should say on the first article that I
3 mentioned on the first three points, that same article
4 noted the fact that Washington Natural Gas had cut
5 maintenance costs by 11 percent and their first
6 quarter earnings rose 74 percent and I thought, man,
7 that was terrific.

8 Next point I have here is the state 9 permission to allow six mill to homeowners delayed 10 until the request of the \$35.4 million has been 11 reviewed. Washington Natural Gas states increases 12 would be offset by lower gas prices. Here again, I'm 13 wondering why a request for an increase. Based on the 14 foregoing I see no reason to allow WGN a rate increase of 8.5 percent. Washington Natural Gas hasn't done 15 16 too badly when you consider other major companies in 17 the area, like Boeing have had some really bad years, 18 even though I'm not quite matching apples and apples. Most companies can't run around and ask for rate 19 20 increases, and they need to find a better way to do 21 things.

Other utility companies such as our Snohomish County PUD held off for two years raising the rates because they fought the BPA over rate increases, and I remember at that time I personally

wrote them a letter and congratulated their efforts.
 And I think WNG needs to take a look at their costs,
 their in-house operations and so forth.

With this statement I sincerely hope that 4 5 the Commission will reject this rate increase, and in б addition I recommend that an audit be done of Washington Natural Gas's books and assets by a CPA 7 firm appointed by this Commission prior to any 8 9 consideration of another rate increase, and as I 10 recall, even though this doesn't say so on the back of 11 this news report, they were allowed a 5 percent 12 increase last June, and so what you're doing here is 13 giving them a 13 percent increase, and my feeling is 14 that they have to prove hardship as a necessity for rate increase because part of their problem, I 15 16 realize, is reduced sales possibly because like me, I 17 reinsulated my house last year and I got a rate 18 reduction in a few months of \$8 a month and I'm not anxious to see that go up again. 19

20 So my feeling is that companies like 21 Washington Natural Gas, which is a monopoly because 22 they have a product that nobody else can sell, needs 23 to have their feet held to the fire, and this is one 24 reason I came down here because I felt I hadn't done 25 this before and it's about time somebody did. I'm

1 sorry there are not more people here like me

2 protesting. Thank you very much. Does anybody have 3 questions?

JUDGE HAENLE: I'm not sure whether you're aware that the rate increase requests are always reviewed by the Commission. Staff does an audit and any of the other parties can do audits including public counsel if they have the staff, including the intervenor --

10 THE WITNESS: Right.

JUDGE HAENLE: -- entities, and so I think what you requested in terms of an audit is in the process of being done.

14 THE WITNESS: I wasn't sure, Your Honor, 15 how that worked. The main thing is work them over 16 thoroughly so they have their feet to the fire and 17 people like Mr. Nelson have to justify everything they 18 do.

19 JUDGE HAENLE: Mr. Trotter, did you have 20 questions?

21 MR. TROTTER: No.

JUDGE HAENLE: Counsel? Commissioners,questions?.

24 COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: I just wanted to 25 emphasize the point that the Commission staff does

1 perform an audit of the company's books as it prepares 2 its case to present to the Commission. So it's not --3 that is just the company's statement of what their costs are. It's a contested proceeding in that sense. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. I just 6 didn't want to see anything rubber stamped. 7 JUDGE HAENLE: Other questions?. 8 COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Just a comment. We appreciate you coming down today. It's a good 9 10 thorough statement. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I was glad to 12 be here. 13 MR. TROTTER: If I could just ask Mr. 14 Schweppe, how did you find out about today's hearing? 15 THE WITNESS: Well, I read about it in the 16 papers. First article that came out at the end of 17 February is what really got me stirred up on this 18 thing, and then I talked to the people down here in 19 the office to find out how to go about it and I was 20 told wait until it's documented and then I wrote my 21 letter. 22 MR. TROTTER: So you got on the 23 Commission's mailing list? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I did. 24 25 JUDGE HAENLE: Anything more of the

1 witness?

Thank you, sir, you may step down. 2 3 JUDGE HAENLE: Was there anyone else that wanted to give testimony or anybody else that didn't 4 5 sign up that wants to give testimony, would you б indicate by raising your hand. 7 MR. TROTTER: I don't see anyone. 8 JUDGE HAENLE: I don't see anyone else You did at this point provide a packet of 9 here. letters entitled Exhibit of Ratepayer Letters through 10 11 April 21, 1995. The next exhibit in line is Exhibit 12 14 for identification. Let me mark that as 14 for identification and ask you, I assume that there will 13 14 be additional letters as well. When would you propose 15 to put those in? 16 (Marked Exhibit 14.) MR. TROTTER: Well, considering that this 17 18 docket potentially is an ongoing one, we would ask up 19 until the hearings on the interim case as one cutoff 20 date and then the end of the case for another cutoff 21 date. 22 JUDGE HAENLE: So the letters on the 23 interim would be accepted as long as they're received 24 by May 2? 25 MR. TROTTER: Yeah.

1 JUDGE HAENLE: I was talking only about the 2 interim not about the general case. 3 MR. TROTTER: Because some of the letters address one or both. To some extent they're the same 4 5 problems. б JUDGE HAENLE: Is that all right with you, 7 Mr. Johnson? 8 MR. JOHNSON: Only one question, Your Honor. Would those additional letters simply be 9 10 appended to Exhibit 14 and made a part of Exhibit 14? 11 JUDGE HAENLE: Seems like the best way to me to do it. Let's wait to rule on this portion of 12 13 Exhibit 14, and when we get the whole thing together 14 you can look it over. In the meantime you can look at what we've got here and be prepared to address it if 15 16 you want to. 17 MR. JOHNSON: That's fine. 18 JUDGE HAENLE: Anybody else? Generally I make the public counsel notice 19 20 that's sent to the public part of the public exhibit 21 from the hearing to show what people may be 22 addressing. Doesn't sound like this gentleman addressed your notice so we can or don't have to do 23 24 that. That's up to you folks.

25 MR. JOHNSON: I have no objection.

MR. TROTTER: That's fine. JUDGE HAENLE: Shall we make that part of -- I guess that would be separate then because this --MR. TROTTER: Just make it page 34. JUDGE HAENLE: Well, it's not --MR. TROTTER: Your option, Your Honor. JUDGE HAENLE: Let's just make it part of Exhibit 14 then. Anything else we need to address at this point then? I will recess the hearing then until 9:00 in the morning on May 2. Remember that's the 670 Woodland Square Southeast address, conference room D, and the hearing will be in recess. (Hearing adjourned at 1:25 p.m.)