Exhibit T-___ (TLW-2) Docket No. UT-033011 Witness: Thomas L. Wilson, Jr.

DOCKET NO. UT-033011

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Petitioners,

v.

ADVANCED TELECOM GROUP, INC., et al,

Respondents.

EXHIBIT TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

Thomas L. Wilson, Jr.

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

June 8, 2004

Exhibit No. ___ (TLW-2) Docket No. UT-033011 Page 1

1	Q.	Please state your qualifications to provide testimony in this proceeding.
2	A.	I have a master's degree in economics, and a bachelor's degree in agricultural
3		economics from the University of Wyoming. My academic focus is on the theory
4		of the firm, production and price analysis, econometrics, and regulatory
5		economics. I completed the Sixth Annual Western Utility Rate Seminar co-
6		sponsored by the NARUC Water Committee, the Utah Public Service
7		Commission and the Division of Continuing Education, University of Utah. I
8		have also completed the Annual Regulatory Studies Program co-sponsored by
9		NARUC and the Graduate School of Business Administration and Lifelong
10		Education Programs, Michigan State University. I have completed additional
11		coursework in finance and accounting, and negotiation skills at the University of
12		Washington, Graduate School of Business.
13		I have been employed at the Commission since January 1986, when I was
14		hired as an economist to work on implementation of the Regulatory Flexibility
15		Act of 1985. I am a senior member of the telecommunications staff. I assumed
16		my current position in November 2000.
17		In my tenure at the Commission, I have served as economic advisor to the
18		arbitrator in arbitrations of interconnection agreements under Section 252 of the
19		Telecommunications Act of 1996. I have also served as the Commission's

1	telecommunications policy advisor, and in that role I provided policy advice to
2	the Commission on several major cases, including: the "generic" cost of service
3	caseUT-960369; the cost of universal service in UT-980311; and competitive
4	classification of U S WEST's DS3 services in UT-990022. While serving as policy
5	advisor, I also actively held a position on the National Association of Regulatory
6	Utility Commissioner's (NARUC) telecommunications staff subcommittee. I also
7	staffed on the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service for the States' side
8	as vice chair, state staff. I was chief of staff for the Rural Task Force appointed by
9	the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service. I co-authored a paper, Closing
10	the Gap: Universal Service for Low-Income Households, 2000, with the
11	Telecommunications Industries Analysis Project, an impartial research forum
12	supported by NARUC, which was located at Harvard University.
13	I was the lead and only witness for Staff in the Commission's first
14	interconnection docket, UT-941464, and my recommendations were largely
15	adopted well before passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I attended
16	Industry Numbering Committee Local Number Portability Workshops, working
17	to find an interim solution for local number portability in 1994. I coordinated the
18	first multi-state commission video-conference on operational support systems
19	issues in 1997. I have attended numerous technical training opportunities

1	provided by former ILECs GTE, Pacific Northwest Bell, and U S WEST. I have
2	testified before the FCC in workshops on cost of service models to estimate total
3	element long run incremental cost (TELRIC).
4	I have reviewed many interconnection agreements. I have also provided
5	Staff recommendations and worked on many orders concerning interconnection
6	agreements. I have worked on rulemakings and policy interpretation concerning
7	interconnection agreements.
8	From October 2001 to October 2002 I took a one-year leave of absence to
9	work for Washington State University as the assistant director of the Center to
10	Bridge the Digital Divide, which is in the Colleges of Agriculture, and
11	Cooperative Extension. In that capacity I worked on supply, demand, and policy
12	issues in information communications technology. I performed research, and
13	developed and managed partnership projects with the AT&T Foundation, Avista
14	Communications, The Barrett Group, Inc., CenturyTel, ComCARE Alliance,
15	Legg-Mason, the National Exchange Carrier Association, Qwest, Sprint, Verizon
16	Foundation, the Office of FCC Commissioner Michael Copps, the Washington
17	Office of Trade and Economic Development, Western Wireless, the Washington
18	cities of LaConner, Mount Vernon, Prosser (with Benton REA), Forks, Deer Park,
19	and Dayton.

1		I have been a repeat guest lecturer at an annual seminar, "The Basics of
2		Regulation" sponsored by NARUC and the Center for Public Utilities, New
3		Mexico State University. I presented material for beginners from the public and
4		private sectors on the status of the telecommunications industry, implementation
5		of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and on methods for estimating the cost
6		of service in telecommunications.
7		
8	Q.	Have you presented testimony before this Commission in other cases?
9	А.	Yes. I have pre-filed written testimony and testified before the Commission in
10		various proceedings, including:
11 12		1. Dockets U-87-1566-P, competitive classification of billing and collection services for United Telephone of the Northwest
13		2. Docket U-88-1997-P competitive classification of billing and collection
14		services for Pacific Northwest Bell;
15		3. Docket U-88-2186-P, competitive classification of centrex and other
16		enhanced calling features offered by Contel of the Northwest,
17		4. U-88-2370-J, determining the proper classification of Metrolink,
18		5. Docket U-88-2188-T, U S WEST in the matter of partial payments issues,
19		6. Docket U-88-2417-F, complaint by Metro-Net Services against U S WEST,
20		7. Dockets U-88-1719-F, a complaint by GTE v. Metrolink
21		8. Docket U-89-3175-T, U S WEST tariff regarding arbitrage of overlapping
22		extended area service areas,
23		9. Docket U-89-3294-J, determining the proper classification of Phonelink,
24		10. Docket UT-901029, registration of Electric Lightwave, decided by State
25		Supreme Court, 123 Wn.2d 530, 869 P.2d 1045 (1994).
26		11. Dockets UT-910776 and UT-910777, registration and competitive
27		classification of Digital Direct of Seattle, decided by State Supreme Court
28		123 Wn.2d 530, 869 P.2d 1045 (1994).

Exhibit No. ___ (TLW-2) Docket No. UT-033011 Page 5

1		12. Docket UT-910969, competitive classification of Wiltel,
2		13. Docket TG-920234, determining the proper classification of US Ecology
3		(low level radioactive waste disposal),
4		14. Docket UT-920546, competitive classification of International Pacific,
5		15. Docket UT-920632, competitive classification of Paytel Northwest,
6		16. Docket UT-920174, payphone providers complaint against U S WEST,
7		17. Docket UT-941464 et al. generic interconnection, and
8		18. Dockets UT-951244 and UT-951342, WUTC v U S WEST and MFS and
9		TCG v U S WEST respectively.
10		19. Docket UT-030614, competitive classification of Qwest analog local
11		exchange business services.
12		
13	Q.	Does this conclude the description of your credentials?
14		
15	A.	Yes.