EXH. KJB-31 DOCKETS UE-170033/UG-170034 2017 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: KATHERINE J. BARNARD

# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

**Docket UE-170033 Docket UG-170034** 

**PUGET SOUND ENERGY,** 

Respondent.

# FOURTEENTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

KATHERINE J. BARNARD

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

### WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION STAFF RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST

DATE PREPARED: July 14, 2017 WITNESS: Thomas E. Schooley DOCKETS: UE-170033/UG-170034 RESPONDER: Thomas E. Schooley REQUESTER: PSE TELEPHONE: (360) 664-1307

### **REQUEST NO. 2:**

Please reference the Response Testimony of Mr. Thomas E. Schooley at page 21, lines 7 through 12 in which Mr. Schooley states that "[i]t does not appear that a bright line can be drawn to identify a threshold for deferrals" and that when a deferrable storm happens, "there will be little doubt about whether the event is truly catastrophic or not." Please provide the specific criteria that Mr. Schooley is recommending the Commission adopt related to PSE's ability to defer costs under his proposed method of defining a deferrable storm event. In the response, please indicate the process Mr. Schooley is recommending that the Commission require PSE to follow in order to establish that an event is deferrable and that it is allowed to begin deferring costs.

#### **RESPONSE:**

Staff recommends that the Commission rescind PSE's authority for blanket deferral of storm damage expenses. PSE may follow the procedures for filing an accounting petition when it believes a catastrophic event has occurred. Mr. Schooley also recommends in his testimony, Exh. TES-1T at 25:1-8, that any deferred storm damage expenditures must begin amortization in the month when the repair work is completed.