

1 **Q. Before responding to the requests by Renewable Northwest Project (“RNP”) and**
2 **others regarding renewables, will you please recap the commitment for a renewable**
3 **resource target that you offered in Supplemental Testimony?**

4 A. Yes. I said that Oregon Electric was willing to commit to have PGE vigorously pursue a
5 target of 10% of 1:2 peak capacity for load, whether contracted or owned, from
6 renewable resources by 2012, provided it can be accomplished economically.⁵²

7 **Q. Can you quantify this target?**

8 A. Yes. This commitment means Oregon Electric would cause PGE to have about 400
9 MWs of capacity from renewable resources by 2012 if economical. We continue to
10 believe our original proposal is a very meaningful commitment. We nonetheless would
11 be willing to discuss this target further.

12 **Q. Please clarify what is meant by “economically.”**

13 A. It is important to remember that PGE’s obligation is to assemble a least cost energy
14 portfolio for the benefit of its customers. The planning for that portfolio is the subject of
15 an integrated resource planning process that occurs every two years, with the next one
16 due to start next year. What I intended to communicate by the use of the word
17 “economically” is better said by reference to the integrated resource planning process.
18 This process, under the Commission’s current guidelines, explicitly requires that PGE
19 consider the many risks of non-renewable resources and the many benefits of renewable
20 resources in identifying a least cost resource plan. These are the very attributes that RNP
21 discusses and which we agree are critical considerations in selecting resources for the
22 future.

23 The integrated resource planning process is also the process by which PGE
24 establishes a common base of understanding and some consensus around its future

25 ⁵² See Oregon Electric/22, Davis/12.