00026 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION 1 2 COMMISSION 3 NEW EDGE NETWORK, INC., ) 4 Complainant, ) ) DOCKET NO. UT-000141 5 vs. ) VOLUME 2 6 U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., ) Pages 26 - 33 7 Respondent. ) \_\_\_\_\_ 8 9 A prehearing conference in the above matter 10 was held on April 6, 2000, at 10:12 a.m., at 1300 South 11 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 12 before Administrative Law Judge LAWRENCE BERG. 13 14 The parties were present as follows: 15 U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., by LISA A. 16 ANDERL (via bridge), Attorney at Law, 1600 Seventh Avenue, Suite 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191. 17 NEW EDGE NETWORK, INC., by MARK P. TRINCHERO 18 (via bridge), Attorney at Law, Davis Wright Tremaine, 1300 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300, Portland, 19 Oregon 97201. 20 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, by SHANNON E. SMITH, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, 21 Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504. 22 23 2.4 25 Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR Court Reporter

000	27
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE BERG: This is a prehearing conference
4	in Docket No. UT-000141 in the case of New Edge
5	Network, Inc., versus U S West Communications, Inc.
6	New Edge Network, Inc., shall also be referred to as
7	New Edge, and U S West Communications, Inc., shall be
8	referred to as U S West. Today's date is April 6th,
9	2000. This prehearing conference is taking place at
10	
11	on notice to the Parties served March 31, 2000. As
12	stated in the notice, this prehearing conference is
13	being conducted on shortened notice based on consent of
14	the Parties to today's schedule.
15	At this time, we'll go ahead and take
16	appearances of the Parties, beginning with New Edge,
17	then U S West Communications, and then Commission
18	staff. Go ahead, please.
19	MR. TRINCHERO: This is Mark P. Trinchero on
20	behalf of New Edge.
21	MS. ANDERL: On behalf of U S West
22	Communications, Inc., Lisa Anderl.
23	MS. SMITH: Shannon Smith appearing for
24	Commission staff.
25	JUDGE BERG: Just for the record, I'll

indicate that Mr. Trinchero and Ms. Anderl are 1 participating in the prehearing conference via 2 3 teleconference as permitted in the prehearing 4 conference notice. Ms. Anderl, Mr. Trinchero, you come 5 across just fine, and the reporter is also familiar 6 with both of your voices, so please just feel free to 7 participate in the conference as if you were present. 8 At this point in time, I'm going to ask the 9 Parties to report on the status of their discussions 10 regarding a discovery schedule. As referred to in the 11 First Supplemental Order, which was served on March 30, 12 2000, the Parties were directed to confer regarding a 13 discovery schedule that would provide New Edge with 14 relevant information and allow U S West to respond in a 15 reasonable manner. With that, I'll just turn it over 16 to the Parties, and Mr. Trinchero, why don't you lead 17 off. MR. TRINCHERO: I will try to sum up where we 18 19 are, and then Lisa, if I mischaracterize anything, 20 please correct me. We have conferred. We've agreed 21 to, at this point, to continue to hold the notices of 22 depositions in abeyance pending receipt of the discovery responses in the hopes that the discovery 23

24 responses themselves will eliminate the need for

25 substantially or substantially decrease the need for

## 00028

1 any depositions.

We also discussed a number of discovery 2 3 questions to which U S West had objections or needed 4 clarification, and we have provided U S West yesterday 5 and served on the service list copies of some rewritten б discovery questions that may aid U S West's ability to 7 respond to those requests. Also, agreed to disagree on the relevance of a number of other questions, and U  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$ 8 9 West will provide objection in its responses to those, 10 and we will likely end up with a motion to compel on some of those. 11

12 As for the timing of responses, it's my 13 understanding that U S West is going to try to respond 14 as fully as possible by the 10th, which is next Monday, 15 but that there will be some questions that they will need some additional time on, and we discussed an 16 17 additional week. That would make those responses due 18 on the 17th. It's also my understanding that we will 19 be getting some partial responses on the 10th and that 20 the completion of those responses will be due on the 21 17th.

To the extent possible where we have a complete answer on the 13th -- I'm sorry, on the 10th -- we'll try to bring our motion to compel on anything that deserves such motion by the 13th, as we

00029

00030 had discussed in the first prehearing conference. U S 1 West has agreed that given the fact that we will not 2 3 have a full set of responses until the 17th that if 4 indeed we find there is something that requires a motion to compel in the later set of responses that we 5 6 would do so after that point, and we may want to talk 7 about a deadline for that. 8 Then finally, on the status of settlement 9 discussions, we have tentatively scheduled a meeting 10 for the 18th of April in Portland, Oregon, and I think 11 I've covered everything. Have I missed anything, Lisa? 12 MS. ANDERL: No, I don't think so. 13 JUDGE BERG: Have the Parties had an 14 opportunity to keep Ms. Smith in the loop as they've 15 discussed the discovery schedule? 16 MS. SMITH: Staff is in the loop, thank you. 17 JUDGE BERG: Thanks. With regards to the 18 responses to be produced on April the 10th, Ms. Anderl, 19 is there any reason why U S West could not present all 20 objections in its responses to be delivered on the 21 10th? 22 MS. ANDERL: No, there is no reason, and in 23 fact, we have agreed to do that; although, Your Honor, 24 on some of the ones where we may object but we'll 25 provide information without waiver of the objection and

00031 we need more time to provide that information, those 1 may come in later than the 10th, but those will not be 2 3 objections only. Those would be substantive responses 4 coupled with objections. 5 JUDGE BERG: I appreciate that clarification. 6 To whatever extent U S West can provide those 7 substantive responses coupled with objections on the 8 10th would certainly be appreciated. It would enable 9 the Commission to address as many potential problems as 10 early as possible, but I understand that in this case, 11 it may not be possible for U S West to do that. So 12 I'll just ask you make your best effort in that regard. 13 With regard to a further schedule for 14 presentation of motions to compel that may be based on 15 responses to data requests that are not received until 16 April 12th, I would just leave it to the Parties to 17 present those motions; however, I would appreciate a 18 telephone call as a heads-up that a motion is being 19 prepared with an anticipated time of filing, and if the 20 Parties could also share that information with the 21 other parties, I think that would be very helpful. 22 Particularly in this case where I will be 23 presiding with the Commissioners, it will be a little 24 bit more of a challenge for me to make determinations 25 as to how to present those disputes to the

00032 Commissioners and to get a quick response from the 1 Commission, so your help in that regard will be 2 3 appreciated. The heads-up phone call is for no other purpose than to just let me know that procedurally 4 5 there will be a motion to deal with, and I'm not 6 looking for any other information as to the background 7 or the basis for the motion. 8 MR. TRINCHERO: Very good. 9 JUDGE BERG: Is that okay with you too, 10 Ms. Anderl? 11 MS. ANDERL: Yes. 12 MR. TRINCHERO: I would just request that 13 when U S West provides its initial set of discovery 14 responses on the 10th that to the extent an answer is 15 complete at that point that there be some designation 16 so that we can know that. Lisa, if you could try to 17 make it clear which responses are complete on the 10th 18 and which we should be waiting for additional 19 information on, that will help in determining what may 20 need to go into a motion on the 13th. 21 MS. ANDERL: We'll figure out a way to do 22 that so it's clear in the response. 23 MR. TRINCHERO: Thank you. 24 JUDGE BERG: I'm just looking over my notes 25 one last time. Ms. Smith, is there anything you want

00033 1 to add to the record? 2 MS. SMITH: No. 3 JUDGE BERG: I think that covers all the 4 matters that we have to discuss here today. Thanks to 5 both you, Mr. Trinchero, and Ms. Anderl, for working б together to produce a discovery schedule, and I 7 appreciate knowing that the Parties will be meeting on the 18th for additional settlement discussions. If any 8 other matters arise, please give me a call as soon as 9 10 possible. 11 MS. ANDERL: Okay. 12 JUDGE BERG: With that, the prehearing 13 conference shall be adjourned. 14 (Prehearing conference adjourned at 10:25 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25