BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION)	
)	DOCKET NO. TO-011472
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY, INC.)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

TESORO REFINING AND MARKETING COMPANY=S ANSWER TO THE MOTION ON BEHALF OF COMMISSION STAFF TO DISMISS CASE AND REJECT TARIFF FILING OF OLYMPIC PIPE LINE

T. Introduction.

1

Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company (ATesoro@), by and through its attorneys, Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C., supports the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Staff=s (AStaff@) motion to dismiss case and reject tariff filing of Olympic Pipe Line (AOlympic@). For whatever reasons, Olympic has been unwilling or unable to properly prosecute what should have been a routine rate filing before this Commission. Accordingly, Olympic=s rate filing should be dismissed until it is willing and able to move its rate filings forward. In the event the Commission determines that dismissal is an inappropriate sanction, however, Tesoro respectfully requests the Commission (1) set a briefing schedule to address appropriate sanctions including the preclusion of issues for which discovery has not been forthcoming, and (2) set a procedural schedule which does not prejudice Intervenors for Olympic=s failure to provide timely and responsive discovery.

TESORO-S ANSWER TO STAFF-S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE Docket TO**B**011472

Page 1 of 6

II. Olympic Has the Resources Necessary to Properly Prosecute Its Filings.

2

Given the filing of a 62 percent increase in its rates within a few years of this Commission

approving a full rate increase for Olympic, Olympic should reasonably have expected its shippers to

question the need and costed-based support for Olympic=s most recent rate filing. For sometime

now, Olympic has attempted to justify its failure to respond timely or adequately to routine

discovery requests by attributing it to its lack of staffing and resources. Tesoro does not believe

such a claim has merit.

3

Olympic is operated by BP Pipelines, the second largest liquids pipeline company in the

United States. BP Pipelines transports over 450 million barrel miles of liquids each day Babout 9

percent of the entire United States= liquids pipeline market. To put this in context, Olympic

transports 300 thousand barrels each day or two-thirds of 1 percent of the total liquids BP

Pipelines transports each day.

4

Given these vast resources, Olympic has not assigned discovery responsibility to a single

BP employee who has participated in a rate case. Throughout this proceeding, BP Pipelines has

consistently understaffed efforts to respond to routine discovery and then used its own lack of

staffing as an excuse not to respond in a timely or complete fashion. There is simply no excuse for

BP Pipelines to be unable to staff appropriately to respond to routine discovery requests.

III. Dismissal is Appropriate.

5

Olympic did not timely object to any of Tesoro-s discovery requests, as required by the

Commissions regulations. Olympic did not indicate any inability to provide discovery on time, as

TESORO-S ANSWER TO STAFF-S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

required by the Commissions regulations. Olympic did not provide discovery, as required by the

Commissions regulations. Olympics failure to participate in the discovery process by timely

serving objections, noticing delays, and providing a proposed schedule are activities of counsel and

not the result of Olympic being understaffed.

6

Finally, Olympic has not responded adequately or fully to the discovery Olympic was

compelled to provide to Tesoro on or before March 22, 2002. On April 1, 2002, the FERC

issued a nonopposed order compelling the remainder of Olympic=s March 22, 2002, responses be

produced by April 12, 2002. Attached as Appendix A is a copy of the FERC order. Tesoro

brings this order to the Commissions attention because it sets forth item by item the remaining

discovery Olympic was compelled by this Commission to provide but which was not timely

provided. Under such circumstances, dismissal would be appropriate.

IV. Alternatively, Sanctions Suited to Failure to Respond Are Appropriate.

7

While supporting Staff-s dismissal motion, Tesoro also understands that lesser sanctions

are usually considered first. In the event the Commission determines to consider a lesser sanction,

Tesoro would support Staffs request for an opportunity to be heard with regard to what lesser

sanctions are appropriate within the context of this proceeding.

V. Intervenors Should Not be Prejudiced.

TESORO-S ANSWER TO STAFF-S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

8

Tesoro is very concerned that Olympic=s delay in providing meaningful discovery will

ultimately work to prejudice the Intervenors. As an Intervenor, Tesoro is entitled to receive full and

timely responses to its discovery requests. Since Intervenors file their answering case first, it is the

Intervenors who are most pressured by Olympic-s delays in responding to discovery. Tesoro

respectfully requests an adequate opportunity to file its case once discovery is adequate and

complete or once sanctions have addressed the factual issues surrounding Olympic=s failure to

adequately provide discovery.

VI. Further Delay is Not Appropriate.

9

Olympic=s proposed solution to its failure to provide timely discovery may be expected to

be to further delay the proceeding. Tesoro opposes this as a solution. Olympic is currently

collecting a 24.3 percent rate increase which has not been supported by its costs of providing

service. Instead, this rate increase is based upon its claims of financial hardship.

10

Since the Commission has granted interim relief, Olympic has acted like anything but a

company facing financial hardship. Olympic has delayed the procedural schedule two, and now

perhaps three times, as the result of its failure to respond to routine discovery. Olympic has sold

the Sea-Tac terminal for three times as much as the Commission granted in interim relief. Olympic

has considered and proposed to pay off underlying debt which is not in default. Olympic has

proposed to delay the Commissions consideration of the cost of providing service at every

opportunity, seeking delays of several months. Under these circumstances, rewarding Olympic with

further delay should not be a solution. Tesoro simply does not believe it continues to be in the

public interest to allow Olympic to continue to collect interim relief based on financial hardship

under the developing circumstances of this case. Accordingly, Tesoro would respectfully request

an opportunity to be heard with regard to the appropriateness of Olympic continuing to collect

interim relief if any significant delay results from Olympic=s failure to provide meaningful and timely

discovery.

VII. Conclusion.

11 Staff=s motion to dismiss should be granted. In the alternative, lesser sanctions should be

considered as appropriate under the circumstances of this case. Intervenors should not be

prejudiced by Olympic=s failure to provide meaningful and timely discovery. Finally, delay should

not be considered as an alternative to appropriate sanctions without an opportunity to also address

whether it continues to be in the public interest to allow Olympic to collect interim relief under the

developing circumstances of this case.

DATED this 3rd day of April, 2002.

BRENA, BELL & CLARKSON, P.C.

Attorneys for Tesoro Refining and

Marketing Company

By

Robin O. Brena, ABA #8410089

David A. Wensel, ABA #9306041

TESORO-S ANSWER TO STAFF-S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 3, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was faxed and emailed, and mailed to the following:

OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY, INC.

Steven C. Marshall, Esq.
Patrick W. Ryan, Esq.
Counsel for Olympic Pipe Line Company
Perkins Coie LLP
One Bellevue Center, Suite 1800
411 - 108th Ave. N.E.
Bellevue, WA 98004-5584

Fax: 425-453-7350

Email: marss@perkinscoie.com

William H. Beaver, Esq. Karr Tuttle Campbell 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101

Fax: 206-682-7100

Email: wbeaver@karrtuttle.com

Robert C. Batch, President Olympic Pipe Line Company 2201 Lind Avenue, S.W., Suite 270 Renton, WA 98055 Fax: 425-981-2525 No Email

Bernadette J. Zabransky Director-Pipeline Tariff & Regulatory Affairs BP Pipelines (North America) Inc. 801 Warrenville Rd., Suite 700 Lisle, IL 60532

Fax: 630-493-3707

Email: Zabranbj@bp.com

WUTC STAFF

Donald Trotter, Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Commission Staff Attorney Generals Office Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. P.O. Box 40128

Olympia, WA 98504-0128

Fax: 360-586-5522

Email: dtrotter@wutc.wa.gov

TOSCO CORPORATION

Edward A. Finklea, Esq. Counsel for Tosco Corporation Energy Advocates LLP 526 N.W. 18th Avenue Portland, OR 97209-2220

Fax: 503-721-9121

Email: efinklea@energyadvocates.com

Elaine Houchen