WUTC DOCKET: UE-190334 EXHIBIT: EDH-8 ADMIT ☑ W/D ☐ REJECT ☐

Exhibit No. ___ (Exh. EDH-8) Dockets UE-190334/UG-190335/UE-190222 2019 Avista General Rate Case Witness: Ezra D. Hausman, Ph.D.

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

DOCKETS UE-190334, UG-190335, and UE-190222 (Consolidated)

AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES,

Respondent.

EXHIBIT EDH-8 TO THE
RESPONSE TESTIMONY OF
EZRA D. HAUSMAN, PH.D.
ON BEHALF OF SIERRA CLUB

October 3, 2019

Page 1 of 1

AVISTA CORP. RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:WASHINGTONDATE PREPARED:07/08/2019CASE NO.:UE-190222-334/UG-190335WITNESS:Jason ThackstonREQUESTER:Sierra ClubRESPONDER:Darrell Soyars

TYPE: Data Request DEPT: Environmental Compliance

REQUEST NO.: SC 014 TELEPHONE: (509) 495-2860

EMAIL: Darrell.soyars@avistacorp.com

REQUEST:

Mr. Thackston states on page 45, lines 17-19 of his direct testimony that "Talen analyzed Regional Haze requirements and determined that a final NOx Regional Haze solution would have required both Smart Burn and a SCR." Please provide the referenced analysis and any materials or presentations provided to the Colstrip owners pursuant thereto.

RESPONSE:

As discussed by Mr. Thackston on page 45, lines 19-23, the reasoning for this determination was as follows:

The reason for this was that Smart Burn provides the first and easiest reduction of NOx by eliminating its up-front formation. By installing Smart Burn first and obtaining the necessary operating data, it would be possible to size a SCR appropriately. Furthermore, future chemical use in a SCR (ammonia) is reduced, and the incoming NOx is lower thus reducing O&M expense.