

## Hartman, Brice C (ATG)

---

**From:** bob buchanan <shilohns@mindspring.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 9, 2024 12:44 PM  
**To:** ATG WWW E-mail Public Counsel  
**Subject:** Comments UE-240004

[EXTERNAL]

Of the 7 rate adjustments I disagree with # 2 (new mgmt system) and # 7 (capital investments)

First a cumulative 16% increase in rates over 2 years really shatters the rate of inflation everyone is worried about, is I believe extremely hard to justify, and should be explained to the FEDERAL RESERVE as how PSE is fighting against their battle with USA inflation

For specifics :

For 2 I do NOT believe that ALL customers should have to pay higher rates because of 2 segments of users i.e. Electrical vehicle users and Solar users. If they require better or more advanced support from PSE then they should be charged a premium, NOT everyone. My fees should not support them because I already pay for gasoline for my car and normal electrical usage. This adjustment would mean I would effectively pay TWICE for features I neither NEED, USE, or WANT from PSE

For 7 if PSE expects capital improvements later in the 2 year period then fees to recover those costs should ONLY be charged as the costs are incurred. There is NO reason my hard earned money should set in PSE's bank accounts earning interest before it is spent!