Exh. RMM-1T Docket UE-21\_\_\_\_ Witness: Robert M. Meredith

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,	Docket UE-21
v.	
PACIFICORP dba PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	
Respondent.	

## PACIFICORP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT M. MEREDITH

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

QUALIFICATIONS	2
PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND	2
RATE SPREAD/RATE DESIGN	3

## **ATTACHED EXHIBITS**

Exhibit No. RMM-2—Proposed Allocation of Revenue Requirement Increase

Exhibit No. RMM-3—Proposed Pricing and Billing Determinants

Exhibit No. RMM-4—Monthly Billing Comparisons

Exhibit No. RMM-5—Revised Tariff Pages

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position with PacifiCorp
2		dba Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or Company).
3	A.	My name is Robert M. Meredith. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,
4		Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Director, Pricing and
5		Cost of Service. I am testifying for PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power & Light Company
6		(PacifiCorp or Company).
7		QUALIFICATIONS
8	Q.	Briefly describe your educational and professional background.
9	A.	I graduated from Oregon State University with a Bachelor of Science degree in
10		Business Administration and a minor in Economics. In addition to my formal
11		education, I have attended various industry-related seminars. I have worked for the
12		Company for 16 years in various roles of increasing responsibility in the Customer
13		Service, Regulation, and Integrated Resource Planning departments. I have over 11
14		years of experience preparing cost of service and pricing related analyses for all of the
15		six states that PacifiCorp serves. In March 2016, I became Manager, Pricing and Cost
16		of Service. In June 2019, I was promoted to my current position.
17	Q.	Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?
18	A.	Yes. I have previously filed testimony on behalf of the Company in regulatory
19		proceedings in Washington, Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Idaho, and California.
20		PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND
21	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
22	A.	My testimony presents and supports the Company's proposed rate spread and rate
23		design for the 2021 Power Cost Only Rate Case (PCORC).

1	Q.	What is the overall price change that the Company proposes for the 2021
2		PCORC?
3	A.	As described in the testimony of Mr. Douglas R. Staples, the Company proposes an
4		increase to its revenue related to power costs of \$13.1 million.
5		RATE SPREAD/RATE DESIGN
6	Q.	How does the Company propose to allocate the PCORC price change to
7		customers?
8	A.	The Company proposes to allocate the PCORC price change to customers on the
9		basis of each class's energy consumption during the test period of 12 months ended
10		June 2019, which was used in the Company's last general rate case, Docket UE-
11		191024 (2021 Rate Case). In the 2021 Rate Case, power costs were allocated on
12		energy consistent with the state of Washington's cost of service rules.1 Exhibit No.
13		RMM-2 shows the overall impact of the proposed change in revenue for each class.
14	Q.	How does the Company propose to incorporate the price change into rates?
15	A.	The Company proposes to increase all base energy charges from each rate schedule
16		by the overall average PCORC price change of 0.3232 cents per kilowatt-hour (kWh).
17		For Company-owned lighting Schedules 15 and 51, the Company proposes to
18		increase each lamp's rate by a proportional share of the schedule's allocated amount
19		using assumed monthly kWh usage for each lamp type. Exhibit No. RMM-3 shows
20		proposed energy prices for each rate schedule and resultant revenues using the billing
21		determinants used in the 2021 Rate Case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Table 2 of WAC 480-85-060.

- 1 Bill Comparisons
- 2 Q. Please describe Exhibit No. RMM-4.
- 3 A. Exhibit No. RMM-4 shows monthly billing comparisons for customers with different
- 4 consumption levels for each major rate schedule.
- 5 Q. Please describe Exhibit No. RMM-5.
- 6 A. Exhibit No. RMM-5 contains clean and legislative copies of tariff revisions. The
- 7 Company requests that the proposed rates become effective on January 1, 2022.
- 8 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 9 A. Yes.