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MS. BULLOCK: Is there a settings option for the camera? He wanted to ask.

THE COURT: Ryan, is there something you can help us with?
MR. SMITH: Hold on, what was the issue, the camera --
THE COURT: If there is a setting for the camera that would help them turn the camera on.

MR. SMITH: I can see if $I$ can send a request, but otherwise, no, it would be underneath that bottom left option where you see the start video icon.

MS. BULLOCK: And that's what I clicked. This is what I'm getting, "Zoom is unable to detect a camera".

THE COURT: Oh, okay.
MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's probably just like a computer related issue where it's not able to read the hardware.

MS. BULLOCK: Can you see it, Judge, right there what it says?

THE COURT: No, I just see your name.
MS. BULLOCK: Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
THE COURT: That's okay. We'll just give -- you said his name was Chris -- a minute to join.

MS. BULLOCK: Yeah, he was up and his computer went down, so he is trying to get back on. I'm trying to figure out
why my camera isn't working. It doesn't make a bit of sense. I've used this camera before, so I don't know why it's not coming on now. It won't come on. Doug, we going to have to check out why my camera don't work.

THE COURT: Do you want to try hanging up and restarting your computer, Anna, and see if that helps?

MS. BULLOCK: I can, if you okay with that.
THE COURT: Yeah, we can wait.
MS. BULLOCK: And Chris is able to jump in right now.
THE COURT: And it's okay if you don't have your camera on. You know, if Chris is going to be doing the talking, he can turn his camera on. And, plus, I can hear you; you have good audio.

MS. BULLOCK: Thank you so much.
THE COURT: Yeah, no problem. Chris, can you turn your camera on? Perfect, okay. All right. And is counsel for staff ready?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and be on the record in Docket TV-230503, which is captioned, In the Matter of the Investigation of Miracle Man Movers, LLC for Compliance with WAC 480-15. Today is Thursday, July 27, 2023; the time is approximately 3:00 p.m. And we are here for a prehearing conference to discuss the company's proposed safety rating, its safety management plan, any
settlement negotiations, as well as staff's motion to consolidate, and any other procedural issues.

My name is Rayne Pearson. I use she/her pronouns; and I am the Administrative Law Judge presiding over this case. So let's begin by taking appearances. Beginning with staff, please state your name and spell your last name and who you represent.

MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Cassandra Jones, last name J-O-N-E-S. I am an Assistant Attorney General appearing on behalf of staff.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much. And for the company.

MR. BULLOCK: Chris Bullock and Anna Bullock.
THE COURT: Can you unmute yourself, Mr. Bullock?
MR. BULLOCK: There you go. It will be Chris, last name Bullock, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$; and Anna Bullock, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're the owner of the company, both of you?

MR. BULLOCK: No, me, me.
THE COURT: Just you. Okay, perfect. Is there anyone else who wishes to enter an appearance?

MR. BULLOCK: Well, just so you know, Anna helps me with compliance. So her camera is not working. If she needs to be able to appear, then she may need to restart.

THE COURT: That's okay. As long as I can hear her,
that's fine. Yeah, I'm fine with that.
MR. BULLOCK: Okay.
THE COURT: So let's first address staff's motion to consolidate dockets and impose suspended penalties. So in Docket TV-220511, the commission imposed an $\$ 8,000$ penalty for violations of commission safety rules. And a $\$ 5,000$ portion of that penalty was suspended subject to several conditions, including the condition that Miracle Man did not commit any repeat violations of commission safety rules per a two year period.

So in the docket we're here to address today TV-230503, staff alleges that the company has committed new violations of commission safety rules, including repeat critical violations. So the outcome of both dockets is based on the same set of violations. So to me it makes sense to consolidate these matters. Does the company have any objection to that?

MS. BULLOCK: No.
MR. BULLOCK: She says no.
THE COURT: No objection, okay, thank you. Then those dockets are consolidated and both will be addressed and resolved at the hearing that we have scheduled for August 8th at 1:30 p.m.

MS. BULLOCK: Judge, can I ask a point of clarification? THE COURT: Yes, you can.

MS. BULLOCK: To consolidate and on Docket 2205101, it was 8,$000 ; 5,000$ was suspended; 3,000 with a payment plan, and it was on a two-year program. We have not met that two year. So are we accepting still the penalty?

THE COURT: No, so what's happening is, that was suspended for a two-year period, meaning the two-year period didn't need to expire first before staff could ask to impose those penalties. Staff is able to request imposing the suspended penalty at any time within that two-year period if repeat violations were discovered.

And by consolidating the dockets, you are not admitting to anything or in any way depriving yourself of any process. It just means that when we meet again on August 8 th to have a hearing on the safety rating, staff will also make an argument that the suspended penalty should be imposed based on these new violations. And you will have an opportunity to respond to that and make your arguments. It just means that because it's the same set of violations that gave rise both to this new docket and to staff's recommendation to impose the suspended penalty in the old docket, that it makes sense to address them all at once because it's the same set of facts.

MS. BULLOCK: Thank you so much.
THE COURT: Sure, does that help?
MS. BULLOCK: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Bullock, has the company filed its safety management plan.

MS. BULLOCK: Yes, we did. However, it was not accepted pending I didn't see that there was a new process for it. So I am in the process of working to resubmit.

THE COURT: Okay. And so, Mr. Sharp, are you staff's witness?

MR. SHARP: Yes, Judge Pearson.
THE COURT: Okay. So are you continuing then to work with the company --

MR. SHARP: Yes, I sent the company information where the plan was deficient.

THE COURT: Okay. And did the parties tend to meet for a settlement conference or just continue working together on getting that safety management plan together?

MR. SHARP: Judge, at this time $I$ think it's best for the company to focus on getting their safety management plan together.

THE COURT: Okay. That sounds good. And I know that staff will provide technical assistance along the way to help the company do that. Is there anything that we need to address today?

MS. JONES: Nothing from staff, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. How about for the company? Do you have any other questions?

MS. BULLOCK: Chris' computer went down, it's not working. Can he use my speaker to respond?

MR. BULLOCK: Okay. Yeah, I just wanted to apologize, I was able to hear everything, but (inaudible) --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're cutting out. Chris, can you go back and mute the mike on your computer and then go back and talk into Anna's computer? I think that might resolve the issue.

MS. BULLOCK: It won't allow him to unmute, so he is going to take it down and then come to this computer with me. We got a new laptop.

THE COURT: No problem.
MR. BULLOCK: Can you hear me okay without feedback now?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BULLOCK: I was just letting you know that --
THE COURT: Oh, wait, now I can't hear you. I'm sorry, I can't hear you now. I heard you for a second and then it fades out.

MR. BULLOCK: Can you hear me now?
THE COURT: Really faintly.
MR. BULLOCK: Can you hear me now? Hello.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BULLOCK: Can you hear me okay?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. BULLOCK: Okay, perfect. So I was just saying, I
couldn't speak, but $I$ was able to hear everything you all were saying. I heard Mr. Sharp speak and the attorney general representative. But $I$ was just letting you know that I couldn't respond, so I apologize for that.

THE COURT: Oh, that's okay. Did you have any other questions about how we're going to proceed?

MR. BULLOCK: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. So instead of entering a prehearing conference order, I'm just going to summarize for the record what we discussed today. We did consolidate Dockets TV-220511 and TV-230503 for hearing on August 8th, 2023 at 1:30 p.m. The company has filed a safety management plan and is working with staff to put it into an acceptable form and will continue those discussions up until the hearing on August 8th.

Is there anything else that we need to address today?
MR. BULLOCK: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Nothing from staff?
MS. JONES: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then we are adjourned and I will see you all on August 8th. Thank you.

MS. BULLOCK: Thank you so much.
THE COURT: Okay, bye-bye.
(Conclusion of July 27, 2023, proceedings)
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