1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) DOCKET NO. UW-042132
4	Complainant,) VOLUME I PAGES 1 to 14
5	vs.) ROCHE HARBOR WATER)
6	SYSTEM,) Respondent.)
7)
8	
9	A hearing in the above matter was held on May 2,
10	2005 from 1:30 p.m. to 2:18 p.m., at 1300 South Evergreen
11	Park Drive Southwest, Room 108, Olympia, Washington,
12	before Administrative Law Judge THEODORA M. MACE.
13	
14	The parties were present as follows:
15	THE COMMISSION, by LISA WATSON, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
16	Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 98504-0128. Telephone (360) 664-1186. Fax (360) 586-5522. Email LWatson@wutc.wa.gov.
17	
18	ROCHE HARBOR WATER SYSTEM, by RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, Attorney at Law, 2112 Black Lake Boulevard,
19	Olympia, Washington 98512. Telephone (360) 956-7001. Email RickFinn@localaccess.com.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Jennifer Cordner, CCR
25	Court Reporter

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE MACE: Let's be on the record in Docket No.
4	UW-042132. This is the Washington Utilities and

- 5 Transportation Commission against the Roche Harbor Water
- 6 System. We are convened today at the offices of the
- 7 Commission in Olympia, Washington. The date today is May
- 8 2, 2005. We are here for a prehearing conference on the
- 9 complainant's proceeding. My name is Theodora Mace, I'm
- 10 the Administrative Law Judge who's been assigned to this
- 11 case.
- 12 And I'd like to have the full oral appearances of
- 13 counsel now for the record. And let's begin with the
- 14 Commission.
- 15 MS. WATSON: Good afternoon. My name is Lisa
- 16 Watson. I'm the Assistant Attorney General appearing on
- 17 behalf of the Commission Staff. My address is PO Box
- 18 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504-0128. My telephone
- 19 number is (360) 664-1186. My fax number is (360)
- 20 586-5522. And Email address is LWatson@wutc.wa.gov.
- 21 MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you. Richard Finnigan on
- 22 behalf of the Company -- and pay attention to the address
- 23 and Email, these are new. The address is 2112 Black Lake
- 24 Boulevard, Olympia, Washington 98512. Phone is (360)
- 25 956-7001. Fax is (360) 753-6862. Email address is

- 1 RickFinn, all one word, R-I-C-K-F-I-N-N, at
- 2 localaccess.com.
- JUDGE MACE: Just so I'm clear, it is 2112 Black
- 4 Lake Boulevard 98512?
- 5 MR. FINNIGAN: That's correct.
- 6 JUDGE MACE: And that's in Olympia?
- 7 MR. FINNIGAN: That is in Olympia.
- 8 JUDGE MACE: The phone numbers, are they the same
- 9 as you --
- 10 MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, they are. The Email address
- 11 has changed and the physical address.
- 12 JUDGE MACE: Are there any appearances from
- 13 anyone on the conference bridge? I don't hear any
- 14 response.
- 15 So there are petitions to intervene that have
- 16 been filed in this proceeding. Unless I hear otherwise
- 17 from someone on the conference bridge, I am going to
- 18 assumed there are no oral petitions to intervene.
- 19 The first, or the next item on the agenda, is
- 20 whether or not the parties would seek a protective order
- 21 in this proceeding.
- MR. FINNIGAN: We should have one, yes.
- JUDGE MACE: All right. And discovery?
- MS. WATSON: Staff would like to have discovery.
- 25 JUDGE MACE: All right. Discovery rules will be

- 1 invoked, and I'll do that in the preconference order.
- I think I asked off the record, before we
- 3 commenced today, whether or not the parties have talked
- 4 about how they want to proceed in this case. It appeared
- 5 to me that perhaps the facts with regard to the charges in
- 6 question were not at issue, I mean, as far as the actual
- 7 level of the charges. I wondered what issues, what
- 8 factual issues there might be in this case.
- 9 MS. WATSON: Your Honor, my understanding is that
- 10 the amount of the charge is at issue, so that is one thing
- 11 that will be an issue at a hearing.
- 12 JUDGE MACE: But there is no issue about what the
- 13 proposed charges are.
- MS. WATSON: Okay.
- 15 JUDGE MACE: I mean those are -- the Company
- 16 doesn't dispute that those are the charges that they seek
- 17 to have imposed, improvement charges; is that correct?
- 18 MR. FINNIGAN: Correct.
- 19 JUDGE MACE: So what is at issue here,
- 20 Ms. Watson?
- 21 MS. WATSON: I guess the appropriate level of the
- 22 proposed charge, whether the Company needs that facilities
- 23 charge, or if they do, what level is appropriate.
- JUDGE MACE: Okay. Well, I guess it's
- 25 appropriate at this point to spend some time off the

- 1 record and give you two an opportunity to discuss how you
- 2 want to proceed, and maybe talk more about where the
- 3 hearing should take place, and come up with a schedule
- 4 that I can look at.
- 5 So is there anything else that we need to address
- 6 before we adjourn today for discussion?
- 7 MS. WATSON: No, your Honor.
- 8 JUDGE MACE: We'll adjourn for 15 minutes, you
- 9 think that will be enough time?
- 10 MR. FINNIGAN: That's fine.
- JUDGE MACE: If you need more, I'm just across
- 12 the way.
- MS. WATSON: Okay.
- 14 (Hearing was adjourned from 1:35 to 1:55.)
- 15 JUDGE MACE: Parties have discussed scheduling
- 16 with me with the October 6th statutory deadline in mind,
- 17 but the schedule they have agreed upon calls for a June
- 18 15th Staff district testimony filing date; a direct filing
- 19 date for the Company on July 6th; rebuttal August 27th;
- 20 hearing to take place, more than likely, August 11th to
- 21 the 12th. And we have to just confirm with Kitty Walker
- 22 to make sure that those dates are available. On this
- 23 calendar they appear to be, so it shouldn't be a problem.
- MS. WATSON: Yes --
- 25 JUDGE MACE: And I would advise you, if there are

- 1 any problems, that you discuss it with them.
- 2 MS. WATSON: I just want to make a quick note,
- 3 you said August 27th for the rebuttal, and that was July
- 4 27th.
- 5 JUDGE MACE: July 27th, sorry. I have July down
- 6 here, I don't know why I said August.
- 7 August 11th and 12th for the evidentiary hearing,
- 8 and I would ask that exhibit lists be exchanged on August
- 9 9th, and I will prepare answers in the list with numbers
- 10 that will be used during the hearing.
- 11 How many witnesses are we talking about,
- 12 Mr. Finnigan?
- MR. FINNIGAN: One or two Company witnesses.
- 14 JUDGE MACE: Okay. You mentioned other
- 15 witnesses, correct?
- 16 MR. FINNIGAN: There would be customers of the
- 17 Company that are very anxious to testify. I'm assuming
- 18 that's going to be in the form of public hearing, for
- 19 their testimonies.
- JUDGE MACE: And did you discuss a date?
- 21 MR. FINNIGAN: It's our assumption that it will
- 22 occur on those dates, the 11th and 12th.
- JUDGE MACE: Probably August 11th?
- MR. FINNIGAN: Sounds about right.
- JUDGE MACE: And Staff?

- 1 MS. WATSON: For Staff we're looking at two
- 2 perhaps three witnesses.
- 3 JUDGE MACE: If you two are confident that we can
- 4 accomplish this hearing in two days, I won't ask you for
- 5 estimated times for cross-examination, but if there is
- 6 going to be any problem with that, I should probably ask
- 7 for some estimate, not here, but with the exhibit lists.
- 8 MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, since I don't know what
- 9 Staff's going to say, I have no clue at the present time.
- 10 JUDGE MACE: Well, why don't I include that.
- 11 With the exhibit lists, I'd like you to have an estimate
- 12 of your cross.
- 13 MR. FINNIGAN: Sure. I was optimistic we could
- 14 do it in one day.
- 15 JUDGE MACE: So there will be exhibits that will
- 16 be prefiled, and then the exhibits we're talking about for
- 17 the August 9th exhibit list will be cross-exhibits. And
- 18 you'll need to provide adequate copies of those at the
- 19 hearing. I'm sure you both already know that because
- 20 you've both been involved in these hearings before.
- MS. WATSON: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE MACE: And then the final date that I
- 23 didn't mention for the purposes of scheduling would be the
- 24 filing of simultaneous briefs, which would be September
- 25 9th. And my goal will be to try to have a Commission

- 1 order or initial order September 9th.
- 2 Perhaps that is an issue we should also discuss.
- 3 I can get an initial order out, or if you feel you want to
- 4 waive an initial order, we could go that route. Maybe
- 5 it's premature to discuss it, but I thought I would bring
- 6 it up.
- 7 MR. FINNIGAN: It is premature at this time to
- 8 discuss it, I've got no authority to deal with the net on
- 9 that at this point in time.
- 10 JUDGE MACE: All right. There'll be an initial
- 11 order granted. My understanding is that you all have
- 12 discussed resolution of this. Are you going to continue
- 13 discussing some of it?
- MS. WATSON: The lines of communication are
- 15 certainly open. We will continue to have discussions with
- 16 them.
- 17 JUDGE MACE: Do you have a date set where you're
- 18 going to be talking about settlement or not?
- MS. WATSON: Yes, we don't have one, your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE MACE: Well, I'd appreciate it if one or
- 21 the other of you would keep me advised of any dates that
- 22 you have set for conference or discussion, just so that I
- 23 can be apprised.
- MS. WATSON: Absolutely, we can do that.
- 25 JUDGE MACE: All right. Is there anything else

- 1 we need to discuss at this point?
- 2 MR. FINNIGAN: Did you want to discuss about
- 3 location of the hearing?
- 4 JUDGE MACE: Right, yes, thank you. Yes, the
- 5 hearing location. I understand the parties have divergent
- 6 ideas about where the evidentiary hearing in this case
- 7 should take place. So I'd like to hear from each of them
- 8 where they would like to have the hearing held and why.
- 9 MR. FINNIGAN: On behalf of the Company, the
- 10 Company believes that it is very important that this
- 11 hearing occur in the Roche Harbor area. This is a
- 12 proposal that has been discussed at length with the
- 13 customers. There is significant customer support for what
- 14 the Company is proposing. I think it would appear very
- 15 odd to the customers if a hearing was held in Olympia on
- 16 something that has had as much community involvement in it
- 17 that it has to date. And I think for the benefit of the
- 18 customers, and their perception of the process of this
- 19 that's involved in these things, would be much better for
- 20 a hearing in the Roche Harbor area.
- 21 JUDGE MACE: And you're saying that even though
- 22 there's a possibility we would have an evidentiary hearing
- 23 and a public hearing -- I mean, we could have the
- 24 evidentiary hearing here, and the public hearing in the
- 25 Roche Harbor area.

- 1 MR. FINNIGAN: We could do that. I think it
- 2 might just be easier if we're all together. The logistics
- 3 of getting there for only a public hearing is difficult.
- 4 The extent to which the customers might be interested in
- 5 watching the process and hearing the testimony of
- 6 Commission Staff is a factor.
- 7 Right now they don't understand -- the customer
- 8 base doesn't understand why the Commission Staff is not
- 9 supportive of the filing, and so they want to be able to
- 10 participate in terms of listening to the evidence. Even
- 11 though that is as strange as it seems, that has been
- 12 something that has been expressed.
- JUDGE MACE: Just for my own benefit, my
- 14 understanding of the application is that the charge, the
- 15 improvement charge, would not affect current customers; is
- 16 that correct?
- 17 MR. FINNIGAN: That is correct. And the
- 18 reason --
- 19 JUDGE MACE: So those customers would be coming
- 20 in support even though the charge wouldn't affect them?
- 21 MR. FINNIGAN: Well, the alternative for
- 22 financing these types of improvements would affect them.
- 23 You know, the background behind this is that the largest
- 24 customer of the water company is Roche Harbor Resort
- 25 itself. There is a lot of dynamic tension between the

- 1 resort as a customer and the resort as the owner of the
- 2 water system. And what happened in the past -- there has
- 3 been a great deal of distrust by the non-resort customers,
- 4 the rank and file customers, if you will, that the water
- 5 company just used its weight to fund -- to funnel money to
- 6 the resort.
- 7 And in order to delay that suspicion, the Company
- 8 went out and talked to the customers about several
- 9 alternatives, ways of doing this. One of which is for the
- 10 resort to make an investment, put the money in an
- 11 investment, which means it goes into rate base, which
- 12 means that the water company is entitled to a return on
- 13 that rate base, which means that the customer's rates go
- 14 up.
- On the other hand, the resort also has the
- 16 majority of the new connections that are needed for
- 17 expansion of the resort. So what that would mean under a
- 18 traditional rate approach is that the water company, as
- 19 itself, would have this investment that it has a return
- 20 on, and the improvements that are made through that
- 21 investment benefit the owner of the water company.
- 22 And so that presents a situation where the
- 23 customers are very interested in what happens, in what the
- 24 final approach is for these investments, and what the
- 25 resort will do as a result of that and for the resort, and

- 1 for the rest of the community.
- 2 So it's a big issue from a political sense, from
- 3 a social sense, as well as potentially affecting the rates
- 4 the customers pay. For that reason, the community
- 5 interest is very high, and they would like to be part of
- 6 the process.
- 7 MS. WATSON: Staff has two concerns about holding
- 8 a hearing at Roche Harbor, and it's not that Staff wants
- 9 to preclude customers from having a say on a matter that a
- 10 customer should have a say on.
- In this case though, we're looking at a
- 12 facilities charge. And as you noted, facility charges are
- 13 applied to the future customers. So at a very basic
- 14 level, it doesn't apply to the current customers. It very
- 15 well may be that there are some political issues that are
- 16 going on up there. However, if there are certain things
- 17 that the Company needs to invest in to benefit the current
- 18 customers, the current customers need to pay for those
- 19 charges, not the future customers. So that's sort of
- 20 where some of the attention in this case is, how much
- 21 should that facilities charge be, what does it apply to.
- 22 But a facilities charge by itself applies to
- 23 future customers for future improvements that will benefit
- 24 those future customers. So in a very basic sense, it
- doesn't apply, it doesn't affect current customers.

- 1 The other concern Staff has is that, I guess, at
- 2 the height of the tourist season, it is fairly spendy to
- 3 move both the ALD division up there, Staff resources -- so
- 4 that's just a consideration, that there are certain
- 5 economic considerations. However, Staff would do whatever
- 6 the Commission decides in terms of where the hearing is
- 7 held.
- 8 MR. FINNIGAN: Can I just --
- 9 JUDGE MACE: Certainly.
- 10 MR. FINNIGAN: There are a significant number of
- 11 the current customers that -- because it's an island
- 12 community -- hold otherwise. That they're, you know,
- 13 holding to as investment reasons. So we have -- and I
- 14 don't want to overstate this, but a fair number of
- 15 existing customers are also future customers. And
- 16 obviously they're concerned about which way it goes.
- 17 The reason I know of that is because I've gotten
- 18 calls from two of those customers who are inquiring about
- 19 the status, and what's going on, and how it will affect
- 20 the other lots that they're holding. So you have both,
- 21 you have people who are in the position of being both
- 22 current and future customers, as far as who it is applying
- 23 to.
- 24 JUDGE MACE: Well, this is an issue that I need
- 25 to talk to Judge Wallis about, and "indicogitate" on

- 1 myself. So you'll get a decision in the prehearing
- 2 conference order about it. And I'm not sure in the
- 3 prehearing -- if it ends up that it's in the Roche Harbor
- 4 area, I may not have for you a specific location on that
- 5 because we'll need to do some research on that. But I'll
- 6 keep you posted, naturally.
- 7 Okay. Anything else?
- 8 MS. WATSON: No.
- 9 MR. FINNIGAN: Nothing, your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE MACE: And I do have the entire schedule
- 11 taken down, so I think we're all set with that. In the
- 12 prehearing conference order, you will get some instruction
- 13 about filing requirements for documents that you'll need
- 14 to file testimony, et cetera, in this case. And it will
- 15 include the number of copies that you will need to file
- 16 for internal distribution purposes, and some other
- 17 information about the possibility of electronic filing,
- 18 which may or may not be applicable as time goes on.
- 19 If you have any questions as time goes along,
- 20 feel free to contact me, otherwise, we're adjourned.
- 21 MR. FINNIGAN: Thank you.
- 22 (Hearing adjourned at 2:18 p.m.)

24