

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:22:42 PM

From: brian simpson
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:22:35 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
brian simpson
1413 3rd Ave
Seattle, WA 98101

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:16:00 PM

From: Spencer Rawls
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:15:53 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Spencer Rawls
1701 12th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:15:30 PM

From: Tracy Wang
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:15:22 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Tracy Wang
5355 28th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:14:58 PM

From: Giles Sydnor
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:14:52 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Giles Sydnor
5355 28th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:55:32 PM

From: Sophia Keller
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:55:28 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Sophia Keller
851 SW 127th St
Seattle, WA 98146

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:51:58 PM

From: Susan Heath
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:51:51 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Susan Heath
2552 Mount Vernon St SE
Albany, OR 97322

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:50:53 PM

From: priscilla martinez
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:50:49 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

We need to take better care of what is left of our environment, for people, wildlife, marine life, and plant life.

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
priscilla martinez
12704 NE 200th Pl
Bothell, WA 98011

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:32:57 PM

From: Nicole Iorio
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:32:51 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Nicole Iorio
15517 Meridian Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:20:48 PM

From: Tatiana Zolotareva
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:20:43 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Tatiana Zolotareva
2312 16th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:17:11 PM

From: Jean Pauley
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:17:05 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Jean Pauley
414 Malden Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:16:45 PM

From: Mark Canright
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:16:40 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Mark Canright
11589 Martin Rd
Rockport, WA 98283

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:15:07 PM

From: Andrea Gruszecki
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:15:02 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Andrea Gruszecki
19805 Sunnyside Dr N
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:11:46 PM

From: Christine Kohnert
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:11:39 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

Do not betray our public trust.

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been

resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Christine Kohnert
1502 Bernice St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:07:43 PM

From: elizabeth collins
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:07:34 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
elizabeth collins
26155 Highland Rd NE
Kingston, WA 98346

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:05:19 PM

From: Amelia Petersen
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:05:15 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Amelia Petersen
17116 139th Pl SE
Renton, WA 98058

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:05:00 PM

From: Christie Dennis
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:04:52 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Christie Dennis
1022 E 5th St
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:04:58 PM

From: William Wurtz
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 7:04:52 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
William Wurtz
17519 NE 38th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:56:20 PM

From: Jaye Stover
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:56:14 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Jaye Stover
12213 Pulver Rd
Burlington, WA 98233

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:49:59 PM

From: Stan Parker
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:49:53 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Stan Parker
2520 Jefferson St
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:47:57 PM

From: Larry Schlessinger
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:47:51 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Larry Schlessinger
19212 46th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:45:58 PM

From: Lynn Shoemaker
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:45:53 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Lynn Shoemaker
172 N Esterly Ave
Whitewater, WI 53190

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:26:24 PM

From: Paul Brown
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:26:20 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Paul Brown
843 NW 50th St
Seattle, WA 98107

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:16:38 PM

From: Jillian Mayo
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:16:33 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Jillian Mayo
2008 Broadway St
Vancouver, WA 98660

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:08:13 PM

From: Gary Brill
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:08:07 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Gary Brill
13000 Linden Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:06:10 PM

From: Joyce Grajczyk
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:06:06 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Joyce Grajczyk
12026 SE 216th St
Kent, WA 98031

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:04:30 PM

From: Curtis Cawley
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 6:04:24 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Curtis Cawley
2516 15th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:51:59 PM

From: Charlene Lauzon
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:51:49 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Charlene Lauzon
5715 202nd St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:47:27 PM

From: Catherine Adams
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:47:22 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Catherine Adams
7903 8th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:36:17 PM

From: Tatiana Zolotareva
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:36:11 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Tatiana Zolotareva
2312 16th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:32:18 PM

From: Marian Wineman
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:32:13 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Marian Wineman
3611 45th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:47 PM

From: Julia Buck
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:41 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Julia Buck
6037 6th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:29 PM

From: Emma Strevey
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:24 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Emma Strevey
4829 51st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:15 PM

From: Martha Schmidt
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:31:05 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Martha Schmidt
15 243rd Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98021

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:25:26 PM

From: Brel Froebe
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:25:21 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Brel Froebe
2915 Cedarwood Ave
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:24:00 PM

From: Hal Anthony
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:23:56 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Hal Anthony
3995 Russell Rd
Grants Pass, OR 97526

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:23:33 PM

From: Jennifer Smoose
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:23:28 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Smoose
731 34th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:19:21 PM

From: gina hicks
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:19:16 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
gina hicks
1420 E Pine St
Seattle, WA 98122

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:14:02 PM

From: Greg Durr
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:13:57 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Greg Durr
2703 Riverview Dr
Aberdeen, WA 98520

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:12:29 PM

From: Jean Schwinberg
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:12:25 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Jean Schwinberg
4538 18th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:04:14 PM

From: elyette weinstein
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:04:10 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
elyette weinstein
5000 Orvas Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:01:54 PM

From: Maureen Burns
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:01:47 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Maureen Burns
28229 241st Ave SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:01:48 PM

From: Elena Rumiantseva
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 5:01:40 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest.

This contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest.

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed

as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Elena Rumiantseva
8051 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: [Danner, Dave \(UTC\)](#)
To: [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!
Date: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:43:02 PM

From: Mike Rummerfield
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 8:42:58 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Danner, Dave (UTC)
Subject: PSE LNG is not in the public interest!

External Email

Dear Commissioner Dave Danner,

Dear Commissioners Rendahl, Danner, and Balasbas,

I am writing to request an investigation and public hearing regarding Filing No. UG-210111, to ensure that the affiliated interest contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy serves the public interest. As it is now, it is NOT in the public's best interest.

The contract between Puget LNG and Puget Sound Energy is not in the public interest because it would allow operations to proceed at the Tacoma LNG facility despite unexamined safety risks due to design changes at the facility and an inadequate SEPA review. In January, 2021, Washington Attorney General filed an amicus brief in support of the lawsuit challenging PSE's LNG facility, stating that "by obscuring the Project's actual climate impact and omitting the speculative nature of its assumptions, the FSEIS failed in its primary purpose under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)."

It is unacceptable to allow PSE to begin operating the LNG facility before the Puyallup Tribe and environmental advocates challenging the Tacoma LNG project before the Pollution Control Hearings Board receive a decision on their appeal. PSE is only nearing completion of their LNG facility due to illegally beginning construction in 2017--two years before receiving all necessary permits, for which PSCAA issued a notice of violation that PSE continued to ignore. Allowing PSE to begin operating in the midst of unresolved legal challenges and due in part to illegal construction would be unjust and in blatant disregard of the public interest. This shows that PSE cannot be trusted as they have already knowingly violated state law. I would speculate that PSE is hoping that if construction is completed (illegally) then they will be allowed to proceed because they've already invested so much (again, illegally).

Furthermore, there is no urgency to begin operations at the facility, as PSE currently has no demand for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). LNG for fueling marine vessels--purportedly the primary purpose of the facility--is not needed until next year. PSE has only one vessel fueling contract, which is with TOTE Marine, and TOTE needs to retrofit its ships to run on LNG which it does not anticipate completing until the first quarter 2022 at the earliest. Nor does PSE anticipate needing LNG for peak shaving until at least December 2021, because it is only forecasted to be needed during periods of high demand during the coldest winter months. These are problems for the short term - for the long term this is an outdated energy proposal

and is not in the best interest of the public, neither fiscally, nor health-wise.

Permitting the Tacoma LNG facility to begin operation before legal challenges have been resolved, despite PSE's illegal construction and in the face of vocal opposition from frontline communities and Puyallup Tribe perpetuates environmental racism and can never be construed as in the public interest. It is essential that the Puyallup Tribe and advocates have a fair hearing, which is not possible if PSE is allowed to turn on the facility in the midst of deliberation.

Furthermore, there remain unexamined safety issues, inadequate environmental review, and no immediate need for LNG stored at the facility. The UTC should delay the effective date of this contract, prepare updated siting and safety studies, and conduct further proceedings, including an investigation and public hearing, to ensure any contract between PSE and Puget LNG truly serves the public interest.

Sincerely,
Mike Rummerfield
214 August Rd
Onalaska, WA 98570