

**From:** [Nancy Thelen](#)  
**To:** [Records Management \(UTC\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: U-2021-210553  
**Date:** Thursday, July 20, 2023 4:30:08 PM

Received  
Records Management  
Jul 20, 2023

---

External Email

Dear Commissioners UTC,

Please take into consideration the BIAW's take on this issue. We need to be wise but also keep as many options as possible. We need to do this for numerous reasons, and as they stated if we go to extreme it could be a very detrimental thing. Again, I ask that you please consider their thoughts on this issue, and their reasoning behind them.

Thank you for allowing me time to discuss the decarbonization pathways identified in UTC docket U-2021-210553. After analyzing the documents provided by the UTC online, I would urge support of the Alternative Fuels scenario that includes Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) as an alternative fuel source. According to the data provided by SSG, this is the lowest cost and least burdensome pathway forward toward decarbonizing our energy sources. I believe it would be a mistake to fully abandon natural gas as a viable energy source after 2050. Natural gas, and likely RNG in the future, provides "on demand" energy that is either used by households or utilities to generate electricity. Without scaling up RNG or other "on demand" sources like hydropower and nuclear, I fear that intermittent energy sources like solar and wind will fail to produce enough energy for our state's needs. We need to ensure we decarbonize correctly and at the right pace. Making any mistake will be serious, if not deadly.

Sincerely,

Nancy Thelen  
3941 Campbell Rd  
Clinton, WA 98236  
[jnt@whidbey.com](mailto:jnt@whidbey.com)