WASHINGTON	
UIC	
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	
COMMISSION	
Upload? Yes X No - Reason For Not Uploading:	As

# Assignment Report Motor Carrier Safety

Upload? Yes X No - Reason For Not	Uploading: Aspen vehicle upload, prov. HHG
1. Investigator(s): Alan Dickson	
3. Current Date: 4/15/2014	4. Date of Activity: 2/6/2014 -4/10/2014
5. Carrier Name: _Arays Moving Service I	
6. Permit: <u>THG-64320</u>	7. New Entrant date of authority: 6/21/2011
8. MOTCAR No.:	Interstate Only
10. Industry Code:         11. USDOT No.: 2162315	Intra and Interstate
13. Destination Check	
• Any special emphasis placed on the des	acted: 7-15 passenger     16+ passenger       1     Level 2     Level 3
14. Safety Complaint	
<ul> <li>Attach a copy of the Individual Safe</li> <li>What activity did staff complete for this</li> <li>Compliance review</li> <li>Technical assistance</li> <li>Number of vehicle inspections: Lev</li> <li>Unannounced terminal visit</li> <li>Other (please explain):</li> </ul>	s safety complaint:
15. 🗌 New Entrant – Charter, Auto Tran	sportation
<ul> <li>Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, opera</li> <li>Is this carrier based in another state, requ</li> <li>Is this carrier based in Washington, requ</li> <li>Did staff complete the following:</li> </ul>	uesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No Nesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No
<ul> <li>Inspect all vehicles between three and Number of vehicle inspections: Level</li> <li>Conduct a SI/SA between three and ni</li> </ul>	1 Level 2 Level 5

### 16. X New Entrant-HHG

•	Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:
	Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: Yes X No
•	Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: X Yes No
	Did staff complete the following:
	♦ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months? X Yes No
	Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5 1
	♦ Conduct a SI/SA between three and eighteen months? X Yes No SI SA
	♦ Conduct technical assistance within three months? X Yes No

## 17. CSA Investigation

Full Investigation	<u> </u>
Focused Investigation	
Basic is for: Passenger Carrier HHG Carrier Solid Waste Carrier	
Basic Threshold Percentile is;	
Unsafe Driving%	. ·
Fatigued Driving (HOS)%	
Crash%	
Driver Fitness %	
Drug/Alcohol %	
Vehicle Maintenance %	

## 18. Individual Safety Plan Only:

What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint?	
Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.	
Safety Investigation	
Technical assistance	
Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Level 5	
Unannounced terminal visit	
Other (please explain):	

19	X Safety Investigation:	
	SI Rating: X Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
<b>H</b>	Number of vehicles operated: 2	
•	Number of drivers operated: 3	
-	Total miles for prior year: <u>25,000</u>	
	Recordable accidents for prior year: _0	
	Accident Ratio: 0%	

#### 20. X Part B Violations:

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40		383		387	
390	1	391	1	392	·····
395		396	3	397	
····					

### 21. X Vehicle Inspection Data:

	мс	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	тт	TRA
Inspections	1						1		1		
Defective Vehicles									1		
OOS Vehicles								-	0	<u> </u>	
Level									5		

### 22. X Vehicle Inspection Violations:

	МС	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes								7-15		- <b>.</b> .	IKA
Steering											
Lights					+				1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tires, wheels, rims Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors						······································					
Emergency Equip, Exits			,	1		<u>.</u>					
Coupling Devices									· · · · · ·		
Frame				1	<u>†</u> †		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Suspension					1						
Exhaust					<u>†                                    </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Other				<u> </u>	<u>├</u> ────┤				<u> </u>		

## 23. Driver Inspection Violations:

Medical Card	Medical Waiver	Hours of Service	Drivers License
Comment:	1		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### 24. Relevant Carrier History:

<u>This carrier is a provisional household goods company from the work plan. I conducted a compliance</u> review and inspected the carrier's vehicles. One vehicle inspection was conducted during the earlier time frame of this provisional carrier's authority and did not qualify for inclusion of this compliance review. The moving truck had one minor defect, an inoperable identification light. Mr. White owner stated he would repair the lamp and send in the inspection report to the WSP for compliance as soon as possible.

#### 15. Findings:-

The compliance review noted violations of part 391, driver qualification files, and part 396 vehicle maintenance, inspection and repair. The CR noted minor violations of the safety regulations pertaining to driver qualification files, and one periodic (annual) vehicle inspection was out of date. The carrier had also failed to require his drivers to prepare daily vehicle inspection reports for each day operation. Mr. White has failed to have a complete employment application for two of his drivers. Owner Alex attended the commission's HHG training classes in Olympia on March 12, 2014 and has conducted the criminal background investigations on all of his employees used during the last 12 months. I reviewed the safety regulations with the carrier and provided the safety manual to the company.

At the end of this review the carrier received a satisfactory safety rating.

#### 26. Recommended Action:

X No further action.

- Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the safety investigation, vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document.
- Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement. Recheck – Safety Investigation (Date:\_\_\_\_\_)

Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_)

Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$

Issue a complaint.

Stop company operations.

## 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity?

Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.

Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.

Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.

Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in

more than one of the last four safety investigations (or less than four if four are not completed).  $\Box$ 

Other (please explain):

28. Additional Comments: I would recommend this company be forwarded to licensing services for

consideration of issuance of a permanent household goods carrier permit.

Investigator's Signature: Alan DichSm
Initial Review By: Date:
Reviewer's Recommendation:
Final Review By: DPRATT Date: 4/17/14
Reviewer's Recommendation:
Close & file.
a QK to issue permanent cutherity
OFFICE USE ONLY
Date Closed: 4/17/14 By: L= Mearth
Company Name: Aray's Maring Service LLC
Assignment #: 113131
Staff Assigned: