- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 9 In the Matter of the Formal Complaint of UT 040535 10 JEFFREY D. GLICK, Complainant, DECLARATION OF STANLEY P. TATE 11 v. 12 VERIZON NORTHWEST, INC., 13 Respondent. 14 Stanley Tate declares and states: 15 I am employed by Verizon Northwest Inc. as a Customer Relations Specialist. I 16 have held this job for four years. I have been employed by Verizon for eleven years. 17 I am familiar with the complaints generated by Verizon's customer Jeffrey D. 18 Glick. 19 3. I have reviewed the billing records for Mr. Glick's former business account, 20 telephone no. (425) 822-5144. The amounts charged to Mr. Glick for Measured Local Service 21 appear to have always been small, ranging from \$1.00 or less to occasionally as much as \$4.00 to 22 \$5.00 per month. 23 4. Based on my review of Verizon's billing records, Mr. Glick's business line last 24 had a zero balance—meaning that he had paid the entire amount due—after the billing for 25 September, 2003. 26

1	5.	Beginning with the m	onth after	that, Mr. Glick paid slightly less than the amount
2	due for each	of the remaining mont	hs. Base	d upon my review, it appears that the amount not
3	paid correspon	nds to the amount bille	d for Meas	sured Local Service.
4	6.	Because the amounts	were so	small, Mr. Glick's business line never received
5	collection tre	atment. In the ordin	ary cours	se, Verizon would not have initiated collection
6	activities on t	his account until the ov	erdue pay	ment accumulated to a larger amount.
. 7	7.	In June, 2004, Mr.	Glick tra	nsmitted a letter to Verizon requesting that his
8	business servi	ce be disconnected. The	nat line wa	as disconnected on or about June 21, 2004.
9	8.	In the ordinary course	e of discor	nnecting a business line, Verizon generated a final
10	bill for that a	ccount. The final bill	for Mr. G	lick's business line was \$32.52. This amount due
11	included Measured Local Service that month of 12 cents (\$0.12), and would also reflect the			
12	amounts of Measured Local Service that Mr. Glick had not paid in previous months (after			
13	crediting his account for the partial month Enhanced call Forwarding service he did not receive			
14	by disconnecting mid-month). Assuming that Mr. Glick did not pay for his Measured Local			
15	Service based on a contention that Verizon had made some error or omission in the provision of			
16	his service, Verizon has authorized a refund of the charge for that service, as provided for by			
17	tariff. Therefore, Verizon will waive and forgive the final bill owing from Mr. Glick.			
18	Signed under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington.			
19				
20				It of Test
21				Stanley P. Tate
22				Date: 7/21/04
23				Place: Verizon NW
24				Place. Ver 1000 1000
25		·		

26