# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

RITZVILLE WAREHOUSE COMPANY,	) ) DOCKET NO. UT-021053 )
Complainant,	<ul><li>) FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER</li><li>) APPROVING AND ADOPTING</li><li>) SETTLEMENT TERMS</li></ul>
v.	)
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.,	) ) )
Respondent.	)
	)

## I. SYNOPSIS

The Commission approves and adopts the terms contained in a settlement and Stipulation between Complainant and Respondent, and dismisses the complaint.

## II. MEMORANDUM

- Appearances. Howard D. Bourne, Practical Solutions, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho represents Ritzville Warehouse Company. William E. Hendricks, III, attorney, Hood River, Oregon represents Sprint Communications Company, L.P. Jonathan Thompson, Assistant Attorney General, Olympia, Washington represents Commission Staff.
- Procedural History: On August 21, 2002, Ritzville Warehouse Company (RWC) filed with the Commission a complaint against Sprint Communications Company, L.P. (Sprint). The complaint alleges that Sprint billed RWC for T1 data circuit service that was never functional.

- The Commission convened a prehearing conference on October 10, 2002. Among other things, the Commission denied Sprint's Motion to Dismiss the complaint, and set a procedural schedule. The parties represented that they intended to attempt to settle the dispute.
- Settlement Agreement: On October 25, 2002, RWC and Sprint filed a Stipulation that incorporates the terms of their settlement agreement, and would resolve the Parties' dispute in its entirety. RCW and Sprint request the Commission's approval of this Stipulation. Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, RWC filed a Motion to Withdraw the complaint on October 28, 2002.
- On November 14, 2002, the Commission convened a hearing before Administrative Law Judge Karen M. Caillé to consider the Stipulation. Sprint and RWC waive entry of an Initial Order in this matter.

### III. DISCUSSION AND DECISION

- Based on our review of the Stipulation and the record developed in this proceeding, we find that the issues are adequately addressed and resolved by the settlement terms contained in the Stipulation. The Stipulation is attached to this order as Appendix A. We find that the settlement terms are consistent with the public interest, and that they should be approved and adopted as a full and final resolution of all the issues pending in Docket No. UT-021053.
- In accepting and adopting this settlement, we find that the result is consistent with the public interest in that it appropriately assesses the responsibilities of the parties, and will operate to minimize future disputes. Moreover, adoption of the settlement saves time, effort and expense for the Commission, the company, the complainant, and the Company's ratepayers. Acceptance of the settlement, however, is done without the detailed examination and the close study of partisan arguments on contested issues that produces informed decisions on each litigated issue. The Commission therefore observes, consistent with similar observations in other proceedings, that this Order does not constitute a ruling on any underlying issue that might have been litigated.

Accordingly, the Commission grants RWC's motion to withdraw the complaint and adopts the settlement terms contained in the Stipulation as its resolution of the issues in this proceeding.

#### IV. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 10 (1) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission is an agency of the State of Washington vested by statute with authority to regulate telecommunications companies offering service to the public for compensation.
- 11 (2) Sprint Communications Company, L.P., is engaged in providing telecommunications services for hire to the public within the State of Washington.
- 12 (3) Ritzville Warehouse Company filed a complaint with the Commission on August 21, 2002, alleging that Sprint billed RWC for T1 data circuit service that was never functional.
- On October 25, 2002, RWC and Sprint filed a Stipulation that incorporates the terms of their settlement agreement, and would resolve the Parties' dispute in its entirety.
- 14 (5) The Stipulation adequately addresses and resolves the issues in this proceeding.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 15 (1) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this proceeding. Chapters 80.04 and 80.36 RCW.
- 16 (2) The settlement terms contained in the Stipulation, attached as Appendix A, fully and fairly resolve the issues in dispute in this proceeding and are consistent with the public interest.
- 17 (3) The Motion to Withdraw the Complaint should be granted.

18 (4) The Commission should retain jurisdiction over the subject matter of and the parties to this proceeding to effectuate the provisions of this Order.

#### VI. ORDER

- 19 THE COMMISSION ORDERS That:
- 20 (1) The Motion to Withdraw the Complaint is granted.
- 21 (2) The settlement terms in the Stipulation (Appendix A to this Order) are approved, adopted and made part of this Order.
- 22 (3) The complaint in this matter is hereby dismissed, and this docket is closed.
- THE COMMISSION FURTHER ORDERS That it retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties to effectuate the provisions of this Order.

Dated at Olympia, Washington and effective this \_\_\_\_ day of November, 2002.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

MARILYN SHOWALTER, Chairwoman

RICHARD HEMSTAD, Commissioner

PATRICK J. OSHIE, Commissioner

NOTICE TO PARTIES: This is a final order of the Commission. In addition to judicial review, administrative relief may be available through a petition for reconsideration, filed within 10 days of the service of this order pursuant to RCW 34.05.470 and WAC 480-09-810, or a petition for rehearing pursuant to RCW 80.04.200 or RCW 81.04.200 and WAC 480-09-820(1).