```
00053
             BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
2.
                  TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
   THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES
   AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
 4
                   Complainant,
5
                                   DOCKET NO. UE-991606
             vs.
6
                                   VOLUME II
   AVISTA CORPORATION,
 7
                                   Pages 53 - 72
              Respondent. )
   _____
   THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES
   AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
10
                   Complainant,
11
                                   DOCKET NO. UG-991607
             vs.
12 AVISTA CORPORATION,
                                   VOLUME II
                                ) Pages 53 - 72
                 Respondent. )
13
    _____
14
15
             A prehearing conference in the above matter
16 was held on March 22, 2000, at 1:40 p.m., at 1300 South
17
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
18
  before Administrative Law Judge MARJORIE R. SCHAER.
19
              The parties were present as follows:
20
             THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
   COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN and MARY M. TENNYSON,
   Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park
   Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia,
22 Washington, 98504.
2.3
             AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID J. MEYER, General
   Counsel, E1411 Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington,
24 99220.
25 Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
   Court Reporter
```

- 1 NORTHWEST ENERGY COALITION, by DANIELLE DIXON, Policy Associate, 219 First Avenue South, Suite 100,
- 2 Seattle, Washington, 98104.
- 3 THE PUBLIC, by SIMON J. FFITCH, Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,
- 4 Seattle, Washington, 98164-1012.
- NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS, by EDWARD A. FINKLEA, Attorney at Law, Energy Advocates, LLP, 526
- 6 Northwest 18th Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97209.
- 7 INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES, by S. BRADLEY VAN CLEVE, Attorney at Law, Duncan,
- 8 Weinberg, Genzer and Pembroke, 1300 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2915, Portland, Oregon, 97201.

JUDGE SCHAER: We're here this afternoon for a prehearing conference of two consolidated dockets. The first is Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus Avista Utilities, 5 Docket Number UE-991606. This is a filing by the electric arm of this company for an annual increase in 7 rates of \$26.3 Million or about a 10.4% increase in electric rates. 9 The second proceeding is Washington Utilities 10 and Transportation Commission versus Avista Utilities in 11 Docket Number UG-991607, which is a general rate filing 12 by the gas arm of this company seeking an annual increase of approximately \$4.9 Million or a 6.5% 13 14 increase. 15 We are in the Commission's hearing room, 206, in Commission Headquarters Building in Olympia, 16 17 Washington. I'm Marjorie Schaer. To my right is Karen 18 Calle, and we are the administrative law judges assigned by the Commission to this proceeding. I also want to 19 20 introduce Jennifer Watsek, who is working with us to 21 organize the materials for this hearing. 22 I would like to start this afternoon by 23 taking appearances from all of the parties starting with 24 the company, please, Mr. Meyer. 25 MR. MEYER: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

25

name is David Meyer appearing on behalf of the applicant, Avista Corporation, and my address and relevant information has been previously provided at a prehearing conference. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. And for the Commission Staff, Mr. Trautman? MR. TRAUTMAN: Greg Trautman, Assistant Attorney General, for Commission Staff. My address 9 is --10 JUDGE SCHAER: I believe that your address 11 and phone numbers were provided at the prehearing. And 12 if they are the same, then you don't need to provide 13 them again now. 14 MR. TRAUTMAN: I don't know if mine was. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. 15 16 MR. TRAUTMAN: MR. Cedarbaum appeared at the 17 prehearing. 18 JUDGE SCHAER: Oh, okay, then perhaps you and 19 Ms. Tennyson should provide all of that information. 20 ahead, please. 21 MR. TRAUTMAN: My address is 1400 South 22 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, 23 Olympia, Washington, 98504. My telephone number is (360) 664-1187. And do you need fax and E-mail? 24

JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, please.

MR. TRAUTMAN: My fax number is (360) 586-5522, and my E-mail address is greg@wutc.wa.gov. MS. TENNYSON: My name is Mary M. Tennyson, T-E-N-N-Y-S-O-N. I'm Senior Assistant Attorney General 5 representing Commission Staff. My address and fax number are the same as Mr. Trautman's, and my telephone 7 number is (360) 664-1220, and my E-mail is mtennyso@wutc.wa.gov. 9 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, Simon ffitch, 10 Assistant Attorney General for Public Counsel. My information is on file with the Commission. 11 12 I have one question which I neglected to bring up when we were off the record, and that's whether 13 14 there were any parties who wanted to appear by 15 conference bridge today. I wasn't sure if the bridge 16 was on. For example, there's the SNAP folks, and I 17 hadn't spoken with them, but I didn't know if there was 18 anyone who was on or wanted to be on the bridge.

19 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, Mr. ffitch. 20 will check to see if the bridge needs to be turned on 21 and if anyone is there. I did speak with Mr. Andre this morning. He informed me that he would not be coming and 22 23 has sent some information with Avista regarding which of 24 their data responses to him he will wish to have as

25 cross examination exhibits today. He apparently had

00058 just returned to the office after an extended ligamous, and so we are allowing him to mail those exhibits to everyone by tomorrow or Friday, but they will be placed in the exhibit list today so everyone knows where to put 5 them. 6 MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you for bringing that to our attention. 9 Ms. Dixon. 10 MS. DIXON: Danielle Dixon appearing on behalf of the Northwest Energy Coalition, and all of my information should already be in the record. 11 12 13 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. 14 Mr. Finklea? MR. FINKLEA: Edward Finklea appearing on 15 behalf of the Northwest Industrial Gas Users, and I did 16 17 appear at the prehearing conference, and my information 18 is in the record. JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. 19 20 Mr. Van Cleve? 21 MR. VAN CLEVE: Brad Van Cleve on behalf of 22 Industrial Customers Northwest Utilities, and I believe 23 Mr. Brooks appeared at the prehearing conference for

ICNU, and the information he gave is the same for me.

JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you.

24

This is a prehearing conference that was scheduled by letter on March 15, 2000. As set out in that notice, the purpose of this conference is to number for identification all cross-examination exhibits and to attend to any other procedural matters that may require attention. According to the notice, topics for this conference were to include order of witnesses and of cross examination, existence of objections to evidence and argument on such objections if appropriate, the existence of dispositive motions and response to such motions as appropriate.

The parties were asked to file and identify objections and serve dispositive motions no later than 1:00 p.m. on Monday, March 20th, 2000. To date, the Commission has not received any objections or dispositive motions. So let me ask at this point, are any of the parties planning to make such objections or file such motions?

Okay, I would like the record to reflect that the parties have indicated that no one is planning at this stage in this proceeding to do either of those things.

Also in that notice, Avista was asked to provide to the parties and to the Bench a list showing its witnesses in the order they will be presented in the

```
00060
```

```
party's case no later than 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, March
   21, 2000. To date, the Commission has not received a
   witness list from Avista showing an order of witnesses.
               Was one filed, Mr. Meyer?
 5
               MR. MEYER:
                          It was not.
 6
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. I have distributed
 7
   before the hearing took place a list put together by the
   Commission advisory staff, which lists all of the Avista
9
   witnesses and the order of their testimony and provides
10
   a column for each party to list its estimate of time
11
   needed to cross examine each witness.
12
               And do all counsel have a copy of this matrix
13
   in front of them?
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
14
               MS. TENNYSON: Yes, Your Honor.
15
16
               MR. FINKLEA: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               MR. FFITCH: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               MR. MEYER: Yes, Your Honor.
               MS. DIXON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
20
               MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, Your Honor.
21
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, I have received from the
22
   Commission Staff a document which identifies their time
23
   estimates for each of these witnesses, and we will
24
   include that information in this matrix. I would ask
   that all other counsel or parties represented by
```

```
00061
   members, Ms. Dixon, take a moment now and fill this in
    and leave it with me before you leave today so that we
   may have that information.
 4
               Yes, Ms. Dixon?
 5
               MS. DIXON: I turned in a typed estimate to
 6
   Jennifer.
 7
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, thank you, so we have
    that from you as well.
9
               And, Mr. Finklea, did you bring something
10
    filled in?
11
               MR. FINKLEA: I sent in an estimate last week
   pursuant to your notice, and we have cross examination
12
13
   for one of the witnesses.
14
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, would you just go ahead
15
    and fill that in twice then.
16
               MR. FINKLEA: Yes.
17
               JUDGE SCHAER: It may be redundant, but then
18
    I will know that we have it.
19
               MR. FFITCH: May I approach the Bench?
20
               JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, you may, Mr. ffitch.
21
               MR. FFITCH: We have completed our estimates.
22
               JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you.
23
               And, Mr. Van Cleve, I see you busily writing
```

away, are you putting yours together right now?

MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, Your Honor. Would you

24

00062 like it right now? JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, please, if you would like to approach the Bench and provide it to Judge Calle, 4 please. 5 9 10 not seen but has been provided just now. 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEYER: Your Honor, because the time estimates may have a bearing on the order of witnesses, rather than wait until tomorrow and receive something via E-mail by way of the composite estimates, could we very quickly work through this and just put in the time estimates based on the information, much of which I have

JUDGE SCHAER: That would be fine with me if the parties are willing to do that. There are two things I want to do on this matrix besides filling in the time estimates. One of those is making sure that this is the right order, and as indicated by Mr. Meyer, he would like the time estimates before he puts that together, but I would like you to then number these people in order so that we can rearrange the matrix on the computer and have this in order so that we can provide it Monday morning.

> MR. MEYER: Two other --

JUDGE SCHAER: The other thing I would like to do, and then we can get to your two things, I would like to look at the order across the top in which

parties wish to question the witnesses. I assume that staff is planning to go first. MS. TENNYSON: Yes. 4 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. ffitch, sometimes public 5 counsel wants to go after staff, and sometimes they want to do clean up. At least Mr. Adams used to like to do 7 that. Do you have a preference as to where you question? 9 MR. FFITCH: Mr. who? 10 JUDGE SCHAER: The gentleman who just retired 11 from your office and is sitting having a drink somewhere 12 laughing at us. 13 MR. FFITCH: I don't have a strong 14 preference, I guess, going after staff, other than going 15 after staff, that's fine. 16 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, well, then --17 MR. FFITCH: We could go after the other 18 interveners, I suppose. 19 JUDGE SCHAER: Just tell me what you prefer, 20 and that's where I will put you. 21 MR. FFITCH: I will go after staff, thank 22 you.

23 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. And then the next 24 person I have listed is your client, Mr. Van Cleve.

Would you like to be the next questioner next week after

00064 public counsel and staff? That would be fine, Your MR. VAN CLEVE: 3 Honor. 4 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. And then we have SNAP, 5 and then we have you, Ms. Dixon, and then we have you, Mr. Finklea. Is that order satisfactory to everybody? 7 MR. FINKLEA: That's satisfactory to me. 8 JUDGE SCHAER: So you don't confuse us next 9 week when you come in, would you try to sit in this 10 order so I can just follow you around the room, and the 11 Commissioners can remember who you are, thank you. 12 Okay, Mr. Meyer, go ahead, you had a couple 13 of things. 14 MR. MEYER: Oh, one was although we have not 15 predistributed yesterday an exhibit list, I have some to 16 pass around now, but that's duplicative of what you have 17 prepared on your own, which is a listing of blanks for, 18 you know, cross-examination exhibits. So if you would like to receive this prepared by us, I can distribute 19 20 it, but. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: I think that you had already 22 distributed a list like that earlier in the case. And you and I talked off the record, and I will explain to 23

all parties on the record now, we are going to be using

a somewhat different numbering system than we had talked

24

about at the prehearing, which is one of the reasons that we are having this conference. I have distributed before the hearing and will now show you an exhibit list my staff has prepared. Does everybody have a copy of 5 this? What we have determined that we would like to 7 do in this matter is to have all of the exhibits associated with the witness together in one place, so 9 that we would start our numbering with your first 10 witness, if that were to be Mr. Matthews, his testimony 11 would be T-1. His next exhibit would be Exhibit 2. But we would then fill in this chart with the identified 12 13 exhibits from the other parties and numbered for 14 identification for next week. We would then leave a gap 15 for that last minute exhibit that somebody is going to 16 think of at about 3:00 in the morning sometime next week 17 and need to bring in. We would also leave a gap for rebuttal testimony and rebuttal cross exhibits for this 18 19 witness and then start numbering again with whoever was 20 determined in order was going to be your next witness. 21 And so that is really the goal that I have for us to accomplish today is to get the cross-examination matrix filled in and to get this 22 23 24 exhibit list filled in with the information that the 25 parties have and then to get all of those exhibits

distributed so that we can have all of those filed and ready to use Monday morning when the hearing starts. MR. MEYER: Just a point of clarification, this is something we can probably talk about in a 5 dialogue off the record, but because there's some discussion about taking a few of our witnesses out of order to accommodate some express desires of staff and interveners, if we go ahead and mark the exhibits in the 9 order just as you have suggested together with 10 cross-examination exhibits in the order presented in our 11 prefiled books, would it create a problem then to do 12 that even though we take witnesses out of order? We're 13 fine with that, but we may be taking witness number six after witness number one to accommodate some scheduling 14 15 issues. 16 JUDGE SCHAER: I think we can deal with that. 17 MR. MEYER: Even though -- okay, so let's 18 just not worry about the witness order. 19 JUDGE SCHAER: In terms of putting together 20 the exhibit list? 21 MR. MEYER: Exactly. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: And then we will worry about 23 it in the cross-examination matrix to the extent that we 24 can today. And if it has to move, it has to move. I think those kinds of things happen.

00067 MR. MEYER: I just wanted to clarify that one thing. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. 4 MR. MEYER: Thank you. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: So what I'm going to suggest at this point is I'm going to point out while we're on 7 the record that the notice required the parties to provide copies of their cross-examination exhibits to 9 other parties and the Commission and that you were asked 10 to provide the exhibits in the order you intend to use them in a packet by witness and punched for insertion in 11 12 three ring binders, so I hope that all of you have done 13 those things. 14 MR. FFITCH: Yes, Your Honor. May I just 15 make a statement for the record regarding that? 16 JUDGE SCHAER: Go ahead, Mr. ffitch. 17 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, we have made our 18 best efforts to identify the cross-examination exhibits that public counsel will use. However, public counsel 19 20 reserves the right to seek admission of additional 21 exhibits that may come to our attention as a result of 22 either late received discovery, discovery is ongoing, or matters that come up during the course of the hearing 23 24 that might require the submission of additional 25 exhibits. Obviously that will be subject to objection

and the right of objection and ruling by the Bench, but I just wanted to make that statement for the record.

JUDGE SCHAER: I think that's entirely appropriate, Mr. ffitch.

MS. TENNYSON: Your Honor, counsel for staff also has a similar statement. We have, as you will see from the huge amount of paper we are providing, we have made our best efforts to pull out all of the exhibits. In particular though, there are a couple of areas I wanted to address.

There were quite a few of the responses to data requests that were directed to Dr. Avera were not provided in a timely fashion. They were due in February. We received some of them today. Obviously those we're not in a position to have examined to determine whether or not we're going to be copying and including them as cross-examination exhibits. I suspect that we will, but we did receive them this morning about 10:30, so definitely we have not included those.

In addition, I have spoken with Mr. Falkner, who has been handling responses to data requests for the company. A large number of the data request responses we received relating to Dr. Avera's testimony were to the effect that it's included in Dr. Avera's work papers. We were provided with copies of Dr. Avera's

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

work papers. I punched them and put them in this binder by myself. There's 720 pages. The color divider pages are ones I added for myself that are not indexed, and none of the references in the data responses gave a page 5 reference. There are page numbers like AV-1 through 720 numbered on the pages, but we did not receive a 7 reference to what page we might find some two-page document on.

And I have therefore asked the company to 10 supplement their responses, which might result in us 11 seeking to add some additional exhibits to the record for Dr. Avera. We had Dr. Avera as one of the witnesses 13 where we discussed with Mr. Meyer some scheduling 14 considerations, and we're looking at his testimony being 15 offered on Thursday or Friday depending on how soon we 16 finish with the other witnesses. So that shouldn't 17 create a substantial problem. We will work to get those 18 additional exhibits ready as soon as possible.

JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, Ms. Tennyson. there any brief response, or are you fine with proceeding in the way that staff has described? MR. MEYER: Mm-hm.

JUDGE SCHAER: I think it's reasonable during a hearing that, as I say, you have some flexibility for things that maybe come up in another party's questioning

and you say, hey, they talked about that two years ago in X, I wanted to use this piece of paper to discuss that with them or just that things like this happen where we're trying to do something this big this fast, and you need to have a little extra time to supplement.

So thank you for letting me know that particularly it's Dr. Avera. We will give extra, extra space after what we have for him to perhaps fill in some of these things, and I will ask parties then to try to be able the morning that a witness is going to be on the stand to provide those documents to the other parties and to the Bench.

MS. TENNYSON: Oh, absolutely.

JUDGE SCHAER: Before we begin the hearing session for each day. Is there anyone who sees a problem with proceeding in that manner?

What I'm going to suggest that we do right now then is go off the record and look at what has been passed out for each witness by the parties, and take the exhibits in the order that we just agreed the parties would be questioning and try to get some numbers for identification put on all of these documents so everyone knows where they go.

And then after that, we will probably go back on the record just for me to put all of those

00071 1 i

identifications in so that we don't have to do it next week. But I know that Avista may need to be excused at some point to get a plane, and if there is going to be -- I don't see any real need for a lot of people to be here to listen to that. I do see a need to have that 5 information in the transcript if we should need it 7 later. 8 So is there anything further before we go off 9 the record? 10 MR. MEYER: When were we planning on doing 11 the time estimates? 12 JUDGE SCHAER: I think when we go off the 13 record, we will do that perhaps first. 14 MR. MEYER: Okay. 15 JUDGE SCHAER: And then we can move into the 16 exhibit numbering second. 17 We're off the record. 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 JUDGE SCHAER: Back on the record after a 20 recess during which we discussed order of witness and 21 set out an approximate schedule for which witnesses will be on which days next week, and we marked for 22 23 identification documents. Parties have agreed at this 24 point that the exhibit list that identifies the documents will be made a part of the record, and those

```
00072
   identifications will not be read into the record at this
   time.
               Is there anything further that we need to
 4
   discuss today?
               Hearing nothing, we will reconvene in this
 5
   room on Monday, March 27, at 9:30 in the morning to
 7
   begin the presentation of cross examination of Avista's
    direct case.
9
               This hearing is adjourned.
10
               (Hearing adjourned at 3:25 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```