

Page 1

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
 2 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 3 -----
 4 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)
 5 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
 6 Complainant,)
 7 vs.) Docket No. UG-152286
 8 CASCADE NATURAL GAS) Volume I
 9 CORPORATION,)
 10 Respondent.)
 11 -----
 12 Pre-hearing Conference held before
 13 GREGORY J. KOPTA, Administrative Law Judge
 14 -----
 15 Pages 1-14
 16 9:30 a.m. - 9:44 a.m.
 17 January 26, 2016
 18 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
 19 Room 206
 20 Olympia, Washington
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25 Mary M. Paradise, CSR 2469

Page 2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S
 2
 3 GREGORY J. KOPTA, Administrative Law Judge
 4
 5 ON BEHALF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 6 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:
 7 BRETT P. SHEARER
 8 Assistant Attorney General
 9 PO Box 4128
 10 Olympia, Washington 98504-0128
 11 ON BEHALF OF CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION:
 12 LISA F. RACKNER
 13 McDowell Rackner & Gibson
 14 419 SW 11th Avenue
 15 Portland, Oregon 97205
 16 ON BEHALF OF NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS:
 17 (Present via bridge line.)
 18 CHAD M. STOKES
 19 Cable Huston
 20 1001 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
 21 Portland, Oregon 97204-1136
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 3

1 ON BEHALF OF THE ENERGY PROJECT:
 2 (Present via bridge line.)
 3 BRAD M. PURDY
 4 Law Office of Brad M. Purdy
 5 2019 North 17th Street
 6 Boise, Idaho 83702
 7
 8 ON BEHALF OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:
 9 LISA W. GAFKEN
 10 Assistant Attorney General
 11 Public Counsel Division
 12 800 Fifth Avenue
 13 Suite 2000, TB-14
 14 Seattle, Washington 98104-3188
 15
 16 E X H I B I T I N D E X
 17 NUMBER: PAGE
 18 (None Marked.)
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 4

1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; January 26, 2016
 2 9:30 a.m.
 3 - o0o -
 4
 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in
 6 docket UG-152286, captioned Washington Utilities
 7 and Transportation Commission versus Cascade
 8 Natural Gas Corporation. I'm Gregory J. Kopta, the
 9 administrative law judge who will be presiding with
 10 the commissioners in this docket.
 11 We are here today for a pre-hearing
 12 conference to settle matters of a procedural
 13 nature. And let's begin by taking appearances,
 14 starting with the company.
 15 MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner with the law
 16 firm of McDowell Rackner & Gibson for Cascade
 17 Natural Gas. Would you like my address and
 18 contact?
 19 JUDGE KOPTA: We don't need the whole
 20 thing at this point. I think it's already in the
 21 pleadings, but I'll make sure that you have that.
 22 JUDGE KOPTA: For Commission staff?
 23 MR. SHEARER: Bret Shearer, assistant
 24 attorney general on behalf of Commission staff.
 25 JUDGE KOPTA: Public counsel?

Page 5

1 MS. GAFKEN: Lisa Gafken, assistant
 2 attorney general here on behalf of public counsel.
 3 JUDGE KOPTA: And Mr. Stokes?
 4 MR. STOKES: Good morning, Chad Stokes for
 5 the Northwest Industrial Gas Users.
 6 JUDGE KOPTA: And Mr. Purdy?
 7 MR. PURDY: Brad Purdy on behalf of The
 8 Energy Project.
 9 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you. Anyone else
 10 wishing to make an appearance? Hearing none, that
 11 seems to be the cast of characters.
 12 The first issue on my list is
 13 interventions. The Commission has received
 14 petitions to intervene from the Northwest
 15 Industrial Gas Users and The Energy Project. Are
 16 there any objections to allowing those parties to
 17 intervene?
 18 MS. RACKNER: None.
 19 MR. SHEARER: None from staff.
 20 MS. GAFKEN: None from public counsel.
 21 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then we will
 22 grant those petitions to intervene, and those
 23 parties will be parties to this proceeding.
 24 We've already taken care of discovery and
 25 a protective order. So a couple of other issues.

Page 6

1 One is the Commission in the process of converting
 2 to electronic document service. We aren't quite
 3 there yet, but in anticipation that we will be
 4 during the course of this proceeding, we'd like to
 5 get the consent of all the parties to serve
 6 notices, orders, anything else that the Commission
 7 sends out electronically without a paper copy. Do
 8 all the parties consent to that?
 9 MS. RACKNER: Yes, Cascade consents.
 10 MS. GAFKEN: Public counsel consents to
 11 electronic transmission from the Commission.
 12 MS. RACKNER: As does staff.
 13 JUDGE KOPTA: And Mr. Purdy and
 14 Mr. Stokes?
 15 (Conflicting telephonic responses.)
 16 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Purdy first.
 17 MR. PURDY: I'm sorry. Judge, I didn't
 18 hear that.
 19 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes, you talked over each
 20 other, so the court reporter couldn't get it. So
 21 if you would repeat what you said, Mr. Purdy
 22 MR. PURDY: I think I said, yes, that
 23 sounds great. It's wonderful.
 24 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And Mr. Stokes?
 25 MR. STOKES: That's fine for the Gas Users

Page 7

1 as well.
 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Great. Thank you. Another
 3 thing that we are starting to do is because of the
 4 volume of testimony and exhibits, we would like to
 5 have exhibit lists that accompany filings that are
 6 made with testimony. And I realize the company, as
 7 part of their initial filing, has already made that
 8 filing, but we would like to have you provide us
 9 with an exhibit list so that we make sure that we
 10 know what all your exhibits are and what they're
 11 named and -- rather than having us do it.
 12 So as we go through this proceeding, when
 13 you make filings of testimony, we'd like to have
 14 that accompanied by an exhibit list. And there
 15 will be a final exhibit list as part of your cross-
 16 examination exhibit filing right before the
 17 hearing, but this is just so we can keep track, as
 18 things progress, and you're not scrambling at the
 19 last minute to make sure that we have a list of all
 20 the exhibits.
 21 MS. RACKNER: Would the Commission --
 22 MR. PURDY: This is Brad Purdy. So for
 23 the filing of testimony, are you envisioning
 24 something that would amount to a table of contents
 25 with a description of each exhibit, or something

Page 8

1 more like we file, as you just mentioned, later in
 2 the case?
 3 JUDGE KOPTA: What I envision is something
 4 more along the lines of what we prepare at the end
 5 of the case. That makes it easier for me. If you
 6 put it in the form of an exhibit list, then I can
 7 cut and paste, as opposed to having to re-create.
 8 So this is all about making life easier for me, of
 9 course.
 10 MR. PURDY: Thank you.
 11 MS. RACKNER: Would it be helpful if the
 12 company prepared an exhibit list for the initial
 13 filing and got that in as soon as possible to the
 14 Commission?
 15 JUDGE KOPTA: That was going to be my
 16 next request. Thank you, Ms. Rackner, that would
 17 be perfect.
 18 So then as far as I know, that brings us
 19 to a schedule. And I have received from
 20 Mr. Shearer a proposed schedule, and I'm assuming
 21 that this is a proposed schedule on behalf of all
 22 of the parties, is that correct?
 23 MS. GAFKEN: That's correct.
 24 JUDGE KOPTA: And it all looks fine to me,
 25 with one caveat, which is that the hearing date

Page 9

1 that you have proposed of July 26th is not
 2 convenient for the commissioners' schedules. So I
 3 believe the following week will work for the
 4 commissioners, and if that works for the parties,
 5 then we will schedule the hearings for -- to begin
 6 on August 2nd.
 7 MR. SHEARER: That's good with staff, your
 8 Honor.
 9 MS. GAFKEN: The date also works for
 10 public counsel.
 11 MS. RACKNER: The date works for Cascade.
 12 And so my only question is whether there's any need
 13 to reconsider the date for the post-hearing briefs.
 14 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that was kind of a
 15 cascade, if you'll excuse the expression, effect of
 16 changing that date, is that it will have impacts
 17 both on the post-hearing briefs, and obviously, on
 18 the deadline for filing cross-examination exhibits.
 19 I didn't know whether they would have any
 20 impacts on any of the other dates, whether you
 21 wanted to move any of them back or keep them where
 22 they are.
 23 MS. GAFKEN: I'll just chime in. I think
 24 the testimony dates can probably stay where they
 25 are. Excuse me. But moving the brief date back a

Page 10

1 bit to accommodate the later hearing, and then we
 2 could probably move up the cross-examination
 3 exhibits as well, to get them, you know, about a
 4 week before.
 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. That's easy enough to
 6 do, and I can take care of that.
 7 I notice on here that there is a line item
 8 for a company filed issues list, which will be
 9 helpful, I think, but -- so the anticipation is
 10 that the company will file an issues list on March
 11 9th, and then there will be a joint issues list
 12 from all of the parties in May. Is that the
 13 parties' anticipation?
 14 MS. RACKNER: Yes.
 15 MS. GAFKEN: Yes.
 16 MR. SHEARER: Yes, your Honor.
 17 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I think that
 18 works for me.
 19 MS. GAFKEN: I'm sorry.
 20 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes.
 21 MS. GAFKEN: I had one other comment about
 22 the public comment hearing.
 23 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes.
 24 MS. GAFKEN: Just to provide a little bit
 25 of information there. So I have spoken with staff

Page 11

1 and Cascade as well about these ideas, and they
 2 seem amenable as well. We're looking at going two
 3 public comment hearings because of the disparate
 4 nature of the -- the service territory. It's very
 5 spread out. So we're looking at one in the
 6 Kennewick area and then another one in the Mount
 7 Vernon area.
 8 And then I would propose the week of June
 9 13th, so that would be after all of the testimony
 10 is in, but before the hearing. Obviously, that's
 11 based on commissioner availability. So, you know,
 12 I understand the actual dates will be set by later
 13 notice.
 14 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I will consult
 15 with the commissioners and see what their
 16 preference is, but I've noted what your proposal
 17 entails, and we'll see what we can do.
 18 MS. GAFKEN: Okay. And the part of the
 19 proposal, also, was based on staff provided some
 20 great information about customer accounts in each
 21 of the areas, and so that those two locations seem
 22 to cover at least most customers, and then also
 23 provide an opportunity for other outlying areas to
 24 -- to travel to those locations.
 25 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Well, you've done

Page 12

1 your homework. Thank you.
 2 MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.
 3 JUDGE KOPTA: Anything else that we need
 4 to take up at this point? Hearing nothing, I will
 5 be issuing a pre-hearing conference order that
 6 reflects what we've talked about today, and we will
 7 proceed accordingly. Thank you. We're adjourned.
 8 MS. RACKNER: Thank you, your Honor.
 9 MS. GAFKEN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. There
 10 was one other thing on my list that I --
 11 JUDGE KOPTA: On the record or off the
 12 record?
 13 MS. GAFKEN: I just had a question about
 14 the informal electronic service list and
 15 individuals that we wanted on that. Are we still
 16 doing that?
 17 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes, we are. And if you
 18 have anybody that you want to add to the list, then
 19 please let me know, preferably, as soon as
 20 possible. We usually like to try to get these
 21 pre-hearing conference orders out expeditiously.
 22 So if you have some names that you would
 23 like to make sure that we include on the master
 24 service list for electronic service, then --
 25 MS. GAFKEN: Sure. I can send you e-mail

1 addresses. It's the usual suspects; my analysts
2 and legal assistants.

3 JUDGE KOPTA: Right. Right. That goes
4 for everybody. So if you can just send us those
5 names and e-mail addresses, we'll make sure that
6 they're on the master service list and so everybody
7 has them.

8 MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.

9 MS. RACKNER: Okay. We'll probably be
10 adding somebody, too.

11 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.

12 MR. PURDY: Thank you, Judge.

13 (The pre-hearing conference adjourned at
14 9:44 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2 CERTIFICATE
3

4 STATE OF WASHINGTON

5 COUNTY OF KING
6

7 I, Mary M. Paradise, a Certified Shorthand
8 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do
9 hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
10 pre-hearing conference is true and accurate to the
11 best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
12

13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
14 hand this 1st day of February, 2016.
15
16
17
18

19 _____
20 MARY M. PARADISE, CSR
21
22
23
24
25