BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-240004 & UG-240005 (Consolidated)

CROSS-EXAMINATION EXHIBIT OF ANN E. BULKLEY
ON BEHALF OF THE
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT

EXHIBIT AEB-_X

Qualifications of Ann E. Bulkley

October 28, 2024

EXH. AEB-2 DOCKETS UE-240004/UG-240005 2024 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: ANN E. BULKLEY

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

Docket UE-240004 Docket UG-240005

FIRST EXHIBIT (PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

ANN E. BULKLEY

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

FIRST EXHIBIT (PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANN E. BULKLEY

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Ann E. Bulkley. My business address is One Beacon Street,
 Suite 2600, Boston, Massachusetts 02108. I am employed by The Brattle Group
 ("Brattle") as a Principal.
- Q. Briefly describe your education and relevant employment experience.
- A. I hold a Bachelor's degree in Economics and Finance from Simmons College and a Master's degree in Economics from Boston University, with more than 25 years of experience consulting to the energy industry. I have advised numerous energy and utility clients on a wide range of financial and economic issues with primary concentrations in valuation and utility rate matters. Many of these assignments have included the determination of the cost of capital for valuation and ratemaking purposes.
- Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission or other regulatory authorities?
- A. Yes. I have provided testimony to the following regulator authorities about the return on equity, valuation of assets, and resource planning, among other topics:

1	•	Arizona Corporation Commission;
2	•	Arkansas Public Service Commission;
3	•	California Public Utilities Commission;
4	•	Colorado Public Utilities Commission;
5	•	Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority;
6	•	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission;
7	•	Idaho Public Utilities Commission;
8	•	Illinois Commerce Commission;
9	•	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission;
10	•	Iowa Department of Commerce Utilities Board;
11	•	Kansas Corporation Commission;
12	•	Kentucky Public Service Commission;
13	•	Maine Public Utilities Commission;
14	•	Maryland Public Service Commission;
15	•	Massachusetts Appellate Tax Board;
16	•	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities;
17	•	Michigan Public Service Commission;
18	•	Michigan Tax Tribunal;
19	•	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission;
20	•	Missouri Public Service Commission;
21	•	Montana Public Service Commission;
22	•	New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission;

1	•	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities;
2	•	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission;
3	•	New York State Department of Public Service;
4	•	North Dakota Public Service Commission;
5	•	Oklahoma Corporation Commission;
6	•	Oregon Public Service Commission;
7	•	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission;
8	•	South Dakota Public Utilities Commission;
9	•	Texas Public Utility Commission;
10	•	Utah Public Service Commission;
11	•	Virginia State Corporation Commission;
12	•	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission;
13	•	West Virginia Public Service Commission;
14	•	Wisconsin Public Service Commission; and
15	•	Wyoming Public Service Commission.