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JUDGE PEARSON: My name is Rayne Pearson. I use she/her pronouns, and I'm an Administrative Law Judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

Today is Friday, September 30th. The time is approximately 2:07 p.m. This is Docket TE-220497. And we're here today because the Commission issued a complaint against Jumpin' Joey's, LLC, doing business as Lymelight Party Bus based on information that the business is operating or advertising as a charter party or excursion service carrier without a certificate from the Commission.

If it's proven that the company is in fact engaging in that conduct, the Commission will order the company to stop operating in that capacity and can impose penalties of up to $\$ 5,000$ per violation.

You were provided information in advance of the hearing about how you can choose to proceed today. You have two options. The first is to agree to cease and desist operating as an unpermitted charter or excursion carrier. And if you choose this option, you have to
agree to stop providing, offering and advertising unpermitted charter and excursion carrier services unless or until you receive a certificate from the Commission.

And if you choose this option, you'll need to show what you've done to shut down your business either completely or partially so that you are no longer advertising, offering or providing unpermitted charter and excursion services in Washington state.

For example, you would need to prove that you have taken down or changed your website or your other online advertisements for your business.

If you have applied for or obtained a certificate in advance of this hearing, please let me know when it's your turn to speak. And in that case, we would not ask you to stop operating, but we will want you to acknowledge that you were previously operating without a certificate and that you agree you will stop operating if your certificate is suspended or cancelled for any reason in the future.

The second option is if you believe that your business is not subject to regulation by the Commission. So if you deny that you were advertising, offering or providing unpermitted charter and excursion carrier services, and if you choose this option, you will need to present evidence that your business is not subject to
regulation by the Commission.
So Jason Hoxit is speaking for Commission staff this afternoon. He's a commissioned safety investigator. So we're going to go ahead and begin by taking appearances beginning with commission staff.

So, Mr. Hoxit, if you would like to state your full name, spelling your last name for the record?

MR. HOXIT: Yes, Your Honor. Jason
Hoxit, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}$.
JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
And, Mr. Freeborn, if you could please enter your appearance for the company, just state your name, spell your last name, the name of your law firm, if you work for one, or the name of your practice.

MR. FREEBORN: Sure. My name is
Steven Freeborn, first name with $P-H$, spelled $S-T-E-P-H-E-N$, last name is Freeborn, $F-R-E-E-B-O-R-N$. I'm a licensed practicing attorney in Washington state, Bar No. 13862.

My law practice, I have my own law practice. It's called Freeborn Law Offices, P.S., and is located in Federal Way, Washington.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
Did the court reporter get all that information?
REPORTER: Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
So now that we have gone over the company's choices, I will explain what else will happen today.

So in a few minutes, I will ask the company how it wants to proceed. And, Mr. Freeborn, if Mr. Bowers wants to testify, I will swear him in so that anything he says will be under oath. And if there's anything the company wants to explain to me, that will be the opportunity to do that.

So if the first option is chosen and the company is getting out of the charter and excursion business, they can explain how they plan to do that. And then we will take the break and the company will have an opportunity to speak with Mr. Hoxit about negotiating an agreed cease and desist order.

The same is true if you have recently applied for or received a certificate, you will still need to negotiate an agreed order to resolve this matter. And the agreed order will also deal with any penalty amount.

So the complaint that the company was served with asks that the Commission penalize the company for engaging in business as a charter or excursion carrier without a certificate, and the law sets the maximum penalty for each violation at \$5,000.

So staff will recommend a penalty, and you will have
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a chance to reach an agreement with staff about the penalty amount. And if you are unable to agree, then we will reconvene and staff will explain its recommendation, but the Commission will make the final decision about the amount of the penalty.

If you choose the second option, so if you deny that you are operating as a charter and excursion carrier, we will let staff present its evidence and then hear the company's response.

So, Mr. Hoxit, I will go ahead and swear you in now. If you could, please, raise your right hand. JASON HOXIT, having been first duly sworn under oath, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE PEARSON: And, Mr. Bowers, why don't $I$ go ahead and swear you in now, too. If you could just raise your right hand for me?

JASON BOWERS, witness herein, having been first duly sworn under oath, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
And can you please state your first and last name
for the record and spell your last name?
MR. BOWERS: Joseph Bowers,
$B-O-W-E-R-S$.
JUDGE PEARSON: And what's your
position with the company?
THE WITNESS: I own Jumpin' Joey's. I don't own Lymelight and have nothing to do with it. But, I mean, however -- yeah, I'm going to -- I already hired an attorney, so I'm going to let him kind of handle that. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds good.

So, Mr. Freeborn, I will turn to you then.
How would you like to proceed with the options that I've presented earlier or do you have an opening statement that you would like to make about the business operations?

MR. FREEBORN: Thank you, Your Honor. We're kind of in a difficult position because my client's business is a bounce house business, Jumpin' Joey's. It has nothing to do with a -- with a -- operating a transport -- a limo transport service. It never has.

I've looked at the papers that were submitted that were served upon Mr. Bowers, and I see a lot of assumptions that are being made, and yet I see nothing about a person by the name of last name Sanchez, who is identified as owning the 414 -- the 414 or the 415 phone number that appears on all these text messages.

My client bought what could be deemed a limo vehicle for his family use. Mr. Art asked to borrow it to transport his kids to a prom or a high school function, which my client agreed to allow him to do, not for financial compensation or anything. That was the extent of the agreement.

These emails that pop up, I don't see any of the emails that are referencing to Jumpin' Joey's. We will provide you with a transcript. I do see a couple of emails that say call this person.

Well, that's the referral to somebody else who may be operating a transport business, Lymelight, which my client knows nothing about the structure. I have looked -- tried to do a search on Lymelight. I don't find anything. But that's arguably hardly my client's issue.

My client feels like he's been brought into this, but the critical person that should be here under subpoena is this Art fellow. But he's not.

And my client would like to -- my client certainly agrees that he won't operate a transport service of any kind. And, in fact, because of the headaches that are involved, he's willing to sell the vehicle.

But he's in a position, and I have to agree with
him, that it's hard to confess to something you never did. And that would be the assumption that he is working as a transport service or was at one point doing that, and he never has done that.

I did try to reach out to Michael Dotson a couple of weeks ago, but $I$ have since learned in catching up with Jason that he was relocated to another -- to another position on the East Coast.

And so the ability to talk about this as -- as it says, if you would like to talk in advance, please call this number, well, $I$ was unsuccessful, and even emailing him didn't seem to work either.

But, anyway, where we are now, I've only had about a week to prepare on this thing, if that. And my concern, like $I$ said, is my client -- it's hard -- I can't counsel my client to plead to something he never did. But if it makes things easier, he's -- never has he intended to operate a charter business.

When he found out what the costs were involved in that, he is not doing it. He has never used that vehicle for transport. He's never received money for it.

And if it makes things -- satisfies the Commission in that regard, he's willing to even put that car -- that vehicle up for sale and be done with it.

But I asked Jason to send me the proposed order so I
could look at the language, and that -- and I didn't get that. So I can't counsel my client to agree to sign something if $I$ have never seen the language that is contained in the agreement.

That, in essence, is where we are. I have looked at in particular Exhibit $H$ to the documents that were served on him, and it's got a Jumpin' Joey's, LLC, d/b/a Lymelight Party Bus. I don't how that $d / b / a$ affiliation was created. The UBI number is directly related to Jumpin' Joey's and has nothing to do with Lymelight, and yet they are using a 315 phone number, which is my client's, when clearly all the text messages and emails that are part of the exhibits are using an entirely different number of, what is the number, I can look at it, 253.414 .2662 , which has never been tied to my client. My client never owned that number, doesn't pay a phone bill for that number and has nothing to do with that number.

So that's the frustration my client is at right now and, quite frankly, the frustration $I$ see as well. We would like to see this resolved and like to do it. But to plead to something he didn't do and then be subject to a potential fine is just -- I can't counsel my client to agree to something like that if he hasn't done any of those things.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if I could just interject, this is what $I$ want to propose: It sounds like you were retained relatively recently. It is difficult for both me and the court reporter to hear you clearly.

So what I suggest is that we continue this hearing to a later date that works for all of us when, Mr. Freeborn, you can be on a computer and join via video with us, and that will give you some additional -- not only some additional time to prepare, but to work with Mr. Hoxit about maybe coming to an agreed resolution.

I don't think it would be fruitful to send you off to talk right now with the quality of your connection and your lack of familiarity with the materials.

So what we could do is go off the record and look at our calendars and pick a date in the next couple of weeks to reconvene.

MR. FREEBORN: Okay.
JUDGE PEARSON: Does that work for
you, Mr. Hoxit?
MR. HOXIT: That does work for staff. JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's go ahead and take a recess and give Barbara a break for a minute.
/ / /
(Recess was taken from 2:19
p.m. to 2:24 p.m.)

JUDGE PEARSON: We are back on the record following a brief recess.

We've discussed with the parties continuing this matter and have agreed that we will reconvene on Friday October 14 th at 10 a.m., at which point we will address the matter of the classification of Jumpin' Joey's.

And Mr. Freeborn has also agreed that if in fact that date won't work for him, he will notify myself and staff by close of business on Monday, October 10th, at which point in time we will pick a new date for the remainder of the hearing.

Is there anything else we need to address while we're here today?

MR. FREEBORN: One other thing just from a procedural thing. I spoke with Jason, I think it was the day before yesterday or it may have even been Tuesday, $I$ can't remember the exact day. But I did file, again, a second notice of appearance.

Did that get in to the court file or did that get to Jason?

JUDGE PEARSON: It did.
MR. FREEBORN: Okay. I just wanted to make sure.
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JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, we received your notice of appearance. Thank you.

MR. FREEBORN: Perfect. Then I don't have anything else.

JUDGE PEARSON: Anything further from staff?

MR. HOXIT: Nothing from staff, Your Honor.

JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you all for
being here today, and I look forward to seeing you all again on October 14th at 10:00, and we're adjourned.
(Proceedings adjourned at 2:25 p.m.)
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