Docket No. TR-170122 - Vol. I

Whatcom County v. BNSF Railway Company

April 24, 2017



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | 360.534.9066 Spokane | 509.624.3261 National | 800.846.6989

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Docket No. TR-170122 - Vol. I

| Docket No. TR-170122 - Vol. I | 4/24/201 |
|---|---|
| Page 1 | Page 3 |
| 1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON | 1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 24, 2017 |
| 2 UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION | 2 9:30 A.M. |
| 3 | 3000 |
| 4 WHATCOM COUNTY,) DOCKET NO. TR-170122 | 4 |
| 5 Petitioner,) | 5 PROCEEDINGS |
| 6 vs. () | 6 |
| 7 BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,) | 7 JUDGE PEARSON: Good morning. So let's go |
| 8) Respondent.) | 8 ahead and be on the record. This is Docket TR-170122, |
| 9 | 9 which is a petition filed by Whatcom County for |
| .0 PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I | 10 installation of median barriers at a highway rail grade |
| 1 Pages 1-10 | 11 crossing located at Yacht Club Road in Bellingham. |
| ² ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON | 12 Today is Monday, April 24th, 2017, and we |
| .3 | 13 are here for a prehearing conference to discuss |
| L4 April 24, 2017 | 14 scheduling and other procedural issues. |
| 15 | 15 My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the |
| L6 9:30 A.M. | 16 administrative law judge presiding over this case. |
| .7 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission | 17 So let's begin by taking appearances. I |
| .8 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 | 18 believe everyone has filed a notice of appearance so we |
| L9 | 19 can just take brief appearances. If you would just |
| REPORTED BY: TAYLER RUSSELL, CCR 3358 | 20 state your name, spell your last name, and identify who |
| ²¹ Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC | 21 you are representing, and we'll begin with Mr. Shearer |
| 22 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 | 22 for Commission Staff. |
| 23 (206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia | 23 MR. SHEARER: Thank you, Your Honor. My |
| 24 (800) 846-6989 National | 24 name is Brett Shearer, S-h-e-a-r-e-r, assistant attorney |
| 25 www.buellrealtime.com | 25 general here on behalf of Commission Staff. |
| Dego 2 | |
| Page 2 1 APPEARANCES | Page 4 1 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. |
| 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: | 2 And, Mr. Gibson? |
| 3 RAYNE PEARSON | 3 MR. GIBSON: Yes, Daniel Gibson on behalf of |
| Washington Utilities and | 4 Whatcom County. My name is spelled G-i-b-s-o-n, and |
| 4 Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW 5 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160 | 5 yeah, I think that's it. |
| (360))664-1160 | 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. |
| 7 | 7 Ms. Willingham? |
| 8 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: | 8 MS. WILLINGHAM: Yes, Jennifer Willingham on |
| | |
| Assistant Attorney General | |
| 0 P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 11 (360) 664-1187 | |
| bretts@atg.wa.gov | |
| | 12 your last name, Ms. Willingham? 13 MS. WILLINGHAM: W-i-I-I-i-n-q-h-a-m. |
| 3 FOR WHATCOM COUNTY: (Via bridge line) | |
| A DANIEL GIBSON Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting | 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. |
| .5 Attorney Whatcom County Prosecuting Attorney .6 311 Grand Avenue, Suite 201 Bellingham, Washington 98225 .7 (360) 676-6784 dgibson@co.whatcom.wa.us | 15 So I was notified by my legal assistant on |
| .6 311 Grand Avenue, Suite 201 Belingham, Washington 98225 | 16 Friday that the parties may be close to resolving their |
| | 17 dispute, and I was just wondering, Mr. Shearer, if you |
| | 18 could fill me in on that and then we'll let the other |
| 9 FOR BNSF RAILWAY: (Via bridge line) | 19 parties weigh in. |
| (Via bridge line) (Via bridge line) DENNIFER WILLINGHAM BNSF Railway Co. | 20 MR. SHEARER: Yes, Your Honor. The other |
| 21 General Attorney 2500 Lou Menk Drive, AOB-3 | 21 parties will obviously have more details than Staff, but |
| 21 General Allohrey 2500 Lou Menk Drive, AOB-3 22 Fort Worth, Texas 76131 (817) 352-1738 | 22 it's our understanding there may be an agreement between |
| 23 jennifer.willingham@bnsf.com | 23 Whatcom County and BNSF to either amend the petition or |
| 24 | 24 make some alteration which would and then we would |
| ог * * * * * | 25 all ask you to reschedule this conference in the |

Docket No. TR-170122 - Vol. I

| | | | 4/24/201 |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | Page 5 | 1 | Page 7 |
| | | 1 | MR. GIBSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 2 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. | 2 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will give those |
| 3 | MR. SHEARER: And like I said, Mr. Gibson | 3 | dates to Mr. Shearer and we will go off the record. I |
| 4 | and Ms. Willingham could provide more detail on that. | 4 | will be in my office if one of you wants to come get me |
| 5 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So, Mr. Gibson or | 5 | when you're done. |
| 6 | Ms. Willingham, is that an accurate reflection of where | 6 | Okay. Then we are in recess. Thank you. |
| 7 | the parties are at this point? | 7 | (Recess taken from 9:37 a.m. |
| 8 | MR. GIBSON: I believe it is, Your Honor. | 8 | until 9:44 a.m.) |
| 9 | Ms. Willingham and I had conversations last week, and | 9 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I understand that |
| 0 | based on the conversations that I've had with Public | 10 | the parties are ready to proceed, so we will be back on |
| 1 | Works staff here, I think we're at an agreement that | 11 | the record. |
| 2 | will enable us to dispense with the hearing once once | 12 | So during the break, it sounds like the |
| 3 | we finalize it. I think I believe we're prepared to | 13 | parties agreed on a couple of dates. |
| 4 | amend our petition to note that what we proposed is a | 14 | So, Mr. Shearer, if you could read those |
| 5 | six-inch concrete median in the place of a mountable | 15 | into the record. |
| 6 | curb. That six-inch concrete median will be topped by | 16 | MR. SHEARER: Yes, Your Honor. The parties |
| 7 | plastic pylons. And as I understand it, upon | 17 | submitted an agreed request to have a deadline for an |
| 8 | consideration of that, BNSF is prepared to agree to | 18 | amended petition by May 4th, 2017. |
| 9 | that, but I will let Ms. Willingham speak to that on | 19 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. |
| 0 | behalf of BNSF. | 20 | MR. SHEARER: With a new a second |
| 1 | MS. WILLINGHAM: Yes, Your Honor, that is | 21 | prehearing conference excuse me on May 17th, 2017, |
| 2 | something that Mr. Gibson and I have discussed. And | 22 | at 2:00 p.m. |
| 3 | BNSF is definitely willing to consider that amended | 23 | JUDGE PEARSON: And that would be in room |
| 4 | petition, and we think that that will potentially get us | 24 | 139? |
| 5 | close to resolving this matter. | 25 | MR. SHEARER: Yes, Your Honor. |
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| 1 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So, Mr. Gibson, what | 1 | JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So in the event that |
| 2 | is the time frame for in the event that you file an | 2 | we hold that prehearing conference, I will get |
| 3 | amended petition, when would you expect to do that by? | 3 | information to the parties who are calling in about |
| 4 | MR. GIBSON: Well, in light of | 4 | which number to call because it will be a different |
| 5 | Ms. Willingham's comments, I'll just confirm that that | 5 | number. |
| 6 | will indeed conclude our our back and forth here. | | number. |
| 7 | | 6 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. |
| / | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that | 6 7 | |
| _ | | | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. |
| 8 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that | 7 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. |
| 8 9 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. | 7 8 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to |
| 8 9 0 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would | 7 8 9 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be |
| 8 9 0 1 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference | 7 8 9 10 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in |
| 8 9 0 1 2 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the | 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an |
| 8 9 0 1 2 3 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date | 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up |
| 8 9 1 2 3 4 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two |
| 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. |
| 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, |
| 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. |
| 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, |
| 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record and take a recess and the parties could discuss those | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, Your Honor. |
| 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record and take a recess and the parties could discuss those dates. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, Your Honor. MR. SHEARER: And none from Staff, Your |
| 89012345678901 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record and take a recess and the parties could discuss those dates. So when we come back on the record, I'd be | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, Your Honor. MR. SHEARER: And none from Staff, Your Honor. |
| 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record and take a recess and the parties could discuss those dates. So when we come back on the record, I'd be looking for a date by which the County would agree to | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, Your Honor. MR. SHEARER: And none from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. So is there |
| <pre>7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 21 22 23 24</pre> | But I would expect Mr. Swan would be able to do that within would be able to do that within the week. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what I would propose is that I would issue a prehearing conference order that would acknowledge the possibility that the County will file an amended petition by a certain date if they choose to do so and then scheduling a second prehearing conference. And I have some dates in mind already for that, given my calendar and my availability in the month of May. And so what I could do is leave those with Mr. Shearer, and we could go off the record and take a recess and the parties could discuss those dates. So when we come back on the record, I'd be looking for a date by which the County would agree to file an amended petition if it so chooses. It's not | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GIBSON: Thank you. MS. WILLINGHAM: Okay. JUDGE PEARSON: So I think it makes sense to take up petitions for intervention, if there will be any, at the second prehearing conference. Because in the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, that could change things, and also to take up discovery at that time. So we can hold those two matters in abeyance if there are no objections to that. MR. GIBSON: No objections from the County, Your Honor. MS. WILLINGHAM: No objections from BNSF, Your Honor. MR. SHEARER: And none from Staff, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. So is there anything else that we need to address while we are here? |

| | Page 9 |
|----|---|
| 1 | order reflecting the dates that we discussed here in |
| 2 | scheduling a second prehearing conference, and we are |
| 3 | adjourned. Thank you very much. |
| 4 | (Adjourned at 9:45 a.m.) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 25 | Dess 10 |
| | Page 10 |
| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF WASHINGTON |
| 4 | COUNTY OF THURSTON |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, Tayler Russell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter |
| 7 | in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify |
| | that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to |
| | the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. |
| | the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Tayler Russell, CCR 3358 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |