1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
2	COMMISSION
3	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION)
4)
5	Petitioner,)
6	vs.) DOCKET NO. UT-010785) Volume I
	ACCESSLINE COMMUNICATIONS) Pages 1 - 16
7	CORPORATION; ACCUTEL) COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; ACTEL)
8	INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;) ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
9	NETWORK, INC.; AMERICAN TEL)
10	GROUP, INC.; AMERICAN) TELECOMMUNICATIONS ENT. INC.;)
11	APOLLO TELECOM NETWORK, INC.;) ATLANTA NEW YORK WAREHOUSE)
ΤT	OUTLETS, INC.; ATLANTIC)
12	TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC., ATLAS) EQUITY, INC. d/b/a PERFORMANCE)
13	TELECOM; BRENTEL COMMUNICATIONS;)
14	BRITTAN COMMUNICATIONS) INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION; et al.)
15	Respondents.)
16	A prehearing conference in the above matter
17	was held on July 15, 2002, at 11:03 a.m., at 1300 South
18	Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
19	before Administrative Law Judge LAWRENCE BERG.
20	The parties were present as follows:
21	LISA WATSON, Assistant Attorney General, 1400
22	South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504; telephone (360) 664-1186.
23	004-1100.
24	
25	Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR Court Reporter

	INDEX OF	EXHIBITS			
EXHIBIT:	MARKED:	OFFERE	D/ADMITT	ſED:	
1	5		5		
2	7		8		
3	8		8		
4	8		8		
5	15		15		
б	15		15		
7	15		15		
WITNESS:				PAGE	NO.
RC	BERT JOHNSTON				
Direct Exami	nation by Ms. W	atson			9

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE BERG: We'll be on the record. This is 3 a prehearing conference in Docket No. UT-010785. This 4 prehearing conference is being conducted pursuant to 5 notice that was served on all parties, service date June 6th, 2002, in the pleading that was captioned, б Complaint and Notice of Prehearing Conference. This 7 case is captioned, Washington Utilities and 8 9 Transportation Commission versus 123 separately-named 10 telecommunication companies. 11 My name is Lawrence Berg. I'm the 12 administrative law judge that has been assigned to this 13 proceeding. Today's date is July 15th, 2002, and the 14 prehearing conference is being convened at the 15 Commission's headquarters in Olympia, Washington. At 16 this point in time, we will take appearances of parties 17 present. MS. WATSON: Good morning. My name is Lisa 18 19 Watson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing Commission staff. My address is 1400 South Evergreen 20 21 Park Drive Southwest, P.O. Box 40128, Olympia, 22 Washington, 98504. My telephone number is (360)664-1186. My fax number is (360)586-5522; and my 23 24 e-mail is lwatson@wutc.wa.gov. 25 JUDGE BERG: Thank you, Ms. Watson. Are

1 there any other parties present who wish to enter an appearance? Let the record reflect there was no 2 3 response. 4 I will take note that as part of the Notice 5 of Prehearing Conference, the following notice was provided to parties: Notice is further given that any б 7 party who fails to attend or participate in the hearing set herein or other stage of this proceeding may be 8 held in default in accordance with the terms 9 of RCW 34.05.440. The parties are further advised that 10 11 the sanction provisions of WAC 480-09-700(4) are 12 specifically invoked. Ms. Watson, I note that Commission staff is 13 14 the complainant in this proceeding; is that correct? 15 MS. WATSON: That's right. 16 JUDGE BERG: Are you accompanied by a member 17 of Commission staff here this morning? MS. WATSON: Yes, I am. Robert Johnston is 18 19 here with me. 20 JUDGE BERG: Mr. Johnston, what is your title 21 with Commission staff? 22 MR. JOHNSTON: My title is compliance 23 specialist. 24 JUDGE BERG: Ms. Watson, insofar as other 25 parties have not appeared and are subject to default, I

would like Staff to do a short presentation with 1 regards to the statutory basis for the Complaint that 2 3 has been filed as well as for a factual basis for the 4 Complaint. 5 MS. WATSON: I have a quick question for you. I do have a Declaration of Service. Would you like б that to be entered? 7 JUDGE BERG: Yes, I would. 8 9 MS. WATSON: How many copies of the declaration would you like? 10 JUDGE BERG: If you have two copies, I would 11 12 appreciate that. 13 MS. WATSON: I do. JUDGE BERG: Let me just indicate for the 14 15 record that I've received a Declaration of Service in 16 Docket No. UT-010785, and I'm going to mark and admit 17 the Declaration of Service as Exhibit 1. Let me also just note for the record that 18 19 subsequent to the initial service of the Complaint and 20 Notice of Prehearing Conference, the Commission 21 discovered that one company name was misspelled. That 22 company is Integrated Teletechnologies, Inc. 23 Subsequently, the spelling of that company's name in 24 the Complaint and attachments was corrected and was specifically served to the party on June 13th, 2002, 25

and is reflected by an Amended Complaint and Notice of
 Prehearing Conference served June 13th, 2002, to that
 party.

4 I'll also indicate that on June 27th, 2002, 5 the Commission received correspondence that one б company, Rapid Link Communications, had filed for 7 bankruptcy protection under the federal bankruptcy statutes and was dissolved as of September 13th, 2001. 8 9 The Commission will proceed as though Rapid Link Communications is a defaulting party in this 10 11 proceeding. Thank you, Ms. Watson.

MS. WATSON: There is one preliminary matter that we should probably get to before going through the offer of proof. There is a number of companies that should be dismissed from the proceeding. They've either filed a request to cease doing business in the State of Washington or they've complied with the requirements.

JUDGE BERG: One moment, please. Why don't you provide me with the identities of those companies and also please indicate for my benefit whether they filed a request to cease doing business or complied. MS. WATSON: The first set of companies will be companies that filed a request to cease doing business. The first one is Winstar Wireless,

Incorporated, and I do have exhibits that show these 1 2 companies as well, if you would like to receive those. 3 JUDGE BERG: Certainly. 4 MS. WATSON: There is two sets there, and 5 they are all one-page exhibits. б JUDGE BERG: So what you've handed me first 7 are two sets of exhibits for companies who have all requested to cease doing business; is that correct? 8 9 MS. WATSON: Right. JUDGE BERG: We are going to collectively 10 11 treat this as one exhibit, and that will be Exhibit 12 No. 2, and let's go ahead and go over those names. 13 MS. WATSON: The first company is Winstar 14 Wireless, Incorporated. The next company is Cointel, 15 Incorporated; Lawrence Smith, Incorporated; Pacific 16 Fiber Link, LLC; PNV.net, Incorporated; U.S. Network 17 Services, Incorporated, and Unicomm. 18 JUDGE BERG: Thank you. 19 MS. WATSON: There are two additional 20 companies that have filed a request to cease doing 21 business in Washington, and they will be part of the 22 no-action agenda at the July 26th, 2002, open meeting, 23 so those two should also be dismissed from the 24 proceeding.

25 JUDGE H

JUDGE BERG: Their identities?

1	MS. WATSON: I just handed you an exhibit
2	showing the two companies, the first being Stellar
3	Telecommunications Services, Incorporated, and the
4	second is Net.world, Incorporated.
5	JUDGE BERG: Have the previous companies been
6	the subject of open meeting no-action agendas in the
7	past?
8	MS. WATSON: Yes, that's correct, so the
9	companies in the first set have been canceled.
10	JUDGE BERG: This list, the two-page document
11	referring to Stellar and Net.world, will be marked as
12	Exhibit 3 and admitted, and Exhibit 2 is also admitted
13	if I did not previously do so. One moment please,
14	Ms. Watson. All right.
15	MS. WATSON: I'm handing you another set of
16	exhibits showing companies that have complied. There
17	are three on this list.
18	JUDGE BERG: That document will be marked as
19	Exhibit 4, and it is admitted. Would you give me the
20	names of those companies?
21	MS. WATSON: The names of those companies are
22	U.S. South Communications, Incorporated; NeTel,
23	Incorporated, and the last one is DialTek, LLC. With
24	those corrections, Commission staff is ready to proceed
25	with the evidentiary part of the hearing if you are

1 also ready. 2 JUDGE BERG: I'm ready. MS. WATSON: At this time, I would like to 3 4 call Mr. Johnston as a witness. JUDGE BERG: Mr. Johnston, if you will raise 5 б your right hand. 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 JUDGE BERG: Ms. Watson, let me just indicate 9 that you are free to proceed in a question-and-answer approach if you wish. Also, if it works with your 10 11 presentation, Mr. Johnston is welcome to present his 12 testimony in the way of a statement. 13 MS. WATSON: I guess I would like to proceed with the question answer, and then if there is further 14 15 information, we can go from there. 16 JUDGE BERG: All right. 17 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WATSON: 20 21 Q. Mr. Johnston, please state your name spelling 22 your last name. My name is Robert N. Johnston, 23 Α. 24 J-o-h-n-s-t-o-n. 25 Q. You're employed by the WUTC as a compliance

1 specialist?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Please explain your involvement in the

4 current proceeding.

5 A. I was given the project to identify telecom 6 companies that were not filing annual reports or paying 7 regulatory fees, and after identifying them, to take 8 action which will either result in compliance with the 9 rules or revocation of the registration.

10 Q. Did you conduct a search of the Commission's
11 records?

12 A. Yes, I did.

13 Q. For what periods did you search?

14 A. The search period was the calendar years of15 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000.

16 Q. Could you explain what you did in order to 17 conduct your search?

A. Yes. The Commission has a few databases. One of them is operated by the financial services, and that is called the annual report tracking system. That system keeps information on all companies that have filed annual reports and have paid regulatory fees, and it lists the amount of regulatory fees that each company has paid in each of those years.

25 I inspected this database for the years 1997

through 2000 and identified companies that were not 1 recorded as having paid regulatory fees or filed annual 2 3 reports. The records center for the Commission 4 operates a database, RMS, Records Management System. 5 That database keeps information on filings by companies and whether the company is active or canceled. 6 7 I reviewed that also to determine companies that should have paid regulatory fees and filed annual 8 9 reports, and I did that also for the same years, 1997 10 through 2000, and determined if companies that were 11 listed on the attachments are all active companies 12 according to the Commission.

13 Q. Are annual report and regulatory fee forms 14 made available to telecommunication companies having 15 the authority to provide services in Washington? 16 Yes, they are. The annual report is mailed Α. to each company at the last known physical address. A 17 copy of the annual report is also found in the 18 19 Commission's Web Site and can be downloaded, completed 20 and forwarded to the Commission. 21 ο. What is the due date for companies to file an

22 annual report and pay regulatory fees with the 23 Commission?

A. The due date is May 1st of the year followingthe time period, so as an example, if the 2000 annual

report covers the calendar year 2000, it is due May
 1st, 2001.

3 Q. With respect to the companies listed in this 4 docket, what did you find, generally?

5 A. I found that the companies listed on the 6 attachment either did not file an annual report or pay 7 a regulatory fee.

Mr. Johnston, could you please explain 8 ο. 9 Attachment B to the Notice of Prehearing Conference? 10 Α. It is a three-page list of companies, and 11 Attachment B is for annual reports. It shows those 12 companies that have not filed annual reports, and it 13 has columns for the four years that we identified. If 14 there is an 'X' in that column directly across from 15 that company name, it shows that an annual report has 16 not been received by the Commission.

17 Q. Has the information in Attachment B been 18 updated?

19 A. Yes, it has. The most recent update was this 20 morning. I reviewed all the companies to verify, 21 reviewed through inspection of the databases that the 22 companies had not complied and filed. There is one 23 correction that should be made on Attachment B. There 24 is a company that's on the third page. The company's 25 name is Western Communications Network. Whereas it

shows an 'X' in the block for 2000, 1999, and 1998, it 1 should also show in 1997 that an annual report was not 2 3 received. 4 Q. Were you present earlier when certain 5 companies were dismissed from the proceeding? Yes, I was. б Α. 7 With the dismissal of the companies named ο. earlier --8 9 JUDGE BERG: Ms. Watson, let me indicate I 10 have not dismissed those parties yet, but I was reading 11 the Notice that indicated that by not appearing, they 12 were subject to dismissal. 13 Q. Let me ask it this way. Is the information 14 as updated in Attachment B correct to your knowledge? 15 Α. With the exception of one company, yes. 16 ο. What company is that? 17 Α. The company listed is Metromedia Communications Corporation. That should be removed 18 19 from this action. 20 ο. Why is that? 21 Α. This company was listed as an active company 22 in the databases, and it had not been filing the 23 required reports, but as we went through it at a later 24 time, we identified documents showing that this company had changed its name and under the new name had been 25

filing reports. So the records center and I 1 deactivated this name, and it should not appear. 2 3 Ο. Does Metromedia Communications also appear in 4 Attachment C? 5 Α. Yes, it does. It should be removed from Attachment C also. б 7 Q. For the same reasons? 8 Α. Yes. 9 ο. Mr. Johnston, can you please explain Attachment C? 10 11 Α. Attachment C is those companies that did not 12 pay regulatory fees. It's similar to Attachment B, the 13 same format with the same years listed, and it's almost 14 identical showing the name of the company and an 'X' in 15 the block beside those years that regulatory fees were 16 not paid. 17 There is only one difference between Attachment B and Attachment C. On Attachment B showing 18 19 the annual reports, there is a company by the name of 20 Maxxis Communications, Incorporated. The company did 21 not file annual reports, but they did submit regulatory 22 fees. JUDGE BERG: Would you provide the name of 23 24 that company once more? THE WITNESS: Maxxis, M-a-x-x-i-s. 25

JUDGE BERG: Thank you. Mr. Johnston, do you 1 recall the amount of regulatory fees submitted by 2 3 Maxxis? 4 THE WITNESS: I recall one of the years. 5 They sent in \$50. JUDGE BERG: Thank you. б 7 Q. (By Ms. Watson) Has the information in Attachment C been updated? 8 9 Yes, it has. I also reviewed the databases Α. 10 this morning and updated the information on it to 11 verify that it was accurate. 12 ο. As updated, is the information contained in 13 Attachment C correct to your knowledge? 14 Α. Yes. 15 MS. WATSON: I have no further questions, 16 Mr. Johnston is now available for any questions the 17 Judge may have. JUDGE BERG: I think what we will do is we 18 19 will mark Attachment A to the Complaint as Exhibit 5, 20 Attachment B as Exhibit 6, and Attachment C as Exhibit 21 7, and Exhibits 5,6,7 are admitted. I have no 22 questions for Mr. Johnston. 23 Ms. Watson, did you want to make any 24 statement about the applicable statutes or rules for the parties' requirements to file annual reports and 25

regulatory fees? I know this information is laid out 1 2 in the Complaint but wasn't sure whether you had intended to also refer to those during the course of 3 4 this proceeding. 5 MS. WATSON: I did. Under WAC 480-121-060, б the Commission may revoke a telecommunication company's 7 registration after hearing for good cause. Good cause includes, one, the failure to file an annual report, 8 9 and two, failure to pay regulatory fees, and based on 10 Mr. Johnston's testimony, Commission staff would 11 respectfully request that the registrations of the 12 companies listed in Appendix A of the Complaint and Notice be revoked. 13 14 JUDGE BERG: Thank you very much. Anything 15 further, Ms. Watson? 16 MS. WATSON: We have nothing further. 17 JUDGE BERG: In that case, the prehearing conference will be adjourned. Thank you very much for 18 19 that well-organized presentation. 20 21 (Prehearing conference adjourned at 11:30 a.m.) 22 23 24 25