```
00001
 1
             BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                  TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 3
 4 In Re the Matter of
                                   ) Docket No. UT-003106
                                   ) Volume I
 5 Staff Request for Designation
                                  ) Pages 1-16
   of a Telecommunications Common )
 6 Carrier to Serve the Unserved
   Area Between Grant County and
 7 U.S. Highway 2.
 8
 9
10
                       A hearing in the above matter was
11 held on December 20, 2000, at 10:52 a.m., at 1300
12 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
13 before Administrative Law Judge KAREN CAILLE.
14
                       The parties were present as
    follows:
15
                       QWEST CORPORATION, by Douglas N.
16 Owens, Attorney at Law, 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite
    940, Seattle, Washington, 98101.
17
                      AT&T WIRELESS, by Greg Diamond,
18 Attorney at Law, Davis, Wright, Tremaine, LLP, 2600
    Century Square, 1501 Fourth Avenue, Seattle,
19 Washington, 98101.
20
                      THE COMMISSION, by Jonathan
    Thompson, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 Evergreen
21 Park Drive, S.W., P.O. Box 40128, Olympia, Washington
    98504-0128.
22
                       PUBLIC COUNSEL, by Robert
23 Cromwell, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth
   Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.
24
   Barbara L.Nelson, CSR
```

25 Court Reporter

25

RCC HOLDINGS, INC., by Mark 1 Ayotte, Attorney at Law, 2200 First National Bank 2 Building, 332 Minnesota Street, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55101, and Beth Kohler, Legal Services Director, 302 3 Mountain View Drive, Colchester, Vermont 05446. (Via Teleconference Bridge.) CENTURYTEL OF WASHINGTON, by 5 Calvin Simshaw, Attorney at Law, 805 Broadway, Vancouver, Washington 98660. 6 VERIZON NORTHWEST, INC., by Judith A. Endejan, Attorney at Law, Williams, Kastner & Gibbs, 601 Union Street, Suite 4100, Seattle, Washington 98101. VERIZON WIRELESS, by Peter Dykstra, Attorney at Law, 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 10 2200, Seattle, Washington 98104. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 JUDGE CAILLE: Let's go on the record. We are here today for the first prehearing conference in the proceeding captioned In Re: The Matter of Staff 4 Request for Designation of a Telecommunications 5 Common Carrier to Serve the Unserved Area Between 6 Grant County and U.S. Highway 2. This is Docket 7 Number UT-003106. 8 My name is Karen Caille, and I am the 9 Administrative Law Judge presiding in this 10 proceeding. The Commissioners intend to preside if 11 we actually do have a proceeding. They will not join 12 us today, of course. Today is December the 20th, 13 2000, and we are convened in a hearing room in the Commission's offices in Olympia, Washington. 14 15 I would like to start out by taking 16 appearances from all the parties. I ask you to state 17 your name, spelling your last name, who you 18 represent, your street address and mailing address, 19 telephone number, facsimile number, and if you have 20 one, an e-mail address. And if we could begin with 21 Commission Staff. 22 MR. THOMPSON: I'm Jonathan Thompson, 23 Assistant Attorney General, representing Commission 24 Staff. My address is 1400 South Evergreen Park

25 Drive, S.W., P.O. Box 40128, and it's Olympia, 98504.

```
00004
1 My telephone is (360) 664-1225; fax is 586-5522; and
   my e-mail is jthompso@wutc.wa.gov.
             JUDGE CAILLE: Public Counsel.
             MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell, on behalf
5 of Public Counsel, Your Honor, 900 Fourth Avenue,
6 Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington, 98164-1012. My
7 direct line is (206) 464-6595; my fax number is (206)
8 389-2058; my e-mail address is robertcl@atg.wa.gov.
9
             JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. Mr. Owens.
10
             MR. OWENS: Thank you, Your Honor. Douglas
11 N. Owens, Attorney at Law. My is address is 1325
12 Fourth Avenue, Suite 940, Seattle, Washington, 98101.
13 My telephone number is (206) 748-0367; my fax number
14 is (206) 748-0369; my e-mail address is
   dnowens@ricochet.net, and I'm appearing on behalf of
16 Qwest Corporation.
17
             JUDGE CAILLE: And your e-mail, Mr. Owens,
18 there's an S on the end of Owens; right?
19
             MR. OWENS: Yes, correct.
20
             JUDGE CAILLE: Dnowens. Thank you. Mr.
21 Simshaw.
22
             MR. SIMSHAW: Thank you. Calvin Simshaw,
23 that last name is S-i-m-s-h-a-w. I'm appearing for
24 CenturyTel of Washington. I'm Associate General
```

25 Counsel - Regulatory. My phone number is (360)

```
00005
1 905-5958; fax number is (360) 905-5953; e-mail
   address is calvin.simshaw@centurytel.com, CenturyTel
   being one word, and my mailing address, that's 805
4 Broadway, Vancouver, Washington, 98660.
5
             JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. And Mr. Diamond.
6
             MR. DIAMOND: Greg Diamond, with Davis,
7 Wright, Tremaine, appearing on behalf of AT&T
8 Wireless Services. My address is 1501 Fourth Avenue,
   Suite 2600, Seattle, Washington, 98101. My telephone
10 is (206) 628-7643; my fax is (206) 903-3743; and my
11 e-mail address is gregdiamond@dwt.com.
12
             JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Diamond, could you
13 please repeat your address for me?
14
             MR. DIAMOND: Sure, Your Honor. 1501
15 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600, Seattle, 98101.
16
             JUDGE CAILLE: And it's Davis --
17
             MR. DIAMOND: -- Wright, Tremaine.
18
             JUDGE CAILLE: Wright, Tremaine, okay. And
19 Mr. Dykstra.
20
             MR. DYKSTRA: Peter Dykstra, D-y-k-s-t-r-a,
```

with Carney, Badley, Smith & Spellman, on behalf of Verizon Wireless. Mailing address is 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200, Seattle, Washington, 98104. Telephone number is (206) 622-8020; fax number (206) 467-8215; e-mail address, dykstra@carneylaw.com

```
00006
1
             JUDGE CAILLE: Ms. Endejan.
             MS. ENDEJAN: Yes, my name is Judith
   Endejan. That's spelled E-n-d-e-j-a-n. I'm
   appearing for Verizon Northwest, Inc. My address is
5 Williams, Kastner, K-a-s-t-n-e-r, and Gibbs, 601 --
             JUDGE CAILLE: Union Street.
             MS. ENDEJAN: Union Street. Thank you.
7
8 Seattle, Washington, 98101. Telephone number (206)
9
   233-2998; fax (206) 628-6611; and my e-mail is
10 jendejan@wkg.com.
11
             JUDGE CAILLE: Could you repeat that again,
12 because I have the wrong thing down. It changed.
13
             MS. ENDEJAN: It changed. They changed it
14 on me. Jendejan@wkg.com.
             JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you.
15
             MS. ENDEJAN: It's alphabet soup.
16
17
             JUDGE CAILLE: And your suite number is --
18
             MS. ENDEJAN: Forty-one hundred.
19
             JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Ayotte. If you'll
20 please enter --
             MR. AYOTTE: Thank you. My name is Mark
21
22 Ayotte, that's spelled A-y-o-t-t-e. I'm appearing on
23 behalf of RCC Holdings, Inc. I'm with the law firm
24 of Briggs & Morgan, B-r-i-g-g-s and Morgan,
25 M-o-r-g-a-n, 2200 First National Bank Building, 332
```

```
1 Minnesota Street, that's in St. Paul, Minnesota,
   55101. My direct dial number is (651) 223-6561; and
   my fax number is (651) 223-6450; e-mail address is
4 mayotte -- all one word, m-a-y-o-t-t-e --
5
   @briggs.com.
6
             JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. Are there any
7 other appearances?
8
             MS. KOHLER: Hi, Mark, it's Beth.
9 Beth Kohler, Legal Services Director for RCC
10 Holdings, also appearing with Mark. My spelling of
11 my name is Beth Kohler, K-o-h-l-e-r. My mailing
12 address, 302 Mountain View Drive, Colchester,
13 C-o-l-c-h-e-s-t-e-r, Vermont, 05446. My phone
14 number, (802) 654-5093; my fax (802) 654-5125; and my
   e-mail address is bethlk@rccw.com.
15
16
             JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you, Ms. Kohler. Are
17 there any other appearances? All right. Then let
18 the record reflect there are no other appearances.
19
             Prior to going on the record this morning,
20 we had some discussion. And Mr. Simshaw, if you
21 would kindly recap that for the record, please.
             MR. SIMSHAW: Certainly, Your Honor.
22
23 Again, this is Cal Simshaw, for CenturyTel of
24 Washington. Since the inception of this docket,
```

25 there have been discussions between the Commission

5

12

17

20

1 Staff and CenturyTel of Washington with regard to an arrangement by which CenturyTel of Washington would agree to provide service to the area in question in this docket.

I'm able to represent to the hearings 6 examiner and the parties today that we believe that 7 we have reached an agreement in principle for an 8 arrangement to provide such service, that the only 9 outstanding matter that is left is to get the 10 executive sign-off at the CenturyTel level of that 11 arrangement.

I wanted to notify the Judge and the 13 parties of that in the event that maybe there was an 14 opportunity to avoid some of the more contentious 15 aspects of the prehearing matters and as it might reflect upon the likelihood of having to fully litigate the matter.

18 JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you very much, Mr. 19 Simshaw. Mr. Thompson; is that correct?

MR. THOMPSON: That's consistent with

21 Staff's understanding, as well.

JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. Does anyone else 22 23 wish to be heard on that matter? All right. We also 24 discussed the idea of not going forward with a full 25 prehearing conference until we know whether this

25

1 agreement will reach fruition, and I think that that's probably the best way to proceed. However, I would like to try to lock in 4 some dates with the Commissioners in the event that 5 we do need to go forward. So let's go off the record 6 for a few minutes and see what we can figure out. (Discussion off the record.) 8 JUDGE CAILLE: Let's go back on the record. 9 In case there is a possibility that there will be 10 hearings held in this matter, the parties have agreed 11 to May 17th and 18th. 12 Now, having said that, I want to warn you 13 that there usually is a prehearing conference for 14 filing of cross exhibits and marking of those exhibits, and that generally occurs -- well, if it's 16 beginning on a Monday, it usually begins the 17 Wednesday before, so I would assume it would be like 18 towards the end of -- like around the 11th, 10th or 19 11th of May. But, again, I just bring this up 20 because it has been a problem when I start scheduling 21 at the end of our schedule. So just keep that in 22 mind in case we go forward. And we would also need 23 to be scheduling a public meeting, a public hearing

24 if we go forward. Now, Mr. Simshaw has indicated that he will

1 notify the Commission by January the 17th as to the status of the settlement of this proceeding, and since we're in that mode, I am not going to do a 4 full-blown prehearing here, and the only other thing 5 I think we need to address today is that several of 6 the wireless carriers have made comments off the 7 record that I believe they would like to make on the 8 record. So if we could begin with Mr. Diamond. 9 MR. DIAMOND: Thank you, Your Honor. Greg 10 Diamond, again, on behalf of AT&T Wireless. Very, 11 very brief, we had originally come today with the 12 plan to ask Your Honor to dismiss AT&T Wireless out 13 of the case on jurisdictional grounds, but in light 14 of what appears to be an imminent settlement between 15 Staff and CenturyTel, we won't do that, and -- but it's my understanding, based on comments from Mr. 17 Ayotte for RCC Holdings, that Staff may be agreeable 18 to dismissing out the wireless carriers if motions to 19 dismiss were filed. 20 So that, to the extent that the case is not 21 resolved by the 17th and the Century deal were to somehow fall through, that AT&T Wireless would, in 23 fact, file such a motion. JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. Mr. Dykstra. 24 25 MR. DYKSTRA: Peter Dykstra, for Verizon

1 Wireless. We would agree with Mr. Diamond's comments and would hold off making the arguments we were going to present today relating to being dismissed and 4 we'll wait until we hear whether or not this has been 5 resolved without having to go forward. But should it 6 go forward, we would join in the same motion. JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. And Mr. Ayotte? 8 MR. AYOTTE: Judge, I'm, I think, in the 9 same boat as Mr. Dykstra and Mr. Diamond, although 10 perhaps I'm a little less patient in terms of the 11 motions to dismiss or request to be dismissed. When 12 the Commission initially sent out its notice to the 13 carriers about the potential commencement of the 14 proceedings, this is their notice of October 25th, their notice indicated that parties would have an opportunity to submit comment to the Commission and 17 subsequently at this prehearing conference to 18 demonstrate why they should not be made a party to 19 the proceeding, or remain a party to the proceeding. 20 RCC Holdings did that on both a 21 jurisdictional ground, which is a legal issue, as 22 well as on a practical ground. We submitted that at the Commission meeting and they have deferred it to 24 Mr. Thompson and Mr. Shirley to sort of analyze and 25 get satisfied as to our request that we not be or

1 remain a party to the proceedings. And we did have those discussions with Mr. Thompson and Mr. Shirley, and I believe they were satisfied as to the 4 appropriateness of RCC Holdings not remaining as a 5 party to this proceeding, and I believe they're 6 prepared to support our dismissal today, subject to 7 any objection either by yourself or any other party. 8 The jurisdictional reason, in a nutshell, 9 comes from Section 214(e)(3), which is the basis upon 10 which this request is proceeding. As a wireless 11 carrier, as a commercial mobile radio services 12 provider, RCC Holdings is regulated by the FCC, and 13 the services which we provide pursuant to our FCC 14 licenses are interstate services. And the plain 15 reading of Section 214(e)(3) indicates that it is the 16 FCC, with respect to interstate services, who has the ability to designate an ETC for an unserved area. 17 18 And it is a state commission with respect to 19 intrastate services. So the jurisdictional issue, somewhat 20 21 simplistically, is that as CMRS providers licensed 22 and subject to the regulation of the FCC, that the 23 services which we provide, our commercial mobile 24 radio services, are interstate services, and as a 25 legal matter, the Washington Commission would not

1 have the right or the ability or the pleasure to 2 designate us pursuant to (e)(3) with respect to an 3 unserved area.

The practical reason, as we had indicated in our filing with the Commission, is that our CGSA, cellular geographic service area within which we are licensed, does not extend throughout the Moses Coulee area. There's a substantial portion of the Moses Coulee area, the unserved area here, where we're not licensed to provide services and do not provide services today.

The related practical reason, I think as
Mr. Thompson indicated, is that there is no state
funding mechanism available to otherwise compensate
RCC as a wireless provider if we were to be tagged as
the 214(e)(3) carrier.

So for those reasons, and I'll let Mr.

Thompson speak for himself, but for those reasons, I
believe Staff was willing to allow RCC Holdings to be
dismissed, and we'd make that request to you today,
and allow the proceeding to remain without us.

JUDGE CAILLE: Would you like to respond,

23 Mr. Thompson?
24 MR. AYOTTE: And I'm happy to answer any

MR. AYOTTE: And I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

MR. THOMPSON: This is Jonathan Thompson. I would -- I guess I would just remark that Staff's position is that we are persuaded that there would be 4 a problem with this Commission trying to compel a 5 wireless carrier to expand its service area unless 6 they're willing to do so, willing to go to the FCC to 7 get the authority to do so. 8 I'm not sure I entirely agree with the 9 interstate jurisdictional reason that Mr. Ayotte 10 stated, but -- and also we're concerned about the 11 lack of a funding mechanism. That is a concern for 12 us. So we have no objection, if the wireless 13 carriers do not wish to be a party to this 14 proceeding, to their dismissal. 15 JUDGE CAILLE: Well, does anyone -- do any 16 of the other parties have an objection? 17 MR. OWENS: Well, my client has no position 18 on the merits of the -- now what I guess is a motion, 19 Your Honor, but it seems to me, at any rate, from the 20 standpoint of an orderly process, I don't think you 21 know whether you've got a case or controversy before 22 you at this point, because there is -- it's been 23 communicated to you that the moving party, the Staff,

24 is in the process of reaching a settlement with one 25 of the respondents. And it may be premature for you 1 to rule on jurisdictional issues unless you have a
2 case or controversy.
3 MR. CROMWELL: Your Honor, Robert Cromwell.
4 And I'd take the chance to exercise the rare
5 opportunity to concur with Mr. Owens, and I agree. I
6 think it's premature at this point. We clearly have
7 a settlement on the table that may resolve this issue
8 in its entirety. I think it's premature to consider
9 motions to dismiss at this time.
10 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. I agree with Mr.

JUDGE CAILLE: All right. I agree with Mr.
Cromwell and Mr. Owens. And should this matter go
forward, I would appreciate it if those parties
wishing to be dismissed please file a written motion,
and we should know something about that by January
15 17th.

MR. CROMWELL: Your Honor.

17 JUDGE CAILLE: Yes.

18 MR. CROMWELL: May I ask that the

19 Commission not entertain any motions prior to Mr.

20 Simshaw's letter to the Commission, so that --

JUDGE CAILLE: Yes.

MR. CROMWELL: -- we're not otherwise

23 interrupting our holidays?

JUDGE CAILLE: Yes, yes. I don't know that anyone else is speculating about motions, but I would

```
00016
 1 appreciate that, as well. Let's see. I believe
 that's it. Is there anything else that we need to cover this morning? All right, then. Thank you very
 4 much for coming, and this meeting is adjourned.
                (Proceedings adjourned at 11:33 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```