

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE
FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES**

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TE-240799

PENALTY AMOUNT: \$6,700

Lemyn's Legacy Inc.
d/b/a Spokane Party Bus
4508 N. Monroe Street
Spokane, WA 99205

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes Lemyn's Legacy Inc. d/b/a Spokane Party Bus (Spokane Party Bus or Company) violated Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-30-221, Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which adopts Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R.) Part 391 - Qualifications of Drivers and 49 C.F.R. Part 395 - Hours of Service of Drivers.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of \$100 for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

On October 22, 2024, Commission Motor Carrier Safety Investigator Sandra Yeomans completed a routine safety investigation of Spokane Party Bus and documented the following violations:

- **Sixty violations of 49 § C.F.R. § 391.45(a) - Using a driver not medically examined and certified.** Spokane Party Bus allowed driver Chauncy Welliver to operate a motor vehicle without a valid medical certificate on 60 occasions between February 17, 2024, and October 22, 2024.
- **Seven violations of 49 C.F.R. § 395.8(a)(1) - Failing to require driver to make a record of duty status.** The Company failed to require driver Chauncy Welliver to prepare a record of duty status on seven occasions between September 1, 2024, and September 30, 2024.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for these violations:

1. **How serious or harmful the violations are to the public.** The violations noted are serious and potentially harmful to the public. Passenger transportation companies that use drivers who are not medically certified and fail to require drivers to create a record of duty status put their customers and the traveling public at risk. These violations present significant safety concerns.
2. **Whether the violations were intentional.** Considerations include:

- Whether the Company ignored Commission staff's (Staff) previous technical assistance; and
- Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the Company knew of and failed to correct the violations.

On January 29, 2016, the Commission received the Company's application for charter and excursion service authority. In the application, Sarah Grant, part owner of Spokane Party Bus, acknowledged the Company's responsibility to understand and comply with applicable motor carrier safety regulations.

On March 9, 2016, Staff provided new entrant safety regulation training to Spokane Party Bus, and Sarah Grant acknowledged receiving training related to 49 C.F.R. § 391.45 and 49 C.F.R. § 395.8.

On September 18, 2019, Staff completed a routine safety investigation of Spokane Party Bus and documented 53 violations of 49 C.F.R. § 391.45(a) and 30 violations of 49 C.F.R. § 395.8(a)(1).

The Company knew or should have known about these requirements.

3. **Whether the Company self-reported the violations.** Spokane Party Bus did not self-report these violations.
4. **Whether the Company was cooperative and responsive.** The Company was cooperative throughout the safety inspection.
5. **Whether the Company promptly corrected the violations and remedied the impacts.** Spokane Party Bus has not provided Staff with evidence of correction.
6. **The number of violations.** Staff identified four violation types with a total of 69 occurrences during the routine safety investigation of Spokane Party Bus. Of those violations, Staff identified two violation types with 67 individual occurrences that warrant a penalty in accordance with the Commission's Enforcement Policy.
7. **The number of customers affected.** Spokane Party Bus last reported traveling 7,862 miles for 2023. These safety violations present a public safety risk.
8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Company incurred repeat violations despite prior technical assistance and suspended penalties. Absent a significant commitment to prioritize safe operations, the violations are likely to reoccur.
9. **The Company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties.** On October 2, 2019, the Commission assessed a \$5,700 penalty against Spokane Party Bus in Docket TE-190790 for safety violations of WAC 480-30-900 and WAC 480-30-221. On October 3, 2019, the Company responded to the Penalty Assessment, admitting the violations and requesting mitigation of the penalty. On October 28, 2019, the Commission entered Order 01 granting a reduced penalty of \$3,000 with \$2,500 of the penalty suspended. On November 12, 2019, the Commission entered Order 02 granting a

payment arrangement. On December 19, 2019, the Company paid the remaining penalty in full.

10. **The Company's existing compliance program.** Sarah Welliver, Safety Director, is responsible for the Company's safety compliance program.

11. **The size of the Company.** The Company employs one driver and operates one commercial motor vehicle. The Company reported \$97,978 gross revenue in 2023.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation.¹ The Commission generally will assess penalties by violation category, rather than per occurrence, for first-time violations of those critical regulations that do not meet the requirements for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any equipment violation meeting the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's "out-of-service" criteria and also for repeat violations of critical regulations, including each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize Spokane Party Bus \$6,700 (Penalty Assessment), calculated as follows:

- Sixty violations of 49 § C.F.R. § 391.45(a) - Using a driver not medically examined and certified. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of these repeat critical-type violations, for a total of \$6,000.
- Seven violations of 49 C.F.R. § 395.8(a)(1) - Failing to require driver to make a record of duty status. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of these repeat critical violations, for a total of \$700.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the Penalty Assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violations and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Alternatively, if there is a reason for the violations that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violations or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. *See* RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violations or application for

¹ Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of their decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violations.
- Admit the violations but request mitigation of the penalty amount.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal at <https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form> **within FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this Penalty Assessment.² If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to records@utc.wa.gov. If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

If you wish to make a payment online, please use this link: [Make a Payment Now \(wa.gov\)](#).³

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective November 14, 2024.

/s/ James E. Brown II
JAMES E. BROWN II
Interim Director, Administrative Law
Division

² <https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form>.

³ <https://www.utc.wa.gov/documents-and-proceedings/online-payments/make-payment-now>

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
PENALTY ASSESSMENT TE-240799

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the Penalty Assessment. Use additional paper if needed. I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, competent to testify to the matters set forth below, and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

1. **Payment of penalty.** I admit that the violations occurred.
 Enclose \$6,700 in payment of the penalty.
 OR Attest that I have paid the penalty in full through the Commission's payment portal.
2. **Contest the violation(s).** I believe that the alleged violations did not occur for the reasons I describe below **(if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):**
- a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.
- OR b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.
3. **Application for mitigation.** I admit the violations, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below **(if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):**
- a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.
- OR b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing, including information I have presented on any attachments, is true and correct.

Dated: _____ [month/day/year], at _____ [City, State]

 Name of Respondent (company) – please print

 Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020 "Perjury in the first degree."

- (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding they make a materially false statement which they know to be false under an oath required or authorized by law.
- (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that their statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section.
- (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.