Service Date: June 23, 2022

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TG-220399 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$600

Pullman Disposal Service, Inc. 135 NW Harold Drive PO Box 619 Pullman, WA 99163

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes Pullman Disposal Service, Inc., (Pullman Disposal or Company) violated Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-70-201, Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which adopts Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R.) Part 382 – Controlled Substance and Alcohol Use and Testing and 49 CFR Part 396 – Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of \$100 for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation. RCW 81.04.530 allows penalties of \$500 for each motor vehicle driver not in compliance with the motor vehicle driver testing requirements.

On May 25, 2022, Commission Motor Carrier Investigator Edward Steiner completed a routine safety investigation of Pullman Disposal and documented the following violations:

- One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 382.301(a) Using a driver before the motor carrier has received a negative pre-employment controlled substance test result. The Company allowed driver Richard Becker to operate a commercial motor vehicle (CMV) without first having a negative pre-employment controlled substance test on file.
- Four violations of 49 C.F.R. § 396.17(a) Using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected. The Company failed to have four CMVs periodically inspected.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for these violations:

- 1. How serious or harmful the violations are to the public. The violations noted are serious and potentially harmful to the public. Solid waste collection companies that allow drivers to operate CMVs prior to receiving pre-employment controlled substance test results and fail to have CMVs periodically inspected put the traveling public at risk. These violations present serious safety concerns.
- 2. Whether the violations were intentional. Considerations include:
 - Whether the Company ignored Staff's previous technical assistance; and

• Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the Company knew of and failed to correct the violation.

The Company has been operating in the Pullman area since 1977.

Staff has completed 12 prior safety reviews of the Company and has provided technical assistance.

The Company knew or should have known about these requirements.

- 3. Whether the Company self-reported the violations. Pullman Disposal did not self-report these violations.
- 4. Whether the Company was cooperative and responsive. The Company was cooperative throughout the safety investigation.
- 5. Whether the Company promptly corrected the violations and remedied the impacts. Pullman Disposal has corrected the discovered violations.
- 6. **The number of violations.** Staff identified 10 violation types with a total of 18 individual occurrences during the routine safety investigation of Pullman Disposal. Of those violations, Staff identified two violation types with five individual occurrences that warrant penalties in accordance with the Commission's Enforcement Policy.
- 7. **The number of customers affected.** Pullman Disposal reported traveling 149,876 miles in 2021. These violations presented a public safety risk.
- 8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Company was cooperative throughout the safety investigation and was provided technical assistance with specific remedies to help the Company assess how well its safety management controls support safe operations and how to begin improving its safety performance. Considering these factors, Staff believes the likelihood of recurrence is low.
- 9. The Company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties. On July 26. 2012, the Commission assessed a \$2,300 penalty against Pullman Disposal in Docket TG-121205. On October 23, 2012, Staff and the Company came to a settlement that reduced the total penalty by half, and on October 29, 2012, the Company paid the \$1,150 penalty in full.
 - On August 5, 2013, the Commission assessed a \$75 penalty against Pullman Disposal for failing to file an annual report and pay regulatory fees in Docket TG-130878. The Company filed an annual report and paid regulatory fees on August 9, 2012.
- 10. **The Company's existing compliance program.** Devon Felsted is responsible for the Company's safety compliance program.
- 11. **The size of the Company.** The Company employs 10 drivers and operates 21 commercial motor vehicles. The Company reported \$5,538,043 in gross revenue in 2021.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation. The Commission generally will assess penalties by violation category, rather than per occurrence, for first-time violations of those critical regulations that do not meet the requirements for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any equipment violation meeting the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's "out-of-service" criteria and also for repeat violations of critical regulations, including each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize Pullman Disposal \$600 (Penalty Assessment), calculated as follows:

- One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 382.301(a) Using a driver before the motor carrier has received a negative pre-employment controlled substance test result. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$500 for this violation.
- Four violations of 49 C.F.R. § 396.17(a) Using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically inspected. The Commission assesses a "per category" penalty of \$100 for these critical violations.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe any or all of the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violation(s) and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Alternatively, if there is a reason for any or all of the violations that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violation(s) or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation(s) or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of their decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this Penalty Assessment to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violation(s).
- Admit the violations but request mitigation of the penalty amount.

¹ Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal **within FIFTEEN** (15) **days** after you receive this Penalty Assessment. If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to records@utc.wa.gov. If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective June 23, 2022.

/s/Rayne Pearson
RAYNE PEARSON
Director, Administrative Law Division

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT TG-220399

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed. I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

		g statements.	if those matters. I hereby make, under
[]1.	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violations occurred and enclose \$600 in payment of the penalty.		
[] 2.	Contest the violation(s). I believe that the alleged violation(s) did not occur for the reasons I describe below (if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):		
		I ask for a hearing to present evidence nistrative law judge for a decision.	e on the information I provide above to
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision base above.	d solely on the information I provide
[] 3.	Application for mitigation. I admit the violations, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below (if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):		
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence an administrative law judge for a deci	<u> •</u>
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based above.	d solely on the information I provide
		enalty of perjury under the laws of the Sation I have presented on any attachmen	State of Washington that the foregoing, nts, is true and correct.
Dated:		[month/day/year], at	[city, state]
Name o	of Respond	lent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020 "Perjury in the first degree."

- (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he or she makes a materially false statement which he or she knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law.
- (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his or her statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section.
- (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.