Add solid samples to the internal corrosion part of the form. A completed Standard Inspection Report is to be submitted to the Director within 60 days from completion of the inspection. A Post Inspection Memorandum (PIM) is to be completed and submitted to the Director within 30 days from the completion of the inspection, or series of inspections, and is to be filed as part of the Standard Inspection Report.

Inspection Report	Post	Post Inspection Memorandum				
	Senior Egr. Review/Da	te: David Lykken	David Lykken 8/23/2007			
Inspector/Submit Date: Al Jones / August 22, 2007	Peer Review/Date:	Tom Finch				
	Director Approval/Date	e: Chris Hoidal				
POST INSPE	CTION MEMORANDUM (PI	M)	-			
Name of Operator: Puget Sound Energy			OPID #:	22189		
Name of Unit(s): Jackson Prairie Storage Facility	Name of Unit(s): Jackson Prairie Storage Facility					
Records Location: Jackson Prairie Storage Facility	y					
Unit Type & Commodity: Interstate Gas Storage / N	Natural Gas					
Inspection Type: Standard	Ins	pection Date(s): 8/	13-15/ 2007	*		
PHMSA Al Jones (WUTC) Representative(s):			AFO Days: 3	3		

Summary:

The inspection included a review of records, control room operations, cathodic protection for the transmission pipeline, casings, and rectifiers. Field inspection of compressor stations included ESD alarm system, gas and fire detectors, and ventilation system. In general, the plant security, ROW, line markers, signs, firefighting equipment, pipe supports, gathering piping and well head piping were all inspected. Numerous rectifiers and pipe-to-soil potentials were taken and found to be in compliance, see field data form for details. A follow-up of previous inspections for atmospheric corrosion at pipe supports was inspected and the slug catcher design was reviewed.

Findings: No probable violations or areas of concerns noted during this inspection.								
		•						

Name of Operator:	Puget Soun	d Energy		
OP ID No. (1) 2189			Unit ID No. (1) 33875	
HQ Address:			System/Unit Name & Ad	
Puget Sound Energy			Jackson Prairie Storage	Facility
P.O. Box 90868			239 Zandecki Road	
Bellevue, WA 98009-0	868		Chehalis, WA 98532	
Co. Official:	Stephanie Kr	eshel	Activity Record ID No.:	
Phone No.:	425-462-3734		Phone No.:	360-262-3365
Fax No.:	425-462-3770		Fax No.:	
Emergency Phone No.	: 1-888-225-57	73	Emergency Phone No.:	1-888-225-5773
Persons Inter	viewed		Title	Phone No.
James Jan	son	M	anager	360-262-3365
Mark And	lers	Manager T	echnical Services	360-262-3365
Rick Braa	ten	Su	pervisor	360-262-3365
Don Hui	ıt	Complian	ce Coordinator	425-462-3715
PHMSA Representativ	ve(s) (I) Al Jones	(WUTC) Inspection	Date(s) (1) August 13-15, 20	07
Company System Mar	s (Copies for Rea	gion Files): At facility		

Counties of Operation: (list each field separately)

Jackson Storage facility is located in Lewis County, Washington.

Storage Field(s) Description: (list each field separately)

Jackson Storage gas storage is located in natural sandstone formation saturated with salt water approximately 3,200 acres in size and approximately 1.5 miles below the surface. The top of the repository is sealed by a natural formation of clay and shale layers. The current storage capacity will be expanded approximately 42% with the addition of five new injection/withdrawal wells this year and another five wells in 2008. A new compressor, filter coalescer unit, and SCADA system are planned for 2008. Gas moves in or out of the repository via underground piping and between the plant and Williams pipeline via four transmission lines consisting of 4,6,10, and 16-inch diameter pipes approximately 9,600 linear feet each. All piping and well casings are cathodicly protection by 16 rectifiers.

Inspection Summary:

Numerous rectifier and pip-to-soil potentials readings were taken and found to be in compliance (see field data report). A follow-up to the previous inspections for atmospheric corrosion at pipe supports and slug catcher design were inspected and reviewed with PSE staff.

¹ Information not required if included on page 1. Form 12 Gas Storage Field Review (Rev. 03/02/07 through Amdt. 192-103)

The attached evaluation form should be used in conjunction with 49CFR Parts 191 and 192.

PIPE TYPE								
	Bare steel	Coated steel	Ineffectively Coated	Pre70-ERW	Plastic	Other: must specify type		
Footage/Mileage	<u>0</u>	14.4 Miles	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>		

PIPE SPECIFICATIONS (2" AND LARGER)							
Diameter(s)	14-inch	<u>16-inch</u>	<u>20-inch</u>	24-inch			
Pipe Grade(s)	<u>X-46</u>	<u>X-52</u>	<u>X-56</u>	<u>X-70</u>			
Wall Thickness(s)	0.250 inch	0.312 inch	0.375 inch	<u>0.250 inch</u>			
Footage/Mileage	<u>9,600 ft</u>	<u>9,600 ft</u>	<u>9,600 ft</u>	9,600 ft			

WELL STIMULATION									
ACIDIZING									
Acidizing treatments used to stimulate the wells?	Yes	☐ No							
Type(s) of acids used in treating the wells:	15% HCL								
Type(s) of inhibitors used with the acid(s):	Varies								
Frequency of the treatments: Rare		_	Volume of acid per treatment:	<600 gallons					
Well cleanup procedure following treatment:	Flowed back into	the well line							
If treatment is flowed back into the well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment will not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe:	Nothing specific								
	FR	ACTURING							
Fracturing treatments used to stimulate the wells?	Yes	⊠ No							
Type(s) of fracturing fluids used in treating the wel	ls: <u>N/A</u>								
Type(s) of inhibitors used with the fracturing fluid	(s): <u>N/A</u>								
Frequency of the treatments: <u>N/A</u>			Amount of sand per treatment:	<u>N/A</u>					
Well cleanup procedure following treatment:	<u>V/A</u>	·							
If treatment is flowed back into the well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment w not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe:	<u>N/A</u> ill								

GAS and LIQUID HANDLING FACILITIES							
GAS CO	MPRESSION						
Location of compressors: <u>Jackson Prarie Compressor Station</u>							
Number, Size (HP), and Date of Installation of Units: C-1 670HP 10/65 Walkinshaw reciprocating engine C-2 1,000HP 11/66 Saturn turbine/compressor unit C-3 1,000HP 9/66 Saturn turbine/compressor unit C-4 1,000HP 11/66 Saturn turbine/compressor unit C-5 1,300HP 12/68 Saturn turbine/compressor unit C-6 4,417HP 11/73 Centaur turbine/compressor unit C-7 4,417HP 11/75 Centaur turbine/compressor unit C-8 7,000HP 11/99 Taurus turbine/compressor unit IR-1 145HP 1/01 Caterpillar engine IR-2 145HP 1/02 Caterpillar engine							
GAS DEI	HYDRATION						
Location of dehydration units: <u>Jackson Prairie Compressor S</u>	Station						
Type(s) of dehydration process used: Glycol, bubble cap tray, triethylene glycol at 850 MMCF/Day.							
Number of dehydration units: 12 towers	Dehydration capacity: 1 Billion Cubic Feet per Day						
GAS SWEETENING (Acid Gas Treating)							
Location of sweetening units: <u>N/A</u>							
Type(s) of sweetening process used: <u>N/A</u>							
Number of sweetening units: <u>N/A</u>	Sweetening capacity: <u>N/A</u>						
GAS / LIQUII) SEPARATION						
SCRUBBERS / SEPARATORS: <u>Yes</u>							
Location of scrubbers/separators: Two-Phase separator at each gas	s well and three vortex separators at the plant.						
Type(s) of scrubbers/separators used: 2-phase							
Number of scrubbers/separators: 46	Separation capacity: 20 to 80 million cubic feet per day						
DRIPS: <u>Yes</u>							
Location of drips: <u>Station</u>							
Type(s) of drips used: Slug-catcher							
Number of drips: One							
Frequency of draining or blowing drips: As needed							

		- FIFT I	OPE	ERATING P	ADA	METEDS			
					-				
			RES, R	RATES and T			T		0 D
	Pressure,	psi Withdrawal			Rate, IV	/Mcf/day Withdrawal	Injecti	Temperatu	Withdrawal
Maximum	Injection 855	880		Injection 450	\dashv		Injecti		<u>110</u>
Maximum	370	380		10	+	1 Bcf 10	110 40	·	40
Maximum Allowable (<u> </u>			
			TER,	CO ₂ , and O ₂	CON	TENT	****		
	Water, lbs./MMc			CO ₂ ,		H ₂ S, ppm	ı		O ₂ , %
Injection Cycle	<u>7</u>			0.04%		<u>0</u>			egligable
Withdrawal Cycle	<u>20</u>			0.04%		<u>0</u>		Ne	<u>egligable</u>
<u> </u>									
	FIE	LD OPERA				NANCE HISTOR	Y	• • • •	
			LEAK	S (NON-RUP	TURE	ES)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Are leak surveys of the	field being conducted	? (49 CFR 192	706)	⊠ Yes		□ No	-		
Have any leaks been for		ars?		Yes		⊠ No	Number o	f leaks: 0	
Types of leaks that hav N/A	e occurred?						,		
Cause(s) of the leaks: N/A									
Location(s) of the leaks N/A	s:								
Has a trend analysis be			☐ Yes	×	No				
If a trend analysis has be N/A	been done, what do the	results indicat	e?						
	,		FAI	LURE/RUPT	URES				
Have any failures occu	rred over the past 5 year	ars?	☐ Yes	\boxtimes	No		Number o	f failures:	0
Type(s) of failures that <u>N/A</u>	have occurred:								
Cause(s) of the failures N/A									
Location(s) of the failu N/A	res:		<u> </u>						
Has a trend analysis be			Yes		No				
If a trend analysis has be N/A	peen done, what do the	results indicate	e?						
			LINE	REPLACEM	1ENT	S			
Have any lines been re	placed over the past 5 y	/ears?	Yes	\boxtimes	No		Number o	f replaceme	nts:
Type(s) of replacement N/A	is:								
Location(s) of the repla	icements:			-					

Reason(s) for replacements:

N/A

LINE REPAIRS

FIELD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE HISTORY									
Have any lines been repaired over the	past 5 years?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Number of re	pairs:				
Type(s) of repairs: N/A									
Location(s) of the repairs: N/A									
Reason(s) for the repairs: N/A									
	VALVE REPLACEMENTS								
Have any valves been replaced over the	e past 5 years?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Number of re	placements: 1				
A 3-inch diameter Cameron Ball valve because the body is a welded unit.	One valve was maintained and another valve replaced, including: A 3-inch diameter Cameron Ball valve was replaced. The valve is used for equalization across a 36-inch valve. The Cameron valve was replaced								
<u> </u>									
Reason(s) for the replacements: The Cameron was leaking at the internal stem seal. The Grove was leaking at the stem seal.									
	GAS and	LIQUID HANI	LING FACILITY UPSETS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Gas Dehydra	ation Units	Gas Sweetening Units	S	Separators				
Number of upsets – past 3 years	1		0		1				
	Cause(s) of the upsets: A slug of water from Zone 9 spilled approximately 15 gallons of oil effecting the east coaleser unit.								
Has a trend analysis been performed?	⊠ Yes								
If a trend analysis has been done, what The relief valve at the coalescer unit		cate?							
	CORRO	SION CONTR	OL AND MONITORING						
		EXTERNAL	CORROSION						
Are the field piping and related storage	field facilities catl			⊠ Yes	☐ No				
Type(s) of cathodic protection used:	⊠ Imp	ressed Current	☐ Galvanic Ar	nodes	☐ Combination				
Criteria used to determine adequate cat -850mV, On	hodic protection:								
Does the field piping system contain ar	y bare or ineffecti	vely coated pipe?	☐ Yes	⊠ No					
Location(s) of the bare or ineffectively coated pipe: N/A									
Amount of bare of ineffectively coated pipe: N/A									
Are corrosion monitoring procedures es	stablished for the f	ield piping and re	lated storage field facilities?	⊠ Yes	☐ No				
MONITORING	MONITORING								
Pipe-to-soil readings	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	,	Exposed pipe reports Leak surveys Instrumented inspection surv	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⁄eys □ Yes	□ No□ No☑ No				

	EXTERNAL CORROSION	
Remedial measures taken to mitigate corrosion: Corrosion coupon at the slug catcher was installed.		

		INTERNAL	CORROSION	······································		
Are correction manifesting a	reachures establish	ed for the field piping and re		⊠ Yes	N₀	
MONITORING	orocedures establish	led for the field piping and re-	lated storage field facilities:	Z 163		
Corrosion coupons Gas samples Water samples Solids samples CORROSION		NoNoNoNoNo	Pipe replacement reports surveys Leak surveys Instrumental inspection surveys	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No ☑ No	
Frequency coupons are ana Semi annually.	llyzed:					
Location(s) where coupons In Slug-catcher.	are installed:					
GAS SAMPLE	S					
Frequency of sampling: As	s needed.					
Location(s) where the samp At wellheads.	oles taken:					
Are the gas samples analyz	ed for:		Amount of the following present in t	he gas:		
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No	Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	0.04	<u>%</u>	
	_	_	Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S)	Neglig	<u>able</u>	
Oxygen (O ₂)	⊠ Yes	∐ No	Oxygen (O ₂)	<u>Neglig</u> :	able	
Water vapor	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Water vapor	Neglig	<u>able</u>	
What carbon dioxide (CO ₂) N/A, not done.	partial pressure cri	teria are used to establish car	bon dioxide (CO ₂) corrosivity ranges?			
What is the carbon dioxide Not established.	(CO ₂) corrosivity r	anges?				
What is the carbon dioxide	(CO ₂) partial press	ure? Insignificant.				
WATER/LIQUI	DS SAMPLES					
Frequency of sampling: As	needed, not typic	ally taken and only from th	e well field.			
Locations where the sample At wellheads.	es are taken:					
What constituents are the water samples analyzed for? (Refer to the Water Analysis Checklist)						
Concentration of the follow	Concentration of the following present in water: Amount of the following gases dissolved in the water:					

Form 12 Gas Storage Field Review (Rev. 03/02/07 through Amdt. 192-103)

		INTERNAL	CORROSION			
Iron (Fe ⁺⁺)	<u>0.25 – 2</u>	2.5 mg/l	Carbon dioxide	(CO ₂)	<u>Negligable</u>	
Manganese (Mn ⁺⁺)	<u>100 – 50</u>	00 mg/l	Hydrogen sulfide	(H_sS)	<u>Negligable</u>	
Chlorides (Cl ⁻)	20,000		Oxygen	(O_2)	<u>640 – 1,200 mg/l</u>	
Sulfates (SO ₄ ⁻)	0.4 – 8					
Is the pH of the water below 6.8?	⊠ Yes	□ No	•			
Is hydrostatic test water sampled for the	e presence of bacter	ria? Yes	⊠ No			
Are liquids tested for evidence of exces	ssive glycol in the p	pipeline, which if	deteriorated, could lowe	er the pH? Yes	s 🛛 No	
SOLIDS SAMPLES (collec	eted at pig receive	ers)				
Frequency of sampling: N/A						
Locations where the samples taken: N/A, sample are not collected.						
Are solids observed and/or tested for th	e following compo	nents?				
Iron Oxide	s 🛛 No		Scales	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Iron Sulfide Ye	s 🛛 No		Sand	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Is the volume of solids increasing or de N/A, solids samples are not collected.	•	ig runs?				
N/A, sonus samples are not conected.						
Comments:		·				
	<u> </u>					
E			NSPECTION SURVI	EYS		
Frequency surveys are conducted: N/A			<u>1e.</u>			
Lines that have been surveyed and whe N/A, instrumented surveys are not do	•	onducted:				
IVA, mstrumenteu surveys are not uc	nte.					
		INHIBITO	R PROGRAM			
Has a corrosion inhibitor program been	established for the	field piping and r	elated storage field faci	lities?	⊠ No	
When did the program start? N/A, no i	nhibitor program.					
Type(s) of treatment method used:	Batch	☐ Continuous				
Type(s) of inhibitors used: N/A, no inhibitor program.			2			
Are liquid samples periodically taken to	test for residual co	orrosion inhibitor,	to help determine effec	tiveness?	⊠ No	
MAINTENANCE PIGGING (See also solids and water sampling, inhibitor sections)						
Does operator have a maintenance pigg	ing program design	ed to sweep the li	nes of sediments and/or	scale? Ye	es 🛛 No	
Does operator adhere to the pigging pro	gram?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	· · · ·		
Comments: No maintenance pigging program per	formed by operate	or.				

CONTROLLING GAS VELOCITY - INTERNAL CORROSION AND EROSION							
Have target	flow rates been determined for the field piping system?		☐ Yes	⊠ No			
Are injection	n/withdrawal flow rates kept within the targeted flow rates, to m Yes No	ninimize sediment	and water build-up	o, and to manage	erosion?		
Has erosion	been observed during replacement of components (lines, valves	s, fittings, etc.)?] Yes	⊠ No		
1	here erosion has been found: ernal corrosion issues experienced at facility.						
	easures taken to mitigate erosion: ernal corrosion erosion issues experienced at facility.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	ATMOSPHERI	C CORROSION	1				
Are corrosio	n monitoring procedures established for the field piping and rel	ated storage field	facilities?	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
	where corrosion has been found: t located between the pipe and pipe supports.						
	easures taken to mitigate corrosion: insulator has been place between the pipe and pipe suppor	ts.					
	SAFETY DEVICE	ES and SYSTI	EMS				
	SURFACE 1	FACILITIES					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Has a system	safety analysis of the field piping and related storage facilities			☐ Yes	⊠ No		
	safety analysis of the field piping and related storage facilities analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate	been performed:	cilities been prepar	<u></u>	⊠ No		
Has a safety		been performed:	cilities been prepare	<u></u>			
Has a safety	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate	been performed:	cilities been prepar	<u></u>			
Has a safety PRESSURE COMP	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate	been performed: ed storage field fac		<u></u>			
PRESSURE COMP	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate SAFETY DEVICES: RESSORS	been performed: ed storage field fac		ed? Yes	⊠ No		
PRESSURE COMP	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and relate SAFETY DEVICES: RESSORS pressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety dev	been performed: ed storage field fac	nure protection?	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No		
PRESSURE COMP Is each comp Pressure prot	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and related SAFETY DEVICES: RESSORS ressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety develocition provided by:	been performed: ed storage field factorices for overpress Location of pres	sure protection?	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No		
PRESSURE COMPI Is each comp Pressure prot Primary Secondary	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and related SAFETY DEVICES: RESSORS Pressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety devicection provided by: SCADA system	been performed: ed storage field factions rices for overpress Location of press Primary	sure protection? ssure safety devices Control room se	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No		
Has a safety of PRESSURE COMP Is each comp Pressure protection Primary Secondary PRESS	analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and related SAFETY DEVICES: RESSORS Pressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety develection provided by: SCADA system Safety relief valves	been performed: ed storage field factorices for overpress Location of press Primary Secondary	sure protection? ssure safety devices Control room set Exit piping.	Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No		

	SURFACE FACILITIES								
Pressure prof	ection provided by:	Location of pre	essure safety devices:	· · · · ·					
Primary	Reservoir pressure limitation.	Primary	Relief valve on each	vessel.					
Secondary	Relief valve	Secondary	Exit piping.						
HEADI	ERS, LATERALS and WELL LINES								
Are the head	ers, laterals and well lines equipped with pressure safety device	e protection?	⊠ Yes	☐ No					
Pressure prot	ection provided by:	ssure safety devices:							
Primary	Relief Valves	Primary	Station piping						
Secondary	<u>N/A</u>	Secondary	<u>N/A</u>						
GAS DETE	CTION SAFETY DEVICES:								
Is each comp	ressor, per 49 CFR 192.736, building equipped with gas detect	ion safety devices	s?	⊠ Yes	☐ No				
Are other bui	ldings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with gas	detection safety d	levices?	☐ Yes	⊠ No				
Type(s) of ga	s detection safety devices: Combustible gas (L.E.L.)	Hydrogen	Sulfide (H ₂ S)	Other:					
Type(s) of al	arms used to notify personnel to the presence of gas:	☐ Visual	Audible		ion				
FIRE DETI	ECTION SAFETY DEVICES:								
Is each comp	ressor building equipped with fire detection safety devices?			⊠ Yes	☐ No				
Are other bui	ldings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with fire	detection safety d	levices:	⊠ Yes	□ No				
Type(s) of fir ⊠ Flame □ Other:	e detection safety devices: Heat Smoke		Fusible Material		÷				
Type(s) of ala	arms used to notify personnel to the presence of fire: Audible Combinatio	n							
EMERGEN(CY SHUTDOWN SYSTEM:	****							
Is each comp	ressor station, per 49 CFR 192.167, equipped with a remote co	ntrolled emergene	cy shutdown system?	∑ Yes	☐ No				
Does the gas	detection system activate the compressor station emergency sh	utdown system?		⊠ Yes	☐ No				
Does the fire	detection system activate the compressor station emergency sh	utdown system?		⊠ Yes	□ No				
	WE	LLS							
Is each well e	quipped with a well storage safety valve?	⊠ Yes	□ No						
If not, are the	re plans to equip each well with a well storage safety valve?	☐ Yes	☐ No						
Reasons why	wells should not be equipped with well storage safety valve(s)	?							

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS							
·							

WATER ANALYSIS CHECKLISTS

Consti	tuent		Operator for	Operator's	Constituent			Does Operator test for		Operator's
		Yes	No	"threshold"				Yes	No	"threshold"
Sodium	Na ⁺				Chloride	Cl		\boxtimes		
Potassium	K ⁺	⊠			Sulfate	SO ₄ =		Ø		
Calcium	Ca ⁺⁺	×			Carbonate	CO ₃ =		\boxtimes		
Magnesium	Mg ⁺⁺	×			Bicarbonate	HCO ₃ ·		\boxtimes		
Iron	Fe ⁺⁺	Ø			Hydroxide	OH-			×	
Barium	Ba ⁺⁺		Ø		Dissolved Oxygen	O ₂		⊠		
Strontium	Sr ⁺⁺				Dissolved Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂			×	
Manganese	Mn ⁺⁺	×			Dissolved Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S				
Lead		Ø			Arsenic			×		
Zinc		×			Copper			×		

Other	Does Operator test for		Operator's	Other		perator or	Operator's "threshold"
	Yes	No .	"threshold"		Yes	No	threshold
Acidity		\boxtimes		Alkalinity		\boxtimes	
рН		\boxtimes		Salinity			
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	×			Acid-producing Bacteria		×	
Sulfate-reducing Bacteria							

Excessive values of the above-listed constituents and properties, dependent upon operating conditions and other factors that may be unique to the storage field, could indicate a corrosive condition in the pipeline.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked

If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

· ·	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)	Ŝ	U N/A	A)/C
.179	Valve Protection from Tampering or Damage	<u>s</u>		
.463	Cathodic Protection	<u>s</u>		
.465	Rectifiers	<u>s</u>		
.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere	<u>s</u>		
.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel	<u>s</u>		
.707	ROW Markers, Road and Railroad Crossings	<u>s</u>		
.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory)	<u>s</u>		
.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Mechanical)	<u>s</u>		
.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (Capacities)	<u>s</u>		
.745	Valve Maintenance	<u>s</u>		<u> </u>
.751	Warning Signs	<u>s</u>		
.801809	Operator Qualification - Use PHMSA Form 15 Operator Qualification Field Inspection Protocol Form	<u>s</u>		

Comments:		 		
	•			

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field)	S	u	N/A	N/4
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")		-		
.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits	<u>s</u>			
	Door latch must open from inside without a key	<u>s</u>			
	Doors must swing outward	<u>s</u>			
· (d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit	<u>s</u>		,	
	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward	<u>s</u>			
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key	<u>s</u>			
(e)	Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code, ANSI/NFPA 70?	<u>s</u>			
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?	<u>s</u>			
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?	<u>s</u>			
	If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?	<u>s</u>			
.167(a)	ESD system must:				
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location	<u>s</u>			
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station	<u>s</u>			
	- Shut down gas compressing equipment, gas fires, electrical facilities in compressor building and near gas headers	<u>s</u>			
	- Maintain necessary electrical circuits for emergency lighting and circuits needed to protect equipment from damage	<u>s</u>			
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:				
	- Outside the gas area of the station	<u>s</u>			
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station	<u>s</u>			
	- ESD switches near emergency exits?	<u>s</u>			
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?	<u>s</u>			

Form 12 Gas Storage Field Review (Rev. 03/02/07 through Amdt. 192-103)

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field)	e i	m	N/ 1	
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	.5	U	N/,A	
.167(c)	Are ESDs on platforms designed to actuate automatically by				
	- For unattended compressor stations, when:				
	The gas pressure equals MAOP plus 15%?	<u>s</u>			_
	An uncontrolled fire occurs on the platform?	<u>s</u>			
	- For compressor station in a building, when				
	An uncontrolled fire occurs in the building?	<u>s</u>			
	Gas in air reaches 50% or more of LEL in a building with a source of ignition (facility conforming to NEC Class 1, Group D is not a source of ignition)?	<u>s</u>			
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.	<u>s</u>			
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?	<u>s</u>			
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?	<u>s</u>			
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?	<u>s</u>			_
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?	<u>s</u>			
.173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?	<u>s</u>			
.457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?	<u>s</u>			
.481	Atmospheric corrosion of aboveground facilities	<u>s</u>			
.603	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units?	<u>s</u>			
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date?	<u>s</u>			
.615	Emergency Plan for the station on site?	<u>s</u>			
.619	Review pressure recording charts and/or SCADA	<u>s</u>			
.707	Markers	<u>s</u>			
.731	Overpressure protection – reliefs or shutdowns	<u>s</u>			
.735	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?	<u>s</u>			
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?	<u>s</u>			
736	Gas detection – location	<u>s</u>		\Box	

Comments:			•	
		•		
		•		

	REPORTING PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	Ü	N/A	N/C
191.5	Telephonic reports to NRC (800-424-8802)			N/A	
191.15	Written incident reports; supplemental incident reports (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2)			<u>N/A</u>	
191.17 (a)	Annual Report (DOT Form RSPA F 7100.2-1)	<u>s</u>			
191.23	Safety related condition reports			<u>N/A</u>	
192.727 (g)	Abandoned facilities offshore, onshore crossing commercially navigable waterways reports			<u>N/A</u>	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked

If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	Ū	N/AN	Œ
.225	Test Results to Qualify Welding Procedures		1	<u>N/A</u>	
.227	Welder Qualification			<u>N/A</u>	
.241 (a)	Visual Weld Inspector Training/Experience			N/A	
.243 (b)(2)	Nondestructive Technician Qualification			N/A	
(c)	NDT procedures		1	N/A	
(f)	Total Number of Girth Welds			N/A	
(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT			N/A	
(f)	Number of Welds Rejected			N/A	
(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected			N/A	
.303	Construction Specifications			<u>N/A</u>	
.325	Underground Clearance			N/A	
.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each Size of Pipe Installed			<u>N/A</u>	
.455	Cathodic Protection			<u>N/A</u>	

	OPE	RATIONS and MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	UN	/AN/C
.603(b)	.605(a)	Procedural Manual Review - Operations and Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months)	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.605(c)	Abnormal Operations	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.605(b)(3)	Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.605(b)(8)	Periodic review of personnel work – effectiveness of normal O&M procedures	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.605(c)(4)	Periodic review of personnel work - effectiveness of abnormal operation procedures	<u>s</u>		
.709	.614	Damage Prevention (Miscellaneous)	<u>s</u>		
.709	.609	Class Location Study (If Applicable)	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.615(b)(1)	Location Specific Emergency Plan	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.615(b)(2)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effectiveness of training	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.615(b)(3)	Employee Emergency activity review, determine if procedures were followed.	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.615(c)	Liaison Program with Public Officials	<u>s</u>		
.603(b)	.616	Public Education	<u>s</u>		
.517		Pressure Testing	<u>s</u>		
.709	.619	Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP)	<u>s</u>		
.709	.625	Odorization of Gas		N	/ <u>A</u>
.709	.705	Patrolling (Refer to Table Below)	<u>s</u>		

		Class Location	At Highway and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Places			
		1 and 2	2/yr (7½ months)	1/yr (15 months)	7		
		3	4/yr (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ months)			
		4	4/yr (4½ months)	4/yr (4½ months)			
.709	.706	Leak Surveys (Refer t	o Toblo Polow)		<u>s</u>	Т-	Т

Class Location	Required	Not Exceed
1 and 2	1/yr	15 months
3	2/yr*	7½ months
4	4/yr*	4½ months

^{*} Leak detector equipment survey required for lines transporting un-odorized gas.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked

If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	OPE	RATIONS and MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U N/A	ZN/O
.603b/.727g	.727	Abandoned Pipelines; Underwater Facility Reports		N/A	7
.709	.731(a)	Compressor Station Relief Devices (1 per yr/15 months)	<u>s</u>		T
.709	.731(c)	Compressor Station Emergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months)	<u>s</u>		
.709	.736(c)	Compressor Stations - Detection and Alarms (Performance Test)	<u>s</u>		1
.709	.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations (1 per yr/15 months)		N/A	
.709	.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulator Stations - Capacity (1 per yr/15 months)		. <u>N/A</u>	_
.709	.745	Valve Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months)	<u>s</u>		
.709	.749	Vault Maintenance (≥200 cubic feet)(1 per yr/15 months)		N/A	<u>.</u>
.603(b)	.751	Prevention of Accidental Ignition (hot work permits)		N/A	_
.603(b)	.225(b)	Welding – Procedure		N/A	<u>. </u>
.603(b)	.227/.229	Welding – Welder Qualification		N/A	
.603(b)	.243(b)(2)	NDT – NDT Personnel Qualification		N/A	
.709	.243(f)	NDT Records (Pipeline Life)		N/A	
.709	<u> </u>	Repair: pipe (Pipeline Life); Other than pipe (5 years)		N/A	

Comments:

Since the last inspection, there has been NO, telephonic incidents, safety related conditions, abandoned facilities, construction or repair work done, odorization, regulator station, and vaults at the facility.

		CORROSION CONTROL PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U-N/A	N/C
.491	.491(a)	Maps or Records	<u>s</u>		
.491	.459	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed		N/A	
.491	.465(a)	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months)	<u>s</u>		\top
.491	.465(b)	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months)	<u>s</u>		\top
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring - Critical (6 per yr/2½ months)		N/A	
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring - Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months)		N/A	
.491	.465(d)	Prompt Remedial Actions	<u>s</u>		
.491	.465(e)	Unprotected Pipeline Surveys, CP active corrosion areas (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months)		N/A	\top
.491	.467	Electrical Isolation (Including Casings)	<u>s</u>		
.491	.469	Test Stations – Sufficient Number	<u>s</u>		
.491	.471	Test Lead Maintenance	<u>s</u>		
.491	.473	Interference Currents	<u>s</u>		
.491	.475(a)	Internal Corrosion; Corrosive Gas Investigation	<u>s</u>		
.491	.475(b)	Internal Corrosion; Internal Surface Inspection; Pipe Replacement		N/A	
.491	.477	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/7½ months)	<u>s</u>		
.491	.481	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore)	<u>s</u>		
.491	.483/.485	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions	<u>s</u>		

Comments:

Since the last inspection, there has been NO exposed pipe, interference bonds, and unprotected pipes at the facility.

Recent PHMSA Advisory Bulletins (Last 2 years)

Leave this list with the operator.

<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	Subject
ADB-05-01	January 21, 2005	Pipeline Safety: Semi-Annual Reporting of Performance Measures for Gas Transmission Pipeline Integrity Management
ADB-05-02	April 6, 2005	Pipeline Safety: Strapping Table Calibration for Pipeline Breakout Tank Operators
ADB-05-03	May 23, 2005	Pipeline Safety: Planning for Coordination of Emergency Response to Pipeline Emergencies
ADB-05-04	July 29, 2005	Integrity Management Notifications for Gas Transmission Lines
ADB-05-05	August 10, 2005	Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletin - Inspecting and Testing Pilot-Operated Pressure Relief Valves
ADB-05-06	August 11, 2005	ADB-05-06 - Pipeline Safety - Countermeasures to Prevent Human Fatigue in the Control Room
ADB-05-07	September 7, 2005	Pipeline Safety Advisory - Potential for damage to Natural Gas Distribution Pipeline Facilities Caused by the Passage of Hurricane Katrina
ADB-05-08	September 7, 2005	Pipeline Safety Advisory - Potential for damage to Pipeline Facilities Caused by the Passage of Hurricane Katrina
ADB-06-01	January 17, 2006	Pipeline Safety: Notice to Operators of Natural Gas and Hazardous Liquid Pipelines To Integrate Operator Qualification Regulations into Excavation Activities
ADB-06-02	June 16, 2006	Submission of Public Awareness Programs for Review
ADB-06-03		Pipeline Safety-Notice to Operators of Natural Gas and Hazardous Liquid Pipelines to Accurately Locate and Mark Underground Pipelines Before Construction-Related Excavation Activities Commence Near the Pipelines
ADB-06-04	December 28, 2006	Pipeline Safety: Lessons Learned From a Security Breach at a Liquefied Natural Gas Facility

For more PHMSA Advisory Bulletins, go to http://ops.dot.gov/regs/advise.htm